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ABSTRACT
Allergic rhinitis is the most prevalent type I allergy in industrialized countries. Pollen scattering from trees or grasses often induces seasonal allergic rhinitis, which is known as pollinosis or hay fever. The causative pollen differs across different areas and times of the year. Impaired performance due to pollinosis and medication used for treating pollinosis is considered to be an important reason for the loss of concentration and productivity in the workplace. Antigen-specific immunotherapy is an only available curative treatment against allergic rhinitis. Subcutaneous injection of allergens with or without adjuvant has been commonly used as an immunotherapy; however, recently, sublingual administration has come to be considered a safer and convenient alternative administration route of allergens. In this review, we focus on the safety and protocol of subcutaneous and sublingual immunotherapy against seasonal allergic rhinitis. We also describe an approach to selecting allergens for the vaccine so as to avoid secondary sensitization and adverse events. The biomarkers and therapeutic mechanisms for immunotherapy are not fully understood. We discuss the therapeutic biomarkers that are correlated with the improvement of clinical symptoms brought about by immunotherapy as well as the involvement of Tr1 and regulatory T cells in the therapeutic mechanisms. Finally, we focus on the current immunotherapeutic approach to treating Japanese cedar pollinosis, the most prevalent pollinosis in Japan, including sublingual immunotherapy with standardized extract, a transgenic rice-based edible vaccine, and an immunoregulatory liposome encapsulating recombinant fusion protein.
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INTRODUCTION
Allergic rhinitis is the most prevalent type I allergy, and pollen grains are one of the most common causes of respiratory allergies. In western Europe, the prevalence of clinically confirmable allergic rhinitis was estimated to be 23%, with more than 50% of the allergic subjects possessing specific IgE against grass pollen.1 In Japan, the prevalence of allergic rhinitis was estimated to be 39.4% and that of pollinosis was 29.8%.2

Pollinosis is induced by the invasion of pollen grains onto the ocular and nasal mucosa. Pollen grains easily access internal binding sites on contact with the aqueous phases of nasal and ocular mucosal membranes. After pollens are hydrated on aqueous membranes, they swell, rupture, and release their cytoplasmic components. It has been reported that grass pollen grains rupture in water and release large amounts of respirable particles, such as starch granules containing allergens.3 Although pollinosis patients have a low rate of asthma attacks during pollen season, the attacks that do occur may be attributable to these respirable particles bearing allergens from pollen grains.4 Pollen grains release not only allergen-bearing particles but also immunomodulatory mediators such as pollen-associated lipid mediators (PALMs) and NADPH oxidases. Proinflammatory PALMs such as leukotriene B4-like substances attract and activate human peripheral blood eosino-
phils and polymorphonuclear granulocytes from both allergic and non-allergic donors.\(^5,6\) Immunomodulatory PALMs, such as phytoprostanes, inhibit IL12 production in dendritic cells and Th1-type cytokine production in antigen-specific T cells, while inducing antigen-specific Th2 responses.\(^7\) NADPH oxidase rapidly increases the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in lung epithelium and induces neutrophil recruitment to the airway independent of the adaptive immune responses.\(^8,9\) These reports strongly suggest that pollen grains themselves act primarily as adjuvants to induce pollen-antigen-specific Th2 responses and to enhance inflammatory processes during the elicitation phase of allergic responses.

The most common treatments against pollinosis are medications like antihistamines, leukotriene inhibitors, and corticosteroids. However, these treatments are not curative and sometimes induce impaired performance as a results of their side effects.\(^10,11\) Antigen-specific immunotherapy can change the natural course of allergic rhinitis and is recognized as a curative treatment against type I allergy without impaired performance. In this century, since the first report on subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT), SCIT has been developed and improved and has become safer and more effective.\(^12,13\) Recently, sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) has been developed and has become a safer and more beneficial immunotherapy for patients.

