Δ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol Targeting Estrogen Receptor Signaling: The Possible Mechanism of Action Coupled with Endocrine Disruption
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Δ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ⁹-THC), a biologically active constituent of marijuana, possesses a wide variety of pharmacological and toxicological effects (e.g., analgesia, hypotension, reduction of inflammation, and anti-cancer effects). Among Δ⁹-THC’s biological activities, its recognized anti-estrogenic activity has been the subject of investigations. Since Δ⁹-THC is used as both a drug of abuse (marijuana) and as a preventive therapeutic to treat pain and nausea in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy in the United States and other countries (synthesized Δ⁹-THC; dronabinol), it is important to investigate the mechanistic basis underlying the anti-estrogenic activity of Δ⁹-THC. Since Δ⁹-THC has no binding potential for estrogen receptor α (ERα) which can be activated by estrogen (E2), the question of how Δ⁹-THC exerts its inhibitory effect on ERα is not resolved. We have recently reported that ERβ, a second type of ER, is involved in the Δ⁹-THC abrogation of E2/ERα-mediated transcriptional activity. Here we discuss the possible mechanism(s) of the Δ⁹-THC-mediated disruption of E2/ERα signaling by presenting our recent findings as well.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Marijuana is a widespread drug of abuse that contains Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ⁹-THC), a biologically active component best known for its psychotropic effects. Δ⁹-THC exhibits a variety of pharmacological and toxicological effects: e.g., analgesia, hypotension, reduction of inflammation, and anti-cancer effects (anti-proliferative and anti-migration effects). Among Δ⁹-THC’s biological activities, its recognized endocrine-disrupting effects, including anti-estrogenic activity, have been the subjects of previous investigations. It has been reported that chronic oral administration of marijuana resin is able to reduce fertility in female rats. An influence of Δ⁹-THC on reproductive behavior has been suspected for at least 40 years: mechanistically in females, Δ⁹-THC modulates the estrous cycle and inhibits ovulation, and in males, Δ⁹-THC attenuates the mobility of mouse sperm. A Δ⁹-THC inhibitory effect on ovulation is also suggested in the case of the human female. Thus, it is possible that Δ⁹-THC disrupts the normal ovulatory cycle in both animals and humans.

Estrogen receptors (ERs) are hormone (17β-estradiol, E2)-dependent transcription factors existing in two forms: ERα and ERβ. ERα and ERβ have both unique and overlapping physiological roles in mediating estrogen signaling. The two forms of ERs have been known to be involved in the regulation of ovarian maturation/function and breast development. Because of the similarity in structure between Δ⁹-THC and E2 (i.e., phenol moiety), it was initially thought that Δ⁹-THC abrogation of E2/ERα signaling was attributed to the competitive inhibition of each of these toward ERα via the common moiety; however, this early hypothesis that Δ⁹-THC could directly bind to the estrogen receptor has now been “abandoned” by research groups, including us. Furthermore, it is reported that Δ⁹-THC has no inhibitory activity on the CYP19A1 enzyme, also known as aromatase, which catalyzes the conversion of testosterone (an androgen) to E2 (an estrogen). Thus, no one can answer the question of how Δ⁹-THC disrupts estrogen signaling as an anti-estrogen, possibly leading to the disruption of ovarian function and breast development. Since Δ⁹-THC is used as both a drug of abuse (marijuana) and as a preventive therapeutic for pain and nausea in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy in the United States and other countries (synthesized Δ⁹-THC; dronabinol), it is important to investigate the mechanistic basis of Δ⁹-THC’s E2 signaling disruption. In this study, we discuss the possible mechanism(s) of Δ⁹-THC-mediated disruption of E2/ERα signaling as revealed by our recent findings.

2. IMPACT OF Δ⁹-THC ON E2/ERA SIGNALING

In general, endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), including pharmaceuticals, phytoestrogens, pesticides, and industrial chemicals, can modify E2/ERα signaling via direct interaction with the ligand binding domain (LBD) of ERα via activation or inactivation (competition). ERβ, a second ER, was discovered in 1996, and this prompted researchers to investigate the effects of EDCs on ERs, although the physiological function is not fully understood. Some phytoestrogens can bind ERs with relatively low affinity, but display ERβ selectivity,
suggesting that EDCs may have impacts on the ER subtype via specific signaling pathways. If ERβ agonism by EDCs is important to abrogate E2/ERα signaling, Δ⁹-THC might hijack the E2 site of ERβ. Based on this hypothesis, we investigated whether Δ⁹-THC could occupy the E2 binding pocket of ERβ; however, the cannabinoid did not exhibit any binding efficacy to the ER/β subtypes, at least up to 1 μM.⁹ Thus, it was surprising that Δ²-THC “inhibited” E2/ERα-mediated gene transcription in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells, and resulted in the inhibition of ERα-regulated cdc2 gene expression. It has been reported that Δ⁹-THC-induced up-regulation of lactoferrin, due to an estrogen-responsive gene in the mouse uterus, is not abrogated by a pure anti-estrogen, ICI 182,780 for ERα, and thus the authors suggest the presence of another action point(s) available for Δ⁹-THC action.²⁸ The research group of Gustafsson and colleagues reported that ERβ plays a role in modulating the effects of ERα in the mouse uterus.²⁹ At present, although we cannot explain the puzzling actions of Δ⁹-THC on ERα function, based on the two above-mentioned reports,²⁸,²⁹ we focused on the possible involvement of “ERβ” in the Δ⁹-THC-mediated abrogation of E2/ERα signaling (see the next Section 3).

