Terminal Telomere Repeats Are Actually Short in Telomerase-Negative Immortal Human Cells
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Telomerase-negative immortal human cells maintained telomere length by a mechanism called alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT mechanism). These cells (ALT cells) have two prominent characteristics of long telomere repeats at each chromosome end revealed by Southern blotting (terminal restriction fragments: TRF) and the presence extrachromosomal telomere repeat (ECTR) DNA. We report here that the TRF length of ALT cells revealed by the conventional unidirectional (UD) current or pulse-field (PF) current electrophoresis appeared to be over estimated. The TRF length determined by the pulse inverse-field (PIF) current electrophoresis (2—9 kbp depending upon cell lines) was much smaller than that (ca. 23 kbp) by UD or PF current electrophoresis. These results were in consistent with very weak telomere staining in situ at chromosome ends in ALT cells. When a mixture of HinfI-digested genomic DNA of human diploid fibroblasts and synthetic telomere repeat DNA with similar size of ECTR DNA was electrophoresed using a UD current, the apparent TRF size shifted to larger molecular weight, while the size shift did not occur by PIF current electrophoresis. These results together with other data indicate that the unusually long TRF of ALT cells determined by using conventional electrophoresis is an artifact produced by a complex formed by short TRF and short ECTR DNA.
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Telomeres of eukaryotic cells are DNA–protein complexes that cap chromosome ends and protect them from degradation and end-to-end fusion. Human telomere DNA consists of a tandemly repeated G-rich sequence unit, 5′-TTAGGG-3′ towards the end of the chromosome.1) Normal human somatic cells in culture divide only a finite number of times and finally stop proliferation, because telomere DNA shortens at each replication due to incomplete replication at the extreme 5′ end of the daughter strand and to partial degradation of the template strand from the 5′ end.2—4) Telomere shortening at each division in somatic cells is thought to act as a mitotic clock, signaling the cells to stop proliferation when a certain length of telomere DNA is achieved.5,6) Telomere shortening should be overcome for cells to acquire an unlimited proliferative life span (immortalized), which is generally performed by expression of telomerase activity.7) Most immortal human cells, such as cancer cells and germ tissue cells, have strong telomerase activity.7,8) However, several immortal human cell lines lacking telomerase activity maintain their telomeres telomerase-independently termed “an alternative lengthening of telomeres” (ALT)9,10) by which telomeres are elongated using a recombinational mechanism.11,12) These telomerase-negative immortal human cells, referred to as ALT cells, in culture are believed to retain very long (ca. 23 kbp or more) and heterogeneous terminal restriction fragments (TRF) of telomere repeats detected by Southern blotting compared with telomerase-negative normal mortal somatic cells and telomerase-positive immortal human cell lines (generally smaller than 10 kbp). This is observed by Southern blotting not only following to the conventional (unidirectional field) gel electrophoresis but also to the pulse-field gel electrophoresis that is used for analysis of large DNA.13) Retention of long TRFs (ca. 23 kbp) is also found in in vivo tumor tissues that lack telomerase activity.13) Unusually long TRFs in these cells are assumed to participate in the mechanisms, such as the recombinational process reported in yeast,14) to maintain telomeres independent of telomerase. The other characteristic of telomerase-negative immortal cell lines is the presence of extrachromosomal telomere repeat (ECTR) DNA that is linear duplex detected by both in situ telomere DNA staining and Southern blotting.15,16) By in situ detection,16) ECTR DNA is detected as extrachromosomal dots with various signal intensities in mitotic cell preparations and is co-localized with the human telomere repeat binding protein-1 (TRF-1). By Southern blotting, ECTR DNA is detected as smear band with small size (2—4 kbp) in genome DNA samples, regardless of digestion with restriction enzymes, from various ALT cell lines but not from telomerase-negative normal and telomerase-positive immortal cells. ECTR DNA possibly acts as a primer or a template for telomere elongation by DNA polymerase in telomerase-negative cells. Yeager et al.17) reported that telomerase-negative immortal cells contain a novel promyelocytic leukemia body named ABP that contains promyelocytic leukemia proteins, telomeric DNA, TRF-1 and 2, replication factor A, RAD51, and RAD52. There is an apparent discrepancy between TRF size estimated by Southern blotting and telomere signals observed by in situ staining in ALT cells, i.e., ALT cells have extremely long TRF length by Southern blotting but very weak telomere signals at each chromosome end by in situ detection. This discrepancy is not observed in telomerase-negative normal cells and in telomerase-positive tumor cells or immortal cells. In this paper, we report that TRFs at chromosome ends in ALT cells consist of relatively short telomere repeats, but they appear to be extremely long by Southern blotting, probably because short TRF DNA and a large num-
ber of ECTR DNA molecules form a large complex artificially during DNA preparation or electrophoresis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines Telomerase-negative immortal human cell lines KMST-6, SUSM-1, WI-38VA13 and SaOS-2 were used. As control cells, human embryonic normal fibroblasts (telomerase-negative; mortal) TIG-3 and an SV40-transformed cell line, SVt8 (telomerase-positive; immortal) derived from TIG-3, were used. TIG-3 shows the maximal population doubling level (PDL) of approximately 80. B-N1 was used as an example of mouse cell lines which had very long terminal telomere repeats. All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% fetal bovine serum.

