Behavioral palatability of dietary fatty acids correlates with the intracellular calcium ion levels induced by the fatty acids in GPR120-expressing cells
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ABSTRACT
We recently reported that G-protein-coupled receptor 120 (GPR120) is expressed on taste buds, and that rodents showed preference for long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) at a low concentration. We also showed that the LCFA (1% linoleic acid) increased the extracellular dopamine (DA) level in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), which participates in reward behavior. However, the mechanism underlying the involvement of the GPR120-agonistic activity of LCFA in the palatability of dietary fat remains elusive. Therefore, we examined the association between the GPR120-agonistic activity and palatability of LCFA. First, we measured Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in HEK293 cells stably expressing GPR120 under stimulation by various LCFAs. We then assessed the palatability of the various LCFAs by testing the licking behavior in mice and measured the changes in the NAc-DA level by in vivo microdialysis. Consequently, 14- to 22-carbon unsaturated LCFAs showed strong GPR120-agonistic activity. Additionally, mice displayed high licking response to unsaturated 16- and 18-carbon LCFAs, and unsaturated 18-carbon LCFA significantly increased the DA level. The licking rate and the LCFA-dependent increase in DA level also correlated well with the GPR120-agonistic activity. These findings demonstrate that chemoreception of LCFA by GPR120 is involved in the recognition and palatability of dietary fat.

Most animals, including rodents, as well as humans prefer fat-rich foods (3, 23). The consumption of corn oil has been reported to produce a reward effect in mice, and the dopaminergic pathway in the nervous system has been implicated in the manifestation of this effect (13, 30). Additionally, sham and real feeding of corn oil were reported to induce the activation of the midbrain dopamine (DA) system, which is involved in reward behavior (1, 17). The mesolimbic system is thought to play a critical role in the reward effect, and the release of DA has been demonstrated when a natural and drug reward is acquired or when its acquisition is anticipated (26, 27). The midbrain dopaminergic circuits originate from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and project to different sites, such as the nucleus accumbens (NAc), amygdala, and the prefrontal area, which are related to motivation, palatability, and addiction (6, 19, 25). Iwakura et al. showed that the secretion of ghrelin, a hormone that stimulates food intake, is induced by DA in a ghrelin-producing cell line MGN3-1 (14). Since the extracellular concentration of DA in the NAc of the rat increases in a dose-dependent manner after self-administration of cocaine or consumption of sucrose, release of DA in the NAc could be considered as a form of index for the palatability or motivational drive (9, 21).

Recent studies have revealed that chemoreceptors of long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs) are involved in the
demonstrated the regulation of K⁺ by lingual lipase (15). Gilbertson et al. demonstrated the regulation of K⁺ channels in type II taste cells by unsaturated LCFAs and suggested that fatty acid chemoreceptors were present within taste cells (7). We found that CD36 fatty acid transporter was expressed on the apical side of taste cells in the circumvallate papillae (4). Additionally, CD36-deficient mice were reported to show a low taste preference for fat (16). Moreover, we reported that GPR120 was also expressed on the apical side of taste cells in the circumvallate papillae (18). The unsaturated LCFAs, such as oleic acid and linolenic acid, induced a rise in concentration of intracellular calcium ion (Ca²⁺) in Human Embryonic Kidney 293 (HEK293) cells stably expressing GPR120. On the other hand, LAFA esters and capric acid did not induce this increase in concentration (11). When the licking behavior of the mice was tested, it was found that the mice exhibited equally strong preference for a low concentration of linoleic acid as that for 100% corn oil (29). In addition, the mice exhibited a similar strong preference for LCFAs, such as oleic, linolenic, and linoleic acid, whereas they did not display any preference for LCFA esters nor long-chain fatty alcohols (28). Moreover, ingesting linoleic acid at a low concentration increased extracellular DA release in the NAc of rats (1). Compared with wild-type mice, GPR120 knock-out mice showed a lower preference for LCFAs and lower response of the chorda tympani and glossopharyngeal nerve to LCFAs (2). These findings lead us to postulate that, in the oral cavity, fat is hydrolyzed to LCFA by lingual lipase and that chemoreception of this LCFA by receptor proteins, such as CD36 and GPR120 expressed on the apical side of taste cells, could be involved in the oral recognition and palatability of fats. However, it remains unclear as to which structural characteristics of LCFA are responsible for the GPR120-agonistic activity and if these activities could be implicated in the palatability of LCFA.