This review focuses on the recent approach of using antigen-specific immunotherapy to treat allergic rhinitis, and focuses especially on the use of SLIT against pollinosis using standardized extract or recombinant allergens. We also discuss the therapeutic mechanisms and therapeutic biomarkers for SLIT. Finally, we discuss the recent immunotherapeutic approach to treat Japanese cedar (Cryptomeria japonica) pollinosis, which is the most common pollinosis in Japan.

**ANTIGENS FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY**

For immunotherapy, extracts from an allergen source, i.e. pollen extract, are widely used after the concentration of their major allergen is adjusted so as to be standardized. To standardize such extracts, it is important to analyze their component allergens and establish a quantification system for major allergens.\(^14\) The World Allergy Organization (WAO) recommends that standardized vaccines be used for immunotherapy if they are available.\(^15\) However, the protocols and methods for the standardization of allergen extract are different among different suppliers, which use their own in-house reference materials and their own unique allergen units. This made it difficult to compare the therapeutic effects and safety among clinical trials involving different products. It has been proposed that vaccines be standardized using a protocol based on mass units of major allergens and that the active ingredients of the treatment be quantified. The CREATE project has been working to select major allergens for use in the standardization of vaccines and to establish a quantification system and recombinant allergens for the standardization.\(^16\)

To improve the safety and clinical therapeutic effects of a vaccine, the selection of allergens for vaccination is an important issue. Extract from pollen may contain many allergens that cross-react with those from fruit, vegetables, and latex. These allergens may cause minor local side effects, especially in SLIT, among patients who suffer from oral allergies and/or latex-fruit syndrome. Latex-fruit syndrome sometimes induces severe systematic reactions such as anaphylactic shock in response to natural rubber and some latex fruits.\(^17\) The cross-reactive allergens may have to be removed from vaccines in order to avoid severe systematic adverse reactions caused by cross-reactivity with latex allergens for safer SLIT. For the elucidation of reactive allergens, protein microarray techniques have recently been applied to allergy diagnosis. Microarray-chip technology using a glass slide with the immobilization of large numbers of proteins on the surface enable us to simultaneously test IgE-binding reactivity against large numbers of allergens from various sources.\(^18,19\) This diagnostic technique is applicable to the diagnosis of allergens from a single allergen source. This component-resolved diagnosis is a powerful tool for selecting components of allergens for immunotherapy vaccines and may improve the safety and clinical therapeutic efficacy of the vaccines in comparison to traditional immunotherapy using crude extract.\(^20\) Such an allergen diagnosis enables us to choose only IgE-binding allergens that are individually sensitized for antigen-specific immunotherapy. This approach, in which only sensitized allergens are used for immunotherapy, avoids secondary additional sensitization against nonreactive proteins that can occur with the use of crude extracts or a mixture of allergens (Fig. 1).

Recombinant technology has been used to construct vaccines for immunotherapy.\(^21\) Immunotherapy clinical trials were performed using a mixture of five recombinant grass allergens (rPh1 p 1, rPh1 p 2, rPh1 p 5a, rPh1 p 5b, and rPh1 p 6), and the results suggested that a recombinant allergen vaccine can be an effective and safe treatment to ameliorate the symptoms of allergic rhinitis.\(^22\) Immunotherapy using recombinant Bet v 1 was also recently reported to show clinical efficacy, and its therapeutic effects were comparable with those obtained using native Bet v 1 against birch pollen allergy.\(^23\)

Vaccines using allergoids and modified allergens, such as T cell-epitopes, pathogen-related molecular pattern molecule-conjugated allergens, and others, are under development, and some of them are considered to be promising for use as therapeutic vaccines.\(^13,24\)
Fig. 1 Schematic procedure of the steps involved in the identification and development of an individualized vaccine using only sensitized antigens for immunotherapy. To identify component allergens which have the capacity to react with serum IgE from allergic patients, it is important to establish individualized vaccines to avoid secondary sensitization. Allergens with which an individual patient reacted can be elucidated by a component-based diagnosis, and an individualized vaccine can be established using a mixture of the purified native or the standardized recombinant allergens to which the patient is sensitized.

