Human age estimation from lower-canine pulp volume ratio based on Bayes’ theorem with modern Japanese population as prior distribution
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Abstract Pulp volume decreases throughout life owing to secondary dentin deposition. Here, we present a Bayesian approach for human age estimation on the basis of the pulp volume ratio from lower-canine teeth. We measured the pulp and tooth volumes of 363 subjects (209 males, 154 females) of known age based on three-dimensional imageries from microcomputed tomography scans. Pulp volume ratio was defined as pulp/tooth volume within the root portion (PVRrt) and its reduction with age was modeled by simple statistical assumptions to produce a likelihood function of PVRrt by fitting the model to the observed data. Following Bayes’ theorem, we obtained the probability density function (PDF) of estimated age for a given PVRrt, for males, females, and gender unknowns; we used the modern Japanese population as of 2012 as prior age distribution. The PDF of estimated age provided the mean and 50%, 70%, and 90% prediction intervals, based on which we compared the present age-estimation method with that of Brooks and Suchey (Human Evolution, 5: 227–238), which is based on pubic symphysis metamorphosis. We could not find any advantages of the PVRrt method compared to the Suchey–Brooks method for the case of males or gender unknowns as far as the prediction intervals were concerned. However, for females, the PVRrt method offered comparable precision to the Suchey–Brooks method. Taking into account other advantages such as sustainability of the material and continuous property of the age-indicator value, we conclude that the PVRrt method is useful in forensic cases, especially those involving female victims.
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Introduction

Age information is often critical in identifying individual victims in forensic cases. When no written record is available, or when the body is highly decomposed, dentition plays an important role in estimating age. There are several biological age indicators in teeth, including pulp cavity reduction due to secondary dentin deposition. As the secondary dentin grows gradually on the wall of pulp cavity throughout life, the volume of the pulp cavity reduces with age. Today, appositional dentin tissues are distinguished into three types—primary, secondary, and tertiary—based on the time and the causes of formation as well as their histological differences. Primary dentin is formed before tooth maturation and constitutes most of the volume of the tooth. After tooth eruption and root maturation, odontoblasts (dentin-secreting cells) reduce the rate of dentin formation but still physiologically continue to form dentin. This dentin is called secondary dentin. Tertiary dentin is generated as a protective reaction of the dentin–pulp complex against pathological causes such as caries lesion, dentin exposure by attrition and/or inflammation of pulp tissue. When dentin is exposed to oral cavity by caries, attrition, or any other traumas, tertiary dentin develops and fills dentin tubules, preventing bacterial intrusion into the pulp tissue.

The deposition of secondary dentin was first used by Gustafson (1950) for human age estimation in a numerical manner. After scoring the degree of progress in several age-related changes, including secondary dentin deposition, Gustafson regressed the sample age on the scores and obtained an age-estimation formula. To visualize the secondary dentin, the teeth were prepared into ground sections for microscopic observations. While many subsequent studies have worked on ground sections, modifying Gustafson’s method (Dalitz, 1962; Johanson, 1971; Burns and Maples, 1976; Maples, 1978; Maples and Rice, 1979; Kashyap and Koteswara Rao, 1990), Kvaal and Solheim (1994) used radiographs of intact teeth to investigate the degree of secondary dentin development. Since secondary dentin is difficult to distinguish from other types of dentin on a radiograph, Kvaal and Solheim measured the size of the pulp cavity and...
assessed the degree of shrinkage to represent the secondary dentin development. A radiograph was easier to prepare than ground sections; moreover, radiography could be applied to living humans without extracting the teeth, allowing greater number of samples to be obtained. Therefore, numerous studies have been conducted to date modifying or testing the dental radiograph-based age-estimation methods (Kvaal et al., 1995; Druzni et al., 1997; Kolltveit et al., 1998; Willems et al., 2002; Bosmans et al., 2005; Paewinsky et al., 2005; Cameriere et al., 2007; Meinl et al., 2007; Druzni, 2008; Landa et al., 2009; Du et al., 2011; Cameriere et al., 2013). Meanwhile, with the development of computed tomography (CT) technology, the pulp cavity and the tooth can now be measured in three-dimensional space (Vandevoort et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2006; Someda et al., 2009; Aboshi et al., 2010; Agematsu et al., 2010; Star et al., 2011). In those studies, pulp volume ratios were calculated from the CT images and were used for subsequent regression analyses against a few types of teeth: lower central incisors (Someda et al., 2009; Agematsu et al., 2010), lower first premolars (Aboshi et al., 2010), and lower second premolars (Aboshi et al., 2010; Agematsu et al., 2010). Canines have not yet been studied in large numbers.