Electrophoresis and Southern Blotting The TRF length was detected by Southern blotting of genomic DNA as we described previously. Purified genomic DNA was digested with a restriction enzyme and was run on 0.7% agarose gel (LO3 TAKARA, TaKaRa BioMedicals, Shiga, Japan) in 0.5x TBE (0.45M Tris–borate pH 8.3, 1 mM EDTA) buffer using uni-directional (UD) current electrophoresis (0.75 V/cm) at room temperature for 15—20 h, or on 1% agarose (Pulse Field Certified agarose, BioRad, CA.) using pulse inverse-field (PIF) current electrophoresis (5.3 V/cm forward, 3.3 V/cm reverse) at 4 °C for 20 h or using pulse field (PF) current (angle 120°) electrophoresis (6 V/cm, initial switch time 1.0 s, final switch time 13.0 s) at 14 °C for 8 h. Cells (5x10^5 or 1x10^6 cells/plug) embedded in a 0.7% agarose plug (NuSieve Agarose, FMC BioProducts, ME, U.S.A.) were lysed in an alkaline solution (50 mM NaOH, 1 m M EDTA) and were electrophoresed in the same alkaline solution using a UD current or a PIF current. Southern blotting was done using 32P-labeled (TTAGGG)4 as a probe. Restriction enzymes recognized as four bases used for TRF analysis were: (recognition sequences are indicated in parentheses): Tsp509I (AATT), BstUI (CGCG), BfaI (CTAG), TaqI (TCA), Msel (TAA), MnlI (CCTC) purchased from New England Biolabs; AluI (AGCT), RsaI (GTAC),MspI (CCGG) from Nippon Gene; Sau3AI (GATC), HaelII (GGCC), HhaI (CGCG) from Takara BioMedicals; Hsp92II (CATG) from Promega, and HinfI (GANTC) from Boehringer Mannheim.

S1 Nuclease Digestion For S1 nuclease digestion, 3 μg of HinfI-digested genomic DNA in 100 μl of 30 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.6) containing 280 mM NaCl and 1 mM ZnSO4 was digested with 10 units of S1 nuclease (TaKaRa BioMedicals) at room temperature for various periods of time.

PCR Amplification of Telomere Repeats and Complex Formation Synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides, (5’-GTT TAG-3’)20 and its opposite strand, were purchased from Sawaday Technology (Tokyo, Japan). Longer telomere repeats of ca. 2 kbp were synthesized using PCR with a synthetic 120-mer, and then ca. 4 kbp were synthesized using a purified ca. 2-kbp-mer. The standard reaction mixture (50 μl) contained 5 pmol primer/template, 5 μl 10xPfu buffer (Stratagene, LaJolla), 4 μl 2.5 mM dNTP, 2.5 μl 80% glycerol, 0.5 μl Pfu polymerase (2.5 units/μl). The 10xPfu buffer contained: 200 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, 60 mM (NH4)SO4, 1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% nuclease-free bovine serum albumin. The Thermal cycle conditions were: (1) initial denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min; (2) 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 90 s. The resulting DNA was purified by phenol–chloroform extraction and was precipitated using ethanol. PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA (3 ng/30 μl) was heat denatured at 100 °C for 5 min and was rapidly chilled on ice water. Denatured DNA solution was mixed with ethanol-precipitated HinfI-digested genomic DNA (3 μg) from TIG-3 or with ethanol-precipitated pACHLT-A (8.1 kbp) plasmid DNA (3 μg) which was linearized by EcoRI digestion, and stood for 24 h at room temperature. The mixture was analyzed by neutral gel electrophoresis using a UD current.

