Aging of the population and the increase in patients on dialysis have led to an increasing frequency of “porcelain aorta” (subtotal circumferential calcification of the ascending aorta) in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.\(^1\) Porcelain aorta is reported to be associated with higher morbidity and mortality, especially related to stroke.\(^2,3\) Cardiac surgery is more complex in patients with porcelain aorta and their management can be difficult because of the increased risk of perioperative atheroembolism and aortic dissection. Selection of the operative procedure can be problematic, as well as deciding the appropriateness of aortic cross-clamping, identifying the arterial cannulation site, performing proximal anastomosis for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), and devising an aortotomy procedure for aortic valve replacement (AVR). Recently, transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) has become feasible in patients with porcelain aorta for whom conventional AVR is a high-risk procedure.\(^4\) This review summarizes the published data on strategies for coping with porcelain aorta during cardiac surgery.

**Definition of porcelain aorta and clinical implications**

In older patients, calcification is frequently observed in the ascending aorta, the aortic arch, and the coronary ostia. Plain chest computed tomography (CT) can easily demonstrate the distribution of calcification in the aorta. Severe circumferential calcification of the thoracic aorta is called “porcelain aorta,” and its presence can preclude safe aortic cross-clamping, arterial cannulation, and other procedures during cardiac surgery. However, there is still no consensus about the definition porcelain aorta. In the US PARTNER Trial (Placement of AoRTic TraNScatheter Valves Trial), which was the first prospective randomized trial of transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR),\(^5,6\) porcelain aorta was defined as nearly or completely circumferential calcification of the ascending aorta and/or aortic arch precluding safe aortic cross-clamping or cannulation or requiring circulatory arrest with ascending aorta/arch replacement.\(^4\) The prevalence of porcelain aorta has been reported as 0.7%–7.5% in patients requiring cardiac surgery,\(^7-11\) while Faggiano et al.\(^3\) found porcelain aorta in 7.5% (18/240) of the patients they evaluated for aortic stenosis (AS). In addition, Makkar et al.\(^4\) performed TAVR in 85 (23%) of 369 patients with AS who were technically inoperable, with the most common reason being porcelain aorta in 20 (42%) of these 85 patients.

**Cardiac surgery and porcelain aorta**

In patients with porcelain aorta, manipulation of the thoracic aorta during cardiac surgery, such as incision, cross-clamping, or cannulation, leads to an increased risk of perioperative embolic stroke.\(^9,10\) Therefore, porcelain
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Aorta is characterized by calcifications of the entire circumference, making it impossible to perform ascending aortic clamping and other aortic treatments. Type IB means clamping may be possible at increased risk, and defined as the ratio of the circumferential length of calcification to the entire ascending aortic circumference below 75%. Porcelain ascending aorta have areas without calcification in the circumference of approximately a finger’s width, making it possible to perform ascending aortic clamping and arterial cannulation, proximal anastomosis for CABG, and aortotomy for aortic valve replacement (AVR). Type II is calcification of the descending aorta including or not the aortic arch.

Arterial cannulation

In patients with porcelain aorta, an appropriate cannulation site should be selected for safe surgery, but each cannulation technique has its advantages and disadvantages. Arterial perfusion via the common femoral artery is a classic approach for cardiac surgery in patients with calcification of the ascending aorta. While it can be employed easily, this method carries the risk of embolization by atherosclerotic plaque or thrombus from the thoracic and abdominal aorta due to retrograde perfusion, or may be undesirable because of iliac artery atherosclerosis due to peripheral arterial disease. Nevertheless, the use of retrograde perfusion via the femoral artery has not decreased aorta needs to be diagnosed before cardiac surgery. While severe calcification of the ascending aorta can easily be detected on a chest X-ray film or by cine angiography, these modalities are not able to determine whether there is nearly or completely circumferential calcification as required for porcelain aorta. Plain chest CT can easily demonstrate calcification in the aorta, but it does not adequately evaluate the three-dimensional distribution of calcification. On the other hand, multidetector-row CT (MD-CT) with maximum intensity projection (MIP) and volume-rendered (VR) images can readily evaluate the three-dimensional localization of calcification (Fig. 1). MD-CT allows creation of three-dimensional images that can be rotated 360° and viewed from any angle to visualize calcification in the ascending aorta. Images can also be reconstructed by postprocessing, if necessary. This technique only involves plain CT and injection of contrast medium is not necessary.