Contrary to the significant development of technology to investigate the inside of a tooth, the statistical method for age estimation has not radically changed; analyses based on regression of age on secondary dentin development have been the only methods utilized. In the field of anthropology, Bocquet-Appel and Masset (1982) first pointed out that the age-estimation formulae obtained by such regressions are by no means unique to the biological characters in question but are influenced by the age distributions of the samples used in the analyses, unless the age indicators correlate with age perfectly (e.g. the case in which the correlation coefficient equals 1 or –1). This means that an age-estimation formula is merely population specific, i.e. the underlying age distribution for the target individual should be the same as that of the reference population from which the formula was derived, but the formula cannot be used for individuals possibly with different age distribution. Faced with the fact that there is no universal age-estimation formula independent of the age distribution, we should first determine the age distribution for the target population before attempting to estimate the age of an individual (Hoppa and Vaupel, 2002). In this study, we narrowed the target to modern Japanese, and assumed the age of an individual (Hoppa and Vaupel, 2002). In this study, for the target population before attempting to estimate the age distribution, we should first determine the age distribution universal age-estimation formula independent of the age distribution, but the formula cannot be used for individuals possibly with any error in age estimation unless the age distribution of the target sample is same as that of the reference sample. Since the critique by Bocquet-Appel and Masset (1982) of the conventional regression approach (inverse regression), the Bayesian approach has been a promising methodology for a new age-estimation scheme (Lucy et al., 1996; Buckberry and Chamberlain, 2002; Gowland and Chamberlain, 2002; Storey, 2007; Kimmerle et al., 2008; Konigsberg et al., 2008; Nagaoka et al., 2008; Prince and Konigsberg, 2008; Prince et al., 2008; Coqueugniot et al., 2010; Langley-Shirley and Jantz, 2010; Thevissen et al., 2010; Nagaoka et al., 2012a, b). The Bayesian approach takes the age distribution of the target population (referred to as prior distribution) into the probability calculation and, therefore, the answer (referred to as posterior distribution) circumvents the above-mentioned problem as it is customized to the target population. As long as the prior distribution and the likelihood are available, the Bayesian approach should be adopted instead of inverse regression analyses for estimating an individual’s age. However, inappropriate prior distribution, of course, will make the posterior distribution deviate from reality. To see how much posterior distributions vary with the choice of prior distribution and how they are compared to a result from the inverse regression, some examples are shown in this paper.

Materials and Methods

Tooth samples

All teeth used in this study were lower canines. Only one side was collected from an individual. The side in the better condition (e.g. less caries, less worn, less post-mortem damage) was chosen when both sides were available. The total number of individuals studied was 363 (209 males and 154 females). The gender and age distribution is shown in Table 1. Out of all samples, 128 teeth were from individual skeletons stored in the University Museum, The University of Tokyo (UMUT), which had been cadavers dating from...
between 1880s and 1920s. According to the records with the specimens, they were all assumed to be Japanese (sub-sample group K). Another 168 teeth were from collections of individual dentitions stored in UMUT, which had been also cadavers dating from the 1940s–1950s. The names of the medical institutes where the autopsies had been performed were available for 109 out of the 168 specimens. As all the locations were in Japan, we considered the majority of those specimens to be Japanese (sub-sample group F). The remaining 67 teeth were specimens prosthetically extracted from patients who had visited the hospital of the School of Dentistry at Matsudo, Nihon University since the 1970s, and we assumed likewise that majority of the patients were Japanese, despite not having any records about the patients’ ethnicity (sub-sample group M). We used the following sampling criteria:

(1) The recorded age should be ≥15 years.
(2) The tooth should be sufficiently mature; the volume proportion of pulp canal (excluding branches) in a 5 mm apical root portion should be ≤0.09 (this criterion was made to reduce the large variation in pulp volume ratio around the time of root completion).
(3) Lesion (due to caries, post-mortem damage, etc.) should not reach the pulp cavity in the root portion.
(4) The tooth should not show a large volume loss (≥1/10 judged by visual appearance) in the root portion.
(5) The identification of lower canine should be confirmed. It should not be collected if any doubt existed as to its identity. (This is often the case, for example, when there is complete loss of the crown part or morphological abnormality, etc.)
(6) Teeth whose ethnicity records are non-Japanese should not be collected.