ROUTE OF VACCINE ADMINISTRATION FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY AND ITS SAFETY

Immunotherapy vaccines against allergies were originally injected subcutaneously without an adjuvant. However, subcutaneous injection of allergens often induces severe adverse reactions like local allergic reactions, urticaria, asthma, and frequent anaphylaxis. To increase the safety and therapeutic efficacy of immunotherapy vaccines, aqueous allergen extracts absorbed into adjuvants such as aluminum hydroxide have been used in SCIT. Pretreatment with antihistamine or anti-IgE antibody has been used to prevent the adverse events that can be induced after subcutaneous vaccine injection, and the pretreatments also enhance the therapeutic efficacy of SCIT.

In this decade, SLIT has been developed as a safer method for immunotherapy and has been used with increasing frequency, especially in Europe and the US. SLIT is noted to be a very safe method without fetal adverse reactions. In most cases, adverse reactions to SLIT have been mild local reactions such as oral pruritus, edema of the mouth, throat irritation, and sneezing. However, a few cases of anaphylaxis have been reported after SLIT using a crude or standardized vaccine. These reports suggest that SLIT is not always safe for patients, especially those with severe asthma or who have experienced severe adverse reactions to SCIT. It has been recommended that the first dose of the vaccine is to be administered in a doctor’s office under observation.

The administration regimens for SLIT, including dosing, the build-up phase, duration of the treatment, and frequency of the maintenance dose, differ greatly among the clinical trials. The sublingual and supralingual administration methods of oral drops were evaluated by a double-blind, placebo-controlled study using mixed standardized extract in patients allergic to grass pollen. In this report, sublingual administration significantly reduced the nasal, ocular, and bronchial symptoms, as well as the intake of symptom-reducing drugs compared to the placebo. Supralin-
gual treatment also attenuated the symptoms and symptom-reducing drugs intake; however, only the nasal symptom score showed a significant reduction compared to the placebo-control group. Thus, holding the vaccine under the tongue may be an important way to achieve better therapeutic effects with SLIT.

Vaccines for SLIT can also be delivered by two methods: sublingual spitting, in which the vaccine is spat out after being held under the tongue, and sublingual swallowing, in which the vaccine is swallowed after being kept under the tongue. In studies using radiolabeled allergens, most of the allergens remained in the mouth after the vaccine was spat out. However, plasma radioactivity began to increase only after swallowing. The author concluded that contact between the allergens and the oral mucosa is a crucial step in the mechanisms of SLIT, and suggested that the more appropriate and advantageous way to administer the allergen sublingually is via the sublingual swallowing procedure.

It has been recommended that the administration of SLIT vaccine be started at least 8 weeks before pollen season for better therapeutic effects. However, an ultra-rush scheme of SLIT treatment for children allergic to grass pollen was reported to significantly improve the symptoms and the medication score compared to the placebo group. In this 2-year randomized, double-blind, placebo-control trial, the authors administered standardized extract of five grass pollen (Dactylis glomerata, Anthoxanthum odoratum, Lolium perenne, Poa pratensis, and Phleum pretense) beginning 2 weeks before the pollen season started with one day for ultra-rush induction, and followed by daily treatment (120 IR, 10 μg major allergen) for 6 months. It has been reported that SLIT significantly improved the asthma symptom score and reduced the nasal symptom score and the use of rescue medication score compared to the placebo group. The starting point and duration of treatment varied among the clinical trials, and the best procedure for administration remains unclear.

