Stereoselective Transport of Human His27- and Arg27-Reduced Folate Carrier
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The stereoselective transport of methotrexate (L-amethopterin, L-MTX) and its antipode (D-amethopterin, D-MTX) by human reduced folate carrier (hRFC) has been examined in HEK293 cells expressing H27-hRFC and R27-hRFC. The uptake of both L-MTX and D-MTX increased as the extracellular pH increased from 6.0 to 7.4. The initial uptake rate of L-MTX into the H27- and R27-hRFCs of the HEK293 cells followed Michaelis–Menten kinetics with $K_m$ values of approximately 0.24 and 0.47 µM, respectively. Dixon plots revealed that the [3H]-L-MTX uptake mediated by the H27- and R27-hRFCs was inhibited competitively by unlabeled L-MTX with $K_i$ values of approximately 0.1 and 0.5 µM, respectively. D-MTX also competitively inhibited the H27- and R27-hRFC mediated uptake of [3H]-L-MTX with $K_i$ values of approximately 3.4 and 3.2 µM, respectively. The RFC-mediated uptake clearance of L-MTX was approximately 15-fold greater than that of D-MTX in the H27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, and was more than 30-fold greater than that of D-MTX in the R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells. The results of the current study have revealed that the enantiomers of MTX enantiomers can be transported in a stereoselective manner by the H27- and R27-hRFCs because of significant differences in the affinities of the enantiomers to the hRFC.
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Folates are essential nutrients for cell growth and are transported across cell membranes in a variety of different mammalian cells by the reduced folate carrier (RFC, SLC19A1) or the proton-coupled folate transporter (PCFT, SLC46A1).1–4) The cDNA of the RFC has been cloned in mice, hamsters, and humans, and its transport characteristics have been revealed.5–11) RFC is expressed in the plasma membrane of a variety of different cells and transports reduced folates, with the maximal level of transport being observed at neutral pH.2,4,12) In contrast, PCFT is expressed in the epithelial cells of a range of different tissues, including the liver, kidney, and placenta. Among these tissues, the expression of PCFT appears to be at its greatest in the small intestine,3,13) where it is more readily at acidic pH, whereas RFC only transports reduced folates. As mentioned above, the transport of the different enantiomers of amethopterin by PCFT is highly stereoselective. Interestingly, however, the stereoselectivity of RFC-mediated transport has not yet been examined. Although the homology of the amino acid sequences between RFC and PCFT is only about 10%, both of these transporters have common substrates (i.e., reduced folates). With this in mind, we examined the stereoselective transport of RFC using the enantiomers of amethopterin, which possess a similar structure to that of the reduced folates.

Methotrexate (L-amethopterin, L-MTX) is used clinically as an antineoplastic and antiinflammatory drug. Orally administered L-MTX is actively absorbed by PCFT in the small intestine, with the process being driven by a H⁺ gradient, because its molecular structure is similar to that of folic acid (FA). D-Amethopterin (D-MTX) is the optical isomer of L-MTX, and the absorption of D-MTX from the human small intestine is significantly lower than that of L-MTX. It has been reported that oral absorption of L-MTX is approximately 40-fold greater than that of D-MTX.10) In our previous studies using hPCFT-expressing HEK293 cells, the transport of the MTX enantiomers by PCFT was revealed to be highly stereoselective with the uptake clearance of L-MTX being approximately 40-fold greater than that of D-MTX.15) RFC is expressed in the body to a much greater extent than PCFT, and is responsible for the uptake of reduced folates in a number of different cell types.16) It is well known that there are differences in the transport characteristics of PCFT and RFC. For example, PCFT-mediated transport occurs much more readily at acidic pH, whereas RFC-mediated transport is optimal at neutral pH. PCFT transports both oxidized and reduced folates, whereas RFC only transports reduced folates. As mentioned above, the transport of the different enantiomers of amethopterin by PCFT is highly stereoselective. Interestingly, however, the stereoselectivity of RFC-mediated transport has not yet been examined. Although the homology of the amino acid sequences between RFC and PCFT is only about 10%, both of these transporters have common substrates (i.e., reduced folates). With this in mind, we examined the stereoselective transport of RFC using the enantiomers of amethopterin, which possess a similar structure to that of the reduced folates.

