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Bisphosphonates (BPs), with a non-hydrolysable P-C-P structure, are cytotoxic analogues of pyrophosphate, bind strongly to bone, are taken into osteoclasts during bone-resorption and exhibit long-acting anti-bone-resorptive effects. Among the BPs, nitrogen-containing BPs (N-BPs) have far stronger anti-bone-resorptive effects than non-N-BPs. In addition to their pyrogenic and digestive-organ-injuring side effects, BP-related osteonecrosis of jaws (BRONJ), mostly caused by N-BPs, has been a serious concern since 2003. The mechanism underlying BRONJ has proved difficult to unravel, and there are no solid strategies for treating and/or preventing BRONJ. Our mouse experiments have yielded the following results. (a) N-BPs, but not non-N-BPs, exhibit direct inflammatory and/or necrotic effects on soft tissues. (b) These effects are augmented by lipopolysaccharide, a bacterial-cell-wall component. (c) N-BPs are transported into cells via phosphate transporters. (d) The non-N-BPs etidronate (Eti) and clodronate (Clo) competitively inhibit this transportation (potencies, Clo > Eti) and reduce and/or prevent the N-BP-induced inflammation and/or necrosis. (e) Eti, but not Clo, can expel N-BPs that have accumulated within bones. (f) Eti and Clo each have an analgesic effect (potencies, Clo > Eti) via inhibition of phosphate transporters involved in pain transmission. From these findings, we propose that phosphate-transporter-mediated and inflammation/infection-promoted mechanisms underlie BRONJ. To treat and/or prevent BRONJ, we propose (i) Eti as a substitution drug for N-BPs and (ii) Clo as a combination drug with N-BPs while retaining their anti-bone-resorptive effects. Our clinical trials support this role for Eti (we cannot perform such trials using Clo because Clo is not clinically approved in Japan).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Research on bisphosphonates (BPs) began with studies of inorganic pyrophosphate (PPi) by Fleisch and colleagues.1) Since then, and despite such side effects as influenza-like inflammation (fever and increase in acute-phase proteins) and lesions of digestive organs, BPs have become the most widely used drugs for bone-metastatic cancers (breast, prostate, and lung cancers), multiple myeloma, osteoporosis and osteogenesis imperfecta. In 2003, however, osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) by BPs was reported.2,3) Since that time, many cases of such BP-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ) have been added to the literature, and the number is increasing.4) BPs accumulate within bones upon repeated administration. So, if BPs continue to be prescribed at the present rate, BRONJ cases may continue to increase. BRONJ is resistant to current clinical treatments and no solid ways have been established to prevent it. Although oral infection and dental treatments, including tooth extraction, are thought to be BRONJ-promoting factors, details remain elusive. In the aging society, anti-bone-resorptive drugs are very important for the treatment and/or prevention of osteoporosis. Consequently, BRONJ is a problem in urgent need of a solution. Here, we review results we and others have obtained from animal experiments and clinical studies, and we propose mechanisms that might underlie BRONJ as well as strategies that might be effective for the treatment and prevention of BRONJ.

2. NITROGEN-CONTAINING BPs (N-BPs) AND NON-NITROGEN-CONTAINING BPs (NON-N-BPs)

BPs, chemicals with a non-hydrolysable P-C-P bond, are analogues of PPi, which has a hydrolysable P-O-P bond (Fig. 1). Many derivatives have been synthesized by modifying the central carbon and they are being applied widely in clinical settings. Interestingly, the BPs that have a nitrogen-containing side-chain (abbreviated as N-BPs) exhibit far stronger anti-bone-resorptive effects than the BPs that lack such a nitrogen-containing side-chain (non-N-BPs). The relative potencies of the anti-bone-resorptive effects of BPs are shown in Fig. 1, in which the potency of etidronate (Eti) is expressed as 1.0. BPs with high potencies have lower clinical doses (Table 1). Both N-BPs and non-N-BPs bind strongly to bone hydroxyapatite (Table 2). Thus, they accumulate within bones upon repeated
Although the rank orders of affinity of BPs for hydroxyapatite vary among published reports, the affinity of Clo is always the lowest (Table 2).

