Synergetic Effect of Indomethacin with Adriamycin and Cisplatin on Tumor Growth
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In this study, we have examined the antitumor effect of combined administrations of indomethacin (IND) with chemotherapeutic drugs on tumor growth. Colon 26 clone 20 (C20) cells and monocyte chemotactant protein-1 (MCP-1) transfected C20 cells (C20βA-2-1) were used and these cells were inoculated into the footpad of BALB/c mice. At day 1 after tumor inoculation, treatment with 0.001% IND via the drinking water was commenced. At days 4, 6, and 8, adriamycin or cisplatin was administered intravenously at a dose of 5 mg/kg or intraperitoneally at a dose of 2 mg/kg, respectively. Although IND, adriamycin and cisplatin only partially reduced the growth of the C20 tumors after treatment with each drug on its own, a marked synergistic effect was observed when they were given in combination. A synergistic effect between IND and cisplatin on C20βA-2-1 was also observed. However, IND itself showed no suppression of C20βA-2-1 tumor growth. These results suggest that combination of indomethacin with chemotherapeutic drugs could be an effective form of cancer chemotherapy. The observed effects may be dependent on the expression of MCP-1.
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Prostaglandins (PGs) are known to be one of the regulators of tumor growth and spread.1–3,5 PGs facilitate tumor growth by suppressing the immune system4–6 while indomethacin (IND), an inhibitor of PG production, has been reported to suppress tumor growth in some animal tumor models.1–3,5,7–12 and several reports have suggested that IND facilitates tumors.13–16 Although the antitumor efficacy of IND remains controversial, Tanaka et al. reported that IND given to mice with large burdens of colon 26 alleviated the cachexia. We previously established a cell line from C20, adenocarcinoma cell line which induces severe cancer cachexia. We previously established a cell line from C20, adenocarcinoma cell line which induces severe cancer cachexia. These results suggest that IND may restore tumor-induced disorders in homeostasis. Several studies have been reported showing that IND exhibits synergistic effects with several other biological response-modifiers and chemotherapeutic drugs in transplanted tumor models.18–20 We have shown that IND and bleomycin (BLM) exhibit a strong synergistic effect.21 In this report, we carried out further investigations of a combination of IND with adriamycin (ADM) or cisplatin (CDDP), which have different potencies and sites of action compared with BLM.

Previously, we have shown that monocyte chemotactant protein-1 (MCP-1) acts synergistically with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) on tumor growth.22 Therefore, we also examined the possibility that MCP-1 expression may influence the synergistic antitumor effects of IND and chemotherapeutic drugs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials IND was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical, Ind. (Tokyo, Japan), BLM and CDDP were purchased from Nihon Kayaku (Tokyo, Japan), ADM was purchased from Kyowa Hakko (Tokyo, Japan) and all other chemicals used were of reagent grade.

Mice Female BALB/c mice (8-weeks old) were obtained from Clea (Tokyo, Japan). They were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and their body weight was measured twice a week between 9:00 and 11:00 a.m. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and complied with the standards set out in the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals on the Takara-machi Campus of Kanazawa University.

Cell Culture Clone 20 (C20) is a subclone of the colon 26 adenocarcinoma cell line which induces severe cancer cachexia. We previously established a cell line from C20, transfected MCP-1, designated C20βA-2-1.22 These cell lines were grown as monolayer cultures in complete medium consisting of RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin G, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

Inoculation of Tumor Cells The adherent cells were collected after a brief period of trypsinization and counted. Nine-week-old female mice were inoculated in the footpad of the right hind limb with 1×106 tumor cells per mouse suspended in 0.04 ml sterilized endotoxin-free PBS. The tumor size at the injected site was determined by measuring the footpad thickness with calipers. At day 1 after tumor inoculation, treatment with 0.001% IND via the drinking water was commenced. At days 4, 6, and 8, BLM and CDDP were administered intraperitoneally at a dose of 5 mg/kg and 2 mg/kg, respectively. ADM was administered intravenously at a dose of 5 mg/kg. The tumor incidence (number of mice with tumor/number of mice inoculated) referred to 14 and 21 d of treatment without IND or with IND, respectively. When BALB/c mice rejected C20 or C20βA-2-1 cells, they were re inoculated with tumor cells in the left footpad, using 1×105 tumor cells per mouse. After the second inoculation, mice were not treated with chemotherapeutic drugs or IND. The tumor incidence referred to 21 d after the second transplantation.