As a novel route to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of the vaccine, direct intralymphatic injection was proposed for the administration of peptide vaccine against viral infection and tumor in the mouse. This paper reported that the direct administration of peptide vaccine into a lymph node induced enhanced immunogenicity compared to subcutaneous and intradermal vaccination. This novel technique was recently applied to patients with hay fever in an open-label, randomized control trial. The authors injected 1,000 SQ-U of aluminum hydroxide-adsorbed grass pollen extract into a superficial inguinal lymph node under ultrasonic guidance. Three intralymphatic injections over 2 months resulted in long-lasting tolerance with the amelioration of hay fever symptoms, reduced skin prick test reactivity, and decreased serum allergen-specific IgE comparable with conventional SCIT. Furthermore, the author reported that there were fewer adverse events than in SCIT, even without premedication with antihistamines, and the injection was less painful than venous puncture. Further clinical trials with a larger population are needed to evaluate the safety, therapeutic efficacy, and duration of tolerance of this treatment.

**BIOMARKERS FOR SLIT**

The therapeutic effects obtained by antigen-specific immunotherapy are commonly judged on the basis of clinical symptoms according to quality-of-life (QOL) score, symptom diary, and symptom-reducing drugs intake. The biomarkers correlated with the therapeutic effects are still controversial, especially for SLIT.

Antigen-specific IgG4 is considered to be a biomarker for antigen-specific immunotherapy; however, the correlation between the induction of IgG4 production and clinical symptoms is controversial. In a report about the use of SLIT against timothy pollosis, antigen-specific IgG4 was significantly up-regulated in the SLIT group compared to the placebo group, and the authors concluded that the up-regulation of IgG4 was correlated with the improvement of symptoms compared with the previous year. However, the clinical score and medication score were not significantly different between the SLIT group and the placebo group. A recent study of dairy administration of grass allergen tablets showed dose-dependent efficacy of the SLIT and the induction of blocking IgG. This report showed that the administration of 75,000 SQ-T (15 μg Phl p 5) dose significantly reduced the symptom and medication

---

**Table 1  Comparison between SLIT and SCIT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>SLIT</th>
<th>SCIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Medication or anti-IgE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build-up phase</td>
<td>A few weeks, one day for rush protocol, or no up-dosing phase</td>
<td>A few weeks or a few days for rush protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination</td>
<td>Once daily or a few times weekly</td>
<td>A few times weekly or monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event</td>
<td>Local mild reaction in most cases, a few reports of fetal adverse reactions</td>
<td>Sometimes induces fetal adverse reactions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
scores, and up-regulated specific IgG; however, a 2,500 SQ-T (0.5 μg Phl p 5) dose did not result in amelioration of the symptom and medication scores nor in the induction of IgG. We previously reported that specific IgG4 was significantly increased in pollen season concomitant with improvement of the symptom medication score in the SLIT group compared to the placebo group. The disagreement in results related to the induction of blocking IgG or IgG4 and the improvement of clinical symptoms may depend on the dose and/or the method of administration of the SLIT vaccine.

Other serological parameters have been recently reported to be useful as therapeutic biomarkers for SLIT. A 3-month course of pre-seasonal treatment of patients with grass pollen allergic rhinitis induced a reduction of the serum level of soluble human leukocyte antigen (sHLA)-G. The authors reported a significant relationship among the decrease of the sHLA-G serum level, the increase of interferon (IFN)-γ-producing cells, and the decrease of sHLA-A, -B, and -C after SLIT. Furthermore, the changes of serum sHLA levels were significantly correlated with the clinical symptom score measured using a visual analogue scale (VAS) after SLIT. In this preliminary open-labeled study, the authors suggested that sHLA molecules might be considered as possible biomarkers of the response to SLIT.

