Food Allergen-induced IgE Response Mouse Model Created by Injection of in vitro Differentiated Th2 Cell Culture and Oral Antigen Intake
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Immunoglobulin (Ig) E is a mediator of food allergic reaction; however, the mechanisms of its production in response to an ingested antigen are not fully understood. For analysis of IgE production, here we propose an IgE response mouse model created by injection of a Th2 cell culture and feeding of an egg white diet. According to this manipulation, total and ovalbumin specific IgE production were elevated in this model. We think our model enables us to analyze IgE induction by Th2 cells in food allergy and can contribute to the development of a treatment for food allergy.
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Food allergy is raised by adverse immune reaction to a food protein with various symptoms including life-threatening anaphylaxis. Several papers have reported that the number of patients allergic to food proteins has been increasing recently, particularly in children in developed countries [1, 2]. In food allergic patients, Th2 immune responses are significantly activated in response to food antigens, whereby Th2 cells play an important role in establishment of food allergic inflammation. The Th2 cell is the phenotype of CD4+ helper T cells that produces Th2 cytokines including IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13. These cytokines mediate allergic responses in many ways, for example, by instructing B cells to produce immunoglobulin (Ig) E, activating mast cells or basophils, and attracting eosinophils at the site of allergic inflammation [3]. Among them, IgE is the important mediator of food allergic reaction because it cross-links the Fcc receptor on mast cells or basophils and makes them to degranulate to elicit acute anaphylaxis [4]. Class switching in antigen-specific B cells is enhanced to IgE from IgM by interaction with Th2 cells. To reduce IgE responses for treatment of food allergy, we need to clarify the mechanisms of excess production of serum IgE in patients, but this has not been fully understood.

To clarify the mechanisms, mouse models are very helpful, and many features of food allergy have been clarified by using them [5–8]. However, it is difficult to examine how antigen–specific IgE production is elevated under inflammatory Th2 circumstances in food allergy model mice sensitized by an antigen coadministered with adjuvant and induced by subsequent antigen feeding. In these models, the source of antigen-specific T cells is not definitely identified, and the process of differentiation to the Th2 phenotype cannot be followed up.

To overcome these problems, we exploited a new food allergen-induced IgE response mouse model that was created by only antigen-specific Th2 cell culture transfer and oral antigen ingestion using Rag2 gene-deficient and T cell receptor (TCR) transgenic mice. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to show that by adoptive transfer of in vitro differentiated antigen-specific Th2 cell culture to wild type mice, the serum IgE level was elevated in response to an ingested antigen.
To prepare antigen-specific CD4+ T cells, we used Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice with the Balb/c background, which are Rag2 gene-deficient and ovalbumin (OVA) specific TCR transgenic mice. All T cells in this mouse express an OVA-specific TCR. These mice were originally provided by Dr. Y. Wakatsuki (Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University), and breeding was consigned to Sankyo Labo Service Corporation Inc (Tokyo, Japan). Balb/c mice were purchased from CLEA Japan Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). These mice were housed under specific pathogen free conditions at the University of Tokyo. Female mice, over 8 weeks old, were used for this research. All experiments were performed in accordance with guidelines for animal care and use of the University of Tokyo.

Antigen-specific Th2 cells were induced from splenic CD4+ T cells of Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice in vitro. Initially, we prepared single-cell suspensions from splenocytes of Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice. CD4+ T cells were isolated by using a MACS cell separation system (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). For antigen stimulation of CD4+ T cells in the culture, single-cell suspensions of splenocytes obtained from Balb/c mice treated with mitomycin C (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were used as antigen-presenting cells (APCs).

To differentiate naïve CD4+ T cells to polarized Th2 cells, we tried the 6 protocols of culture summarized in Fig. 1A and the lower part of Fig. 1B. In all protocols, CD4+ splenic T cells from Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice (1×10^5 cells/well) were initially stimulated with 10 mg/ml OVA (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 ng/ml recombinant (r) IL-4 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) and 5 µg/ml anti-IL-12 (clone C17.20.8) with APCs (4×10^5 cells/well) in 96-well round-bottom plates in 100 µl complete RPMI medium (Nissui, Tokyo, Japan). On day 3, cells were collected and washed, and 1×10^6 cells/well were restimulated with APCs (4×10^5 cells/well) and OVA (1 mg/ml) for 48 hours, and cytokine productions were measured by ELISA.