It is well established that porcelain aorta affecting the ascending aorta can be diagnosed by palpation during cardiac surgery, but palpation underestimates the incidence of severe atherosclerotic change. Epi-aortic ultrasound should be performed to reduce the risk of perioperative atheroembolization.

Amorim et al. suggested the following classification of aortic calcification and how to approach porcelain aorta in cardiac surgery. Type I is circumferential calcification in the ascending aorta. Type IA means there is no possibility of clamping the calcified aorta. Porcelain ascending aorta is characterized by calcifications of the entire circumference, making it impossible to perform ascending aortic clamping and other aortic treatments. Type IB means clamping may be possible at increased risk, and defined as the ratio of the circumferential length of calcification to the entire ascending aortic circumference below 75%. Porcelain ascending aorta have areas without calcification in the circumference of approximately a finger’s width, making it possible to perform ascending aortic clamping and aortic treatments such as arterial cannulation, proximal anastomosis for CABG, and aortotomy for aortic valve replacement (AVR). Type II is calcification of the descending aorta including or not the aortic arch, without the involvement of the ascending aorta.

Arterial cannulation

In patients with porcelain aorta, an appropriate cannulation site should be selected for safe surgery, but each cannulation technique has its advantages and disadvantages. Arterial perfusion via the common femoral artery is a classic approach for cardiac surgery in patients with calcification of the ascending aorta. While it can be employed easily, this method carries the risk of embolization by atherosclerotic plaque or thrombus from the thoracic and abdominal aorta due to retrograde perfusion, or may be undesirable because of iliac artery atherosclerosis due to peripheral arterial disease. Nevertheless, the use of retrograde perfusion via the femoral artery has not decreased...
newly invasive cardiac surgery (MICS) via right mini-thoracotomy. The New York University School of Medicine group\(^5\) investigated complications of femoral artery perfusion in 714 patients undergoing minimally invasive mitral surgery and reported a 2.9% incidence of permanent neurologic deficits although retrograde perfusion was not a risk factor for neurologic sequelae according to multivariable analysis. In addition, Gammie et al.\(^6\) studied the Society of Thoracic Surgeons database and reported that femoral cannulation was not an independent predictor of stroke associated with minimally invasive mitral surgery. However, Grossi et al.\(^7\) demonstrated that retrograde perfusion had no significant influence on the incidence of stroke in patients <50 years old, but was a significant risk factor for occurrence of neurologic events in high-risk patients with aortic disease.