Recently, two reports investigated the change of serum leptin levels after SLIT. Leptin is primarily produced by adipocytes and has been reported to protect T lymphocytes from apoptosis, regulate T cell activation, and up-regulate adhesion molecules in endothelial cells. Furthermore, leptin was reported to modulate the hyporesponsiveness and proliferation of human naturally occurring Foxp3+CD25+CD4+ regulatory T (nTreg) cells. After a 3-month course of SLIT against pollinosis, serum leptin levels were reported to significantly correlate with symptom severity as assessed by VAS of nasal symptoms in women, the number of peripheral eosinophils in men, the allergen threshold dose for allergen-specific nasal challenge in both men and women, and the medication score in women. This 3-month course of SLIT showed a tendency to increase serum leptin levels compared to the levels before the SLIT, albeit the increase was not significant. After a 2-year course of SLIT, the serum leptin level was significantly increased in men. The relationship between the up-regulation of leptin by SLIT and clinical symptoms remains unclear; however, the difference of the clinical therapeutic efficacy may depend on gender and the presence or absence of obesity.

The reduction of antigen-specific Th2 responses is considered to be an important biomarker for antigen-specific immunotherapy. The increase in the size of the specific Th2 clone, which produces IL4 after being stimulated with Cry j 1 (a major allergen of the Japanese cedar pollen), after pollen season was reported to be significantly reduced in the SLIT group compared with the placebo group in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study of Japanese cedar pollinosis. The increase of specific IL5-producing cells after pollen season was also reduced in the SLIT group, but the reduction was not statistically significant. It has also been reported that after a 2-year course of SCIT against Japanese cedar pollinosis, B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA) expression on CD4+ T cells was down-regulated in untreated patients after Cry j 1 stimulation and up-regulated in SCIT-treated patients. Furthermore, the change of BTLA expression was negatively correlated with IL5 production. The authors concluded that BTLA-mediated coinhibition of IL5 production may contribute to the regulation of allergen-specific T cell responses by antigen-specific immunotherapy.

The therapeutic biomarkers of SLIT in children also remain unclear. In a study of the administration of the SLIT treatment to children with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis to grass pollen, the authors reported that a 2-year course of SLIT using a standardized 5-grass mixture (1.5 μg/week) did not alter the systemic immunologic reaction of IL4, IL5, and IFN-γ cytokine production, nor the proliferation of PBMC after stimulation with allergens in the SLIT group compared to the placebo group, although a positive effect on rescue medication use was achieved by SLIT treatment. However, another study reported the up-regulation of mRNA expression in PBMC during SLIT in children using SQ-standardized tree pollen extracts. The authors reported that after the stimulation of PBMC with allergen in vitro, the mRNA expression of signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM) was significantly increased from baseline after 1 year in the SLIT group receiving a high-dose (weekly dose of 200,000 SQ-U) treatment. This up-regulation was reported to be correlated with IL10 and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) mRNA expression. The IL18 mRNA expression was also increased in the high-dose group over a 1-year treatment compared to the placebo group and was reported to be inversely correlated with the late-phase skin reaction after the second study year. The authors reported that this up-regulation of SLAM and IL18 mRNA expression suggested the down-regulation of Th2-type inflammatory responses by increased Th1-type responses. Another study of SLIT in children using SQ-standardized tree pollen extract (weekly dose of 200,000 SQ-T, 30 μg major allergen containing Bet v 1, Aln g 1, and Cor a 1) reported that specific allergen-induced Foxp3 mRNA expression after a 2-year course of SLIT treatment was significantly increased in PBMCs compared to the placebo group and compared to the level before treatment. Changes in allergen-induced Foxp3 expression that significantly correlated with IL10 mRNA expression...
were reported in the whole study group, including the low-dose (weekly dose of 24,000 SQ-T) group and the placebo group, after 1- and 2-year courses of treatment, and correlated with TGF-β1 mRNA after 1 year of treatment. Furthermore, IL17A mRNA expression was significantly correlated with symptom-medication score (SMS) in the whole study group and especially in the high-dose treated group. The authors concluded that IL17 expression may be associated with a poor therapeutic outcome of SLIT.57