A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of hRFC has been reported, involving the substitution of a guanine with an adenine (G80A), with the change resulting in the replacement of a histidine residue (H27) with an arginine (R27).17) The frequency of allele A has been reported to be 0.484 in Ashkenazi-Jewish, 0.564 in African-Americans, 0.472 in Hispanics, 0.473 in French, and 0.56 in Japanese.17–19) Given that the allele frequencies are similar in G80 and A80, we embarked upon a study to examine transport properties of the H27- and R27-hRFCs.

In the current study, human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells stably expressing His27-hRFC (H27-hRFC) and Arg27-hRFC (R27-hRFC) were established, and the stereoselective transport of the enantiomers of amethopterin by the H27-hRFC- and A27-hRFC-HEK293 cells was examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials L-MTX was purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). D-MTX was purchased from Kanto Kagaku Co. (Tokyo, Japan). 2-[4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), and 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES) were purchased from Dojindo. The L-MTX and D-MTX were purchased from Nacalai Tesque. Methotrexate (MTX) was purchased from Kanto Kagaku Co. (Tokyo, Japan). 2-[4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), and 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES) were purchased from Dojindo. The L-MTX and D-MTX were purchased from Nacalai Tesque.
acid (MES) monohydrate were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). \(^{3}H\)-L-\(\text{MTX}\) (specific activity, 31.8 Ci/mmol) was purchased from Moravek Biochemicals Inc. (Brea, CA, U.S.A.) and used without further purification. All of the other chemicals used in the study were purchased as the highest possible purity grades available.

**Construction of Stably Transfected HEK293 Cells Expressing Human RFC**

Blood sample was collected from healthy male volunteers between 21 and 31 years of age. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of School of Pharmacy and Kitasato Institute Hospital, Kitasato University, and the informed consent was obtained from all volunteers. Total RNA from whole blood was isolated using a QiAamp\textsuperscript{®} RNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). One microgram of the total RNA was heat denatured with 1 \(\mu\)L of random primer at 70°C for 5 min before being placed on ice for 1 min and then mixed with 5 \(\mu\)L of Moloney murine leukemia virus (M-MLV) reverse transcriptase 5'-\(\text{Reaction Buffer, 1.5 \(\mu\)L of a 10 mmol deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) mixture, and 0.2 \(\mu\)L of M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, U.S.A.). The mixture was initially incubated at 37°C for 60 min, and then incubated at 70°C for 15 min. For the following oligonucleotide primers were used. Forward primer: hRFC\(\text{/Bam}^5\'-\text{CCGGAAGCTCCC}G\text{AGCAGGATGTCCTCCAC}^3\)-3', reverse primer: hRFC\(\text{/XbaI}^5\'-\text{GCTCTAGACGCGCAGAAGCTGTGGTCT}^3\)-3'. The reaction mixture (50 \(\mu\)L) contained 1 \(\mu\)L of the RT product as the template DNA, 10 pmol of the forward and reverse primers, 10 mmol of the dNTP mixture, 10 \(\times\) High Fidelity polymerase chain reaction (PCR) buffer, and 1 \(\mu\)L of Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity. Following 2 min of denaturation at 94°C, the targeted nucleotides were amplified over 35 cycles (hRFC), with denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 2 min, and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The amplified cDNA product was subcloned into a pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) and transferred into a mammalian expression vector, pcdNA3.1(+)(Invitrogen Co., Grand Island, NY, U.S.A.). The cloned hRFC contained a single mutation (G80A). The alteration at position 80 resulted in an amino acid substitution (R27H). The pGEM-T Easy vector carrying the A80 (H27) RFC was employed as a template for site-directed mutagenesis to create the G80 (R27) RFC. The cloned hRFC-HEK293 cells, hRFC-HEK293 (H27) cells, and hRFC-HEK293-Mock cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g/mL streptomycin, 600 \(\mu\)g/mL antibiotic G418 sulfate, and 10% fetal bovine serum. The culture medium consisted of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g/mL streptomycin, and 10% fetal bovine serum. The cells were seeded into multiwell plates coated with poly-d-lysine at a density of 1.0\(\times\)10\(^5\) cells/well.