RESULTS

Telomerase-Negative Immortal Human Cells Have an Apparently Long TRF The TRF length detected by Southern blotting of HinfI-digested genomic DNA from telomerase-negative immortal human cells is exceptionally long at 23 kbp or over, which is very different from that of many types of human cultured cells and human tissues (less than 10 kbp). However, as we reported previously, in situ detection of telomere repeats using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) or cycling oligonucleotide-primed in situ synthesis (PRINS) shows that telomere signals at each chromosome end of telomerase-negative immortal cells are undetected in KMST-6 and are weakly detected in SUSM-1 and WI-38VA13, but those of other human cells with a TRF length of around 6—8 kbp are clearly detected. This apparent discrepancy between TRF size estimated by Southern blotting and telomere signals observed by in situ staining in ALT cells could be explained by that the TRF of these cells possibly consisting of short telomeric repeats and long subtelomeric (non-telomere repeat) sequences. If so, some restriction enzymes might cut DNA at a subtelomeric site closer to the terminal telomere repeats, resulting in a shorter TRF length. To investigate this possibility, genomic DNA of WI-38VA13 was digested with one of 14 4-base recognition restriction enzymes and electrophoresed using uni-directional (UD) current. All restriction enzymes, except BstUI (CGCG) and HhaI (GCGC), well digested genomic DNA to various sizes (Fig. 1A). The digestion with two enzymes, BstUI and HhaI, was relatively poor, but their enzyme activity was verified because they digested plasmid DNA. The poor activity of these enzymes digesting human genomic DNA is due to the methylation of cytidine in the CpG sequence. Using Southern hybridization with a probe of telomere repeats, the TRF length of genomic DNA digested by these restriction enzymes was determined as around 23 kbp, except that the DNA of TRFs digested by MnlI showed smear signals, as shown in WI-38VA13 (Fig. 1B). Essentially the same results were obtained from the DNA of KMST-6, SUSM-1 and SaOS-2 (data not shown) and the results of HinfI digested DNA from these cell lines were presented in Fig. 2A. Considering that telomerase-negative immortal cells have weak telomere signals at each chromosome end detected by FISH and that they have apparently very long TRFs shown by Southern blot analysis, a tentative conclusion from these
results is that these telomerase-negative immortal cells have an extremely long subtelomic region with rare recognition sites for restriction enzymes, except for \textit{Mnl}I sites (CCTC).

PF current electrophoresis is used for analysis of very large DNA such as whole chromosomal DNA from yeast. TRF length of mouse cells (B-N1) is known to be very long (over 23 kbp) not only by Southern blotting but also by quantification of telomere repeats by chromosome staining,\textsuperscript{24} and it was confirmed to be always long by 3 different methods of electrophoresis (Fig. 2). The telomeres in ALT cells are very heterogeneous in length, ranging from very long to very short by PF current electrophoresis,\textsuperscript{9,25} TRF of \textit{Hinf}I-digested genomic DNA from ALT cells gave compressed discrete band at around 23 kbp by conventional UD current electrophoresis as shown in Figs. 1B and 2A, but the same DNA gave decompressed smear band with various sizes depending upon cell lines (Fig. 2B). \textit{Hinf}I-digested genomic DNA from normal fibroblasts, TIG-3, gave smear TRF band (around 6—9 kbp) by both UD and PF current electrophoresis.

