Effects of dormant duration, body size, self-burial and water condition on the long-term survival of the apple snail, *Pomacea canaliculata* (Gastropoda: Ampullariidae)
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**Abstract**

We investigated factors influencing the survival of the apple snail, *Pomacea canaliculata* during dormancy in the laboratory at 20–26°C. We placed snails of three size classes in small pots with soil and water, drained the water to induce self-burial, and subsequently checked the snails’ survival at intervals. The duration of the dormant period, body size and the success of self-burial all affected the survival of the snails. The effects of water conditions (dry or moist) affected the survival of the snails through interactions with body size and duration. The longest duration of survival under dry conditions was 11 months, and a small proportion of medium-sized and large snails survived the entire experimental period of 29 months under moist conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

The apple snail, *Pomacea canaliculata* (Lamarck) (Gastropoda: Ampullariidae) is the only species among freshwater snails which is ranked in the top 100 of the world’s worst invasive alien species (Lowe et al., 2000), and is also included in a similar list in Japan (The Ecological Society of Japan, 2002). It originates from South America, but has been introduced into many tropical, subtropical, and temperate Asian and American countries (Lach et al., 2000; Cowie, 2002). In paddy fields, attacks on rice seedlings are severe when paddy water is deep (Shobu, 1996; Wada, 1997), and farmers are often forced to spray pesticides or conduct other labor-intensive control methods, including hand-picking. However, none are effective enough to prevent snails from feeding on rice during the rainy season. In addition, the apple snail has a severe ecological impact by changing the structure of local ecosystems by eradicating most vegetation (Carlsson et al., 2004).

One reason why *P. canaliculata* is successful as an invasive species is its ability to survive in various environments (Lach et al., 2000); *P. canaliculata* can endure harsh environmental circumstances by burying itself in the soil and closing its operculum firmly (Cowie, 2002). In fact, unfavorable circumstances such as low water levels, extreme temperatures or lack of food are known to induce self-burial in this species (Wada and Yoshida, 2000).

Among various environmental stresses, the ability of ampullariid snails to endure dryness has attracted the interest of many scientists (reviewed in Cowie, 2002). Ampullariids generally inhabit temporary water bodies in tropical or subtropical areas, bury themselves in soil and rest during the dry season (Burky et al., 1972) (hereafter referred to as “dormancy” to include both estivation and hibernation). In *Pomacea* snails, factors such as duration (Little, 1968; Burky et al., 1972; Fan et al., 2000; Darby et al., 2003), water conditions (Darby et al., 2003), or the body size of snails (Fan et al., 2000; Darby et al., 2003) are known to affect survival during dormancy; however, no studies have investigated all these effects in detail in a single species. As mortality during dormancy is important to understand the success of *P. canaliculata* as
an invasive species, an extensive study incorporating these factors is needed.

Recently, Wada et al. (2004) reported that the density of *P. canaliculata* in June after one season of crop rotation with upland crops (soybean) was less than 1/10 of the density in fields after rice. Crop rotation is a practical way to reduce the snail population without using pesticides or other labor-intensive control methods; however, the mechanisms by which snail density is reduced are not fully understood (dryness, tillage, and/or extreme temperatures?). The effects of low temperatures (Ozawa and Makino, 1988; Watanabe et al., 2000; Shobu et al., 2001) or tillage (Takahashi et al., 2000, 2005) have been studied extensively. In the case of dryness, all previous laboratory studies in *P. canaliculata* were conducted under dry conditions, with no water given during experiments. It is unknown how long snails survive if water is given regularly to simulate rainfall. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of various factors on the survival of *P. canaliculata* during dormant periods.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection and preparation of snails.** *Pomacea canaliculata* were collected in mid-September 2002 from paddy fields and adjacent drainage canals in Shichijo Town, Kumamoto Prefecture, Kyushu, Japan (32°57'N, 130°45'E); the paddy fields were about to be drained for harvesting. The snails were categorized as “small” (range: 8–1 mm shell height), “medium” (15±2 mm) or “large” (30±3 mm). Only large snails exceeded the body size at sexual maturity (ca. 25 mm; Tanaka et al., 1999; Cowie, 2002). We did not use snails of other sizes.