MECHANISMS OF ANTIGEN-SPECIFIC IMMUNOTHERAPY

Numerous data showing that antigen-specific Th2-type responses are down-regulated and, in contrast, Th1-type and/or regulatory T cell (Treg) responses are up-regulated by immunotherapy have been accumulated. The imbalance of the population among the antigen-specific Th1, dominant Th2, and Treg is considered to induce sensitization and subsequent allergic inflammation in response to invading allergens, and immunotherapy may correct the imbalance of these cells. Actually, the high frequency of IL4-secreting Th2 cells was reported in allergic individuals, as was, in contrast, the dominance of IL10-secreting Tr1 cells in healthy subjects.58 These authors suggested that the balance between allergen-specific Tr1 cells and Th2 cells causes the development of the allergy.

IL10-producing regulatory cells are considered to play a crucial role in clinical therapeutic mechanisms in immunotherapy. In a study of SCIT using house dust mite (HDM) extract in patients allergic to HDM, SCIT induced the suppression of PBMC proliferation and the suppression of IFN-γ, IL5, and IL13 production in PBMC stimulated with Der p 1 (a major allergen of HDM) at 70 days after treatment compared to the levels before treatment. In contrast to the suppression of Th1 and Th2 cytokines, the production of both IL10 and TGF-β was significantly increased. The report also showed that the suppression of proliferation was dependent on IL10 and TGF-β and that the source of IL10 is CD25+CD4+ T cells.59 It has also been reported that IL10 production was induced by SLIT against HDM. The authors also reported the suppression of the proliferation of PBMC stimulated with extract of mite (Dermatophagoides farinae) and the increase of IL10 production compared to untreated subjects.60 The IL10 production after 3 years of SLIT treatment was significantly correlated with the improvement of clinical symptoms as assessed by forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% (FEF25-75).61

In a report about the use of SLIT to treat birch polinosis, the authors investigated the antigen-specific proliferation and mRNA levels of cytokines and Foxp3. They reported that 4 weeks of SLIT induced a reduction in Bet v 1-specific proliferation and induced mRNA expression of IL10 and Foxp3 in CD3+ cells compared to the levels before SLIT. These up-regulations of IL10 and Foxp3 mRNA expression were not seen after 52 weeks after SLIT; however, IFN-γ mRNA expression was significantly induced at 52 weeks after SLIT. The reduced Bet v 1-specific proliferation was significant after both 4 and 52 weeks, and this down-regulation was dependent on IL10 at 4 weeks. It has also been reported that neither TGF-β levels nor cell-cell contact-mediated suppression of CD25+CD4+ cells were changed during the course of SLIT.62 Another report shows the significant reduction of IL5 mRNA expression and increased IL10 expression compared to the placebo group after 1 and 2 years of SLIT at a weekly dose of 200,000 SQ-U (30 μg major allergen) in children with tree pollinosis. It has been reported that TGF-β expression remained low after 1 and 2 years of SLIT; however, TGF-β expression was inversely correlated with IL5 and positively correlated with IL10 expression after 1 year of SLIT.63

In addition to IL10-secreting Tr1 cells, Foxp3+ Treg cells are also considered to play a crucial role in the therapeutic effects achieved by immunotherapy (Fig. 2). It has been reported that 2 years of SCIT against hay fever significantly induced an increase in the number of Foxp3+CD25+ and Foxp3+CD4+ cells in the nasal mucosa compared to the number before SCIT and the number in untreated patients out of season. Twenty per cent of CD3+CD25+ cells were reported to also be Foxp3-positive, and 18% of CD3+IL10-expressing cells were Foxp3-positive in the nasal mucosa after immunotherapy. This report suggested that the increase of Foxp3+CD25+CD4+ cells in the nasal mucosa was associated with the clinical efficacy and suppression of seasonal allergic inflammation. This report also suggested the involvement of different types of regulatory T cells, namely IL10-secreting Tr1 cells and adaptive or induced Foxp3-positive Treg, in the therapeutic mechanisms of immunotherapy.64 The involvement of Treg cells in immunotherapy was also reported in SCIT against hymenoptera venom allergy. In this report, the authors showed that the numbers of peripheral Treg cells defined as Foxp3+CD25brightCD4+ T cells were significantly increased by venom immunotherapy, and the increase of circulating Treg cells was significantly correlated with the venom specific IgG4/IgE ratio.65