TRF Length Was Short When Analyzed by Southern Blot Using Pulse Inverse-Field Neutral Gel Electrophoresis Surprisingly, when restriction enzyme-digested genomic DNA from ALT cells were analyzed by PIF current electrophoresis, a dramatic reduction of TRF size of telomerase-negative (ALT) cells was observed: a shift from 23 kbp signals by UD current electrophoresis to small smear signals (Fig. 2C). We confirmed more precisely that the size of apparent telomere repeat signal of ALT cells was shifted from about 23 kbp by UD current electrophoresis (Fig. 3A) to around 2—6 kbp (KMST-6 and SaOS-2), 2—9 kbp (SUSM-1) or around 9 kbp (WI-38V A13) by PIF current electrophoresis (Fig. 3B). This order of TRF length detected by PIF current electrophoresis was roughly correlated with that of fluorescent intensity of telomere repeats as we reported previously.\textsuperscript{16} The TRF length of restriction enzyme-digested genomic DNA from the normal fibroblast TIG-3 was about 9 kbp using UD current electrophoresis (Fig. 3A) and was reduced to 6 kbp using a PIF current (Fig. 3B), \textit{i.e.}, a reduction in TRF length by PIF current electrophoresis occurred but was not so dramatic. Dramatic reduction of TRF size by PIF current electrophoresis did not observed either in telomerase-positive immortal fibroblast cell line, SV\textit{ts}8 (data not shown). A dramatic reduction in TRF length by PIF current electrophoresis was solely observed in DNA from ALT cells containing ECTR DNA. Thus, the TRF DNA from ALT cells
is in fact not very long, but appears to be long because of a possible association with ECTR DNAs.

**Southern Blotting by Alkaline Electrophoresis of Agarose-Embedded Cells** From *in situ* detection of telomere repeats, ALT cells have ECTR DNA as detected extrachromosomal spots with various sizes and have very weak telomere signals at each chromosome end. However, we could not estimate the amount of telomere repeats distributed between the TRF and ECTR fractions. To have an approximate idea, cells embedded in agarose plug were lysed in an alkaline solution and were electrophoresed in the alkaline solution using either a UD current or a PIF current. In this alkaline condition, telomeres at the end of undigested genomic DNA should be retained at the position of cell loading. ECTR signals (smear at around 1—4 kbp) were detected by Southern blotting exclusively in four telomerase-negative immortal cell lines, but not in telomerase-negative mortal TIG-3 cells and telomerase-positive immortal SV58 cells (Figs. 4A, B). The *Alu* sequence, used as a marker of genomic DNA, was exclusively detected at the position of embedded cells and was not detected in low-molecular-weight fractions (data not shown). The ECTR signal intensity was stronger using a PIF current than using a UD current electrophoresis, probably because the association of ECTR DNA with genomic DNA was prevented using PIF current electrophoresis. The proportions of the ECTR signal intensity to total telomere signal were 82.8%, 82.4%, 80.8%, and 74.8% in KMST-6, SaOS-2, SUSM-1, and WI-38VA13, respectively. As part of ECTR DNA might be still trapped in genomic DNA even using PIF current alkaline electrophoresis, the proportion of ECTR sequences estimated here would be an underestimation.

**Digestion with S1 Nuclease** ALT cells appeared to have a large amount of telomere repeat DNA extrachromosomally whose size is as small as 1—4 kbp. If the telomere repeat DNA at chromosome ends of ALT cells has frequent nicks and gaps or alkaline labile lesions, it should migrate faster in the alkaline gel electrophoresis, and we cannot conclude that fast-migrating signals represent ECTR. If 1—4 kbp telomere signals in alkaline agarose gel is derived from telomere repeat DNA with frequent nicks at the chromosome ends, small telomere signals could be observed by neutral gel electrophoresis after digestion of genomic DNA with S1 nuclease. The telomere signal of HindIII-digested or undigested genomic DNA at around or over 23 kbp obviously completely disappeared after S1 nuclease digestion for 5 min giving a very wide smear with neutral electrophoresis using a UD current (Fig. 5A). Digestion with S1 nuclease occurred within 5 min and the results did not change by further incubation with S1 nuclease. These results indicated that the TRF around or over 23 kbp contained frequent nicks. While undigested genomic DNA gave a signal indicating a very large molecular weight with neutral electrophoresis using a PIF current, it gave a smear signal at around 1—6 kbp after S1 nuclease digestion (Fig. 5B). Genomic DNA digested with both HindIII and S1 nuclease showed smear signals with a smaller molecular weight by electrophoresis using a PIF current than that digested with S1 nuclease alone. It should be emphasized that a similar result was obtained from normal DNA. **Fig. 3. TRF Length of Telomerase-Negative Immortal Cells** Genomic DNA was digested with restriction enzymes and run on 0.7% neutral agarose gel using a UD current (A) or on 1% neutral agarose gel using a PIF current electrophoresis (B). U, undigested; H, HindIII digested; B, BfaI digested; S, Sau3A1 digested. TIG-3 cells were used at 35 PDL.

