Effect of plantar subcutaneous administration of bergamot essential oil and linalool on formalin-induced nociceptive behavior in mice
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ABSTRACT
This study investigated the effect of bergamot essential oil (BEO) or linalool, a major volatile component of BEO, on the nociceptive response to formalin. Plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool into the ipsilateral hindpaw reduced both the first and late phases of the formalin-induced licking and biting responses in mice. Plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool into the contralateral hindpaw did not yield an antinociceptive effect, suggesting that the antinociceptive effect of BEO or linalool in the formalin test occurred peripherally. Intraperitoneal and plantar subcutaneous injection pretreatment with naloxone hydrochloride, an opioid receptor antagonist, significantly attenuated both BEO- and linalool-induced antinociception. Pretreatment with naloxone methiodide, a peripherally acting opioid receptor antagonist, also significantly antagonized the antinociceptive effects of BEO and linalool. Our results provide evidence for the involvement of peripheral opioids in antinociception induced by BEO and linalool. These results suggest that activation of peripheral opioid receptors may play an important role in reducing formalin-induced nociception.

The essential oil of bergamot (BEO; Citrus bergamia Risso) is one of the most commonly used essential oils and is familiar to most of the general public. BEO is obtained by cold pressing of the epicarp and part of the mesocarp of the fresh bergamot fruit. BEO consists of a volatile (93–96%) and a nonvolatile fraction (4–7%); the former contains monoterpenes and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons and oxygenated derivatives such as linalool and linalyl acetate, while the latter fraction contains waxes, polymethoxylated flavones, coumarins, and psoralens such as bergamottin and bergapten (6, 14). BEO has been reported to minimize symptoms of stress-induced anxiety and mild mood disorders, as well as cancer pain, however the mechanistic basis for its use in such applications awaits discovery (1).

A previous in vitro study showed that BEO reduced neuronal damage caused by excitotoxic stimuli (5), and significantly increased the extracellular levels of the inhibitory amino acid neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in rat hippocampus (15). Linalool is a monoterpenic compound and is the main volatile component of the essential oils of various plants, including BEO. It has previously been reported that linalool administration produced antibacterial, anticonvulsant, and anti-inflammatory effects, as well as showing antinociceptive activity in several behavioral assays (2–4, 16–20). Furthermore, linalool can significantly reduce both mor-
phine tolerance and dependence (9). However, the antinociceptive efficacy of plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO and linalool on the formalin-induced nociceptive response is unknown.

The formalin test is an experimental model by which to assess an animal’s response to moderate, continuous pain generated by tissue damage. When injected into the hindpaw of mice and rats, formalin induces characteristic biphasic licking and biting behaviors in response to continuous noxious stimuli originating from the changes occurring at the site of injection. The behavior consists of a first phase, occurring about 5 min after the injection of formalin, and then after a quiescent period, a second phase occurring from 10 to 30 min after injection. The first phase occurs in response to direct stimulation of the sensory nerve endings by formalin and indicates acute pain, while the second phase occurs in response to the ensuing inflammation and indicates persistent pain (10, 25, 26).

The main aim of the present study was to investigate whether plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool would produce antinociception in the context of formalin-induced nociception in mice. In addition, this study sought to assess the involvement of the peripheral opioid system in the antinociceptive effects of BEO and linalool.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Male ddY-strain mice (Japan SLC, Hamamatsu, Japan) weighing an average of 23–25 g at the time of testing were used in these experiments. The mice were individually housed in a colony maintained in a controlled environment (12 h light/dark cycle, room temperature 23°C, 50–60% relative humidity). The animals had unlimited access to food pellets and water. All behavioral experiments took place during the light period between the hours of 10:00 and 16:00 in a quiet room. The animals belonging to the various treatment groups (n = 10 each group) were tested in randomized order. All experiments followed the Guidelines on Ethical Standards for Investigation of Experimental Pain in Animals (29). Additionally, the study was approved by the Committees of Animal Care and Use of Tohoku Pharmaceutical University.

Materials. BEO was kindly provided by the Simone Gatto company (San Pier Niceto, Messina, Italy). The composition of the essential oil of bergamot used here has been previously reported by Corasaniti et al. (5). Briefly, BEO contained 0.38% α-limonene, 70.26% linalyl acetate, 18.95% linalool, 0.62% γ-terpinene, and 0.03% β-pinene. The following drugs and chemicals were used: (±) linalool and formalin (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), naloxone hydrochloride and naloxone methiodide (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). BEO and linalool were diluted in jojoba wax (Simmondsia chinensis) (K.S.A. International Co. Ltd., Kanagawa, Japan) to reach total amounts of 1.25–10 μg (11, 23). Jojoba wax alone had no effect on formalin-induced nociception. Formalin, naloxone hydrochloride, and naloxone methiodide were dissolved in physiological saline (0.9% wt/vol).