Therefore, in this study, we examined the relationship between the GPR120-agonistic properties of ligands and the palatability of LCFAs. First, using HEK293 cells stably expressing human GPR120, we examined the effect of various LCFAs on the concentration of Ca²⁺, by using a fluorescence spectrophotometer. We then assessed the palatability for a variety of LCFAs at a low concentration by testing the licking behavior of the mice. Next, we studied the change in the extracellular concentration of DA in the NAc of the mice by in vivo microdialysis after ingestion of various low-concentration LCFAs. In both the tests, we used 0.0322 mol/L fatty acid in mineral oil. The molar concentration was equal to a volume/volume% concentration of 1% linoleic acid. This concentration of fatty acid was in the range that could be released from fat by lingual lipase and had only 1/100th of the calorie content of fats of the same weight.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

[Ca²⁺], analysis

Cell culture. HEK293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in a humidified, 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C. Human GPR120 cDNA from the lung was provided by Pharmafoods International Co., Ltd. (Kyoto, Japan).

Transfection into cells. Human GPR120 was transfected into HEK293 cells using the lipofection method as per the manufacturer’s instructions. For the control, an empty vector was transfected into the HEK293 cells (Empty). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 400 μg/mL G418 (Wako, Osaka, Japan) for the selection of the transfected cells, following which a single clone was selected by the standard limiting dilution method. GPR120 expression in cells was confirmed by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Transfected cells were maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and G-418 (400 μg/mL).

Reagents for [Ca²⁺], analysis. All fatty acids—caprylic acid, C8:0; capric acid, C10:0; lauric acid, C12:0; myristic acid, C14:0; myristoleic acid, C14:1; palmitic acid, C16:0; palmitoleic acid, C16:1; stearic acid, C18:0; oleic acid, C18:1; linoleic acid, C18:2; linolenic acid, C18:3; stearidonic acid, C18:4; arachidic acid, C20:0; arachidonic acid, C20:4; eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), C20:5; behenic acid, C22:0; docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), C22:6; methyl oleate, methyl linoleate, and methyl linolenate—were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and stored at −20°C until use. All cell culture reagents (HEPES, Hanks buffer, DMEM, FBS, penicillin-streptomycin, and Lipofectamine) were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). All other chemicals, unless stated otherwise, were purchased from Sigma.
Fat palatability mediated via GPR120

**Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization assay.** Ca\(^{2+}\) loading buffer comprised of 5 μL Fluo-3AM (1 μM; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) and 10 μL Pluronic F-127 (Wako), diluted to yield 10 mL Ca\(^{2+}\) assay buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 0.01% BSA, 1 mM Probenecid [Wako] in Hanks solution) (12). On the day before the assay, 5 × 10\(^4\) cells were seeded in 96-well, poly-D-lysine-coated plates (BD BioCoat, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The cells were washed once with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in a final volume of 100 μL/well in Ca\(^{2+}\) loading buffer for 60 min at 37°C. Then, the cells were washed twice with Ca\(^{2+}\) assay buffer and the assay was carried out in 100 μL of Ca\(^{2+}\) assay buffer. Changes in Ca\(^{2+}\) levels were monitored by a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Powerscan HT, DS Pharma Biomedical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and emission wavelength of 528 nm. The maximum intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\)) fluorescence intensity was obtained as the mean of triplicate assays. Test samples for the Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization assay were prepared by sonication in Ca\(^{2+}\) buffer just prior to the assay.

