Influence of Electrical and Chemical Factors on Transdermal Iontophoretic Delivery of Three Diclofenac Salts
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The aim of this present study was to investigate the in vitro transdermal iontophoretic delivery of three diclofenac salts—diclofenac sodium (DFS), diclofenac potassium (DFP), and diclofenac diethylammonium (DFD). A series of physicochemical and electrical variables which might affect iontophoretic permeation of diclofenac salts was studied. Application of 0.3 mA/cm² current density significantly increased the transdermal flux of diclofenac salts as compared to passive transport. The iontophoretic enhancement increased in the order of DFS > DFP > DFD. The permeability coefficient of diclofenac salts all decreased with increasing donor concentration during iontophoresis. The addition of buffer ions and salt ions such as NaCl, KCl, and C₄H₁₂ClN reduced the permeation of diclofenac salts due to competition. However, this effect was lesser for DFD than for DFS and DFP. Comparing the various application modes of iontophoresis, the discontinuous on/off mode showed lower but more constant flux than the continuous mode.
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Diclofenac has been widely used, systemically and locally, as an antiinflammatory agent. It has been reported that orally administered diclofenac undergoes hepatic first-pass metabolism and considerable gastrointestinal disturbances.1, 2) Transdermal delivery is suitable for diclofenac to overcome these two major shortcomings of oral therapy. Iontophoresis is defined as the migration of ions when an external electric field is passed through a vehicle containing charged compounds. Based on the literature, the permeation of ionic drugs such as diclofenac can be facilitated by the application of iontophoresis.3)

Several variables may influence the transdermal iontophoretic permeation of drug molecules, including physicochemical properties of the drug, the vehicle composition, the electrical factors and skin barrier properties.4, 5) The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of electrical and chemical factors of iontophoresis on in vitro transdermal permeation of diclofenac. Three diclofenac salts with various physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties including diclofenac sodium (DFS), diclofenac potassium (DFP), and diclofenac diethylammonium (DFD) were utilized as model drugs to compare the differences of these salts in iontophoretic behaviors. The electrical and chemical variables examined in this study such as current density, drug concentration, ionic strength and iontophoretic application mode can control and optimize the delivery rate of diclofenac salts. Moreover, the magnitude of drug iontophoretic permeability can be influenced by the type and quantity of ions present in vehicle.6) The experiment and mechanism of this effect was also demonstrated in the present study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials Diclofenac sodium (DFS), diclofenac potassium (DFP), and diclofenac diethylammonium (DFD) were gifts kindly provided by Novartis Pharmaceutical Co., Switzerland. Sodium chloride (NaCl), potassium chloride (KCl), and diethylammonium chloride (C₄H₁₂ClN) were supplied by Merck Co., Germany. All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade.

In Vitro Permeation Experiments The in vitro permeation study was performed by using side-by-side glass diffusion cells. The skin of female nude mouse (11—12 weeks old) was used as the model membrane in this study. A 8 ml citrate–phosphate buffer (pH 7.4; 0.06 M) was used as the medium for receptor compartment. The drug concentration in the donor compartment was 12.5 mM. The drug was more than 99.9% ionized in the donor compartment of pH 7.4 citrate–phosphate buffer because of its pKₐ value of 4.16.7) The available diffusion area between cells was 0.785 cm². The stirring rate and temperature were kept at 600 rpm and 37 °C. At appropriate intervals, 200 µl aliquots of the receptor medium were withdrawn and immediately replaced by an equal volume of fresh buffer.

Application of Iontophoresis A pair of Ag/AgCl wires with an effective working length of 15 mm was immersed in the buffer solution as electrodes, with the cathode in the donor compartment and anode in the receptor compartment. The cathode and anode were each positioned 3 cm from the side of skin. The electrodes were connected to a constant current power supplier (Model 7651, Yokogawa Co., Japan).

Chromatographic Analysis The amount of DFS, DFP, and DFD was analyzed by the HPLC method modified from Huang et al.8) The HPLC system consisting of a Hitachi L-7100 pump, a Hitachi L-7200 sample processor and a Hitachi L-4000H UV detector. A 12.5 cm long, 4.0 mm inner diameter stainless steel column with Lichrospher® C-18 column (Merck Co., Germany) was used. An automated integrator system (Hitachi D-7500) was used to determine the area under the curve. The mobile phase for diclofenac salts consisted of a methanol/0.05% acetic acid solution (65:35, v/v) mixture. The flow rate was 1.0 ml/min with UV absorbency monitoring at 260 nm.