**Uptake Study**

Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS: 145 mm NaCl, 3 mm KCl, 1 mm CaCl\(_2\), 0.5 mm MgCl\(_2\)) containing 5 mm \(d\)-glucose and 5 mm MES (pH 6.0, 6.5) was used as the uptake medium. HBSS containing 5 mm \(d\)-glucose and 5 mm HEPES (pH 7.4) was used as the rinse and the uptake medium (pH 7.0, 7.4).

For the uptake study, the R27-hRFC-HEK293, H27-hRFC-HEK293, and HEK293-Mock cells in the multiwell plates were rinsed twice and preincubated with the rinse medium (pH 7.4) for 10 min at 37°C. Uptake was initiated by adding 0.5 mL of the preincubated drug solution. The uptake medium (pH 6.0–7.4) containing \(^{3}H\)-L-\(\text{MTX}\), unlabeled L-\(\text{MTX}\), and \(d\)-\(\text{MTX}\) was used as the drug solution. The drug solution was aspirated at the appropriate time to terminate the uptake. The cells were rinsed twice with ice-cold rinse medium (pH 7.4), and then lysed with 1 mL of 0.1% Triton X-100. To determine the uptake amount of \(^{3}H\)-L-\(\text{MTX}\), a 0.8 mL aliquot of the cell lysate was transferred to a scintillation vial, to which 5 mL of Clear-sol I (Nacalai Tesque) was added, and the radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting. To determine the uptake amount of \(d\)-\(\text{MTX}\), a 1 mL aliquot of the cell lysate was mixed with 1 mL of acetonitrile and then vortexed. The mixture was then centrifuged at 825 \(\times\)g for 15 min, and the supernatant was filtered using a Cosmonice filter S (0.45 \(\mu\)m; Nacalai Tesque). The filtrate was dried under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas at 80°C, and the residue was dissolved in 100 \(\mu\)L of the mobile phase (see below).

A 20-\(\mu\)L aliquot was injected into an LC-MS/MS system for analysis.

In the inhibition study, each inhibitor was added to the drug solution at an appropriate concentration, and the uptake of the drug was measured according to the procedure described above. The drugs and inhibitors were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), with the final concentration of DMSO in the drug solution being set to no more than 1%.

**LC-MS/MS Conditions**

A Waters Micromass tandem quadrupole Quattro micro mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA, U.S.A.) was coupled with a HPLC system via an electrospary ionization probe in the negative ion mode. An Inertsil\textsuperscript{®} ODS-3 column (5 \(\mu\)m in particle size, 2.1\(\times\)150 mm; GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was used for the HPLC analysis. The mobile phase consisted of solvent A (10 mm ammonium acetate, 0.05% formic acid, and 1% isopropyl alcohol in water) and solvent B (0.05% formic acid and 1% isopropyl alcohol in acetonitrile), which were mixed in a ratio of 9:1 (v/v). The flow rate was adjusted to 0.2 mL/min, and an eluent emerging from the column between 4 and 10 min was directed into the mass spectrometer. The ionization conditions were as follows: capillary voltage, 2.9 kV; cone voltage, 46 V; collision energy, 22 eV; source temperature, 150°C; desolvation temperature, 220°C; and collision gas, argon. The sample was analyzed in the multiple reaction monitoring mode of the mass spectrometer.
spectrometer with a dwell time of 2 s per channel using m/z 453.08±32.48 as the transition.