**Behavioral test**

**Animals.** This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Kyoto University Animal Experimentation Committee, in complete compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and it was approved by the above-mentioned committee. Male BALB/c mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) at 8 weeks of age were housed in plastic cages in a room with a 12-h light-dark cycle (dark phase of 18:00−6:00) and constant temperature (24 ± 1°C). They were separately housed for > 5 days for acclimatization to the environment. The animals were provided with tap water and regular MF mouse food (Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan) ad libitum.

**Materials.** Corn oil was purchased from Ajinomoto (Tokyo, Japan) and mineral oil was purchased from Kaneda Company (Tokyo, Japan). All fatty acids—caproic acid, C6:0; caprylic acid, C8:0; capric acid, C10:0; lauric acid, C12:0; myristic acid, C14:0; myristoleic acid, C14:1; palmitic acid, C16:0; palmitoleic acid, C16:1; stearic acid, C18:0; oleic acid, C18:1 cis-9; elaidic acid, C18:1 trans-9; cis-vaccenic acid, C18:1 cis-11; trans-vaccenic acid, C18:1 trans-11; linoleic acid, C18:2; linolenic acid, C18:3; stearidonic acid, C18:4; arachidic acid, C20:0; arachidonic acid, C20:4; behenic acid, C22:0; docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), C22:6; lignoceric acid, C24:0; methyl oleate, methyl linoleate, and methyl linolenate—were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). They were 99% pure, stored at −20°C until use and then diluted in mineral oil to 0.0322 mol/L, which is equivalent to a v/v% concentration of 1% linoleic acid. Capric acid, lauric acid, myristic acid, palmitic acid, stearic acid, elaidic acid, trans-vaccenic acid, arachidic acid, behenic acid, and lignoceric acid do not dissolve at room temperature. Therefore, we heated each fatty acid solution to 75°C to eliminate the effects of difference in temperature. For testing the licking behavior, we used all the above fatty acids. In the microdialysis test, we used capric acid, lauric acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, docosahexaenoic acid, and methyl linoleate. The other reagents were purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan).

**Evaluation of the licking behavior**

**Apparatus for the test.** Licking behavior was evaluated in a custom-made licking test chamber (Muro-machi Kikai, Tokyo, Japan) previously described (22). In brief, the test chamber (150 × 120 × 130 mm) was made of Plexiglas with an automatic shutter placed on the front wall, 1.5 cm above the metal-grid floor. When the shutter opened, mice gained access to a stainless steel drinking spout. The licking response was recorded by a computer. The licking rate was calculated for 60 s starting from the first lick. Given this very short period, we can rule out any contribution of post-ingestive feedback to the licking behavior.

**Evaluation of the licking behavior.** To allow the mice to be habituated to the test environment and to get accustomed to ingesting corn oil and mineral oil, they were kept in the test chamber for 30 min and offered corn oil and mineral oil for 30 min. This training lasted until the mice could discriminate corn oil from mineral oil to the same degree as the previous report (29). After training, the mice were offered linoleic acid and stearic acid. We confirmed that the preference for linoleic acid was high and that for stearic acid was low, similar to the previous report (28). The licking behavior of the mice was then tested. In the test, the mice were offered the test fluids for 30 min once a day in the test chamber. We recorded the licking rate for 1 min from the first lick and the intake for 30 min from the start of presentation of the test fluid. To avoid order effects, each mouse was offered the test fluids in a different order.
**Microdialysis surgery**. The animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (Nembutal; Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Tokyo, Japan) and placed in a stereotaxic frame modified for surgery in mice. The skulls of the mice were subsequently exposed and stereotaxic frame modified for surgery in mice. The coordinates for the NAc guide cannula (AG-5; Eicom, Kyoto, Japan) were AP, 1.2; ML, 0.6; and DV, 3.2 from the bregma. The coordinates were determined according to the stereotaxic atlas of Paxinos and Franklin (20). The cannulas were secured to the skull with an LOCTITE 454 adhesive bond (Henkel Franklin (20). The cannulas were secured to the skull with an LOCTITE 454 adhesive bond (Henkel, Yokohama, Japan). A dummy AD-5 cannula (Eicom) was inserted into the guide cannula and secured with an AC-1 cap nut (Eicom). The mice were allowed 3 to 5 days to recover from the surgery. Each mouse implanted with a probe in the NAc was used for a single microdialysis procedure with a single test liquid.