3. MECHANISMS UNDERLYING THE ANTI-BONE-RESORPTIVE EFFECTS OF N-BPs AND NON-N-BPs

In 1992, Amin et al., using rat liver homogenate, reported that Ale and Pam, but not Eti and Clo, inhibit enzymes involved in the synthesis of farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) from mevalonate (Fig. 2). This finding, despite not initially attracting much attention, has come to be considered important in the inhibitory effects of N-BPs on osteoclasts, and details of this inhibition have been clarified (Fig. 2). Within osteoclasts, N-BPs inhibit FPP synthase and reduce the amount of FPP. This reduction results in decreased prenylation (geranylgeranylation and farnesylation) of low-molecular-weight G-proteins (such as Ras, Rho and Rab) and thus reduces various types of signal transmission mediated by these proteins. In addition, the inhibition of FPP-synthase results in an increase in isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP), and the increased IPP binds to AMP and converts it to a cytotoxic molecule ApppI [abbreviation of triphosphoric acid 1-adenosin-5'-yl ester 3-(3-methylbut-3-enyl)ester]. These effects of N-BPs within osteoclasts are now considered to be the mechanism underlying the anti-bone-resorptive effects of N-BPs. On the other hand, within osteoclasts, non-N-BPs are converted to cytotoxic ATP analogues (still not identified) and by this means also inhibit osteoclasts. Hence, the mechanisms by which N-BPs and non-N-BPs inhibit osteoclasts are not the same.

4. INFLAMMATORY, INTERLEUKIN (IL)-1 PRODUCING AND NECROTIC EFFECTS OF N-BPs

4.1. Inflammatory Effects

It has been shown that the non-N-BP Clo, when encapsulated within liposomes and then intravenously injected into mice, can eliminate macrophages from tissues. About 25 years ago, we hypothesized that macrophages might play important roles in the mechanism by which the histamine-forming enzyme, histidine decarboxylase (HDC), is induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS, a cell-wall component of Gram-negative bacteria). At that time, various BPs—such as Ale and other N-BPs, which exhibit far more potent anti-bone-resorptive activities than Clo—were already available. Therefore, we expected that even an intraperitoneal (not intravenous) injection into mice of a large amount of such a potent N-BP by itself (i.e., without encapsulating it into liposomes) might decrease the macrophages in tissues and hence reduce HDC-induction by LPS. However, to our surprise, Ale did not reduce such HDC-induction, and nor did other N-BPs. On the contrary, they not only augmented HDC-induction, but by themselves induced HDC. Moreover, they increased macrophages, granulocytes and even osteoclasts. These findings (made 10 years before the first report of BRONJ) puzzled us and gave us a strong motive to study BPs further. Over the next few years, we found that intraperitoneal injection of N-BPs induces various types of inflammation and inflammation-related responses in mice, such as hypertrophy of the spleen, atrophy of the thymus, hypoglycaemia, ascites and accumulation of exudate in the thorax, an increase in the number of macrophages and/or granulocytes in the peritoneal cavity, aggravation of collagen-induced arthritis and reduced erythropoiesis in bone marrow.
4.2. IL-1-Producing Effects  

IL-1 is a typical inflammatory cytokine, and there are two types, IL-1α and IL-1β. Each is produced as a 31 kDa precursor and converted to its 17 kDa enzymatic cleavage. Although the amino-acid-sequence homology between IL-1α and IL-1β is low (27%), these cytokines bind to common receptors and both are pyrogenic in humans. IL-1α (27%), these cytokines bind to common receptors and both are pyrogenic in humans. These cytokines bind to common receptors and both are pyrogenic in humans. IL-1α is a typical inflammatory cytokine, and there are two types, IL-1α and IL-1β. Each is produced as a 31 kDa precursor and converted to its 17 kDa enzymatic cleavage. Although the amino-acid-sequence homology between IL-1α and IL-1β is low (27%), these cytokines bind to common receptors and both are pyrogenic in humans. IL-1α is present in various normal cells as pro-IL-1α and is released when the cells are damaged, while IL-1β is not present in normal cells but is produced in limited types of cells including macrophages, monocytes and dendritic cells in response to inflammatory stimuli such as stimulation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and IL-1 receptors. Pro-IL-1α is active itself and is present in nucleus and cell membranes, while pro-IL-1β becomes the active form after cleavage by caspase-1 and is then released from the cells.