3. UP-REGULATION OF ERB BY Δ⁹-THC

By accumulating experimental evidence reported by many researchers, it is strongly suggested that there is yin-yang relationship between the two ERs through a mechanism underlying ERβ inhibition of ERα transcriptional activity, both in vitro and in vivo⁹⁻⁻⁻³³; one explanation for the inhibitory effects of ERβ on ERα function is that ERβ can form functional hetero-dimers with ERα through direct protein–protein interaction coupled with the inhibition of E2/ERα signaling. In vivo studies have also shown that ERβ acts as a modulator of ERα-mediated gene transcription in the uterus.²⁹ Because Δ³-THC has no binding potential to ERβ, if ERβ inhibition of ERα is applicable to Δ³-THC action, it would be expected that Δ³-THC positively stimulates the ERβ expression, which results in the inhibition of E2/ERα signaling. In support of our thought, it was revealed that i) Δ³-THC up-regulated ERβ mRNA/protein levels, coupled with the disruption of E2/ERα signaling underlying the suppression of genes regulated by ERα, ii) Δ³-THC-suppressed levels of ERα activity were additionally inhibited by ICI 182,780, and iii) cDNA transfection of ERβ to a Δ²-THC-treated system further accelerated the suppressive effects by Δ³-THC on ERα.¹⁰ Thus, it is suggested that ERβ is a key determinant of Δ³-THC inhibition of E2/ERα signaling. Powell and Wu demonstrated that ligand-selective induction of ERα/ERβ hetero-dimerization by means of the highly sensitive in situ bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) assay, which overcomes limitations associated with fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based assays.³³ It will be important to definitively investigate the effect of Δ³-THC as to whether it induces protein–protein association of ERα/ERβ after β-type ER up-regulation.

Some EDCs can impact ER signaling through interactions with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), activated by a wide variety of hydrophobic ligands.³⁴ Due to the experimental evidence that AhR signaling shares many similarities with ER signaling,²₅,²⁶ there is negative cross-talk between the underlying mechanisms of ER–AhR, in which AhR impairs ER-me-

4. MECHANISM(S) OF Δ⁹-THC UP-REGULATION OF ERB

DNA methylation is a ubiquitous process of gene inactivation preferentially observed in the CpG dinucleotides. Epigenetic silencing of the ERβ gene is studied using a panel of human breast tissue samples and breast cancer cell lines, including MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, and it is suggested that ERβ expression is almost totally suppressed in breast carcinomas through the promoter DNA methylation.³⁹⁻⁻⁴¹ Based on these lines of information, we hypothesized that Δ²-THC might modulate the DNA methylation status of the ERβ gene. We first investigated the effect of 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (decitabine), an epigenetic modifier resulting in DNA demethylation (i.e., hypomethylation), on ERβ expression inactivated in MDA-MB-231 cells. ERβ tended to be up-regulated by decitabine in a concentration-dependent manner, and its stimulating effect was additively increased by Δ²-THC addition, implicating that Δ²-THC modulates the DNA methylation status of the ERβ gene similarly to decitabine (Takeda et al., unpublished observations).

In general, it is well known that Δ²-THC evokes its biological activities via engagement with cannabinoid receptors type 1 and type 2 (CB1 and CB2).¹⁻⁻¹¹ Given that Δ²-THC utilizes both these receptors in the induction of ERβ, specific respective antagonists would be effective in inhibiting ERβ induction stimulated by the cannabinoid. Because antagonists against CB1 and CB2 receptors (SR14716A and SR144528, respectively) were not effective in the abrogation of Δ²-THC induction of ERβ in human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells that express the two CB receptors (Takeda et al., unpublished observations), and because Δ²-THC also induced ERβ in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells that express very low or undetectable levels of CB receptors,⁴₂,⁴² taken together, it is suggested that the two types of receptors are not essentially involved in the cannabinoid-mediated ERβ induction pathway(s) in breast cancer cells.

5. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER PERSPECTIVES (Fig. 1)

Although the benefits of Δ²-THC are apparent as an adjuvant in the treatment of cancer-related side effects during cancer chemotherapy, the recent results reported here also suggest that the cannabinoid has endocrine-disrupting potential through the possible up-regulation of ERβ (Fig. 1). Δ²-THC may have inhibitory effects on breast cancer cell proliferation by means of up-regulation of ERβ (possibly through the formation of inhibitory ERα/ERβ dimers) if Δ²-THC is selectively accumulated in cancer cells (Fig. 1; upper panel). On the other hand, at the same time, Δ³-THC may disrupt the balanced relationship between ERα and ERβ via up-regulation of the β type ER in normal cells, since Δ³-THC can be ac-
 cumulated up to 20-fold in some tissues (i.e., fat tissue) due to its highly lipophilic nature. In addition to the recreational use of marijuana, the clinical use of Δ⁹-THC (dronabinol) may give rise to adverse effects on the endocrine system (Fig. 1; lower panel). We suggest that Δ⁹-THC may be categorized as an EDC, but clearly, further studies coupled with in vivo experiments are needed to validate our hypothesis presented here.
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