**Western Blotting Analysis of the Cell Surface hRFC Proteins**

hRFC-HEK293 and mock cells in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (+) (2 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$·2H$_2$O, 8 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$·12H$_2$O, 137 mM NaCl, 0.9 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.33 mM MgCl$_2$·6H$_2$O) were treated for 30 min with 1 mg/mL EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin, which reacts with amino acid residues on the cell surface. The cells were then washed twice with 0.5 mL of PBS (+) and treated with 0.4 mL of lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.8), 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 50 µg/mL aprotinin, 10 µg/mL leupeptin, 1% Triton® X-100) and kept on ice for 30 min. The cells were then scraped from the plates before being centrifuged at 1000×g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was mixed with 40 µL NeutrAvidin™ beads agarose resin. The samples were rotated overnight in a cold room (4°C) and then centrifuged at 20600×g for 2 min at 4°C. The resulting pellets were initially washed with 0.5 mL PBS (−) (2 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$·2H$_2$O, 8 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$·12H$_2$O, 137 mM NaCl), before being washed sequentially with 0.5 mL of washing buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.8), 1% Triton® X-100) and 0.5 mL of PBS (−). The pellets were then suspended in an sodium docetyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) sample buffer containing 2-mercaptoethanol and the proteins were released from the beads by heating at 100°C for 3 min.

Protein samples were electrophoresed on 9% polyacrylamide gels in the presence of SDS. Following the electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to an Immobilon-P transfer membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, U.S.A.) and blocked with 5% non-fat dried milk in PBS-T (2 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$·2H$_2$O, 8 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$·12H$_2$O, 137 mM NaCl, 0.1% polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate (Tween 20)) at ambient temperature for 1 h. The blots were probed with an anti-RFC antibody (1:200 in PBS-T, 5% non-fat dried milk; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, U.S.A.) for RFC, as well as being probed with an anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase conjugate (1:16000 in PBS-T, 5% non-fat dried milk; Sigma-Aldrich) for Na*/K* ATPase. The blots were then developed with Chemi-Lumi One Super (Nacalai Tesque) and their densitometry evaluated using the ImageJ software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, U.S.A.; http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/download.html). Na*/K* ATPase was used as a loading control.

**Protein Assay**

For protein determination, the R27-hRFC-HEK293, H27-hRFC-HEK293, and HEK293-Mock cells were dissolved in 1 mL of 0.1% Triton® X-100, and their protein concentrations determined using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA, U.S.A.) with bovine serum albumin as a standard.

**Data Analysis**

To calculate the kinetic parameters for l-MTX uptake, the data were fitted to the following equation using a non-linear least-squares method:  

\[ v = \frac{V_{max} [S]}{K_m + [S]} \]  

where \( v \) is the carrier-mediated initial uptake rate, \( V_{max} \) is the maximum uptake rate, \( K_m \) is the Michaelis constant, and \([S]\) is the initial concentration of the drug.

**RESULTS**

**Uptake of l-MTX**

Time courses of the [³H]-l-MTX uptake into the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells are shown in Figs. 1A and B, respectively. The concentration of the [³H]-l-MTX was 0.02 µM. The uptake was significantly higher in the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells than it was in the mock cells. Based on the results in Fig. 1, it was possible to calculate the initial uptakes from the uptake amounts at 5 and 0.5 min for the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, respectively, from the following studies.