In the laboratory, we reared the snails in four 60 l tanks for 1–7 d. A vegetable (“Komatsuna”; *Brassica campestris*) was given as food 1 d before the experiment to keep them active, but no food was given during the experiment. We marked the shells of snails with colored paint according to their size. No apparent effect of painting was observed.

**Experimental procedure.** We conducted the entire experiment in the laboratory at temperatures of 20–26°C and 14L:10D light conditions, with additional natural light through a small window. We prepared 100 Wagner’s pots (round pots with a surface area of 1/5,000 a, or 200 cm²) with a hole near the bottom for draining. A 5 cm layer of soil (from paddy fields at KONARC) was used, with 5 cm water above the soil surface. Subsequently, we placed 10 small and 10 medium snails into each of 50 pots, and 10 large snails into each of the remaining 50 pots (n=500 snails for each size class). We covered the top of each pot with mesh to prevent snails from escaping. The next day, we lowered the water level to just cover the soil surface, to allow the snails to bury themselves in the soil. Shallow water levels are known to induce burial behavior in *P. canaliculata* (Wada and Yoshida, 2000). This water level was maintained for 1 d, after which the water was allowed to drain through the hole plugged with cotton (to drain the water slowly without losing soil). Some snails were moving a few days after draining, but subsequently no snails were found moving, even during watering periods (see below).

Two weeks later, the number of snails on the soil surface was counted. Snails were defined as at the “surface” when at least half of the shell was above the soil surface in each pot. Other snails were categorized as “buried.” During the 29-month experimental period, the soil hardened and reduced in volume, and many snails which had not been on the surface became exposed; however, whether snails were on the surface or buried was categorized based on their initial conditions.

Snails in pots were treated with two water conditions: (i) The “dry” condition meant that snails were not given any water during the entire experimental period; (ii) the “moist” condition meant that ample water was given using a shower so that the soil surface was completely covered with water, two or three times a month. The soil surface before showering was not completely dry. Therefore, there were four different treatments for experimental pots (dry or moist conditions to small + medium or large snails). For each treatment, the survival of snails was observed at intervals: 0.5 (“moist” only, when the soil was not completely dry), 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 16, 22 and 29 months after the start of the experiment. For each observation, surface and buried snails were collected separately, and their survival was checked by placing them in water. Those showing no activity for 1 d had their responses checked by pressing the operculum to assess if they displayed any retracting behavior. Three pots were
used for each treatment per observation (n=30
snails for each size class), except for 29 months
(three moist and two dry pots for small and
medium individuals, and five moist pots for large
snails).

Statistical analyses. We used generalized linear
models (GLMs) with the logit link function and bi-
nomial errors (Wilson and Hardy, 2002). The sur-
vival of each snail was designated as the dependent
variable, and duration, body size, water condition
and the success of self-burial as independent vari-
ables. All tests were conducted using software R
Version 2.2.1 (R Development Core Team, 2005).
We started from the full model containing all the
main effects and interactions (with the duration
treated as polynomial up to quadric power). We
then selected best-fit models using the “step” func-
tion in R, which searches for the model with the
lowest value in Akaike’s information criterion
(AIC). In addition, we conducted the same proce-
dures for each of the three size classes separately,
including duration (up to quadric power), water
condition and self-burial as the main effects and all
interactions. In all best-fit models, residual de-
viance divided by residual df was smaller than 1,
indicating no overdispersion.

RESULTS

In the best-fit statistical model selected for the
lowest AIC value, the duration, body size and self-
burial all significantly affected the survival of Po-
macea canaliculata during dormancy (Table 1). The
main effect of the water condition was not al-
most significant (p=0.07). The water condition
significantly affected survival through second- and
third-order interactions with body size and duration
(Table 1). Other second-order interactions found to
be significant were the interaction between dura-
tion and body size and that between body size and
self-burial. These results are explored in detail.