LPS is a potent inducer of both IL-1α and IL-1β. Intraperitoneal injection of LPS or IL-1 induces HDC even at a very low dose. In mice given N-BPs by intraperitoneal injection, we found that (i) the induction of HDC by LPS is markedly augmented, and this augmentation is due to an increased production of IL-1α and IL-1β, and (ii) in a mouse strain deficient in both IL-1α and IL-1β (IL-1-KO mice), the inflammatory reactions (including HDC induction) induced by intraperitoneal or local injection of N-BPs are very weak. Strangely, although we could not detect IL-1 in the blood after injection of an N-BP alone, we could detect IL-1β in the liver, spleen and lung. The reason for IL-1β being undetectable in the blood seems to lie in our demonstration that although N-BPs increase pro-IL-1β within cells, activation of caspase-1 is insufficient for IL-1β to be released from the cells.

Fever occurs in about 30% of patients receiving an intravenous N-BP for the first time, with fatalities occurring among children due to the fever. By contrast, the non-N-BP Clo does not exhibit such an effect. Interestingly, fever does not occur in patients given second or repeated intravenous N-BPs or in patients previously given intravenous Clo. IL-1 is a potent endogenous pyrogen in humans. As described above, in mice given N-BPs, IL-1 production is markedly augmented. So, we suppose that in patients with infection, augmented production of IL-1β and activation of caspase-1 might be involved in the N-BP-induced fever. If the major cells producing IL-1β are osteoclasts, it seems likely that a decrease in the number of active osteoclasts occurs sometime after the initial injection of N-BPs or Clo, and thus the second injection does not produce sufficient IL-1β to induce fever. However, this hypothesis remains to be examined.

4.3. Necrotic Effects  

Around 1990, when we started to study BPs, there was a report indicating that N-BPs (called aminobisphosphonates at that time), but not Clo, induce necrosis at the site of injection (in rat skin). N-BPs, when injected into the ear-pinnas of mice, produce inflammatory swelling at the injection site, while at high concentrations they produce necrosis at that site. Although the inflammatory swelling induced by low concentrations of N-BPs is much smaller in IL-1-KO mice than in wild-type mice, the extent of the necrosis induced by higher concentrations of N-BPs is similar between these two strains, suggesting that IL-1 is involved in the N-BP-induced inflammation, while other toxic factor(s) may be important in the N-BP-induced necrosis.

4.4. Relationship between Inflammation/Necrosis and
Cholesterol Biosynthesis Concerning the relationship between N-BP-induced inflammation and cholesterol biosynthesis, the following findings have been reported. (a) Inhibition of FPP synthase results in an increase in IPP, with the IPP directly or indirectly stimulating Vγ2Vδ2 T-cells to produce interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and possibly inducing acute inflammatory reactions, including fever.43,44) However, it is notable that a population of γδ T cells that is stimulated by N-BPs has not been found in mice or in other non-primates.45,46) (b) A decrease or defect in mevalonate kinase in cholesterol biosynthesis results in a reduced geranylgeranylation of proteins (Fig. 2), and this reduction stimulates the production of IL-1, leading to profound chronic inflammatory diseases in children.47)

It should be noted that inhibition of FPP synthase leads to reduced synthesis not only of cholesterol but also of farnesol, dolichol and ubiquinone (Fig. 2). Cholesterol is an indispensable constituent of cell membranes, while ubiquinone is a stabilizer of the cell membrane, an anti-oxidant and a constituent of the respiratory chain in mitochondria. In addition, the pathway for cholesterol synthesis generally resides in all eukaryotic cells. Indeed, N-BPs exhibit cytotoxicity in vitro not only against osteoclasts but also against a variety of other cells48) and Zol has been reported to augment the cytotoxic effect of TNF against vascular endothelial cells.49,50) As described in Section 4.1., N-BPs induce HDC in various tissues in mice and also augment HDC-induction by LPS, and vascular endothelial cells are supposed to be the major cells in which HDC is induced.26,51) Thus, inhibition of FPP synthase results in toxic effects via a variety of effects on a variety of cells.

Statins, drugs that inhibit hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) reductase (Fig. 2) and reduce the blood level of cholesterol, are inhibitory against the inflammatory effects of N-BPs.52) Interestingly, however, they promote N-BP-induced necrosis in ear-pinnas.53) It has also been reported that statins induce apoptosis in vascular endothelial cells and keratinocytes.53,54)