**Procedure.** The experiments were conducted during the light period of the light-dark cycle. The dummy cannula was removed on the day of the experiment, and the Al-5-1.5 microdialysis probe (Eicom, 1.5 mm membrane length) was inserted into the NAc via the guide cannula. The mice were placed in the microdialysis cage at 8:00 a.m. for 3.5 h without food and water, and then presented with the test liquid at 11:30 p.m. for 10 min. The amount of liquid ingested was also recorded. The rats remained in the microdialysis cage for another 80 min after presenting the test liquid. Ringer’s solution containing 147 mM Na\(^+\), 4 mM K\(^+\), 2.3 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), and 155.6 mM Cl\(^-\) was perfused at 3 μL/min by an ESP-64 micro-syringe pump (Eicom). Dialysate collection was started 30 min before the liquids were presented, and the collection was conducted every 10 min for a total of 120 min thereafter. To quantify DA and 5-HT levels in the dialysate, samples were analyzed by reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with an electrochemical detector, using an Eicompak PP-ODS II column (4.6 i.d. × 30 mm long; Eicom). The voltage applied was set at 400 mV (relative to an Ag/AgCl reference electrode). The mobile phase at a flow rate of 500 μL/min consisted of a 98% (v/v) 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 6.0, 2% (v/v) methanol, 500 mg/L sodium decane sulfate, and 50 mg/L EDTA-2Na. The mean value obtained from 3 samples from −30 to −10 min was set as the 100% baseline level, and all subsequent sample values were expressed as a percentage of the baseline value.

**Histological analysis.** Upon completion of the experiment, the mice were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital. The brain was removed from the skull, frozen, and cut into 20-μm sections. The placement of the microdialysis probe was verified by thionine blue staining. Data obtained from the mice with inappropriate probe placement were excluded from the analysis.

**Statistics.** Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Data from [Ca\(^{2+}\)] assay were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s post hoc test. Data obtained by testing the licking behavior were analyzed using one-way repeated ANOVA and Dunnett’s post hoc test. Data from the 2-bottle preference test were analyzed by a paired t-test. Changes in DA and 5-HT levels were compared with the corresponding baseline value by one-way repeated ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple-comparison test as a post-hoc test. Mean differences among the 3 groups at each time point were analyzed by two-way repeated-measures ANOVA and Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison as a post-hoc test. The amount of each fluid ingested during microdialysis was analyzed by a one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s test as a post-hoc test. Correlation coefficient was obtained by Pearson correlation test. *P* values of 5% or less were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted by using the Prism 6 software package.
RESULTS

Intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ Assay in HEK293 cells

Fig. 1 shows the maximum intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ fluorescence intensity in the response induced by 10 μM of different fatty acids. Intracellular calcium levels in the response induced by myristic acid (C14:0), myristoleic acid (C14:1), palmitic acid (C16:0), palmitoleic acid (C16:1), oleic acid (C18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2), linolenic acid (C18:3), stearidonic acid (C18:4), arachidonic acid (C20:4), eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5), and docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6) were higher than those induced by the buffer (versus buffer by Dunnett’s test: $P < 0.01$ for palmitic acid; $P < 0.001$ for other fatty acids). On the other hand, the level of response induced by caprylic acid (C8:0), capric acid (C10:0), lauric acid (C12:0), stearic acid (C18:0), methyl oleate (C18:1-CH$_3$), methyl linoleate (C18:1-CH$_3$), methyl linolenate (C18:3-CH$_3$), arachidic acid (C20:0), and behenic acid (C22:0) were not significant.

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

**Fig. 1** Intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ ([Ca$^{2+}$]) level increases induced by 10 μM of various fatty acids in HEK293 cells stably expressing GPR120. [Ca$^{2+}$] response induced by 10 μM test fatty acid in HEK293 cells GPR120 was monitored by a fluorescence spectrophotometer for 1 min at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 528 nm. [Ca$^{2+}$] level was expressed as the maximum fluorescence intensity observed in 1 min. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n = 3, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, versus control buffer).