Data Analysis The total amount of drug permeating through the unit diffusion surface and into the receptor was calculated and plotted as a function of time. The flux was calculated by the slope of the linear portion of cumulative amount-time plots for zero-order model and expressed as the
mass of drug passing across 1 cm² of skin over time. The permeability coefficient was calculated by dividing the flux by initial drug donor concentration. The area under the curve (AUC) of flux–time plots was calculated by the trapezoidal method. The ratio of the flux of drugs by iontophoresis to the value by passive diffusion (control group) was determined as the enhancement ratio (ER). The retardation ratio (RR) was determined as (drug flux with competitive ion–drug flux without competitive ion)/drug flux without competitive ion.

**Statistical Analysis** The statistical analysis of the difference between different treatments was detected by using the unpaired Student's t-test. The 0.05 level of probability was taken as the level of significance. The ANOVA test was also utilized in this present study.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effect of Current Density** The amount of DFS, DFP, and DFD appearing in the receptor compartment of the diffusion cells was plotted as a function of time. The three diclofenac salts permeation with or without iontophoresis in pH 7.4 buffer was performed as shown in Fig. 1. The drug was almost completely ionized (99.9%) in the donor compartment. There was no significant difference (ANOVA test, p > 0.05) among the flux of DFS, DFP, and DFD, indicating the counterions did not affect passive transport of diclofenac salts. Application of 0.1 mA/cm² current density during iontophoresis was not enough to enhance the permeation of all compounds relative to their passive transport. The number of permeant molecules which passed through skin increased with the increase of strength of the current density according to Faraday's law. Hence a higher current density of 0.3 mA/cm² was conducted to improve the iontophoretic permeation of diclofenac salts. As shown in Fig. 1, the permeation of diclofenac salts was greatly enhanced by application of 0.3 mA/cm² current. Unlike the passive permeation result, the iontophoretic flux and enhancement ratio (ER, flux with iontophoresis/flux without iontophoresis) increased in the order of DFS ≈ DFP > DFD. Yoshida and Roberts have suggested that the iontophoretic behavior of anionic solutes including diclofenac can be best described by the free volume model. According to this model, the ion sphere mobility has been assumed to be proportional to the fractional volume of the space that is accessible to the ion sphere. Therefore, the molar volume as well as solute radius have been shown to be inversely related to iontophoretic mobility. In addition to these ionselective properties, the skin also shows size-selective effects in iontophoretic transport. Application of iontophoresis may increase the porosity and create pores with effective radii in the lipid matrix. Although the diclofenac anion was dissociated in the donor compartment during iontophoresis, the radius and mobility of diclofenac anion can be affected by its counterion. Previous studies have shown that the radius of diclofenac anion increased with the increase of the molecular weight and radius of its counterion. Therefore the radius of diclofenac showed a trend of DFD > DFP > DFS, which has been shown to be inversely related to the iontophoretic enhancement effect of diclofenac salts.

**Effect of Donor Drug Concentration** Drug concentration is an important parameter since it provides an easy way to control the rate of drug delivery in transdermal iontophoresis. Donor solutions of 6.25, 12.5, and 25 mM drug concentrations were chosen for this study. The flux and permeability coefficient values are reported in Table 1. The permeability coefficient of DFS, DFP, and DFD all decreased with increasing donor concentration. This may be due to the lower activity of the drug in more concentrated solution. Moreover, the skin is not an inert tissue and presents some resistance to the movement of ions. Many small ions present in skin or buffer transport part of current density. This could explain the lack of a strictly proportional relationship between the donor concentration and flux.

**Effect of Donor Buffer Ionic Strength** As discussed above, the ions present in buffer can influence the transport of drug during iontophoresis. It is expected that the variation of ionic strength in the donor solution should be important for iontophoretic permeation of diclofenac salts. The ionic...
strength of donor solution was adjusted using citrate–phosphate buffer with the ionic strength of 0.06 and 0.12 m. The result in Fig. 2 shows that the flux of diclofenac salts decreases as the ionic strength of buffer increases. The low permeation at high ionic strength could be due to the competition of drug ions and buffer ions for the applied current. Most current density would be carried by buffer ions with relatively high mobilities, the actual fraction of the applied current carried by drug ions would be reduced as the concentration of cations in buffer increases in low ionic strength buffer, the effect of ionic strength was stronger in DFD than in DFS and DFP. There are three routes for a drug to permeate the stratum corneum, drug flux branches to these multiple pathways. Our previous study suggested that transappendageal routes may be a more important pathway for DFD than for DFS and DFP under iontophoresis. Since appendages always show lower resistance than the other pathways, the competition between drug ions and buffer ions may be reduced because of the ease of passing through appendages for these ions.