5. EFFECTS OF ETI AND CLO ON THE INFLAMMATORY/NECROTIC EFFECTS OF N-BPS

As described above, N-BPs exhibit potent inflammatory and necrotic effects, while the non-N-BPs Eti and Clo exhibit no such effects. We observed twenty years ago, in a mouse model of arthritis, that N-BPs augment the arthritis, whereas Clo improves it.28) Later, we found in various experiments that Eti and Clo inhibit not only the inflammation but also the necrosis induced by N-BPs, and that the inhibitory effect of Clo is more potent than that of Eti.7–10,14,15,27,55,56) For example, although Zol (one of the most potent anti-bone-resorptive N-BPs) induces severe inflammation and necrosis in mouse ear-pinnas, such effects of 2 mM Zol are completely prevented by 8 mM Eti49) and those induced by 4 mM Zol are completely prevented by 4 mM Clo.7) The non-N-BP Til (Fig. 1) also reduces Zol-induced inflammation, but its effect is much weaker than that of Eti.10)

In vitro experiments have confirmed the following. (i) One hundred micromol Ale directly stimulates RAW 264 cells (murine macrophage-like cells) to produce pro-IL-1β, and 1 µM Clo inhibits this effect.39) (ii) There was no clear difference between tumour cells and non-tumour cells in their sensitivity to the cytotoxicity of Zol. In contrast, Eti was not toxic at 1–100 mM in any of the cells tested, and Eti reduced the cytotoxicity of Zol in many cell-types.58)

6. EFFECTS OF ETI AND CLO ON THE ANTI-BONE-RESORPTIVE EFFECTS OF N-BPS

6.1. BP-Band We noticed that a few weeks after a single intraperitoneal injection of an N-BP into young (4- to 5-week-old) mice, radiography of their tibias reveals a clear sclerotic band (tentatively called “BP-band”), reflecting an
inhibition of bone-resorption. As shown in Fig. 3A, N-BPs produce clearly evident BP-bands at 0.1 mM, while Clo produces a detectable BP-band at 10 mM and Eti produces a BP-band at 50 mM but not at 10 mM (data not shown). The site at which such a BP-band is formed is the growth plate at the time of N-BP injection. Analysis of radiography results confirmed that the BP-band is a good index of the anti-bone-resorptive effects of BPs, allowing rapid and simple estimation of such effects. Incidentally, BP-bands are observed in human children, too.

6.2. Contrasting Effects of Eti and Clo on the Anti-bone-Resorptive Effects of N-BPs

The effects of Eti on BP-band formation by N-BPs (Ale, Ris and Zol), with which they were co-injected, are also shown in Fig. 3. The BP-bands formed following injection of a combination of Eti and an N-BP are similar to those formed by each N-BP alone (Fig. 3A). However, a combination of Eti and an N-BP markedly reduced the N-BP-induced BP-band formation (Fig. 3B). These results indicate that while the anti-bone-resorptive effects of N-BPs are not profoundly affected by Clo, they are strongly inhibited by Eti. We can explain this difference by the difference in the affinities for hydroxyapatite shown by Clo and Eti (Table 2); that is, because the affinity of Clo is very low, its ability to competitively inhibit the binding of N-BPs to bones is weak. On the other hand, because the affinity of Eti is in the middle of the range shown by BPs, its ability to competitively inhibit the binding of N-BPs to bones is stronger. Interestingly, when Eti was injected after (0.5–16 h) injection of an N-BP, Eti reduced BP-band formation by the N-BP, indicating that Eti can expel N-BPs from bone(s) in which they have accumulated.

Hydroxyapatite is more exposed at sites of enhanced bone-resorption, such as regions of inflammation or tumour metastasis. BPs, when injected intravenously, bind in large amounts to hydroxyapatite at such sites. Thus, bone scintigraphy, using a 99mTc-labelled BP, can detect inflammatory or tumour metastatic regions in bones. Using this method, we directly demonstrated that Eti expels N-BPs from bone(s) in which they have accumulated (Fig. 4A) and also that N-BPs accumulate at the inflammatory site in a mouse mandible after tooth extraction (Fig. 4B). We further observed that BPs accumulate in large amounts in human mandibles at an early stage of BRONJ (Fig. 4C1). As shown in Figs. 4C2 and 4C3, this accumulation is reduced during treatment with Eti (details are given in Section 10).

7. MECHANISM UNDERLYING THE UPTAKE OF N-BPs INTO SOFT-TISSUE CELLS AND THE EFFECTS OF ETI AND CLO

During bone-resorption, HCl is released from osteoclasts and dissolves bones, causing BPs that had previously accumulated within the bone to be eluted out. Under such an acidic environment at a bone surface covered by osteoclasts, the polarity of the eluted BPs is low because protons bind to the two phosphoric acid residues of the BPs, thereby making them lipophilic. Thus, the BPs may be taken into osteoclasts in a passive and/or non-specific manner. However, under a neutral environment (i.e., in most soft tissues) BPs, especially non-N-BPs, are highly polarized by loss of their protons, making it hard for them to pass through cell membranes. Indeed, when injected intravenously into mice, Pam (an N-BP, Fig. 1), remains in the liver and spleen for a long time, while Eti and Clo are not retained in these tissues at all, although they are retained within bones. Hence, under neutral environments N-BPs must be taken into cells via some specific transporters, and inhibition of such transportation might be expected to reduce or prevent the inflammatory/necrotic effects of N-BPs.