Perfusion via the axillary artery is an alternative approach for cardiac surgery in patients with calcification of the ascending aorta.\(^8\)–\(^21\) Villard et al.\(^21\) first reported direct axillary artery cannulation in 1976, but it was used infrequently until the Cleveland Clinic group published a report on 35 patients.\(^19\) Since then, axillary artery cannulation has become increasingly popular and positive results have been described in several reports.\(^22\)–\(^24\) The axillary artery is less affected by atherosclerosis than either the ascending aorta or the femoral artery and the major advantage of axillary artery perfusion is a lower risk of cerebral atheroembolization. It can be used for selective antegrade cerebral perfusion to avoid cannulation in patients with severe atheroma of the brachiocephalic artery. In addition, the axillary vessels have abundant collaterals, which lowers the risk of severe distal ischemia-reperfusion injury or embolization after cannulation.\(^25\) Hillebrand et al.\(^26\) reported that right axillary artery cannulation can provide balanced cerebral oxygenation and might reduce the risk of neurological injury. However, direct axillary artery cannulation can be associated with several local complications, including axillary artery dissection, thrombosis, and brachial plexus injury. Sabik et al.\(^19\) compared cannulation-related morbidity between 212 patients receiving direct axillary artery cannulation and 187 patients with side graft cannulation, and demonstrated that side graft cannulation was associated with fewer complications. Cannulation-related morbidity was infrequent in both groups, including brachial plexus injury in 1.8% (7/399 patients), axillary artery damage in 1.8% (7/399 patients), and aortic dissection in 0.8% (3/399 patients). However, propensity-matched analysis revealed complications of cannulation in 1% (2/140 patients) of the side graft group versus 8% (11/140 patients) of the direct cannulation group (\(p = 0.02\)). In particular, brachial plexus injury did not occur in the side graft group (0%, 0/140 patients), but was found in 3.6% (5/140 patients) of the direct cannulation group (\(p = 0.06\)). Likewise, there was no axillary artery injury (0%, 0/140 patients) in the side graft group, but it also occurred in 3.6% (5/140 patients) of the direct cannulation group (\(p = 0.03\)). After propensity matching, the odds ratio was 0.15 (\(p = 0.002\)) for reducing the risk of cannulation-related morbidity by employing a side graft, and they recommended routine use of a side graft whenever axillary artery cannulation is performed. Svensson et al.\(^13\) investigated complications of perfusion via an axillary side graft versus the femoral artery in 674 patients undergoing cardiac surgery with hypothermic circulatory arrest (HCA). There was no significant difference of the neurologic outcome. Stroke occurred in 4.0% (12/299 patients) of the axillary side graft group and 6.7% (25/375 patients) of the femoral artery group (\(p = 0.1; \ p = 0.4\) among propensity-matched patients). The risk of hospital mortality was higher with femoral perfusion (11%, 42/375 patients) than axillary side graft perfusion (7.0%, 21/299) (\(p = 0.06; \ p = 0.02\) among propensity-matched patients). To avoid malperfusion and perform axillary artery cannulation safely, the brachiocephalic and subclavian arteries should be evaluated preoperatively. Measurement of the bilateral brachial artery pressures is also necessary, preferably by determining the ankle-brachial artery pressure index. If the pressure of one brachial artery is significantly lower, MD-row CT or magnetic resonance (MR) angiography should be performed to detect stenosis or severe atherosclerosis of the arch vessels. A known contraindication for axillary artery cannulation is severe atheroma affecting the axillary artery or the subclavian artery. Radial artery pressure monitoring is important to assess distal arm perfusion as well as the perfusion pressure during selective antegrade cerebral perfusion, so bilateral radial artery lines should be placed for intraoperative pressure monitoring.

Cannulation of the brachiocephalic artery was reported to be useful in patients with severe porcelain aorta.\(^22\)–\(^29\) Banbury et al.\(^27\) stated that using the brachiocephalic artery for cardiopulmonary bypass has the advantage of allowing central cannulation with the standard ascending aortic cannulation technique in patients whose aorta cannot be clamped, such as those with dissection or an aneurysm. Perfusion via the brachiocephalic artery can avoid
the difficulties associated with making a second incision (axillary artery cannulation) or the problems of retrograde perfusion (femoral artery cannulation).

Transapical aortic cannulation is an alternative method of central cannulation. While numerous reports have been published about the usefulness of transapical aortic perfusion for avoiding malperfusion in patients with acute aortic dissection\(^{30}\) or for avoiding retrograde femoral artery perfusion in patients with distal arch aneurysm and thoraco-abdominal aneurysm undergoing surgery via left thoracotomy,\(^{21}\) its clinical impact in patients with porcelain aorta is not clear.