Evaluation of the licking behavior

Fig. 2 shows the initial licking rate with various fatty acids. The mice exhibited a significantly higher licking rate with palmitoleic acid (C16:1), oleic acid (C18:1 cis-9), linoleic acid (C18:2), linolenic acid (C18:3), stearidonic acid (C18:4), arachidonic acid (C20:4), and docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6) than with mineral oil (versus mineral oil by Dunnett’s test: $P < 0.01$ for arachidonic acid; $P < 0.001$ for other fatty acids). On the other hand, the mice did not respond significantly to caproic acid (C6:0), caprylic acid (C8:0), capric acid (C10:0), lauric acid (C12:0), myristic acid (C14:0), myristoleic acid (C14:1), palmitic acid (C16:0), stearic acid (C18:0), elaidic acid (C18:1 trans-9), cis-vaccenic acid (C18:1 cis-11), trans-vaccenic acid (C18:1 trans-11), methyl oleate (C18:1-CH$_3$), methyl linoleate (C18:2-CH$_3$), methyl linolenate (C18:3-CH$_3$), arachidic acid (C20:0), and behenic acid (C22:0), and lignoceric acid (C24:0).
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**Fig. 2** Initial licking rate with various fatty acids at a concentration of 0.0322 mol/L in the test performed to evaluate licking behavior. Mice (n = 15) were offered a bottle of the test fluid and the licking rate over the first 60 s was recorded as the initial licking rate. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (**$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, versus initial licking rate with mineral oil).
test: \( P < 0.01 \) for palmitic acid and elaidic acid; \( P < 0.001 \) for other fatty acids) (Table 1). However, the intake amount for all other fatty acids was not significant.

**Microdialysis test**

1. **Validation of the preference for oil after ingestion training**

The 2-bottle preference test on day 3 before surgery demonstrated that the mice significantly preferred corn oil to mineral oil (Fig. 3, \( P < 0.05 \) by the paired \( t \)-test).

2. **Effect of intake on the extracellular DA and 5-HT level in the NAc**

**Fatty acid intake in the microdialysis test.** The intake of oleic acid and linoleic acid was greater than that of mineral oil during the microdialysis test (Fig. 4; \( P < 0.05 \) vs. mineral oil by Dunnett’s test). The intake of corn oil, caprylic acid, lauric acid, stearic acid, methyl linoleate, and docosahexaenoic acid was not significant.

**Table 1** Intake amounts for various types of fatty acid in the licking test for 30 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acid</th>
<th>Carbon chain length</th>
<th>Intake (g/30 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineral oil</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caproic acid C6:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprylic acid C8:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capric acid C10:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauric acid C12:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristic acid C14:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristoleic acid C14:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic acid C16:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleic acid C16:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic acid C18:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid C18:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.27***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaidic acid C18:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-Vaccenic acid C18:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-Vaccenic acid C18:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid C18:2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.10***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic acid C18:3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.12***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearidonic acid C18:4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.17***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl oleate C18:1-CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl linoleate C18:2-CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl linolenate C18:3-CH₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidic acid C20:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonitic acid C20:4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behenic acid C22:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoic acid C22:6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignoceric acid C24:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SEM (n = 15). **\( P < 0.01 \), ***\( P < 0.001 \), vs. mineral oil.

**Fig. 3** Preference for corn oil before surgery. Mice (n = 48) were subjected to a 2-bottle choice test with the presentation of 100% corn oil and 100% mineral oil at the same time for 10 min, and the amount of each liquid ingested was recorded. Data are presented as the mean intake ± SEM summed for 10 min per mouse (\( P < 0.0001 \), corn oil vs. mineral oil intake by a paired \( t \)-test).