The pH-dependent uptake plots of 0.02 µM [³H]-l-MTX into the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells are shown in Figs. 2A and B, respectively. The uptake was measured in the extracellular pH range of 6.0–7.4. The pH profiles for the l-MTX uptake were similar for the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells with the uptake being higher under neutral conditions than it was under acidic conditions.
The initial uptake rates of the [3H]-L-MTX at different concentrations (0.02–2 µM) were measured at a pH of 7.4 in the H27-hRFC-HEK293 cells. The hRFC-mediated uptake was calculated by subtracting the uptake into the mock cells from the uptake into the hRFC-expressing cells, and the results are shown in Figs. 3A and C for the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, respectively. Eadie-Hofstee plots indicated that the saturable uptake of L-MTX was mediated by a single transport system for the H27- and R27-hRFCs. The uptake parameters were calculated according to Eq. 1 and found to be \( K_m = 0.235 \mu M \) and \( V_{max} = 1.14 \) pmol/min/mg protein for H27-hRFC, and

The initial uptake rates were calculated from the uptake amounts in 5 and 0.5 min for the H27 and R27 cells, respectively. Each point represents the mean±S.D. of three determinations. ○: hRFC-transfected HEK293 cells, □: vector-transfected HEK293 cells (mock).

An Eadie–Hofstee plot of the carrier-mediated uptake of L-MTX for H27-hRFC (B) and R27-hRFC (D). Each point represents the mean±S.D. of three determinations. The carrier-mediated uptake was calculated by subtracting the uptake into mock cells from the uptake into hRFC-HEK293 cells.

The initial uptake rates of the [3H]-L-MTX at different concentrations (0.02–2 µM) were measured at a pH of 7.4 in the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells. The hRFC-mediated uptake was calculated by subtracting the uptake into the mock cells from the uptake into the hRFC-expressing cells, and the results are shown in Figs. 3A and C for the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, respectively. Eadie-Hofstee plots indicated that the saturable uptake of L-MTX was mediated by a single transport system for the H27- and R27-hRFCs. The uptake parameters were calculated according to Eq. 1 and found to be \( K_m = 0.235 \mu M \) and \( V_{max} = 1.14 \) pmol/min/mg protein for H27-hRFC, and
$K_m=0.472 \, \mu M$ and $V_{\text{max}}=22.6 \, \text{pmol/min/mg protein}$ for R27-hRFC (Table 1). Since AIC (Akaike’s information criteria) value was smaller when the data were analyzed with one saturable component ($\text{AIC}=-20.8$ and $-15.0$ for H27 and R27, respectively) than with two saturable components ($\text{AIC}=-19.2$ and $-11.1$ for H27 and R27, respectively), it was judged that the uptake into cells was by a single transport system.

**Inhibition Studies** In the H27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, the initial uptake rates of 0.02, 0.04, and 0.2 $\mu M$ $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX were measured at pH 7.4 in the presence of 0, 0.2, and 0.4 $\mu M$ of unlabeled L-MTX, respectively. The H27-hRFC mediated uptake data were analyzed using a Dixon plot (Fig. 4A). The unlabeled L-MTX competitively inhibited $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX uptake with a $K_i$ value of 0.095 $\mu M$. Furthermore, the initial uptake rates of 0.02, 0.04, and 0.2 $\mu M$ $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX into the H27-hRFC-HEK293 cells were measured in the presence of 0, 5, and 10 $\mu M$ of d-MTX, respectively. Dixon plot analysis showed that the d-MTX competitively inhibited the $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX uptake with a $K_i$ value of 3.4 $\mu M$ (Fig. 4B).

In the R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, the initial uptake rates of 0.02, 0.05, and 0.2 $\mu M$ $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX were measured at pH 7.4 in the presence of 0, 0.25, and 0.5 $\mu M$ of unlabeled L-MTX, respectively. Dixon plot analysis of the R27-hRFC mediated uptake showed that the unlabeled L-MTX inhibited the $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX uptake in a competitive manner with a $K_i$ value of 0.493 $\mu M$ (Fig. 4C). The initial uptake rates of 0.02, 0.05, and 0.2 $\mu M$ $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX were also measured in the presence of 0, 2, and 4 $\mu M$ of d-MTX, respectively. The associated Dixon plot showed that the d-MTX competitively inhibited the $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX uptake with a $K_i$ value of 3.22 $\mu M$ (Fig. 4D).