CT scan and image processing
To measure volumes of the pulp cavities and the teeth, whole teeth were scanned by microfocal CT (micro-CT). Two micro-CT scanners were used: TXS225-ACTIS (TESCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) or SkyScan1173 with NRecon reconstruction software (Bruker-microCT, Kontich, Belgium). The size of element cubes (voxel size) in the reconstructed imagery was equilaterally 0.028 or 0.030 mm, respectively.

Each three-dimensional imagery was further processed using Amira 5.2.2 image-processing software (VSG, Burlington, VT, USA). Before starting tissue segmentation, the voxel size was doubled (i.e. to 0.056 or 0.060 mm) for data-processing efficiency. Then, the images were segmented into ‘air,’ ‘enamel,’ ‘dentin,’ and ‘pulp cavity,’ based on the CT number of each voxel following the half-maximum-height procedure (Spoor et al., 1993). Opening and smoothing processes were used to remove artificial or unnecessary projection on tooth surfaces, although those were not used for the boundary between dentin and pulp cavity. Cementum was included in ‘dentin’ as their CT numbers were too similar to separate. Denticles, the calcified particles that occasionally appear in pulp tissue, were included in ‘pulp cavity.’ Dental calculus was eliminated (i.e. included in ‘air’) by using half-maximum-height or manual segmentation.

Secondly, the longitudinal axis for each tooth was determined as the line which bisects the major part of the root (i.e. the root excluding roughly one-third of the apical tip) into right and left sides equally as viewed from both labiolingual and mesiodistal aspects. A horizontal plane was defined as any flat plane which is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis. After defining the orientation, each segmented tooth imagery was separated into two (coronal and root) portions by the horizontal plane at the height of apical-most point of cemento-enamel junction. The coronal portions are prone to external factors that affect the pulp volume ratio, such as tertiary dentin deposition by external stimuli and tooth volume loss by dental wear or caries. To minimize these biases, coronal portions were eliminated from the volume measurement. Thus, only root portions were used for further analyses. However, exceptionally for five specimens in which tertiary dentin occupied the pulp cavity of the above-defined coronal portion completely, the horizontal plane between the coronal

Table 1. Age and sex distribution of studied samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category (in years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and root portions was redefined at the most-coronal point of the ‘pulp cavity’ in order to eliminate the effect of the tertiary dentin (Figure 1).

Third, we measured the volumes of interest by counting the voxels and then calculated the pulp volume ratio in the root portion (hereinafter, PVRrt). The PVRrt is defined here as the volume of the pulp cavity divided by the volume of root. The volume of the pulp cavity is the volume of ‘pulp cavity’ within the root portion. The volume of root is the volume of ‘dentin’ within the root portion plus the volume of pulp cavity.

For 24 samples where it was impossible to extract the tooth from the jaw, we scanned it with the jawbone. In this case, segmentation was necessary to remove bone matrices from ‘dentin.’ The CT numbers of bone and dentin were so close that manual segmentation with extra applications of smoothing functions were required.

In another eight cases, in which post-mortem chipping to the root portions had resulted in loss of material, the missing parts were filled in the CT imagery by interpolating between the two unaffected image slices that bound together the affected parts. The resulted gains in the dentin volumes were no more than 5.59% of the root volumes.

Although the definition of the longitudinal axis was a bit vague, the PVRrt value is not radically skewed by an arbitrary choice of the axis. Indeed, when the longitudinal axes of five randomly chosen samples were tilted 10° in random directions, the PVRrt values as defined above did not change more than 2.6%.

**Analyses**

To confirm that PVRrt reduces with age, samples were divided into seven age categories (15–19, 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and ≥70 years), and the mean PVRrt in each category was calculated according to sex. The differences between the neighboring categories were tested by Student’s t-tests. Sex difference was also tested in each age category. Next, differences in PVRrt between teeth with and without lesions such as caries were tested. Although coronal portions were not used for PVRrt calculations, the effect of tertiary dentin was not completely eliminated. While examining all CT imageries, we often found slight tertiary dentin deposition on the pulp wall not only in the coronal portion but also in the root portion. Tertiary dentin is generated at a place on the pulp wall where it is connected to the lesion by dentin tubules. Since dentin tubules run apically from the cementoenamel junction (or root surface) to the pulp, a lesion on the coronal portion can still affect root portion. According to our observations, lesions on the cervical area occasionally caused tertiary dentin in root portions, although those limited to the enamel area rarely did so (Figure 2). To eliminate the effect of sex distribution (females had slightly higher frequencies of cervical lesions and smaller PVRrt than males in the samples), we used only male samples in this test. To equalize the age effects, samples were again divided into those with the 10-year intervals. However, only categories of 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, and ≥70 were eventually tested since the younger categories did not contain enough samples with cervical lesions. After confirming no significant PVRrt differences among the categories 50–59, 60–69, and ≥70, we combined them into one category and tested the difference within the each category by t-tests.