**Fig. 4** Mean intake of each liquid per mouse with the 10-min presentation during the microdialysis session. Each mouse was presented with the test liquids (n = 6 for corn oil; n = 5 for mineral oil; n = 5 for capric acid; n = 5 for lauric acid; n = 5 for stearic acid; n = 6 for oleic acid; n = 6 for linoleic acid; n = 5 for methyl linoleate; n = 5 for DHA) at 0 min for 10 min. Data are presented as the mean intake ± SEM (\( *P < 0.05 \); \( **P < 0.01 \), versus mineral oil intake).
the mice ingesting mineral oil, capric acid (C10:0), lauric acid (C12:0), stearic acid (C18:0), methyl linoleate (C18:2-CH3), or docosahexaenoic acid (C22:6); however, the DA level in the mice ingesting corn oil was higher than baseline value at 0 to 20 min (versus baseline by Tukey’s multiple-comparison test: 142.3 ± 4.7% at 0 min, P < 0.05; 147.3 ± 9.8% at 10 min, P < 0.05; 142.7 ± 14.2% at 20 min, P < 0.05). The DA level in the mice ingesting oleic acid (C18:1) was significantly higher than baseline value at times of 0 to 10 min (versus baseline: 132.3 ± 6.4% at 0 min, P < 0.05; 140.8 ± 2.6% at 10 min, P < 0.01). The DA level in the mice ingesting linoleic acid was significantly higher than baseline value at time point from 0 to 10 min (versus baseline: 148.0 ± 10.7% at 0 min, P < 0.05). The DA level in the mice ingesting corn oil was significantly higher than that in the mice ingesting mineral oil at 10 min by Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test (versus mineral oil: P < 0.05). The DA level in the mice ingesting linoleic acid (C18:2) was significantly higher than that in the mice ingesting mineral oil at 0 min by Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test (versus mineral oil: P < 0.05). The DA levels in the mice ingesting capric acid, lauric acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, methyl linoleate, and docosahexaenoic acid were not significantly higher than that in the mice ingesting mineral oil. At the time when the

---

**Fig. 5** Time-course change in the extracellular dopamine (DA) and serotonin (5-HT) levels in the mouse nucleus accumbens (NAc) during ingestion of the test liquids (100% corn oil, 100% mineral oil, and 0.0322 mol/L fatty acid) by mice. Each mouse was presented with the test liquids at 0 min for 10 min, all subsequent sample values being expressed as a percentage of this baseline value, and as the mean ± SEM at each time point. **A,** Time-course change of DA in the NAc is shown after ingesting the liquid (n = 6 for corn oil; n = 5 for mineral oil; n = 5 for capric acid; n = 5 for lauric acid; n = 5 for stearic acid; n = 6 for oleic acid; n = 6 for linoleic acid; n = 5 for methyl linoleate; n = 5 for DHA). Asterisks (*) represents significant increase from the baseline value (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01). Lowercase letters shows the statistical significance of the difference from the value for the mineral oil group at corresponding time points (a, for corn oil, P < 0.05; b, for linoleic acid, P < 0.05). **B,** DA levels within the duration of 0 to 10 min during presentation of the liquid (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, versus the mineral oil group). **C,** Time-course change of 5-HT in the NAc is shown after ingesting the liquid (n = 5 for corn oil; n = 3 for mineral oil; n = 4 for capric acid; n = 3 for lauric acid; n = 4 for stearic acid; n = 6 for oleic acid; n = 4 for linoleic acid; n = 3 for methyl linoleate; n = 3 for DHA).
mice was ingesting fluid (at 0 min), DA levels in the mice presented with corn oil, oleic acid, and linoleic acid were higher than that in the mice presented with mineral oil (Fig. 5B; versus mineral oil by Dunnett’s test: \( P < 0.01 \) for corn oil and linoleic acid; \( P < 0.05 \) for oleic acid).

**Time-course change in the 5-HT level in the mouse NAc.** Fig. 5C shows the time-course changes in the 5-HT level in the NAc of mice that had ingested each liquid. There was no difference in the baseline extracellular 5-HT concentrations in the NAc (Table 2). There were no significant changes to 5-HT levels in the mice ingesting each fluid. Fig. 6 shows the placement of all the microdialysis probes into the NAc.