Effect of Competitive Ion Since the magnitude of diclofenac iontophoretic delivery could be affected by the extraneous ions present in donor, a series of 12.5 mM salt ions including NaCl, KCl, and C4H12ClN was added to the donor compartment to examine the competitive effect. After the addition of its own counterion (DFS+NaCl, DFP+KCl, DFD+C4H12ClN), the fluxes of DFS, DFP, and DFD were all significantly reduced (t-test, p<0.05) because of the competitive effect (Table 2). The RR value of DFD was lower than that of DFS and DFP which was the same as the result of increasing buffer ionic strength.

The effect of the salt ions of NaCl and KCl on the iontophoretic permeation of DFS and DFP permeation is shown in Fig. 3. The inhibition of DFS and DFP permeation was greater in NaCl than in KCl. The fraction of the current carried by a particular ion is given by its transference number

\[ J_i = I_f \text{Faraday's constant} \]

where \( J_i \) is the flux of ion species \( I \); \( I_f \), total current density; \( z_i \), valence of ions; \( I \), Faraday’s constant. When applying cathodal iontophoresis with NaCl or KCl, the major ion competing with diclofenac anion from donor to receptor compartment is the negative ion (Cl-). The fraction of total current carried by the cation or by anion of salt is known as the transference number \( t_i \). The sum of the two transference number is obviously equal to unity:

\[ t_c + t_a = 1 \]

The transference number is related to the velocities of the ion and the faster-moving ion carrying the greater fraction of current. The velocity of ion also depends on hydration, ion size and ion charge. The sodium ion of NaCl attracts more water of hydration, resulting in a larger hydrated diameter of sodium ion than potassium ion. The sodium ion in NaCl solution hence moves more slowly than the potassium ion in KCl, and hence it has a lower transference number. According to Eq. 2, the transference number for the chloride ion of NaCl was larger than that of KCl resulting in a smaller fraction of current density carried by diclofenac anion in NaCl-added solution.

Comparison of Continuous Iontophoretic Mode and Discontinuous (On/Off) Iontophoretic Mode The flux–time profile for the continuous and discontinuous modes of diclofenac salts during iontophoresis is shown in Fig. 4. This study was conducted at a fixed current of 0.3 mA/cm². Continuous application of iontophoresis was conducted for 2 h. Discontinuous application of iontophoresis was conducted for a 20 min/10 min on/off cycle. Total current application time was 2 h for both modes. The data in Fig. 4 indicate that
The iontophoretic AUC of diclofenac salts is greater for the current applied in a continuous mode than that with a discontinuous mode. The steady-state permeation could be achieved by the discontinuous mode (Fig. 4). During the current-off period, the permeant is desorbing from the skin by passive diffusion until the emptying of the drug reservoir inside the skin.26,27) The desorption time of diclofenac salts from skin after 20 min current-on period may be shorter than 10 min. Hence the maximum diclofenac iontophoretic delivery would never be reached during 20 min/10 min on/off current application.

The application of an electric field may provide sufficient energy to make conformational changes in skin, which can facilitate the entry of permeant. Such conformational changes could occur in structural proteins or lipids in the skin.26) The continuous current application may cause more severe conformation of skin than the discontinuous application since the 20 min current-on period of discontinuous mode may not cause meaningful skin alteration and the following 10 min current-off period may reverse the skin to normal status. The flux of diclofenac salts was abruptly reduced after the cut-off of current density in continuous application (Fig. 4). This could be mainly due to lack of driving force of iontophoresis in the later stage of permeation. This result also indicated that the skin structure may be immediately reversible even after iontophoretic application with longer duration.

**CONCLUSION**

Transdermal iontophoretic delivery offered a strong permeability and short application time for DFS, DFP, and DFD. The present study established the basic iontophoretic properties of diclofenac salts throughout the evaluation of electrical and chemical factors. The iontophoretic flux of DFS and DFP was comparable and significantly higher than that of DFD. The donor diclofenac concentration effect showed that the permeability coefficient decreased with increasing donor
concentration. In varying the ionic strength of donor buffer, the low diclofenac permeation in high ionic strength could be due to the competition between drug and buffer ions during iontophoresis. A similar result was also observed after addition of diclofenac counterion in the donor compartment. This competition showed a smaller effect for DFD than DFS, and DFP, possibly due to the different transport routes across skin for DFD. The flux of diclofenac salts across the skin remained constant although the $\text{AUC}_{0-25h}$ value of the discontinuous mode was lower than that of the continuous mode.
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