**Fig. 4. Detection of Telomere Repeats by Southern Blotting after Alkaline Electrophoresis of Agarose-Embedded Cells** Cells embedded in agarose plug were lysed in an alkaline condition, and were alkaline-electrophoresed using either a UD current (A) or a PIF current (B). TIG-3 cells were used at 44 PDL.
fibroblast, TIG-3 (Figs. 5A, B). These results clearly indicated that TRF of ALT cells have many nicks and gaps as TIG-3 have, and we conclude that fast-migrating signals (1—4 kbp) in the alkaline gel exclusively observed in DNA from ALT cells represent ECTR DNA.

Interaction between Genomic DNA and Synthetic Telomere Repeats

To determine the possible interaction and complex formation among telomere repeat DNA fragments, telomere repeat DNA (2—4 kbp) was prepared by PCR amplification. When 3 μg/30 μl/lane of PCR product was run on alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis using a UD current, a smear signal around 2—4 kbp was observed (Fig. 6A lane 2). When the same amount of PCR product was run on neutral agarose gel using either a UD or a PIF current, DNA did not enter into the gel but remained in the sample well probably because of a formation of a large DNA complex or network which could not be resolved by PIF current electrophoresis (Fig. 6A lanes 1, 3). However, 3 ng/30 μl/lane of telomere repeat DNA amplified by PCR gave a 3—4 kbp smear even with neutral gel electrophoresis using a UD current (Fig. 6B), probably because the diluted condition prevented the formation of a complex. PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA (3 ng/30 μl) was heat denatured at 100°C for 5 min and was rapidly chilled on ice water. Denatured DNA solution was mixed with ethanol-precipitated HindIII-digested genomic DNA (3 μg) from TIG-3, and stood for 24 h at room temperature. The mixture was analyzed by neutral gel electrophoresis using a UD current. A smear band of telomere repeats with a molecular weight higher than that of TIG-3 DNA was observed (Fig. 6D), suggesting co-migration due to complex formation of PCR products with TRF DNA. However, when the same mixture was analyzed by PIF current neutral electrophoresis, smear signal of the mixture showed the same size distribution with that of the TIG-3 DNA.
DNA alone at around 6.6 kbp, suggesting dissociation of complex in a gel (Fig. 6C). As a control, a plasmid DNA, pAcHLF-T-A (8.1 kbp) with no telomere repeat sequence, was linearized by EcoRI digestion, ethanol precipitated and mixed with a solution of heat denatured PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA. When the mixture was analyzed by UD current neutral electrophoresis, smear signal of the plasmid DNA in mixture showed the same size distribution with that of the plasmid DNA alone at around 8 kbp, suggesting no complex formation (Fig. 6D left 3 lanes). Right 3 lanes of Fig. 6D showed a Southern blotting using labeled telomere probe, and the size distribution of telomere repeats was the same between telomere repeat DNA alone and mixture of telomere repeat DNA and plasmid DNA. For these experiments, it will be a good idea to isolate and use homogenous fragments of PCR-amplified DNA after cloning a 4 kbp telomere DNA. However, we found that cloned telomere DNA into plasmid very quickly becomes heterogeneous in size during propagation. Therefore, DNA fragment with uniform telomere repeat size could not be obtained even after cloning of bacteria.

G-quartet structure of synthetic telomere repeat DNA is stabilized and shows an increased electrophoretic mobility in nondenaturing gels containing such monovalent cations as Na⁺, K⁺ or Cs⁺, but not in gels containing no added salt. Restriction enzyme-digested DNA samples from KMST-6 and TIG-3 were dissolved in 0.5xTBE buffer containing 50 mM NaCl and electrophoresed in the same buffer with NaCl using UD current. As shown in Fig. 7, TRF of KMST-6 did not show a discrete 23 kbp band but showed a wide smear signal with smaller molecular weight. Contrarily, TRF pattern of TIG-3 cells was the same as that observed by electrophoresis in the buffer without additional monovalent cation (compare Fig. 7 with Figs. 3A, 5A, 6B). These data suggested that the association between ECTR DNAs and TRFs observed in DNA samples from ALT cells is likely due, at least in part, to G-quartet formation.