Thus, we tested the effects of many substances (including many anionic chemicals and various substances with structures resembling BPs) on the inflammation/necrosis induced by Zol in mouse ear-pinnas. During this study, we noted that phosphonoformic acid (PFA) (Fig. 1) is an inhibitor of phosphate transporters and that Eti and Clo inhibit phosphate transporters. Three families of phosphate transporters are known (viz. SLC17, SLC20 and SLC34). SLC20 is distributed widely among various cell types. PFA has been shown to inhibit SLC20 and SLC34. Based on the results obtained from our experiments using many substances, we concluded the following: (i) N-BPs are taken into cells via SLC20.

![Fig. 3. BP-Band, a Simple Index of the Anti-bone-Resorptive Effects of BPs](image)
and/or SLC34. (ii) Ppi and the non-N-BPs Oxi and Med (all three substances are inflammatory/necrotic) are taken in via SLC17. (iii) PFA inhibits SLC20 and SLC34 (but not SLC17) and thus PFA inhibits the inflammatory/necrotic effects of Zol but not those of Ppi, Oxi or Med. (iv) Eti and Clo inhibit all of SLC17, SLC20 and SLC34 and thus inhibit the inflammatory/necrotic effects of Zol as well as those of Ppi, Oxi and Med. (v) The order of potencies for inhibition of the inflammatory/necrotic effects of Zol is Clo > Eti > PFA. These conclusions were supported by experiments using other N-BPs, too.9,10,15) In brief, our view is that N-BPs enter cells via SLC20 and/or SLC34 phosphate transporters, and the non-N-BPs Eti and Clo inhibit this transport and thus reduce or prevent the inflammatory/necrotic effects of N-BPs (Fig. 5).

8. EXACERBATION OF INFLAMMATORY/NECROTIC EFFECTS OF N-BPS BY INFECTION

As described in Section 4.2., the HDC induction and IL-1 production caused by LPS is markedly augmented in N-BP-pretreated mice.36,37) Moreover, HDC induction by N-BPs is augmented in mice pretreated with LPS.38) In the experiments described in those reports, we used Escherichia coli LPS. In periodontitis, Prevotella intermedia is a prevalent Gram-negative bacterium, and the structure of P. intermedia LPS is different from that of E. coli LPS. However, our earlier study had demonstrated that the inflammatory effect of P. intermedia LPS is also augmented in N-BP-treated mice.68) IL-1 release from macrophages in vitro in the presence of oral bacteria is another effect that is augmented by N-BPs.69) Moreover, expression of the LPS receptor TLR4 (Toll-like receptor-4) is augmented by N-BPs (our manuscript in preparation). These findings suggest that infection and N-BPs mutually augment inflammation, and that infection may be causally involved in BRONJ. It is also likely that infection is involved in the fever that is induced by N-BPs when they are intravenously injected for the first time in a given patient (see Section 4.2.).

9. MECHANISMS UNDERLYING BRONJ

Our research has shown that N-BPs, but not Eti and Clo, induce inflammation/necrosis at the site of injection, and that the order of potencies for these effects is Min > Zol > Iba ≥ Pam ≥ Ale > Ris7,9,10,14) (Table 2). In a recent review by Fliefel et al.4) among 4119 BRONJ patients the rank order of the N-BPs that caused BRONJ and the number of patients affected were: Zol (intravenous) (2427) > Pam (intravenous) (571) > Ale (oral and intravenous) (523) > Iba (oral) (128). In a recent investigation (from Jan. 2011 to Dec. 2013) by the Japan Academic Society of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery,70) N-BPs were found to have caused 4685 BRONJ cases in Japan. Among those cases, the rank order of the N-BPs that caused BRONJ and the number of cases were: Zol (intravenous) (2261) > Ale (intravenous and oral) (1589) > Ris (oral) (555) > Min (oral) (219) > Pam (intravenous) (54). Min is the newest N-BP developed in Japan for use against osteoporosis and it has been in use since 2011. Even so, Min had already caused 219 BRONJ cases during that short period (up to Dec. 2013). In mice, Min’s anti-bone-resorptive effect and inflammatory and necrotic effects are as great as, or greater than, those of Zol.9) Although precise figures for incidence of BRONJ are not known, these clinical results suggest that the mechanisms proposed to underlie the inflammatory/necrotic effects of N-BPs in mice might be causally involved in BRONJ.