Assay of in Vitro Sensitivity of C20 or C20βA-2-1 to Chemotherapeutic Drugs Subconfluent cells were treated...
with trypsin and transferred to 24-well plates at a concentration of $1 \times 10^5$ cells per well. After a 24 h incubation at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$, ADM or CDDP was added to the culture medium at the concentration indicated, with or without 10 mM IND. After a further 48 h incubation, the cells were treated with trypsin and counted. Student’s t-test was used to compare unpaired means of two data sets. A value of $p<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in Fig. 1A, tumor growth was partially inhibited by ADM after the third administration when administered on its own. IND was effective up to two weeks after tumor inoculation. However, after two weeks, the growth rate of the tumor was almost the same as that in the controls. On the other hand, the tumor growth was markedly suppressed by the combination of IND and ADM. A similar synergistic effect was observed with the combination of IND and CDDP (Fig. 1B). We previously demonstrated that IND and BLM had a synergistic effect on in vivo C20 tumor growth in immunocompetent mice but this effect was not observed in severely combined immunodeficient mice. The present results suggest that IND may act as an activator of tumor immunity in conjunction with ADM or CDDP.

MCP-1 gene transfer to C20 tumor cells reduced the effect of IND on in vivo tumor growth when IND was administered on its own. The inhibitory effect of ADM on C20βA-2-1 tumor growth was less potent than that of CDDP (Figs. 2A, B). There was a synergistic effect of IND with ADM, although this was somewhat reduced. Strong inhibitory effects on tumor growth were observed with IND and CDDP coadministered to both C20 and C20βA-2-1 tumors. Our previous observation indicated that MCP-1 acted synergistically with LPS on tumor growth. MCP-1 gene transfer increased considerably the number of macrophages infiltrating the tumor. On the other hand, several studies have suggested that there is a correlation between tumor malignancy and MCP-1 secretion from the tumor. Although it remains unclear whether tumor-associated macrophages can be divided into subtypes, it has been shown that the antitumor activity of macrophages is inversely correlated with the production of PGE$_2$ and directly correlated with the production of leukotrienes. Under treatment with IND, the tumor incidence rates of C20βA-2-1 were higher than those of C20 (Table 1). Thus, MCP-1 gene transfer seems to increase the malignancy of the tumor. These data could explain the reported controversial effects of IND on tumor growth.

We then examined whether IND increased the sensitivity of C20 and C20βA-2-1 to ADM or CDDP in vitro. The plasma concentrations of IND in mice, measured by HPLC, were about 3 to 6 μM. Therefore, we cultured C20 and C20βA-2-1 cells at the indicated concentration of ADM or CDDP, with or without 10 μM IND. IND did not affect the dose-dependence of ADM or CDDP toxicity on both C20 and C20βA-2-1 (Fig. 3). There were no significant differences between the control and IND treatment. These results
suggest that the synergistic effect is not due to a direct action of IND on C20 and MCP-1 gene transfer having an effect on the sensitivities of C20 to ADM, CDDP and IND in vitro.

We have reported that both IND and ADM act as activators of antitumor immunity and have a strong synergistic effect. Without IND, ADM or CDDP, the tumor cells were rejected by the mice that were reinoculated with tumor cells (Table 1). Antitumor immunity was also observed against MCP-1 transfectant when ADM, BLM or CDDP was administered in combination with IND.

Although the mechanism by which MCP-1 reduces the antitumor effect of IND remains unclear, several possibilities have been suggested. Firstly, it is reported that MCP-1 is involved in T_{H}2 polarization. Therefore, MCP-1 might suppress cellular immunity. Recently, it has also been reported that IND inhibits angiogenesis and MCP-1 induces it. Angiogenesis might be involved in the mechanism whereby MCP-1 reduces the antitumor effect of IND. Expression of MCP-1 at the tumor site of C20 has also been reported. Although the amount and time-course of expression of MCP-1 was not examined, MCP-1 might be responsible to the fact that IND was effective up to two weeks but ineffective after that time.

The tumor-bearing mice were cachectic at two weeks after the tumor inoculation and a severe weight loss was observed (data not shown). IND also inhibited the weight loss of tumor-bearing mice. Tanaka et al. reported that IND inhibited the early transplant of colon 26 adenocarcinoma and they also found that IND given to mice with large tumor burdens alleviated the cachexia, resulting in an increased survival time, even although the growth of the tumor had been promoted. In clinical studies, it has been reported that anti-inflammatory treatment may prolong the survival of undernourished patients with metastatic solid tumors. In conclusion, the combination of IND with ADM or CDDP appears to induce antitumor immunity as does the combination of BLM with IND. Thus, it may be possible that the combined administration of IND with chemotherapeutic drugs is able to prevent tumor recurrence and metastases. Although the antitumor growth effect of IND remains controversial, it is possible that IND is able to restore tumor-induced disorders in homeostasis and could be used as an adjuvant for chemotherapeutic drugs.
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