To differentiate naïve CD4+ T cells to polarized Th2 cells, we used the 6 protocols of culture summarized in Fig. 1A and the lower part of Fig. 1B. In all protocols, CD4+ splenic T cells from Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice (1×10^5 cells/well) were initially stimulated with 10 mg/ml OVA (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 ng/ml recombinant (r) IL-4 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) and 5 µg/ml anti-IL-12 (clone C17.20.8) with APCs (4×10^5 cells/well) in 96-well round-bottom plates in 100 µl complete RPMI medium (Nissui, Tokyo, Japan). On day 3, cells were collected and washed, and 1×10^6 cells/well were restimulated with 10 mg/ml OVA again in a 24-well plate(s) in 1.5 ml medium. To seek an appropriate Th2 cell-inducing protocol, we stimulated the cells by 2 different ways in addition to OVA for further culture; (1) in protocols 2 and 6, APCs (4×10^6 cells/well) were added, and (2) in protocol 3, rIL-4 (5 ng/ml) was added. On day 8, cells were collected, and cells in protocols 1-3 (1×10^5 cells/well) were restimulated with APCs (4×10^5 cells/well) and OVA (1 mg/ml) to examine IL-4 and IFN-γ production. After 48 hours of incubation, supernatants were collected and stored at -20°C for further analysis.
On the other hand, cells of protocols 4-6 were washed again, and $1 \times 10^6$ cells/well were stimulated a third time with OVA (10 mg/ml) and APCs (4 $\times 10^6$ cells/well) in 24-well plates on day 8. In protocol 5, rIL-4 (5 ng/ml) was added. On day 15, cells in protocols 4-6 were collected and restimulated as in protocols 1-3 on day 8 to examine IL-4 and IFN-γ production.

IL-4 and IFN-γ levels in the supernatants were detected by sandwich ELISA. The wells of an immunoplate (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with rat anti-mouse IL-4 (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA; clone 11B11) or rat anti-mouse IFN-γ (BD Pharmingen; clone R4-6A2) mAbs respectively. After blocking with BSA, the test samples and standard cytokine preparations were added. Subsequently, bound cytokines were detected by biotinylated rat anti-mouse IL-4 (BD Pharmingen; clone BVD6-24G2) or rat anti-mouse IFN-γ (BD Pharmingen; clone XMG1.2) mAbs, respectively, followed by streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate (BD Pharmingen), and enzyme substrate ($p$-nitrophenylphosphate).

We tested these protocols to determine which was most effective for induction of Th2 cells and found that protocols 4 and 5 showed higher IL-4 production and lower IFN-γ production than other protocols (Fig. 1B). Since the two protocols differed only in that in one rIL-4 was added in the third antigenic stimulation and the results were similar, we decided to use protocol 4 without adding rIL-4 in the third stimulation. It was unexpected that protocols 4 and 6 would differ so much in IFN-γ production, since the difference between these two protocols was only whether APCs were added at the 2nd stimulation. APCs added at the 2nd stimulation seemed to induce a Th1-shifted response. Confirming protocol 4 in brief, naïve Splenic CD4+ T cells were initially stimulated on day 0 as described above. Cells were collected on day 3, and $1 \times 10^6$ cells/well were restimulated with OVA (10 mg/ml) in 24-well plates in 1.5 ml medium. On day 8, cells were collected again and restimulated with OVA (10 mg/ml) and APCs (4 $\times 10^6$ cells/well) in 24-well plates in 1.5 ml medium. On day 15, cells were collected for adoptive transfer to naïve wild-type mice. Media were added appropriately during this culture period. T cells differentiated by this protocol were efficiently polarized to Th2, secreting more IL-4 and less IFN-γ than naïve CD4+ T cells from Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice (Fig. 2A).

To elicit a response to orally administered allergen protein in wild-type mice, Th2 cell cultures made in protocol 4 were adaptively transferred into naïve Balb/c mice by intravenous injection ($2 \times 10^7$ cells/head). On the day after transfer, these Balb/c mice started to be fed an egg white diet (EW diet; Funabashi Farm, Funabashi, Japan) or control diet (CE-2 diet; CLEA Japan Inc.) which was fed to them 14 days. After EW or CE-2 diet feeding, blood was collected, and the serum was separated. The schedule for this is summarized in Fig. 2B.

We assessed the quantities of total IgE and OVA-specific IgE, IgG1 and IgG2a in the sera. Determination of total and OVA-specific IgE levels was carried by ELISA. To determine the total and OVA-specific IgE levels, wells were coated with rat anti-mouse IgE mAb (BD Pharmingen; clone R35-92) or OVA, respectively, with biotinylated rat anti-mouse IgE mAb (Serotec, clone LO-ME-2) as the detection antibody. Then, streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate and an enzyme substrate ($p$-nitrophenylphosphatase) were subsequently added. Mouse IgE mAb (BD Pharmingen), or pooled serum obtained from Balb/c mice immunized with OVA and alum were used as standards. To assay OVA-specific IgG1 and IgG2a, the wells were coated with OVA. After the test samples were added, bound antibodies were detected by means of alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies (Zymed, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The OVA-specific antibody levels were expressed as relative units.