**Comparison of L- and D-MTX Uptake** The uptake of 0.02 $\mu M$ $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX and 1 $\mu M$ d-MTX into the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells was measured at pH 7.4. Uptake time of L-MTX was 5 min in H27 cells and 0.5 min in R27 cells, and that of d-MTX was 10 min in both cells. Since the reduction

### Table 1. Kinetic Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$ (pmol/min/mg protein)</th>
<th>Normalized $V_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H27-hRFC</td>
<td>0.235±0.042</td>
<td>1.14±0.06</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R27-hRFC</td>
<td>0.472±0.075</td>
<td>22.6±2.3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±S.D., $n=3$. Normalized $V_{\text{max}}$ was calculated by dividing $V_{\text{max}}$ by the relative intensity of the Western blot (Fig. 6).

Fig. 4. Dixon Plots for the Inhibitory Effects of Unlabeled L-MTX and d-MTX on the hRFC-Mediated Uptake

Uptake of $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX by H27-hRFC was measured at 0.02 ($\bullet$), 0.04 ($\blacksquare$), and 0.2 ($\blacktriangle$) $\mu M$ of the substrate in the presence of 0, 0.2, and 0.4 $\mu M$ L-MTX as an inhibitor (A); and 0, 5, and 10 $\mu M$ d-MTX as an inhibitor (B). The uptake of $[^{3}\text{H}]$-L-MTX by R27-hRFC was measured at 0.02 ($\bullet$), 0.05 ($\blacksquare$), and 0.2 ($\blacktriangle$) $\mu M$ of the substrate in the presence of 0, 0.25, and 0.5 $\mu M$ L-MTX as an inhibitor (C); and 0, 2, and 4 $\mu M$ d-MTX as an inhibitor (D). Each point represents the mean±S.D. of three determinations.
The uptake of \( [3H] \)-L-MTX was measured by liquid scintillation counting, whereas the uptake of D-MTX was measured by LC-MS/MS. When the uptake of 10 \( \mu M \) L-MTX was measured by liquid scintillation counting and LC-MS/MS, no discernible differences were observed in the uptake amounts determined by the different analytical methods (data not shown). The uptake of \( [3H] \)-L-MTX could therefore be compared with that of D-MTX. The initial uptake rates of L- and D-MTX are shown in Figs. 5A and B for the H27- and R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, respectively.

The carrier-mediated uptake rate was calculated by subtracting the uptake into mock cells from the value of the uptake into the hRFC-expressing cells, and the uptake clearance was then calculated by dividing the carrier-mediated uptake rate by the initial concentration (0.02 \( \mu M \) for L-MTX and 1 \( \mu M \) for D-MTX). The H27-hRFC-mediated uptake clearances of L- and D-MTX were 6.28±0.52 and 0.41±0.09 \( \mu L/min/mg \) protein (mean±S.D., \( n=3 \)), respectively, indicating that the uptake of L-MTX was approximately 15-fold greater than that of D-MTX. In contrast, the R27-hRFC-mediated uptake clearances of L- and D-MTX were 58.1±3.8 and 1.65±0.48 \( \mu L/min/mg \) protein (mean±S.D., \( n=3 \)), respectively. These results revealed that the L-MTX uptake by the R27-hRFC was approximately 35-fold greater than that of D-MTX.

**Cell Surface Expression of hRFC Proteins** The results of the Western blotting analyses of the cell membranes obtained from the R27-hRFC, H27-hRFC, and mock-HEK293 cells are shown in Fig. 6. The RFC proteins were detected in the R27- and H27-hRFC-HEK293 cells. The expression level of the R27-hRFC protein normalized by that of the Na+/K+ ATPase protein was about 10-fold greater than that of the H27-hRFC protein. The broad band of the hRFC is consistent with the glycosylation of this protein, as previously reported.22