**Correlations between \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) fluorescence changes and initial licking rate between, and accumbens dopamine level**

Fig. 7A shows a positive correlation between initial licking rate and the consumption of the different fatty acids in mice and maximum intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) level estimated in cultured cells induced by corresponding fatty acid (Pearson correlation; \( r = 0.8005, P < 0.0001, n = 19 \)). Additionally, there was a positive correlation between the 30 min intake amounts for fatty acids and [\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)] levels (data not shown; Pearson correlation; \( r = 0.7308, P = 0.0004, n = 19 \)). Fig. 7B shows a positive correlation between cumulative value of DA levels in the NAc at 0 to 80 min in the mice offered various types of fatty acid and [\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)] levels (Pearson correlation; \( r = 0.8688, P = 0.0111, n = 7 \)). Additionally, there was a positive correlation between DA levels at the time (0 to 10 min) when the mice were offered the fluids and [\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)] levels (data not shown; Pearson correlation; \( r = 0.7829, P = 0.0374, n = 7 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

**Intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) assay**

Since there was no response to fatty acids in the HEK293 cells transfected with an empty vector, it was confirmed that the fluorescence changes stimulated by fatty acids were the GPR120-specific responses (data not shown).

The addition of saturated and unsaturated 14- and 16-carbon fatty acids induced a significantly higher increase in [\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)], than that of the control buffer in the HEK293 cells stably expressing GPR120. Additionally, in the 18-, 20-, and 22-carbon fatty acids, increases in [\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)] induced by unsaturated fatty acids were significantly higher than those induced by control buffer, whereas saturated forms of these fatty acids caused no significant response. These results demonstrate that saturated 14- and 16-carbon fatty acids and unsaturated 14-, 16-, 18-, 20-, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>The basal extracellular dopamine (DA) and serotonin (5-HT) concentrations in the mouse nucleus accumbens in the microdialysis test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA concentration</td>
<td>5-HT concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ng/μL)</td>
<td>(ng/μL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn oil</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral oil</td>
<td>0.042 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capric acid</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauric acid</td>
<td>0.047 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid</td>
<td>0.043 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl linoleate</td>
<td>0.047 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoic acid</td>
<td>0.052 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SEM (n = 5–6 for DA; n = 3–6 for 5-HT).
Fat palatability mediated via GPR120

result suggests that carbon chain length is involved in the palatability of fatty acid. In addition, since the mice displayed high licking response to unsaturated LCFAs (palmitic acid, 16:0; stearic acid, 18:0; arachidic acid, 20:0; and behenic acid, 22:0), these results indicate that palatability of fatty acids is affected by the saturation state of the fatty acid. Moreover, the mice showed a significantly higher licking rate with oleic acid, linoleic acid, and linolenic acid. On the other hand, the mice did not respond significantly to corresponding methyl esters, namely, methyl oleate, methyl linoleate, and methyl linolenate. Yoneda et al. reported that the mice exhibited low palatability for not only fatty acid ester but also fatty alcohol (28). These results imply that terminal carboxyl group of fatty acids is involved in their palatability. Further, the licking rate with oleic acid (cis-9-octadecenoic acid) was significantly higher than that with mineral oil. However, there was no difference in the licking rates with geometric isomer of oleic acid, elaidic acid (trans-9-octadecenoic acid) and mineral oil. Additionally, the licking rates with cis-vaccenic acid (cis-11-octadecenoic acid) and trans-vaccenic acid (trans-11-octadecenoic acid) which are regioisomers of oleic acid and elaidic acid, respectively, had no such effect. The results show that conformation of the fatty acid is important in the manifestation of palatability, in at least C18:1 fatty acids.