Antigen-specific Tr1 and Treg cells are considered to be involved not only in the suppression of Th2 cells but also, directly or indirectly, in the suppression of peripheral allergic inflammation24 (Fig. 3). It has been reported that CD25+CD4+ Treg cells, more than 90% of which are Foxp3+, directly inhibited the FceR1-dependent mast cell degranulation after crosslinking of IgE, and this inhibition was dependent on cell-cell contact involving OX40-OX40L interactions between Treg and mast cells in the mouse.66 Furthermore, al-
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**Fig. 2** T cells in antigen-specific immunotherapy. Antigen-specific immunotherapy induces regulatory T cells and Th1 cells via antigen-presentation by mucosal dendritic cells (DC). Th17 cells may be induced in a non-responder population by immunotherapy. The induced Th1 cells and/or regulatory T cells down-regulate the activation of Th2 cells and subsequently the activation of inflammatory cells such as eosinophils and mast cells. The regulatory T cells also activate B cells to produce blocking IgG or IgG4, and the blocking antibody inhibits binding between allergen and surface IgE on inflammatory cells to prevent the secretion of inflammatory chemical mediators.

Allergic human eosinophils in peripheral blood and chronically inflamed nasal tissues were reported to express CD40, and the cross-linking of CD40 and CD40L enhanced the survival of eosinophils and induced the release of granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF). In this report, IL10 down-regulated the constitutive expression of CD40 mRNA expression in eosinophils.\(^67\) The induction of IL10-producing Tr1 or Treg cells in the nasal mucosa may play an important role in the reduction of nasal symptoms via cross-talk down-regulation of mast cells and eosinophils.

In a report on the rush protocol of SCIT against Japanese cedar pollinosis using standardized pollen extract, the percentage of CD203\(^{\text{c high}}\) cells in CD3\(^{-}\)CRTH2\(^{+}\) basophils after allergen stimulation was reported to be down-regulated after rush immunotherapy without a decrease of the serum specific IgE titer. Furthermore, the percentage of CD203\(^{\text{c high}}\) on basophils after in vitro stimulation was reported to be significantly correlated with symptom score.\(^68\) The mechanisms which attenuate the sensitivity of peripheral basophils without a change in serum specific IgE remain unclear; however, this attenuation may be partially due to the up-regulation of inhibitory blocking antibody on the surface of basophils.

**ANTIGEN-SPECIFIC IMMUNOTHERAPY AGAINST JAPANESE CEDAR POLLINOSIS**

In Japan, Japanese cedar pollinosis is one of the most prevalent types of seasonal allergic rhinitis, with a prevalence estimated to be 26.5%.\(^2\) Two clinical trials described the therapeutic effects of SLIT against Japanese cedar pollinosis.\(^{47,69}\) In both trials, standardized Japanese cedar pollen extract was used at a monthly cumulative dose of 8,000 JAU, which contains approximately 10 µg of Cry j 1. This dosage is less than that reported in Europe, where a dose of 75,000 SQ-T (15 µg of a major grass allergen Phl p 5) was administered once daily for 18 weeks.\(^{46}\) Unless the monthly cumulative dose is approximately 1/40\(^{\text{th}}\) of the amount required to be considered a major allergen (10/450 µg as a major allergen) in Japan, SLIT with an active treatment group against Japanese cedar pollinosis is still effective for improving quality of life and significantly ameliorates patients’ SMS and symptom score during the pollen season. The up-regulation of the IL4-producing clone size specific to epitopes from Cry j 1 and Cry j 2\(^{70}\) was reported to be significantly attenuated, and Cry j 1-specific IgG4 production was also significantly induced by active SLIT.\(^{47}\) Furthermore, IL10-producing Tr1 cells were
Proposed roles of regulatory T cells on inflammatory cells in allergen-specific immunotherapy. Regulatory T cells, namely IL10-secreting Tr1 cells or adaptive/induced Treg cells, down-regulate inflammatory cells, directly or indirectly. Regulatory T cells down-regulate the activation of Th2 cells and subsequently Th2-type cytokine secretion. Regulatory T cells suppress the activation of inflammatory cells directly via their surface molecules and by secreting cytokines, and indirectly via the down-regulation of cytokine production in Th2 cells and by the activation of B cells to produce blocking IgG.