As shown in the significant main effect of dura-
tion (Table 1), the survival of snails generally de-
creased with duration (Fig. 1). Under dry condi-
tions without watering, five large individuals (out
of 30 individuals; 17%) survived up to 11 months,
but no snails survived longer. Under moist condi-
tions with showering 2–3 times per month, one
medium-sized (out of 30 individuals; 3%) and two
large individuals (out of 50; 4%) survived the en-
tire experimental period of 29 months.

Survival was different among snails of different
sizes (Fig. 1; Table 1); however, the response with
time differed among snails of different sizes, as
shown by the significant interaction between dura-
tion and size (Table 1). In particular, the longest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>−0.97</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−8.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Duration)^2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Duration)^4</td>
<td>−0.00001</td>
<td>0.000004</td>
<td>−3.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (medium)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (small)</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-burial</td>
<td>−3.96</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>−8.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water condition</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration×Water condition</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (medium)×Self-burial</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (small)×Self-burial</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (medium)×Water condition</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (small)×Water condition</td>
<td>−3.84</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>−2.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration×Size (medium)</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>−1.89</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration×Size (small)</td>
<td>−2.30</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>−3.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration×Water condition×Size (medium)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration×Water condition×Size (small)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
survival periods under dry conditions for small, medium and large snails were 4, 7 and 11 months, respectively (Fig. 1). Survival under moist conditions was 11 months for small snails and 29 months for both medium and large snails.

The proportions of buried snails were 81% (407/500) for small snails, 95% (475/500) for medium, and 43% (214/500) for large; overall, the effect of self-burial on survival was significant (Table 1). Among each size class, large snails who buried themselves attained higher survival rates than those which failed to self-bury under both dry and moist conditions (Fig. 2; Table 2). However, the effect of self-burial was not clear for small and medium snails, indicated by the fact that this effect was not included as an explanatory variable in the best-fit models for small or medium snails (Table 2). This size-dependent effect of self-burial is expressed as the significant interaction between body size and self-burial (Table 1).

The water condition appeared to affect survival in all size classes (Fig. 1; Table 1); however, the effect was dependent on body size and duration, as shown by second- and third-order interactions among them (Table 1). In small or medium snails, the main effect of the water condition was significant in best-fit models (Table 2). In large snails, the main effect and the interaction with duration were

---

**Fig. 1.** Changes in survival rates of *Pomacea canaliculata* in relation to body size and water conditions.

**Fig. 2.** Effect of self-burial on survival of large *Pomacea canaliculata* under dry (upper panel) or moist (lower) conditions.

---

| Table 2. Summary of a generalized linear model with the minimum AIC value in each size class |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------|-----|-----|
| **A. Small snails**                          | **Parameters**   | **Estimate** | **SE** | **z** | **p** |
| Intercept                                    | 8.85            | 1.72     | 5.14 | <0.001 |
| Duration                                     | -3.07           | 0.61     | -5.01 | <0.001 |
| Water condition                              | -4.55           | 1.80     | -2.52 | <0.05  |
| Duration×Water condition                     | 2.57            | 0.62     | 4.18  | <0.001 |

| **B. Medium snails**                         | **Parameters**   | **Estimate** | **SE** | **z** | **p** |
| Intercept                                    | 3.25            | 0.43      | 7.58  | <0.001 |
| (Duration)^2                                  | -0.18           | 0.02      | -8.27 | <0.001 |
| (Duration)^3                                  | 0.012           | 0.002     | 8.02  | <0.001 |
| Water condition                              | 5.90            | 0.86      | 6.82  | <0.001 |

| **C. Large snails**                          | **Parameters**   | **Estimate** | **SE** | **z** | **p** |
| Intercept                                    | 5.35            | 0.67      | 7.98  | <0.001 |
| Duration                                     | -0.98           | 0.17      | -5.87 | <0.001 |
| (Duration)^2                                  | 0.04            | 0.01      | 2.75  | <0.01  |
| (Duration)^3                                  | -0.0007         | 0.0003    | -2.04 | <0.05  |
| Self-burial                                  | -3.92           | 0.46      | -8.50 | <0.001 |
| Water condition                              | 0.86            | 0.50      | 1.72  | 0.09   |
| Duration×Water condition                      | 0.13            | 0.07      | 1.79  | 0.07   |
almost significant (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