Formalin test. In the formalin test, mice were placed into a transparent cage (22.0 cm × 15.0 cm × 12.5 cm high) which also served as an observation chamber and were allowed to adapt to their environment for 1 h before testing. After this period, plantar subcutaneous injection of 20 μL formalin (2% in saline) using a microsyringe with 26-gauge needle. Each mouse was immediately returned to the observation chamber after injection. The recording of the first response (first phase) started immediately and lasted for 10 min (0–10 min). The recording of the late response (late phase) started 10 min after formalin injection and lasted for 20 min (10–30 min). In both phases, licking and biting of the injected hindpaw were defined as a nociceptive response and the total time (s) of the response was measured with a handheld stop-watch.

Experimental protocol. BEO and (±) linalool were injected plantar surface of the right hindpaw 10 min before local injection of formalin (23). The opioid receptor antagonists, naloxone hydrochloride and its quaternary form, naloxone methiodide, were pre-injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) 30 min before plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO and linalool. Naloxone hydrochloride was injected into the hindpaw 15 min before plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO and linalool. Naloxone methiodide is thought not to cross the blood-brain barrier and is used as a research tool to peripheral sites of action for drugs acting on opioid receptors (13). The effect of BEO and linalool, administered the plantar surface of the contralateral (left hindpaw) or ipsilateral paws, was also studied. In all experiments the observer was unaware of the treatment. Plantar subcutaneous and i.p. injections were given in a volume of 20 μL/site and
Naloxone hydrochloride (16 mg/kg, i.p.) significantly reversed the inhibitory effects of BEO or linalool on the formalin-induced behavioral response (Fig. 3A–D). In further experiments, naloxone hydrochloride was injected directly into the same site on the hind-paw before plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool. Plantar subcutaneous injection pretreatment with naloxone hydrochloride (16 or 32 μg) also significantly and dose-dependently antagonized the antinociceptive effects of BEO or linalool (Fig. 4A–D).

**DISCUSSION**

The effects of the essential oil, BEO and of its main oxygenated monoterpene linalool were investigated in a mouse formalin pain model. Plantar subcutaneous injection of either BEO or linalool reduced behavioral signs of formalin-induced nociception in a dose-dependent manner. Neither BEO nor linalool injected into the contralateral paw was antinocicep-
Second phase involves peripheral inflammation and central sensitization (10). The nociceptive behavior consists of licking and biting the injected paw (21, 24).

BEO protects against N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-induced cell death by inducing the sustained phosphorylation of Akt kinase (5). Also, BEO significantly increased the extracellular levels of GABA in the hippocampus in freely moving rats (15). Linalool inhibited the biting response induced by intrathecal injection of IL-1β or TNF-α (3). Available evidence indicates that linalool could produce antinociception through interactions with opioid, muscarinic M2 or adenosine A1 receptors, or by modulating nitric oxide (NO) synthesis (16, 18–20). There is also evidence to suggest that linalool modulates glutamatergic neurotransmission via NMDA receptors (2, 7). Recently, we reported that plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool reduced nociceptive responses in mice.

The formalin model of nociception is useful to investigate both acute and continuous (tonic) nociception as there is a biphasic behavioral response (first phase and second phase) to formalin. The first phase reflects an acute, transient chemical stimulus and the second phase involves peripheral inflammation and central sensitization (10). The nociceptive behavioral response consists of licking and biting the injected paw (21, 24).

BEO protects against N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-induced cell death by inducing the sustained phosphorylation of Akt kinase (5). Also, BEO significantly increased the extracellular levels of GABA in the hippocampus in freely moving rats (15). Linalool inhibited the biting response induced by intrathecal injection of IL-1β or TNF-α (3). Available evidence indicates that linalool could produce antinociception through interactions with opioid, muscarinic M2 or adenosine A1 receptors, or by modulating nitric oxide (NO) synthesis (16, 18–20). There is also evidence to suggest that linalool modulates glutamatergic neurotransmission via NMDA receptors (2, 7). Recently, we reported that plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool reduced nociceptive responses in mice.