Oral tissues are usually exposed to bacteria. We have shown in a mouse model of arthritis that repeated intraperi-
toneal injection of a low dose of N-BPs results in a marked infiltration of macrophages and granulocytes into joints and an exacerbation of the arthritis, even though N-BPs strongly inhibit osteoclasts. In experiments using mouse ear-pinnas, too, N-BPs were found to induce a marked infiltration of granulocytes. Monocytes and granulocytes also accumulate in oral tissues in BRONJ patients. As shown in Figs. 4B and C1, inflammation in bones promotes the accumulation of BPs in the same bones. However, it is notable that N-BPs can be detected in saliva obtained from patients who have BRONJ or are at risk of BRONJ, indicating that N-BPs are released from jaw bones during the necrotic process.

Here, we propose that the following mechanisms may underlie BRONJ: (a) N-BPs accumulate in jawbones upon their repeated administration and this accumulation is promoted by inflammation caused by oral bacteria and/or tooth extraction, (b) the accumulated N-BPs are released by bone destruction caused by infection and/or tooth extraction, (c) TLR4 is up-regulated by N-BPs, (d) N-BPs are also released from dead osteoclasts. (e) N-BPs (both administered and released from bones) are taken into soft-tissue cells around jawbones via phosphate transporters. These effects (a–e) may form a “vicious circle” and cause BRONJ (see text for details). Clo and Eti can prevent or limit this vicious circle by inhibiting the intracellular uptake of N-BPs via phosphate transporters. In addition, Eti can inhibit the binding of N-BPs and can expel N-BPs that have already accumulated in jawbones. See text for details.

10. TREATMENT OF BRONJ: ETI AS A SUBSTITUTION DRUG FOR N-BPs

In addition to antibiotics, analgesics and conventional surgical intervention, several strategies against BRONJ have been proposed. The studies cited for (i) to (iv) in Table 3 are all case reports and have not been confirmed. In contrast, the effectiveness of teriparatide therapy (v) has been repeatedly reported. Although those case reports mostly lack controls, Kim et al. having made comparisons with a non-treated group, suggested that teriparatide is significantly beneficial, and that the serum vitamin D concentration may be important for such a beneficial effect. However, it should be noted that teriparatide must be given subcutaneously by the patients themselves (it cannot be given orally), and that teriparatide cannot be employed in BRONJ patients with bone-metastatic cancers (because its tumorigenic property causes it to be contraindicated in such patients). Although the effectiveness of platelet-rich-plasma therapy (vi) has also been repeatedly reported, it has the weakness that the plasma must be prepared from the patients themselves.

As described in Section 6, our experiments in mice revealed that Eti has the ability (a) to expel N-BPs from bone(s) in which they had previously accumulated and (b) to inhibit the inflammatory/necrotic effects of N-BPs by inhibiting their uptake into cells via phosphate transporters. In addition, the anti-bone-resorptive effect of Eti is much weaker than those of N-BPs (Fig. 1), its clinical dose is very large compared to those of N-BPs (Table 1), the doses of N-BPs being 1–50 mg, while the dose of Eti is 200–1000 mg. That being so, we might expect such a large dose of Eti to produce inhibitory effects in human patients similar to those we observed in mice. Indeed, our clinical trials in two BRONJ patients (given
oral N-BPs\textsuperscript{59} and 25 BRONJ patients (11 patients given oral N-BPs and 14 patients given intravenous N-BPs)\textsuperscript{70} suggest that Eti treatment promotes or tends to promote the separation and removal of sequestra and thereby promotes the recovery of soft tissues, allowing them to cover the exposed jawbones again. In the latter trial, the effects of Eti were evaluated by comparison with respective control groups (i.e., not-treated with Eti). Incidentally, after such Eti-therapy is terminated, it seems possible to resume N-BP-therapy.\textsuperscript{70}