In this study, we observed that the amount of fat-
ty acid intake over 30 min did not necessarily correlate with the initial licking rate. For example, the licking rates of myristoleic acid and elaidic acid were not significantly higher than that of mineral oil; however, their intake was greater than that of mineral oil. A possible explanation for this difference is that the post-ingestive effects of these acids may have promoted their consumption. In contrast, the licking rate of DHA was significantly higher than that of mineral oil, while the intake amount was not. Harden et al. reported that DHA stimulated significantly more release of cholecystokinin (CCK) than other LCFAAs such as linoleic acid and oleic acid in secretin tumor (STC-1) cells (10). Therefore, release of CCK from the duodenum, which is suppressed after DHA ingestion, may suppress the overall intake of this fatty acid over 30 min.

Change in DA level in microdialysis test
Previously, we have reported that extracellular DA level in the NAc of rats was elevated by ingesting 1% (v/v) linoleic acid, which had a very low caloric level (approximately 0.09 kcal/g), as compared to the similar increase observed with as high as 100% corn oil (9 kcal/g) ingestion (1). This showed that the reward value of fat, at least as estimated from the increase in DA level in the NAc, was determined by the chemoreception of LCFAAs in the oral cavity, not by its caloric density. Similarly, in this study using mice, 100% corn oil and 1% linoleic acid significantly increased the NAc DA levels. Oleic acid also resulted in a significant increase in DA level. On the other hand, capric acid, lauric acid, stearic acid, methyl linoleate, and DHA caused no significant change in the DA levels. This result suggests that 18-carbon length, saturated state of fatty acid, and terminal carboxyl group are important for the rise in DA level observed upon the ingestion of these LCFAAs. Further, the intake amount of oleic acid and linoleic acid during the 10 min of presentation in the microdialysis test was significantly greater than that of mineral oil, whereas other fatty acids presented in the microdialysis test showed no difference in consumption than that of mineral oil. These results indicated that the characteristics of fatty acids that caused an increase in the DA level corresponded well with the palatability of LCFAAs.

Correlation between [Ca$^{2+}$], level, licking rate, and DA level
In this study, the GPR120-agonistic activity of LCFA as estimated in cell culture correlated with the initial licking rate and the increase in DA level. This suggests that the chemoreception of LCFA via GPR120 is the first step in the manifestation of this effect. [Ca$^{2+}$] levels stimulated by myristic acid and myristoleic acid were higher than those achieved by using the control buffer, and the mice did not show significantly higher licking response to these fatty acids as compared to mineral oil. This difference may be attributed to the influence of the flavors in these fatty acids, which may have resulted in the mice avoiding their consumption. Godinot et al. reported that non-fatty acid agonists of GPR120 activated the glossopharyngeal nerve of mice and that the mice did not show any preference for non-fatty acid agonists of GPR120 (8). These findings imply that the palatability of LCFAAs at low concentration may not consist of only GPR120 and that composition of the palatability may include other mechanisms such as chemoreception via CD36 and GPR40. Both of CD36 and GPR40 are reported to be expressed in the taste cell, while GPR120 is reported to be involved in the recognition and palatability of fat in the oral cavity (24). Further studies using GPR120 knockout mice are necessary to determine whether the expression of GPR120 is implicated in the palatability of fat and increase in DA level when ingesting fat. The agonistic activity of receptor proteins expressed in the oral cavity, such as CD36 and GPR40 requires investigation and a detailed study is warranted, on the relationship between agonistic activity and palatability using animal models.

To summarize, we found that saturated 14- and 16-carbon fatty acids and unsaturated 14-, 16-, 18-, 20-, and 22-carbon fatty acids are strong ligands for GPR120 that cause an increase in [Ca$^{2+}$] levels in the cultured cells. We also revealed that mice have high palatability for unsaturated 16-, 18-, 20-, and 22-carbon fatty acids. Moreover, DA level in the NAc of mice was elevated after ingestion of unsaturated 18-carbon acid to the same extent as 100% corn oil. Further, the agonistic activity of GPR120 ligands correlated with the palatability of LCFAAs in the oral cavity and the reward values based on DA levels in the NAc. These findings suggest that the chemoreception of LCFAAs via GPR120 is implicated in the palatability of LCFAAs, and they support the notion that the chemoreception of LCFAAs released from fat by lingual lipase plays an important role in the detection of fat in the oral cavity as well as fat palatability, including the reward effect.
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