reported to be significantly increased in patients treated with SLIT compared with the levels in untreated patients and healthy subjects, and the proliferation of CD4+ leukocytes stimulated with Cry j 1 and Cry j 2 was significantly suppressed by SLIT treatment in an IL10-dependent manner. Supplementing with recombinant or native Cry j-allergens and/or updosing of the extract by bio-engineering may lead to more effective SLIT for treating pollinosis.

Another approach to safer immunotherapy is the use of oral immunotherapy using transgenic rice seed accumulating Cry j 1. The generated transgenic rice plants expressed recombinant, structurally disrupted Cry j 1 peptides but spanned the entire Cry j 1 region as fusion proteins with the major rice storage protein glutenin. These fusion proteins aggregated with cysteine-rich prolamin and were deposited in endoplasmic reticulum-derived protein body I in rice seed. Transgenic rice expressing T cell epitopes from Cry j 1 and Cry j 2 successfully suppressed antigen-specific Th2-mediated IgE responses in a mouse model of allergic rhinitis. Further clinical trials are needed to develop a rice-based edible vaccine as a tool for oral immunotherapy to control allergies.

An immunoregulatory liposome encapsulating the recombinant fusion protein of Cry j 1-Cry j 2 was manufactured as a novel vaccine for Japanese cedar pollinosis without risk of anaphylaxis. The hybrid fusion allergen is expected to provide safer and more effective vaccines for immunotherapy. Vaccines using only T cell epitopes are also safer than native allergens, but there is wide variation among individual T cell epitopes. The fusion protein of major allergens covers all sequential T cell epitopes but is expected to have less IgE-binding capacity because its three-dimensional structure is disrupted in some B cell epitopes. Recombinant hybrid molecules using major allergens of timothy grass pollen induced stronger proliferation of PBMC in timothy-allergic patients than did mixtures of corresponding allergens, but still possess IgE-binding capacity and induce IgG production in sensitized mice. In a mouse model sensitized with native Cry j 1 and Cry j 2, the vaccine that con-
tained Cry j 1-Cry j 2 fusion protein in the immunoregulatory liposome showed suppression of IgE and IgG antibody responses after being challenged with the allergens. Furthermore, oral administration of the vaccine showed efficient suppression of IgE antibody production.74

CONCLUSIONS

The standardization of a vaccine enables us to compare the results from varied clinical trials with respect to dose, clinical effects, and changes in biological parameters. Many reports have shown positive clinical therapeutic effects and suppressed effector/inflammatory responses. It is considered that IL10-producing Tr1 and/or adaptive or induced Treg cells may be involved in the suppression of the antigen-specific Th2-responses and local inflammation. However, how immunotherapy induces suppressor cells like Tr1 and Treg cells remains unclear, although the involvement of mucosal dendritic cells has been proposed. High-quality clinical studies are indispensable to clarify the therapeutic biomarkers and the mechanisms of induction of suppressor cells, and the resultant data from the studies may enable us to develop safer and more effective immunotherapy through the modification of the allergens, optimum dose, or administration regimen of a vaccine.
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