11. PREVENTION OF BRONJ: CLO AS A COMBINATION DRUG WITH N-BPs

As described in Sections 5, 6 and 7, in mice Clo strongly inhibits the uptake of N-BPs into cells via phosphate transporters and it inhibits or prevents the inflammatory/necrotic effects of N-BPs (Fig. 5A). Conveniently, the affinity of Clo for bones is weaker than those of N-BPs (Table 2) and thus its ability to inhibit the binding of N-BPs to bones is also weak (i.e., the inhibitory effect of Clo against the anti-bone-resorptive effects of N-BPs is weak). Thus, in human patients it might be expected that a combination of Clo with an N-BP would prevent the inflammatory/necrotic effects of the N-BP, without impairing the latter’s potent anti-bone-resorptive effect. However, Clo (unlike Eti) might not expel an N-BP that had previously accumulated in bones because of its low affinity for bones. As the anti-bone-resorptive activity of Clo is 3-times higher than that of Eti, Clo should be suitable as a combination drug with an N-BP. Unfortunately, we cannot examine this issue because Clo is not approved for clinical use in Japan (unless we can collaborate with foreign investigators). Incidentally, if Eti were to be combined with an N-BP, it might inhibit the binding of the N-BP to bone and thereby reduce the anti-bone-resorptive effect of the N-BP.

Outside Japan, Clo, like N-BPs, is being applied not only to osteoporosis but also to bone-metastatic cancers. Intravenous N-BPs are reported to exhibit anti-tumour activities via direct and/or indirect effects (inhibition of osteoclasts or vascularization),\textsuperscript{80} while Clo is reported to exhibit anti-tumour effects even upon oral administration.\textsuperscript{81,82} As described in Section 3, the major mechanism underlying cytotoxicity differs between N-BPs and Clo. Thus, when Clo and an N-BP are taken into tumour cells, a synergistic anti-tumour effect might be expected. Use of a combination of Clo with an N-BP should thus be an attractive theme for future studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Recent typical references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Fluorescence-guided curettage of necrotic bones</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Local flaps using pedicled buccal fat pad</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Hyperbaric oxygen therapy</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Low intensity laser therapy after tooth extraction</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v) Teriparatide therapy</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi) Application of autologous platelet-rich plasma after sequestrectomy</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vii) Etidronate-replacement therapy</td>
<td>59, 79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. ANALGESIC EFFECTS OF ETI AND CLO

In animal experiments, BPs are reported to exhibit analgesic effects in which their anti-bone-resorptive effects are not involved, with the analgesic effect of Clo being stronger than those of the N-BPs Pam and Ale.\textsuperscript{83,84} Moreover, Fujita et al.\textsuperscript{85} found that in patients with osteoporosis and/or osteoarthritis, Eti displayed an analgesic effect that was greater than those of the N-BPs Ale and Ris. We confirmed the analgesic effects of Clo and Eti in two experimental models in mice (the writhing test and the capsaicin test).\textsuperscript{106} In our experiments, analgesic effects were observed only for Eti and Clo among various non-BPs and N-BPs, the relative potencies being Clo\textsuperscript{>Eti.} Surprisingly, Eti and Clo exhibit their analgesic effects at doses lower than those at which they exhibit anti-bone-resorptive effects.\textsuperscript{106} As described in Section 7, Clo and Eti inhibit all families of phosphate transporters and again the order of potencies is Clo\textsuperscript{>Eti.} Glutamic acid, aspartic acid and ATP, which are known to be pain transmitters, are taken into neuronal vesicles, then released into synaptic clefts in response to pain-producing stimuli, leading to stimulation of their post-synaptic receptors and pain production. Recently, the transporters involved in the uptake of these transmitters into neuronal vesicles have been identified as members A5–A9 of the SLC17 family of phosphate transporters.\textsuperscript{106} Thus, it is likely that Eti and Clo exhibit their analgesic effects by inhibiting these transporters. Indeed, our recent study supports this idea\textsuperscript{37} (Fig. 6).

13. ONJ INDUCED BY DENOSUMAB

As described above, we supposed that the cytotoxic effects of N-BPs on a variety of cells around jaw bones are mainly responsible for ONJ (Fig. 5A). However, unexpectedly, denosumab, another potent inhibitor of bone resorption, has recently been shown to cause ONJ.\textsuperscript{87,88} Unlike N-BPs, denosumab is not cytotoxic. Thus, we speculate that potent or long-term inhibition of bone resorption by itself may also be a cause of ONJ. In this Section, we discuss this point.