A small proportion of Pomacea canaliculata survived the entire experimental period of 29 months under moist conditions, which is the longest dormant period recorded in the family Ampullariidae. Cowie (2002) summarized the records of dormancy success in ampullariid snails under dry conditions, and the longest was 25 months in Pila globosa. In Pomacea snails, survival over 400 days was reported in P. lineata (Little, 1968), and most (83/126) individuals survived for 526 days in P. urceus (Burky et al., 1972). These snails would have survived much longer under moist conditions. In Pomacea canaliculata, Fan et al. (2000) reported the maximum survival periods under dry conditions to be 6 months for snails of 35–45 mm shell height, 10 months for 46–50 mm snails, and 13 months for 51–72 mm snails. Kondo and Yama-guchi (unpublished) found that snails of 30–35 mm shell height survived 25 months outdoors with changing water and temperature conditions.

In this study, no overdispersion was detected in best-fit statistical models. This suggests that the variation in the survival rate among pots was not larger than expected under binomial distribution. Thus, individuals in the same pots can be regarded as nearly independent data. Although small and medium-sized snails were put in the same pots, their performances were different. This indicates that the effects of using common pots for two different size classes were not important.

Although the main effect of the water condition did not reach a significant level, the survival of snails under dry conditions tended to be lower than that of snails under moist conditions. A similar result has been reported in the congener Pomacea paludosa (Darby et al., 2003). A lower tendency of survival under dry conditions than moist conditions suggests that water loss was the main cause of differential mortality. Although we did not monitor the weight changes of the snails, other studies reported gradual weight loss due to desiccation in dormant P. lineata (Little, 1968) and P. urceus (Burky et al., 1972).

Survival was also related to the success of self-burial, suggesting that snails who could bury themselves survived better than those who failed to bury. A possible reason is that snails in the soil were protected from desiccation and other environmental stresses; however, an alternative interpretation is possible, where snails that failed to bury were already spent and weak, and hence had difficulty in surviving. Support for this interpretation is that the relationship between self-burial and survival was detected only for large snails reaching sexual maturity (ca. 25 mm in shell height; Tanaka et al., 1999; Cowie, 2002), and not for small or medium snails. Most snails in paddy fields do not live for two reproductive seasons (Shobu, 1996; Wada, 1997; Watanabe et al., 2000) and hence large snails in this study may have experienced “post-reproductive die-off” (Darby et al., 2003) during dormancy. Both interpretations are probably relevant to these results, but their relative importance requires further study.

Substantial proportions of medium (60%) and large (17%) snails survived 22 months after the start of the experiment under moist conditions. This result illustrates the very high ability to survive during dormancy of P. canaliculata. On the other hand, survival in the field is much lower. Snail density after one season of crop rotation from rice to soybeans was <1/10 of that under normal circumstances (Wada et al., 2004). Considering that snail density is also reduced in normal fields, survival after crop rotation is very low (comparison between snail densities in spring and autumn indicates that spring density was <1/1,000 lower than autumn density; Wada et al., 2004). In Kyushu, Japan, the time without surface water is 20–21 months in fields with crop rotation. Thus, other factors, such as extreme temperatures or tillage, may be important to reduce snail density in these fields.

The longest period of survival in this study was 29 months, and a small proportion of snails might have survived even longer if we had continued the experiment. Thus, although snail survival may be lower in the field, rotation with upland crops for two consecutive seasons, which will result in a period without surface water of 32–33 months, might not fully eradicate all snails.
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