Furthermore, we tested the difference among the sub-sample groups in each age category. Since those sub-sample groups were collected at different periods (K: 1880s–1920s; F: 1940s–1950s; M: 1970s and later), a secular change in the PVRrt reduction pattern was suspected among them. When all three sub-sample groups had more than two samples in an age category, testing was performed by one-way analysis of variance; otherwise, a t-test was performed. Due to an insufficient number of samples, the age category 20–29 could not be tested. Only male samples were used for those tests.

From Bayes’ theorem, the probability of being a certain age a conditional on having a certain PVRrt value P can be described as follows:

$$\Pr(a \mid P) = \frac{\Pr(P \mid a) \cdot \Pr(a)}{\Pr(P)}$$

(1)

where Pr(A) denotes the probability of A, and Pr(A|B) denotes the probability of A conditional on B. Since P is a continuous variable and a was assumed also continuous in this study, another version of equation (1) in the form of a probability density function (PDF) was preferable. Let $e(a \mid P)$ be a PDF of a conditional on P, $f(a)$ be a PDF of a, and $l(P | a)$ be a PDF of P conditional on a. The probability density function of P can be calculated as $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(a) \cdot l(P | a) \cdot da$.

Then, equation (1) becomes:
The ultimate objective of this study is acquiring the left-hand side of equation (2), i.e. the probability density of age $a$ when PVRrt was observed to be $P$ (posterior PDF). To accomplish this, $f(a)$ and $l(P|a)$ are required. As $f(a)$ (prior PDF), we assumed the age structure of Japanese population in 2012 published by the Statistics Bureau, Ministry of International Affairs and Communications, Japan (2013). Since age was a discontinuous variable in the referenced data, the PDF was approximated by a kernel density estimation with three-year bandwidth (Figure 3). After the density estimation for the whole age range, the density for age below 15 was reset to be ‘zero,’ for we did not have canine data for the corresponding age range. The effect of this resetting will be discussed later. As the prior distribution, researchers have used several forms of probability distribution. Langley-Shirley and Jantz (2010) used age-distribution data obtained from the Forensic Anthropology Data Bank (FDB) for the prior distribution in their age-estimation calculation from clavicles. Although we admit that the best choice for the prior distribution is the age distribution of the victims in actual forensic cases, data such as FDB for Japanese population is not currently available. The age distribution of missing persons was available and could have been used as prior distribution. In this case, however, we could not accept it unless the probability of becoming a victim in a forensic case is constant among all ages in the missing persons population. Adopting the Japanese age structure for the prior distribution means that we assumed an equal probability of becoming a victim (unidentified body) in a forensic case for every single Japanese ($\geq 15$ years old). As for $l(P|a)$, we made a statistical model to describe the likelihood. We assumed PVRrt distribution conditional on a certain age to be normal and expanded it for the whole age range, where the average of the normal distribution ($M$) should follow an exponential curve as age increases. We created three parameters to specify the exponential curve: $\alpha$ determines the shape of the curve, $\beta$ determines the parallel translation towards the age axis, and $\gamma$ determines the parallel translation towards the PVRrt axis. Thus, the function is written as:

$$M = \exp[\alpha \cdot (age - \beta)] + \gamma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Letting $\delta$ denote the function value at age = 15 (i.e. the initial PVRrt average), $\beta$ can be expressed as $\beta = 15 - \ln(\delta - \gamma)$. Then, equation (3) becomes:

$$M = (\delta - \gamma)\exp[\alpha \cdot (age - 15)] + \gamma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

As age goes to infinity, the function value $M$ approaches $\gamma$. These three parameters ($\alpha$, $\gamma$, $\delta$) plus the standard deviation of the normal distribution around the curve ($\sigma$) (which was assumed to be constant across the entire age range), describe the likelihood at any age ($l(P|a)$; here, the variable ‘age’ was substituted by $a$) as:

![Figure 3. Japanese age structure as of 2012 reported by Statistics Bureau, Ministry of International Affairs and Communications, Japan (2013). The female population is stacked on the male one. Based on kernel density estimation, the probability density function (PDF) of age was approximated from the data: solid line for males and dashed line for females (these were multiplied by each gender’s total population, and the female one was stacked on the male one just to fit with the histograms in this figure). Then, PDFs for <15 years were set to zero, because of the deficiency of canine data for the corresponding range.](image-url)
The probability of PVRrt being >1 or <0 is 0. α and δ were shared by males and females while γ and σ were set to vary between males and females (γm, γf, δm, δf, σm, σf). Therefore, in total six parameters (α, γm, γf, δm, δf, σm, σf) were determined simultaneously by the maximum likelihood method fitting the model to the observed data. Another possible model that takes the logarithm of PVRrt was not appropriate as the log PVRrt data did not show a normal distribution or a linear transition with age. In order to assess the goodness of fit, we tested the normality of the distributions and the stability of the variances through the age range, for males and females separately. For normality, the distributions of residuals (signed distances of observed PVRrt values from the fitted exponential curve) were tested by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. For variance stability, the squares of those residuals were tested by the Kruskal–Wallis test among the above-mentioned age categories. After obtaining $e(a|P)$ from equation (2), we calculated the mean and prediction intervals of $e(a|P)$. The $\chi^2$ prediction interval was defined here so that the probabilities of being above as well as below the interval are each $(100 - \chi)/2\%$. $X = 90, 70, or 50$. Those results are depicted in what we refer to as ‘age-estimation chart.’

The age-estimation chart was compared with age-estimation charts derived in three different ways: from a Bayesian approach with uniform prior distribution; from a Bayesian approach with the age distribution of a modern hunter-gatherer as the prior distribution; and from inverse regression analysis. Only male charts were considered for simplicity. The uniform distribution was set here as that which equally distributes in the age range of 15–80 years (i.e., PDF = $1/65$ for $15 \leq \text{age} \leq 80$, PDF = 0 for any other range). To exemplify the age distribution of male hunter-gatherers, we used the data for the age-sorted number of deaths in a modern hunter-gatherer population, the !Kung, reported by Howell (Howell, 1979: Table 4.3). We fitted the survival model of Gompertz (Gompertz, 1825; Wood et al., 2002) to the reported data and used the survival function as the prior age distribution (not the distribution of death). As to the age estimation by inverse regression, we took a non-parametric approach rather than simple linear regression. Since our age data vs. PVRrt values did not show a linear transition, using simple linear regression would unnecessarily have deteriorated the age estimation by inverse regression, making the comparison unfair. The mean predicted age at a given PVRrt value was calculated as the mean age of the samples whose PVRrt values are within a window, which was set = $0.005$ from the given PVRrt value. This non-parametric regression is free from the assumption of linearity for the distribution. In addition, a $70\%$ prediction interval was defined as the age range limited by the $85\%$ percentile value for the upper and the $15\%$ percentile value for the lower, of the samples within the same window. The obtained curves of the mean and $70\%$ prediction interval were smoothed three times by averaging each curve with the same window.

Finally, we compared the precision of the age estimation from PVRrt with another age-estimation method that used the os pubis. It is widely known that the morphology of the pubic symphysis changes with age. Brooks and Suchey (1990) studied the change using a large number of samples ($n = 1225$) and established a pattern for the change, which was divided into six phases. The frequencies of the six phases according to sample age were reported in their paper (Brooks and Suchey, 1990: Figure 4). We counted the frequencies, which were depicted as histograms for males and females. (At some age points, the male histogram was hidden by the female histogram; in such cases, the same frequency of males and females was assumed.) Then, the likelihood (i.e. the probability of being in a certain phase of pubic symphyseal face changes with age) is equivalent to $l(P|a)$ in equation (2) was calculated according to the method described in Love and Müller (2002) (where it is called the ‘weight function’) with a bandwidth of 9 years. This method non-parametrically produces the likelihood from age-sorted frequency data after smoothing the frequency distribution. Thereafter, as the case of PVRrt, the posterior PDF (i.e. the probability density of being a certain age when a certain os pubis phase was observed) was calculated using Bayes’ theorem with the 2012 Japanese population data (Statistics Bureau, Ministry of International Affairs and Communications, Japan, 2013) as the prior. To compare the results from the two different age-estimation methods, expectations of the prediction intervals were calculated to represent the degree of precision of each estimation method. To do so, first, the marginal PDF of PVRrt (and the marginal probability of each phase in the Suchey–Brooks system) in the Japanese population was calculated. The marginal PDFs of PVRrt are therefore a theoretically estimated PVRrt distribution in the Japanese population, which was calculated as the denominator in equation (2). When the prediction intervals (upper limit minus lower limit) are averaged across the whole range of PVRrt being weighed by the marginal distribution, this provides values that represent the expectations of the prediction intervals when numerous age-estimation trials were repeated in the Japanese population, and the same can be said for those of the Suchey–Brooks estimation. Specifically, the expectations were calculated in the following way: the upper limit minus the lower limit of $\chi^2$ prediction interval for a PVRrt value (or for a Suchey–Brooks phase) multiplied by the marginal probability density (or the marginal probability) was integrated across the entire PVRrt range (or summed across all the six phases).

