Miscibility Behavior of Ethylene/Vinyl Acetate and C5 Petroleum Resin by FTIR Imaging
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FTIR microscopic imaging was used to investigate the miscibility behavior of ethylene/vinyl acetate copolymer (EVA) and C5 petroleum resin. Images with an area of $500 \times 500 \mu m^2$ were collected in the reflection mode. The miscibility was characterized by probing the spatial distribution of the carbonyl group (C=O) of EVA in the whole images. It was found that a 1:1 hot-melt mixture of EVA and C5 resin showed a good miscibility behavior. For two different EVA copolymers, one with 18% vinyl acetate (VAc) content showed a better miscibility behavior than that with 28% VAc content. Our results demonstrated that this method allowed a direct, convenient and nondestructive visualization. This developed technique promises to become a powerful tool for studying the miscibility behavior of composite materials.
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Introduction

Blending is an important approach to develop new polymer materials. The miscibility of the blends has a great influence on their performances, such as the phase structure, physical properties and some other bulk properties in practical use. Miscibility is essentially the ability to form a homogeneous system of two or more polymers. It is generally characterized by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), scanning or transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and viscometry.

FTIR microscopic imaging is a powerful tool developed during the last decade. Attempts to improve the technique have been made elsewhere. The image is obtained based on the distributions of chemical groups in a micro-region. It has been used to investigate biological and medical systems, artworks and polymer materials. In the field of polymer science, this technique was used to characterize copolymers, one with 18% vinyl acetate (VAc) content showed a better miscibility behavior than that with 28% VAc content. Our results demonstrated that this method allowed a direct, convenient and nondestructive visualization. This developed technique promises to become a powerful tool for studying the miscibility behavior of composite materials.

Experimental

EVA resins with two vinyl acetate (VAc) contents (EVA1 with 18% and EVA2 with 28%) were provided from the China market. C5 petroleum resin was kindly donated by Lanzhou Petrochemical Research Institute, PetroChina Co. Ltd. (Lanzhou, China). At the beginning, 30 parts by weight of liquid paraffin (chemical grade) were mixed with various parts (50, 75, 100, 150 and 200) of C5 resin. The mixtures were then heated to 175°C under agitation until they became yellowish and transparent. Then, 100 parts of EVA resin were added into a hot solution and the temperature was kept at 175°C until it was absolutely dissolved. By maintaining agitation for about 30 min, an apparently homogeneous and viscous solution was finally formed. It was cooled down to room temperature, and the mixture became a hard, ivory-white solid.

A freezing microtome (YD-1508B, Jinhua YIDI Medical Facilities Manufactory, Zhejiang, China) was used to prepare a sample slice. A piece of HMA solid (about 1.0 $\times$ 1.0 cm$^2$) was fixed on the cryo-stage using deionized water by freezing to –25°C. It was then sectioned to 25 $\mu m$ thickness with a stainless-steel knife, which was also frozen to less than –5°C.

The FTIR spectra were collected using a Nexus 8700 FTIR spectrometer with a room-temperature deuterated triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector (Thermo Electron Corp., WI, USA). FTIR images were acquired using the same spectrometer equipped with a Continuum XL Microscope with a 32-element (16 $\times$ 2) mercury cadmium tellium (MCT) array detector and a MCT-A single detector (Thermo Electron Corp.). The prepared sample film was carefully spread on a gold-coated glass, and then the glass was placed on a microscopic stage. An IR beam was focused on the film by using a 15x objective with NA 0.58. The imaging procedure was carried out in the reflection mode with a 8-cm$^{-1}$ spectral resolution and 8 scans for each fixed-size step. The image area was $500 \times 500 \mu m^2$ and the total acquisition time was less than 3 min.

Results and Discussion

The IR spectra of the three components are shown in Fig. 1. The spectrum of C5 petroleum resin (Fig. 1b) was similar to that of liquid paraffin (Fig. 1a). The characteristic peaks for both
were that at 2924 and 2855 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu_s$(CH$_2$) and $\nu_{as}$(CH$_2$)), 1460 and 1377 cm$^{-1}$ ($\delta_s$(CH$_2$) and $\delta_{as}$(CH$_2$)). For EVA resin (Figs. 1c and 1d), besides these 4 peaks, those at 1738, 1240 and 1020 cm$^{-1}$ were caused by a stretching vibration of the carbonyl group (C=O) and the ester group (C–O), respectively. Although some slight changes (0–4 cm$^{-1}$) in the peak position were observed, they could not in fact be discriminated for different materials, because they were beyond the experimental spectral resolution. We noted that for EVA2 the intensity of the peak at 1377 cm$^{-1}$ was higher than that at 1460 cm$^{-1}$. This is a consequence of the higher content of VAc units in EVA2, which contribute more methyl groups (CH$_3$) to the copolymer. The spectra of the two HMAs were similar (Fig. 2), and it was difficult to distinguish them using the traditional FTIR.