**Fig. 2** Effects of bergamot essential oil (BEO) (A, B) or linalool (C, D) injected into the hindpaw, ipsilateral or contralateral (Contralat.) to the site of formalin injection, on formalin-induced biphasic nociceptive behavior in mice. Each compound was injected subcutaneously 10 min prior to 2% formalin. Nociceptive behavior in both the first (0–10 min, Phase I; A, C) and late (10–30 min, Phase II; B, D) phases was scored as the amount of time spent licking and biting the injected paw. Jojoba wax was used as a control and this failed to affect formalin-induced biphasic nociceptive behavior. Values represent the mean ± S.E.M. for 10 mice in each group. Statistical differences between the groups were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. ***P < 0.001 compared with the jojoba wax control.
BEO and linalool antinociception

...suggesting that BEO and linalool may be effective in reducing nociception linked to a range of paradigms.

The present data suggest that plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool significantly reduces formalin-induced nociception. Plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool into the contralateral hindpaw did not yield antinociceptive effects on formalin-induced nociception, strongly supporting a peripheral effect of BEO or linalool on cutaneous nociceptors. In order to assess the possible participation of either opioid receptors or opioid peptides in plantar subcutaneous injection of linalool-induced antinociception, the effect of opioid receptor antagonists on the antinociceptive activity of linalool was tested. The antinociceptive effect produced by linalool was reversed by plantar subcutaneous injection pretreatment with naloxone hydrochloride. Similar results were obtained with respect to plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO-induced antinociception. These results suggest that both BEO- and linalool-induced antinociception may be mediated through opioid receptors in the periphery. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that pretreatment with the peripherally acting opioid receptor antagonist naloxone methiodide significantly antagonized the antinociception conferred by plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool. Naloxone methiodide may interact with opioid receptors associated with peripheral nerve endings.

It is conceivable that plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO and linalool might cause the peripheral release of endogenous opioid peptides and that these might be responsible for the peripherally mediated antinociceptive effect. Keratinocytes are known to synthesize and secrete the opioid peptide β-endorphin.

Fig. 3 Antagonism induced by i.p. injection of naloxone hydrochloride (Nal) of antinociception produced by bergamot essential oil (BEO) (A, B) or linalool (C, D). Nal was pre-injected i.p. 30 min before plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO or linalool. Values represent the mean ± S.E.M. for 10 mice per group. Statistical differences between the groups were assessed with one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test. ***P < 0.001 compared with the saline (i.p.) + jojoba wax control. ###P < 0.001 compared with the saline (i.p.) + BEO (10 μg) group or linalool (5 μg) group.
It seems likely that BEO-induced antinociception and antiallodynia may be dependent on the amount of linalool and/or linalyl acetate present in the oil. In agreement with this hypothesis, the present study showed that linalool possessed more potent antinociceptive activity than BEO in the formalin test.

In conclusion, plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO and linalool each reduced the nociceptive response as assayed by the formalin test. Furthermore, our data suggest that the antinociceptive effects of BEO and linalool were antagonized by the plantar subcutaneous injection of naloxone hydrochloride and i.p. naloxone methiodide, which act as antagonists at predominantly peripheral opioid receptors. Linalool had more potent antinociceptive activity than BEO in the formalin test. The present results (27, 28). It is worth noting that proopiomelanocortin, the precursor of a variety of neuropeptides including β-endorphin (8, 12), is constitutively expressed by keratinocytes that are abundant in the skin.

The most abundant component of BEO is the monoterpene alcohol linalool and its corresponding ester (linalyl acetate). Linalool and linalyl acetate have been shown to possess anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive properties (23). Previously we showed that linalool was much more potent than either linalyl acetate or BEO in inhibiting the nociceptive response to plantar subcutaneous injection of capsaicin (23). Indeed, injection of the hindpaw with essential oil of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*), which is known to contain extremely small amounts of linalool and linalyl acetate, lacked significant antinociceptive activity in the capsaicin test (22). It seems likely that BEO-induced antinociception and antiallodynia may be dependent on the amount of linalool and/or linalyl acetate present in the oil. In agreement with this hypothesis, the present study showed that linalool possessed more potent antinociceptive activity than BEO in the formalin test.

In conclusion, plantar subcutaneous injection of BEO and linalool each reduced the nociceptive response as assayed by the formalin test. Furthermore, our data suggest that the antinociceptive effects of BEO and linalool were antagonized by the plantar subcutaneous injection of naloxone hydrochloride and i.p. naloxone methiodide, which act as antagonists at predominantly peripheral opioid receptors. Linalool had more potent antinociceptive activity than BEO in the formalin test. The present results
BEO and linalool antinociception indicate that the use of either BEO or linalool may be a promising therapeutic approach to managing formalin-induced pain.
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