As a result, total IgE was significantly elevated in Th2 cell culture-injected/EW-fed (Th2/EW) mice compared with PBS-injected/EW-fed (PBS/EW) mice or Th2 cell culture-injected/CE-2-fed (Th2/CE-2) mice (Fig. 3A). The OVA-specific IgE level increased in Th2/CE-2 mice compared with PBS/EW mice, although this was not statistically significant (Fig. 3B). This phenomenon can be explained by the small number of APC, contained in the injected Th2 cell culture, which possessed OVA induced IgE production. It was already reported that injection of naïve mice with dendritic cells (DCs) from allergic mice induced antigen-specific IgE production [9]. Similar to this report, DCs may play some role in our model. More remarkably, Th2/EW mice showed significantly higher OVA-specific IgE production compared with PBS/EW mice. Taken together, these results suggest that injected Th2 cells responded to OVA in the EW diet and facilitated IgE production.

To confirm that a Th2 response was facilitated in Th2/EW mice, OVA-specific IgG1 and IgG2a were also measured. OVA-specific IgG1 was significantly higher in Th2/EW mice than in PBS/EW mice or Th2/CE-2 mice (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, there was no significant difference in OVA-specific IgG2a production, although the antibody titers in Th2/EW mice tended to be higher than in the other groups. This result confirmed that Th2 culture injection and antigen ingestion induced Th2-
dominant responses. Collectively, we have shown here in this mouse model that IgE production was elevated by Th2 cell culture injection and an EW diet.

Many food allergy mouse models have been created, and mechanisms of allergic reaction have been clarified by using them [5–8]. Most models use an adjuvant to elevate OVA-specific IgE production, the detailed mechanism of which is unknown. Some groups showed that CD4+ T cells prepared from mice with food allergic inflammation transfer intestinal immune and allergic responses to naïve wild-type mice [10, 11]. While there is no doubt that the Th2 cell is important for food allergic responses, there has been no model that could clarify how Th2 cells that elevate IgE production are induced. The important feature of our mouse model shown here is that total and OVA-specific IgE are elevated in the wild-type mouse by in vitro differentiated Th2 culture and antigen ingestion. This model may be applied to analyze what kind of Th2 cell, i.e., Th2 cells differentiated under what kinds of conditions, induce B cell class switch to IgE. So, our model is thought to be helpful to analyze IgE production evoked by Th2 cells in response to an orally administrated antigen in vivo.

Our group previously reported that OVA23-3 mice transgenic for OVA 323-339-specific TCR develop a food allergic reaction in response to consumption of EW diet only [12]. In this model, antigen-specific Th2 cells are suggested to be important for inducing food allergic reaction. So we also tried to induce Th2 cells from Rag2−/− OVA23-3 mice in vitro in addition to Th2 cells from Rag2−/−DO11.10 mice. While CD4+ T cells from naïve Rag2−/− OVA23-3 mice were intravenously injected into naïve Balb/c mice, and the mice were fed the EW diet from the day after injection for 14 days. After feeding of the EW diet, blood was collected, and Ig in the sera was analyzed by ELISA.
Detailed study will be needed to clarify the reason for this, but significant stimulation via IL-4 receptor may enhance deletion of the T cells in the case of Rag2−/− OVA23-3 mice.

In addition to OVA23-3 mice, DBA/2 mice were also reported to produce antigen-specific IgE following antigen feeding [14]. Antigen-specific IgE production in DBA/2 mice was elevated when they were fed a casein diet, not EW diet. The authors of that report mention that antigen-specific IgE production in Balb/c mice was not elevated by only casein or EW diet feeding. This suggests that the different immunogenic properties of each allergen and genetic background of individuals can play roles in IgE elevation. We showed here that OVA-specific IgE was elevated in Balb/c mice in response to an ingested antigen after injection of OVA-specific Th2 culture cells and feeding of an EW diet.

We have previously shown that feeding the EW diet to OVA 23-3 TCR transgenic mice induces intestinal inflammation accompanied by weight loss. However, we could not observe significant weight reduction in Th2/EW mice compared with Th2/CE-2 mice (data not shown). Furthermore, it was reported that CD4+ T cells isolated from food allergic inflammatory mice could mediate food allergic inflammation to naïve Balb/c mice [11]. These suggest that, to evoke allergic symptoms in Balb/c mice, transfer of Th2 cells induced in vitro by rIL-4 and anti-IL-12 stimulation with splenic APCs is insufficient. Inflammatory DCs, which express OX-40L were reported to promote Th2 skewing [15]. Signaling from OX-40 on T cells may be necessary for differentiation into inflammatory Th2 cells, and this may be missing in the Th2 cell cultures we used.

In conclusion, our report showed that naïve Balb/c mice injected with an in vitro differentiated Th2 cell culture could respond to the oral administered antigen and evoke total and OVA-specific IgE. Further studies to establish effective protocols to induce Th2 cell cultures that can develop clinical symptoms by adoptive transfer may contribute to elucidation of some mechanisms of food allergy. For more general application to other allergens, it is desirable to induce antigen-specific Th2 cells from CD4+ T cells of wild-type mice in vitro and used them to elicit allergic inflammation. To develop this, the methodology indicated in the current study using Balb/c mouse will be useful not only to clarify the character of Th2 cells that mediate allergic inflammation but also to regulate the antigen-specific allergic response.
Furthermore, this model may be useful in investigation of allergen-specific anti-allergic functions of food components.
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