In both the oral epithelium and the marrow of jawbones, cellular reproduction is rapid, and the mandible and maxilla are unique among skeletal structures because of the presence of teeth, through which they are frequently subject to infections. Oral tissues are thus vulnerable to radiation as well as to cytotoxic drugs, and immunity against infection and a generous blood supply are very important for the prevention of periodontitis and osteomyelitis. Moreover, it is widely recognized that irradiated jawbones are particularly susceptible to infection. The rare causes of ONJ are known to include radiation of the head and neck, cancer chemotherapy, local malignancy, periodontal disease, trauma, long-term glucocorticoid treatment and anti-angiogenetic drugs.\textsuperscript{88,89} suggesting that ONJ can occur without inhibition of bone resorption. Indeed, Reid and Cornish suggested in their 2012 review that ONJ could be secondary to infection.\textsuperscript{90}

Receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK) and its ligand RANKL are key molecules not only in bone physiology, but also in immunity, pregnancy, and even in the central nervous system.\textsuperscript{91,92} Indeed, RANKL and RANK play central roles in both osteoclastogenesis and lymph node organogenesis. RANKL is expressed not only on osteoblasts, but also
on activated T cells, dendritic cells, monocytes, macrophages, and keratinocytes. Recent studies suggest that T cell-derived RANKL may initiate immune system responses via activation of osteoclasts or bone loss. Although IL-1 is considered to be the strongest cytokine for the activation of osteoclasts, we have suggested that the prime role of bone IL-1 in mice may lie in the emergency Ca\(^{2+}\)-supply to soft tissues, not in bone-remodeling. Expansion of regulatory T cells is also mediated by RANKL–RANK interaction, and anti-RANKL monoclonal antibody reportedly abolishes the protective function of regulatory T cells, leading to increased intestinal inflammation and severe colitis in mice. In addition, RANKL–RANK interaction is involved in oral immunotolerance. Denosumab is a human monoclonal antibody against RANKL. Thus, it seems likely that inhibition of osteoclastogenesis by denosumab, as well as the promotion of osteoclast apoptosis by N-BPs, may lead to a reduction or impairment of immunity against infection in oral tissues, inhibiting the healing of damaged oral tissues and leading to ONJ (Fig. 5B).

14. CONCLUSION

N-BPs are taken into soft-tissue cells via phosphate transporters. We propose mechanisms underlying BRONJ in which these transporters may play a critical role. Eti and Clo can inhibit such phosphate transporters and thus they may be effective at preventing or reducing the cytotoxicity of N-BPs. Thus, Eti and Clo may find applications in BRONJ as a substitution drug for N-BPs and a combination drug with N-BPs, respectively. Eti and Clo are drugs with both anti-bone-resorptive and analgesic effects. Many patients with osteoporosis experience pain. Thus, Eti and Clo may be safe and suitable drugs for patients with osteoporosis and/or BRONJ.

15. PERSPECTIVES

Among the various drugs approved for osteoporosis, N-BPs are the 1st choice drugs. However, not only are there direct inflammatory and necrotic effects on tissues exposed to N-BPs, but the bioavailability of N-BPs after oral administration is very poor. Consequently, in patients with bone-metastatic tumours, N-BPs are administered mostly via intravenous drip. In osteoporotic patients, N-BPs must be taken, at the time of rising, together with 180 mL or more of water and the patient must avoid lying down for at least 30 min, as well as avoiding eating, drinking, or taking other drugs. Nevertheless, lesions of the oesophagus and/or stomach occur and many aged patients drop out from the therapy for that reason. N-BPs are also given to children with osteogenesis imperfecta. Thus, safe, reliable and simple drug-delivery systems are needed for N-BPs.

In addition to BRONJ, atypical femur fracture is a serious side effect of N-BPs, suggesting that the dose of N-BPs might be excessive in the patients in which it occurs. It is important to note that N-BPs accumulate within bones after repeated administrations and that the currently employed doses of N-BPs were mostly determined before BRONJ and atypical femur fractures were anticipated as adverse effects. Thus, it would seem necessary to re-evaluate both the doses of N-BPs and the term of administration.

Finally, it should be noted that the standard recipe for Eti in one cycle is 200 mg/once a day between meals for two weeks (with no restrictions of the type required for N-BPs) followed by a 3- to 4-month rest-period, and this recipe was used in our study of the use of Eti for treating BRONJ. However, since there is approval for the dose to be increased to up to 1000 mg/d and for the term to be prolonged to up to 3 months, it would be of interest in future studies to examine the utility of such high-dose recipes for treating BRONJ patients.
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