DISCUSSION

One of characteristics of telomerase-negative immortal (ALT) cells is their extremely long TRFs. By PF current electrophoresis, telomere length of ALT cells is very heterogeneous from very long to very short. The other characteristic of telomerase-negative immortal cells is a presence of extrachromosomal telomere repeat (ECTR) DNA. In this study, we found that the TRFs of ALT cells are not long by PIF current neutral electrophoresis (Fig. 3). A possible explanation for this discrepancy is, as shown in this study, that a 23 kbp TRF complex was formed between single-stranded tails of the DNA of TRF and ECTR and it was resolved by PIF current electrophoresis. This explanation was supported by our results that a mixture of genomic DNA from TIG-3 and PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA migrated slower by electrophoresis using UD current than that using PIF current (Fig. 6). From these and other lines of evidence, we concluded that the apparently large TRF in ALT cells is overestimated and represents a complex between TRF DNA and a large number of short ECTR DNA. The real telomere length of ALT cell lines is short as estimated by FISH and PRINS, and the long TRF band (ca. 23 kbp) in the conventional TRF assay by UD or PF current is an artifact. The reason why the complex was formed between ECTR DNA and TRF DNAs from ATL cells but not among TRF DNAs from non-ATL cells is unclear, but is possibly due to high concentration of telomere repeat fragments in DNA from ATL cells.

Although we still could not explain rationally why PIF current electrophoresis gave different results from those by UD or PF current electrophoresis, electrophoresis in different conditions suggests that the presence of ECTR DNA retarded the migration of TRF by making complexes with it under the condition of the conventional UD or PF current electrophoresis. There are several possible mechanisms of complex formation such as mechanical entanglement of double strand DNA, hydrophobic interaction by base stacking, Watson-Crick base-pairing, and G-quartet formation. This was supported by our results that a complex formation was observed by UD current electrophoresis in the buffer containing 50 mM NaCl using UD current. The complex was also supported by our results that a complex formation is observed by UD current electrophoresis between PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA and HinfI-digested TIG-3 genomic DNA but not between PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA and linearized plasmid DNA which does not contain telomere repeat units. S1 nuclease digestion showed that TRDC contains both single and double strand portions in the molecule. The presumed complex of TRF and ECTR DNAs described in this paper is likely very similar to this TRDC DNA. Complex formation by base-pairing between telomere repeat sequence is also supported by our results that a complex formation (increase in apparent molecular weight) is observed by UD current electrophoresis between PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA and HinfI-digested TIG-3 genomic DNA but not between PCR-amplified telomere repeat DNA and linearized plasmid DNA which does not contain telomere repeats (Fig. 6). Although we did not extensively examine the nature of the complex, some part of associations between ECTR DNA and TRFs seems to be due to G-quartet formation, because the 23 kbp complex from KMST-6 was resolved showing smear signal with smaller molecular weight in nondenaturing gel (UD current) containing 50 mM NaCl (Fig. 7), which is one of characteristics of G-quartet DNA.

In this study, ECTR DNA comprising more than 73—83% of total telomere repeats after alkaline electrophoresis of
cells embedded in agarose followed by Southern blotting is consistent with a previous observation\(^{16}\) that patches of ECTR DNA were clearly stained by PRINS or FISH but telomeres at the chromosome ends were not detected or only weakly stained in ALT cells such as KMST-6 or SUSM-1. This fact suggests that a majority of TRF DNA does not bind to telomeres at the chromosome ends in the nuclei, the ECTR DNA could function as a source of fragments of telomere repeat DNA for adding to, or for recombining with, terminal telomere repeat DNA, or as a template or primer to elongate telomere DNA at each chromosome end by DNA polymerase resulting in maintaining telomere repeats without telomerase. Telomere terminal DNA forms a loop (t-loop) where the terminal single-stranded tail invades the double-stranded telomere repeats to form a small loop (D-loop).\(^{28}\) The duplex telomere DNA-binding protein, TRF1, binds along the length of the telomere repeats and TRF2 binds to the D-loop at the junction of the lariat. Detected by in situ staining, ECTR DNA co-localizes with TRF1\(^{16,17}\) and with several other protein species, including TRF2.\(^{17}\) Recently, we have isolated ECTR DNA-protein complexes from ALT cell lysate by successive centrifugation and found that the complexes contained several protein bands, revealed by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, which included TRF2 confirmed by Western blotting.\(^{29}\)
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