We used the computer software packages R 2.13.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011) for statistical analyses and MATLAB (R2010b) (MathWorks, Natick, USA) for mathematical computations. For all statistical tests, we set the significance level at 0.05.
Table 2. Means of PVRrt\(^1\) for each sex and age category, and the results of \(t\)-tests among them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category</th>
<th>Male mean (in %) without cervical lesion</th>
<th>Inter-sex comparison</th>
<th>Female mean (in %) without cervical lesion</th>
<th>Inter-sex comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>7.10**</td>
<td>NS (0.95)</td>
<td>7.06*</td>
<td>NS (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>5.67**</td>
<td>NS (0.43)</td>
<td>5.47**</td>
<td>NS (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>NS (0.76)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>NS (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>4.48**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>3.83 NS (0.63)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>3.82 NS (0.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>NS (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(1\) Pulp volume ratio within the root portion of lower canine.

\(2\) Numbers in parentheses show the \(P\) values when the tests were not significant at a significance level of 0.05.

\(*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. See Table 1 for the numbers of the samples.

No significant difference was found in the comparison between sexes in the younger categories (15–19, 20–29, and 30–39), female values tended to be significantly lower than the males in the older categories (>40, except 50–59).

No significant difference was found in the comparison between specimens with and without cervical lesions in any age category (all \(P\) values ≥ 0.23), but there was a tendency that those with cervical lesions had slightly smaller mean values than those without them (Table 3).

The results of the tests for secular trends in the sub-sample groups are shown in Table 4. None of the age categories showed any significant difference among the three sub-sample groups.

Figure 4 shows the results of fitting the exponential curve model to the observed data, and the slopes of the curves. The parameters resulting from the fitting are summarized in Table 5. Scatter plots of the residuals against age and their distributions are shown in Figure 5. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test did not reject the hypothesis that the observations of the residuals followed the normal distribution with mean = 0 and SD = sample SD, for both sexes (\(P = 0.28\) for males, 0.98 for females). The Kruskal–Wallis test did not indicate significant inequality in the residual variation among those age categories, for both sexes (\(P = 0.08\) for male, 0.41 for female). The nearly significant result of males seemed mainly caused by the relatively large variation in the 15–19 category as the \(P\) value became 0.41 when that category was eliminated from the test. The variations (calculated as \(\frac{1}{n} \sum (\text{residual})^2\)) for each age category are shown in Figure 5 in parentheses.

Figure 6 shows the age-estimation charts based on PVRrt for the Japanese population. There are three charts for targets known to be male, female, or gender unknown. Mean prediction line, and 50%, 70%, and 90% prediction intervals of the estimated age are drawn across a PVRrt range of 0–0.11 in each chart. Above those charts are the marginal PDFs of PVRrt in the Japanese populations, calculated as described above.

The age-estimation charts obtained with uniform prior and (approximated) Kung prior and by inverse regression are shown in Figure 7. On the right-upper corner of each chart is the prior distribution used for the Bayesian approach.
or the age distribution of the samples used for the inverse regression. The right-lower sub-figure shows the mean prediction lines of those estimation charts all superimposed; those are Bayesian with modern-Japanese prior, Bayesian with uniform prior, Bayesian with !Kung prior, and estimation by inverse regression. While the mean lines of Bayesian with modern-Japanese, Bayesian with uniform, and inverse regression are similar (the mean line of inverse regression in the range PVRRt < 0.03 or PVRRt > 0.08 should be disregarded due to the deficiency of the sample), that of Bayesian with !Kung prior deviated widely from the others.