**CABG and porcelain aorta**

If a patient with a porcelain aorta undergoes CABG, off-pump surgery with the “aortic no touch CABG” technique is recommended to avoid cannulation and clamping of the ascending aorta.\(^{32–34}\) The “no touch” technique can be accomplished by arterial grafting using bilateral internal mammary grafts with addition of radial artery or saphenous veincomposite grafts. If there is a small non-calcified area, proximal anastomosis with a non-clamp technique can be performed using a Heartstring device\(^{11,34}\) or the PASPORT system\(^{35}\) with epi-aortic ultrasound. Lev-Ran et al.\(^{34}\) found a lower incidence of stroke when patients who had porcelain aorta was managed by the off-pump technique with “aortic no touch CABG” compared to standard CABG using cardiopulmonary bypass and femoral artery cannulation. However, revascularization was disadvantageously incomplete in 24.3% of the off-pump patients.

Hypothermic fibrillatory arrest without clamping is also useful.\(^{9,36}\) Salenger et al.\(^{36}\) retrospectively examined the outcome of a non-clamp technique for coronary revascularization in 71 consecutive patients with severe calcification of the ascending aorta. Distal revascularization was accomplished using mildly hypothermic (30–32°C) noncardioplegic myocardial preservation with elective ventricular fibrillation, while proximal anastomoses were performed during brief periods of circulatory arrest. They compared these 71 patients with 615 patients who underwent CABG using partial side clamping. There was only one perioperative stroke in each group (1.5% and 0.2%), showing no significant difference. They suggested that this technique could safely achieve full revascularization in patients with a problematic ascending aorta while minimizing the risk of cerebral embolism.

**Valve surgery and porcelain aorta**

In patients who have porcelain aorta, it is preferable to perform mitral valve surgery without aortic cross-clamping by employing hypothermia and a fibrillating heart.\(^{37,38}\) Loulmet et al.\(^{39}\) reported excellent patient outcomes after mitral valve surgery on the fibrillating heart via right thoracotomy without aortic clamping. Mitral valve procedure can also be performed using endoaortic balloon occlusion and retrograde cardioplegia.\(^{40,41}\)

There are several possible strategies for surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) in patients with porcelain ascending aorta. In 1984, Jacobowitz et al.\(^{42}\) first reported surgical AVR under total HCA.

Coselli et al.\(^{43}\) and Byrne et al.\(^{44}\) described a “no-touch” technique for performing AVR with HCA in patients who had a porcelain ascending aorta although this technique requires a longer HCA time than other techniques. A retrospective study by Kaneko and Aranki\(^{45}\) showed excellent outcomes in patients under 80 years old with porcelain aorta who underwent deep HCA for AVR, but the stroke and mortality rates were 3- to 4-fold higher in patients over 80 years old.

There have been some reports of successful aortic endarterectomy combined with HCA for AVR in patients with a calcified ascending aorta.\(^{7,46–49}\) However, Stern et al.\(^{48}\) reported that the postoperative stroke rate was 34.9% following aortic endarterectomy in this clinical setting. In addition, there has been limited long-term follow-up after endarterectomy, and the risk of aneurysmal degeneration is unknown.

Several authors have described excellent results after replacement of the ascending aorta in patients with porcelain ascending aorta who required AVR.\(^{7,10,49–51}\) The advantages of this technique include no need for aortic manipulation before HCA, and it can be performed with relatively brief HCA.

AVR with balloon occlusion of the ascending aorta is an alternative technique\(^{7,52}\) that only requires a brief period of HCA, but there is still the risk of embolization.

Gillino et al.\(^{7}\) reported excellent results of AVR in 62 patients with porcelain aorta. The overall hospital mortality rate was 14% with a 10% stroke rate. Among 24 patients (39%) who had AVR with HCA, the overall mortality rate was 12% and the stroke rate was 17%. In addition, 16 patients underwent aortic endarterectomy with an overall mortality rate of 19% and a stroke rate of 12%, while 12 patients had ascending aorta replacement with an overall mortality rate of 25% and a stroke
rate of 0%. Furthermore, six patients had aortic inspection and cross-clamping with no mortality or stroke, and four patients underwent balloon occlusion with no mortality or stroke. Overall, the incidence of neurologic events and the operative mortality rate were higher compared with the results of standard AVR in patients without porcelain aorta.