**DISCUSSION**

In our previous studies, we demonstrated that the highly stereoselective intestinal absorption of L- and D-MTX occurred as a consequence of stereoselective transport by hPCFT.15 Our investigation of hPCFT-expressing cells showed that L- and D-MTX are both substrates for hPCFT and that the \( K_m \) value of L-MTX (4.98 \( \mu M \)) was significantly smaller than that of D-MTX (211 \( \mu M \)). The results revealed that there are significant differences in the affinity of the enantiomers to hPCFT that resulted in the highly stereoselective absorption of the MTX enantiomers.

hPCFT transports reduced and oxidized folates using a H+ gradient as the driving force for the transportation process. Although the sequence homology between the amino acid sequences of hRFC and hPCFT is only about 10%, reduced folates are transported by both of these systems. To date, there have been no reports in the literature to suggest that hRFC could behave as a stereoselective transporter. With this in mind, HEK293 cells stably expressing hRFC were established in the current study to examine the stereoselective transport of MTX enantiomers by hRFC. Since isotope-labeled D-MTX was not commercially available, the uptake of D-MTX was measured by LC-MS/MS.

It is noteworthy that the transport of folic acid (an oxidized folate) by hRFC was not observed in the established hRFC-expressing cells in the current study (data not shown).
The results of a pH-dependent uptake study revealed that the carrier-mediated uptake of L-MTX was higher under neutral conditions than it was under acidic conditions for the H27- and R27-hRFCs (Fig. 2). The pH-dependent uptake observed in the current study for hRFC (pH 6.0–7.4) was similar to that reported previously (pH 5.0–8.0).12)

The $K_m$ values for the H27- and R27-hRFCs were found to be similar at 0.235 and 0.472 $\mu$m, respectively (Table 1). These values were lower than those reported previously, which were 2.38 $\mu$m for K562 cells transfected with human RFC (R27) cDNA, 1.62–3.04 $\mu$m for K562 cells transfected with human RFC (H27) cDNA,13) 1.31 $\mu$m for CHO cells transfected with human RFC cDNA,24) and 1.7 $\mu$m for HepG2 cells transfected with human RFC cDNA.12) Recently, it has been reported that two binding sites, high- and low-affinity sites, exist in organic anion transporting polypeptide 2B1 (OATP2B1).25) However, existence of two binding sites has not been reported for RFC and this study has also showed a single transport system for the transporter. The low affinity site may be revealed if the transport is measured at very high MTX concentrations.

The Dixon plots showed that L-MTX uptake by hRFC was inhibited in a competitive manner by the unlabeled L-MTX in the H27- and R27-hRFC-expressing cells with $K_i$ values of 0.095 and 0.493 $\mu$m, respectively (Figs. 4A, C). The $K_i$ values in this case were almost equal to the corresponding $K_m$ values for L-MTX (0.235 and 0.472 $\mu$m for the H27- and R27-hRFCs, Table 1). L-MTX also inhibited the uptake of L-MTX in a competitive manner with $K_i$ values of 3.41 and 3.22 $\mu$m for the H27- and R27-hRFCs, respectively (Figs. 4B, D). These results clearly demonstrate that the affinity of L-MTX to hRFC is at least 6-fold less than that of L-MTX.

The RFC-mediated uptake clearance rates for L-MTX and D-MTX were calculated as the uptake rates divided by the initial substrate concentrations. The resulting uptake clearance values for H27-hRFC were 6.28 and 0.41 $\mu$L/min/mg protein for L-MTX and D-MTX, respectively. The uptake clearances of L-MTX and D-MTX were 58.1 and 1.65 $\mu$L/min/mg protein for R27-hRFC. The ratios of the RFC-mediated uptake clearances of L-MTX to those of D-MTX were approximately 15 and 35 for the H27- and R27-hRFCs, respectively. When the RFC-mediated uptake clearances for L-MTX were calculated according to $V_{\text{max}}$ divided by $K_m$ (Fig. 3), the values were 4.85 and 47.9 $\mu$L/min/mg protein for the H27- and R27-hRFCs, respectively. These uptake clearance values were similar to the values reported above.