The likelihood distribution used for the calculation of posterior PDF from the Suchey–Brooks phase system are shown in Figure 8. The likelihood for each phase can be obtained by drawing a vertical line at any age on the figure and measuring the length of each phase section. The age-estimation charts based on the Suchey–Brooks phase system are shown with mean values and prediction intervals in Figure 9. Above those charts are the marginal probability for each phase. As explained above, expectations of the prediction intervals were calculated for both PVRRt and the Suchey–Brooks method, and those results are compared in Table 6. The expectations are generally larger for the PVRRt method than for the Suchey–Brooks method, but were comparable between the methods when the target was female.

### Discussion

Analysis among age and sex categories indicated that there is a difference in the rate of decrease of PVRRt between males and females. In females, the value decreased more rapidly than in males. One can also recognize this tendency by referring to the scatter diagram and the fitted curves in Figure 4. Although the reason for the difference is not clear, it could be because of some direct or indirect factors. Plausible direct factors include differences in the tooth shape and size, which influence the number of odontoblasts per root volume, or differences in gene expression of odontoblasts, which affect the degree of dentin secretion. Indirect factors include differences in hormonal homeostasis, oral environment, and masticatory stresses. Since teeth with or without cervical lesions did not have large differences (see the confidence intervals in Table 3) compares to the sex differences, the presence or absence of lesions such as caries cannot be the major cause of the sex difference.

In any age category, the tests for the differences in PVRRt between teeth with and without cervical lesions presented no statistical significance (Table 3). The 95% confidence interval for the difference was from −0.41 to 0.44% for the age category ≥50. The standard deviation of PVRRt can be approximated as the σ in the fitted statistical model shown in Table 5, which were $1.085 \times 10^{-2}$ for males and $0.904 \times 10^{-2}$ for females. By comparing the 1 SD range to the 95% confidence interval, one can recognize that the difference due to the cervical lesions possibly accounts for roughly 40–50% of the 1 SD range of PVRRt; this difference is not negligible. The other age categories would have given no better results with even wider confidence intervals. Therefore, although no significant difference was observed in those tests, we could not conclude that the difference was negligibly small. We agree that this issue needs further research with a sufficient number of samples for such age-sorted types of analysis. In the other subjects in this study, the possible difference induced by cervical lesions was not considered.

As tests for the secular trend in PVRRt reduction did not show differences, we considered that those three sub-sample groups could be treated as one. Furthermore, as the last collected sub-sample group was recent (1970s or later), we assumed the same PVRRt reduction pattern as for the current Japanese population.

Although the test for equality of the variation fell close to the significance level in males ($P = 0.08$), variation along the model exponential curve was treated as constant in this study. While the statistical model adopted in this study may
Figure 6. Age-estimation charts for males, females, and gender-unknown for the modern Japanese population. Mean prediction line, and 50%, 70%, 90% prediction intervals were drawn across a PV/Rt range of 0–0.11. The PDF curve above each chart is the marginal PDF of PV/Rt, indicating the distribution of PV/Rt in modern Japanese males, females, and total population.

Figure 5. Scatter diagrams of residuals and their histograms, for males and females. Residuals are signed distances of PV/Rt observations from the fitted exponential curves (see Figure 4). The curves on the histograms are the normal distribution of mean = 0, SD = sample SD. The parentheses indicate deviation of the residuals in each age category calculated as $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (\text{residual})^2}$.
Figure 7. Age-estimation charts obtained with uniform prior (top left), approximated male !Kung prior (top right), and by inverse regression method (bottom left). Likelihoods used for both Bayesian approaches were those of males. The circles in the bottom left indicate male samples used in the calculation. Both sides of the mean prediction line and the 70% prediction interval obtained by inverse regression were made light-colored and should be disregarded due to the lack of samples for the calculation. On the right upper corner of each chart is the prior distribution used for the Bayesian approach or the age distribution of the samples used for the inverse regression. The dashed line on the !Kung distribution indicates the survival curve reconstructed from the reported data (Howell, 1979: Table 4.3), and the solid line is the fitted Gompertz curve. Bottom right shows the mean prediction lines of those charts plus the chart obtained with modern male Japanese prior (Figure 6), all superimposed together.