Another option for AVR in patients with porcelain aorta is an apico-aortic conduit, but there is a risk of thrombus formation or stagnation due to competition between antegrade and retrograde flow.

**TAVR and porcelain aorta**

TAVR has been established as a reproducible and safe technique for treating severe aortic stenosis in high-risk patients. Several authors have reported acceptable outcomes of TAVR in patients with porcelain ascending aorta. Rodés-Cebau et al. reported on the results obtained with a balloon expandable Edwards valve (Edwards Life sciences, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) in 339 patients, including 61 patients (18%) with a porcelain ascending aorta (28 transfemoral, 45.9%; 33 transapical, 54.1%). The procedure was successful in 98.4% of the patients with porcelain aorta, but valve malposition requiring implantation of a second valve tended to be more frequent, probably due to difficulty in achieving the correct valve position or displacement of the valve during balloon inflation because of the highly calcified aorta. The stroke rate and 30-day mortality rate were 1.6% (1/61 patients) and 11.5% (7/61 patients), respectively, showing no differences from patients without porcelain aorta. Pascual et al. described the results of implanting a Medtronic CoreValve (Medtronic CoreValve, Irvine, CA, USA) in 449 patients, including 36 patients (8%) with a porcelain ascending aorta (27 transfemoral, 75%; 9 transaxillary, 25%). The procedure was successful in 94.4%. One patient (2.8%) required implantation of a second valve due to malposition. The stroke rate and 30-day mortality rate were 2.8% (1/36 patients) and 5.6% (2/36 patients), respectively, with no differences compared to patients without porcelain aorta. Zahn et al. reported the results of aortic cross-clamping with an “open proximal ascending aorta” in 42 patients with porcelain aorta undergoing AVR or mitral valve replacement (MVR). After arterial cannulation via the distal arch or femoral artery, the ascending porcelain aorta was clamped slowly with a special Forgarty clamp (Aesculap Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA), while mobilized atherosclerotic material left the aorta through the open incision. After de-airing, the aorta was gradually declamped while flushing out plaque via the open aortotomy. The stroke rate and 30-day mortality rate were 7.1% (3/42 patients) and 7.1% (3/42 patients), respectively. Aortic dissection did not occur. They suggested that cross-clamping with an “open proximal ascending aorta” is effective and associated with a low incidence of stroke and systemic embolization in patients with porcelain aorta.

Isoda et al. reported a “stepwise aortic clamp procedure” for AVR in a patient with porcelain aorta. After arterial cannulation via the right axillary artery and right femoral artery, moderate hypothermia was initiated. Aortotomy was performed during brief circulatory arrest (8 min), and a Foley catheter was inserted and inflated. Endarterectomy was accomplished at the aortic clamp site using an ultrasonic surgical aspirator during low-flow cardiopulmonary bypass. Then, the ascending aorta was slowly clamped with a Forgarty clamp and AVR was carried out. The patient recovered without any thromboembolic events. They suggested that this procedure could be effective to avoid embolic events and reduce the risk of cerebral ischemia.
Conclusion

In patients with porcelain ascending aorta, cardiac surgery is still challenging. Cardiac surgeons need to recognize the growing importance of this condition to increase the possibility of preoperative diagnosis and optimization of the therapeutic approach. MD-CT with MIP and VR provides valuable information for preoperative evaluation in patients with porcelain aorta and it is a useful strategy for preoperative planning to devise a suitable surgical procedure for these patients. To reduce the risk of stroke and aortic dissection, appropriate preoperative evaluation and adoption of a suitable strategy are required for cardiac surgery in patients with porcelain ascending aorta.
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