Pharmacologically effective isomer is L-MTX, and this isomer is used clinically as an antineoplastic and antiarthritic drug. The therapeutic effect of L-MTX has been reported to be dependent on the SNP of hRFC in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia.26,27) It has also been reported that the transport parameters of L-MTX were very similar in the H27- and R27-hRFCs when the transporters were expressed stably in K562 cells, although minor differences were observed in the $K_i$ values of other anti-folates.22) In the current study, the $K_m$ of L-MTX in R27-hRFC-HEK293 cells was approximately 2-fold greater than it was in H27-hRFC-HEK293 cells, and the $V_{\text{max}}$ of L-MTX in R27-hRFC was approximately 20-fold greater than that in H27-hRFC (Fig. 3). When the $V_{\text{max}}$ values were normalized based on the intensity of the bands in the Western blots (Fig. 6), the $V_{\text{max}}$ values became 22.6 and 12.7 for the R27- and H27-hRFCs, respectively (Table 1). Given that the L-MTX concentrations in plasma are similar or higher than the $K_m$ value, the higher $V_{\text{max}}$ value of R27-hRFC may have resulted in lower concentrations of L-MTX in patients with the R27 mutant than those with the H27 mutant, although CL uptake (normalized $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$) values were not different statistically between H27-RFC and R27-RFC.

The stereoselectivity properties of other transporters have also been reported. For example, it has been reported that L-leucine transport by the L-type amino acid transporter (LAT1, SLC7A5) is about 3-fold greater than that of d-leucine.28) The monocarboxylate transporter (MCT1, SLC16A1), which transports a variety of different organic acids such as lactic and pyruvic acid, shows stereoselective transport29) and the affinity of L-lactic acid to MCT1 has been reported to be 3.2-fold greater than that of d-lactic acid.30) Carnitine is transported by novel organic cation transporter 2 (OCTN2, SLC22A5), and the affinity of L-carnitine to OCTN2 is 20-fold greater than that of d-carnitine.31) Organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1, SLC22A1) is involved in the transport of various organic cations and shows significant levels of stereoselectivity, with the affinity of (R)-verapamil for OCT1 being 70-fold greater than that of (S)-verapamil.29) In addition to those influx transporters, efflux transporters also exhibit some stereoselectivity. For example, it has been reported that L- and D-MTX are both transported by rat Mrp2 and that the affinity of D-MTX for rat Mrp2 is 3.5-fold greater than that of L-MTX.33) It has also been reported that the efflux clearance ($V_{\text{max}}/K_m$ ratios) of N-methylquinidine by P-glycoprotein (P-gp, MDR1, ABCB1) is about 3-fold greater than its diastereomer, N-methylquinine.34) The stereoselectivity observed in the current study for hRFC appears to be comparable with or greater than that of many other known transporters.

The current study has revealed for the first time the stereoselectivity of the hRFC-mediated transport of the enantiomers of MTX. The R27 and H27 mutants of hRFC both showed stereoselective transport properties, although the transport of L-MTX was approximately 30-fold greater than that of D-MTX because of the higher affinity of this enantiomer for the hRFC. Furthermore, the current results indicate that the higher $V_{\text{max}}$ value of the R27 mutant compared with the H27 mutant may have led to significantly lower concentrations of L-MTX in the plasma of the patients with the R27 mutant. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the expression level of RFC in patients. However, the recent study has reported that the polymorphism of three genes, RFC, aminomimidazole carboxamide ribonucleotide transformylase (ATIC), and inosine triphosphate pyrophosphatase (ITPA), influenced the effect of L-MTX in the rheumatoid arthritis treatment.25) Since it has been reported that L-MTX concentrations in the blood of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia are different between R27 and H27 mutants,27) the SNPs of RFC could influence pharmacokinetics of L-MTX.
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