Figure 8. Likelihood of having a certain Suchey–Brooks phase on an os pubis vs. age. Vertical section at any age indicates the probabilities of having those phases at that age. These were calculated according to Love and Müller (2002) from the frequency data in Brooks and Suchey (1990). It is likely that the humps of phase IV and V seen in the old ranges are merely reflecting random fluctuations due to the deficient number of samples in the original data for that range, since it is unlikely that younger phases will increase their frequencies compared to older ones as age increases.
not thoroughly describe the nature of PVRrt reduction, we supposed that the model was good enough to approximate the probability distribution of PVRrt, at least across the adult age range of studied samples. The reason why teenage samples exhibited a relatively large variation might be the difference in the initiation time of odontogenesis. A slight difference of the time should cause large differences when PVRrt reduction is still rapid.

The age-estimation charts in Figure 6 exhibit some notable features. The prediction intervals in the male chart are generally wider than those in the female chart. This is, in part, because, as seen in Figure 4, the slope of the female curve is steeper than that of the male curve. Assuming the variance of PVRrt around the slope is unchanged, the steeper the slope becomes, the more it should confine the probable age range for a PVRrt value. The slightly larger value of $\sigma$ in males could also be one of the reasons for the difference. Another significant point is that the mean curve tends to be younger in males than in females as it goes left of the charts. This is a manifestation of the difference in life expectancies between males and females also seen in the modern Japanese population. In particular, when the population is confined to the old age range, the average age of males becomes younger than that of females, because females tend to live longer than males. A low PVRrt value has the same effect as confining the probable age to old, and therefore the average age of males becomes younger than that of females.

What should be kept in mind is that the probability of being below age 15 was forced to be zero since the population frequency for that range was set to zero during the calculations. According to Moorrees et al. (1963), the age of root apex completion for lower canines is, approximately, 10–16 years for males and 9–14 years for females. Thus, root maturation does not necessarily confirm that the person is at or over 15. Therefore, technically, using this chart requires verification that the target individual is $\geq 15$ years by any other (rough) age information, especially when the estimated age by PVRrt is close to 15.

When several prior distributions and inverse regressions are compared, it can be said that the results (especially the mean prediction lines) are similar among male Japanese prior, uniform prior, and inverse regression. The reason the inverse regression and the uniform prior had similar results (values in the range $0.08 < \text{PVRrt} < 0.03$ were disregarded) is because the underlying age distributions were similar between them, i.e. the male sample distribution used in the present study was similar to the 15–80 uniform distribution. This is an indication that inverse regression methods can be used when the target has a similar age distribution to the reference sample. As far as PVRrt age estimation is concerned, the male Japanese age distribution is also rather similar to the uniform distribution, contributing to the similarity of the mean prediction curve with those of uniform prior and inverse regression (Figure 3, Figure 7). However,
the male !Kung (approximate) age distribution was not similar in that sense. The difference in the mean prediction was roughly 10 years in the left half of the chart from other priors (Figure 7). This indicates that an inappropriate choice for the prior distribution can lead significant bias in the age estimation. If the prior differed from the real target distribution as much as the difference between the modern male Japanese distribution and the approximated male !Kung distribution, the error could be up to 10 years.

As seen in the result of comparison between the PVRrt method and the Suchey–Brooks method (Table 6), the former is generally inferior to the latter when the target is known to be a male or a gender unknown subject in terms of the precision of the prediction. For females, however, the precision of the PVRrt method is reasonably comparable to the Suchey–Brooks method. As indicated in Table 2, female PVRrt continues to decrease even within an old age range. As many skeletal age indicators hardly keep changing after 50 years of age, this fact is noteworthy. This is especially important when the target population is dominated by elderly people such as the modern Japanese population. Another advantage of the PVRrt method is the durability of the material. Teeth are harder and more stable against both physical and chemical degradation after death than any other part of the human skeleton; therefore the chance to apply this method will be more frequent than methods which utilize other skeletal parts. Furthermore, as an age indicator—the PVRrt value—is a continuous variable (unlike in the Suchey–Brooks phase system), so that the estimation is not the result of a limited number of outcomes, but differs each time according to the PVRrt value. Considering these advantages, we conclude that the PVRrt method is a useful age-estimation method in forensic cases especially when the victim is known to be a female.

Conclusion

Using a Bayesian approach, we provided sex-dependent charts for human age estimation from pulp volume reduction of the lower canine teeth. The precision of estimation was not better than that of widely used skeleton-based age-estimation methods such as the Suchey–Brooks pubic symphyseal method. However, this method will demonstrate its value when the victim’s body is highly decomposed and many crucial skeletal parts are missing. An observed pulp volume ratio in the lower canine root from modern Japanese population can be converted into the estimated age with prediction intervals by referring to Figure 6 in this paper.
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