To characterize the miscibility, FTIR microscopic imaging was used. Liquid paraffin was expected to disperse in all of the domains due to its liquid state and the low molecular weight, and was thus not considered. Because the characteristic peaks of C5 (2924, 2855, 1460 and 1377 cm$^{-1}$) are superimposed by those of EVA, it is difficult to explore the miscibility based on them. In this paper, the miscibility of EVA and C5 was revealed by studying the variation of the peak absorbance at 1738 cm$^{-1}$ in different regions, which represented the spatial distribution of EVA. The more homogeneous was the distribution, the better was the miscibility. Since the composite material is a two-component system (not considering liquid paraffin), the distribution of C5 was expected to be complementary to EVA, although it could not be visualized in the FTIR image.

Figure 3 shows a series of FTIR images of EVA-based HMAs at different proportions of EVA to C5. Figures 3a to 3e resulted from EVA1 and C5 resin, while Figs. 3f to 3j are from EVA2 and C5 resin. All of the images were based on the peak absorbance at 1738 cm$^{-1}$, and were corrected by subtracting the baseline between 1800 and 1677 cm$^{-1}$. The color scale bars for EVA1-based (a to e) and EVA2-based (f to j) are given on the left and right side, respectively. The size of each image was $500 \times 500 \mu$m$^2$. It showed that EVA existed in most of the areas of the sample.
films. This suggested a good performance of these composite materials. Nevertheless, it was noticed that some areas had a dominant presence of EVA (red area in the image), which meant that a partial immiscible behavior was still present. It is indicated from Figs. 3a to 3j that the red area in EVA2-based HMA was always larger than that in EVA1-based HMA at the corresponding proportion. This was true because of a higher VAc content in EVA2.

It is also revealed that from Figs. 3a to 3e HMA of a 1:1 mixture of EVA1 to C5 (Fig. 3c) exhibited the best miscibility behavior. The other HMAs with various ratios of EVA1 to C5 would produce some domains of high or low content of the carbonyl group. Similarly, the result that HMA of 1:1 mixture of EVA2 to C5 (Fig. 3h) showed the best miscibility was also achieved from Figs. 3f to 3j. Changes in the color scale did not affect the estimation. These results suggested that a good miscibility behavior can be obtained by mixing EVA and C5 resin at a proportion of one to one.

Consequently, we tried to compare Figs. 3c with 3h. We noticed that for Fig. 3c the dominant region (green area) was in the color range from 17.0 to 35.0, while for Fig. 3h it varied from 30.0 to 55.0. To enhance the image contrast, the color scale of the two figures was limited in the above ranges, respectively. In Fig. 4a the blue area (less than 23) and the red area (larger than 30) were randomly dispersed in the green area (23–28). Further, the area ratio of red to green was approximately 1:1, which implied a homogeneous distribution of EVA1 in the HMA. On the other hand, in Fig. 4b there existed two distinct blue strips with a width of 50–100 μm, which implied a relatively low content of EVA2 in the two strips of the HMA. The results showed that HMA of the 1:1 mixture of EVA1 to C5 resin showed a better miscibility behavior than that of EVA2 to C5.

Conclusions

In the present communication we developed a visualizing method to study the miscibility behavior of EVA and C5 petroleum resin by using FTIR imaging. The images were constructed in terms of the spatial distribution of the carbonyl peak in a given region. The color in the images reflected the EVA content in the corresponding position. The miscibility behavior was estimated based on the homogeneity of the color distribution. Our results showed that a good miscibility behavior was obtained when EVA was mixed with C5 resin at a proportion of one to one. We also proved that a better miscibility behavior was acquired for EVA with 18% VAc content than that with 28% VAc content. Although it was applied for studying the miscibility behavior of EVA-based HMAs in this paper, we definitely point out that this attractive technique can be used for any kind of composite materials, provided that one or more components have characteristic absorption bands in the IR region.
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