Japan's emerging challenge for child abuse: System coordination for early prevention of child abuse is needed
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Summary

At the end of 2013, a Japanese newspaper reported that 4,173 children were unidentified or missing in Japan. The article concluded that child abuse was a matter of national concern. In examining the strengths and weaknesses of Japan's welfare system in regard to child abuse, it would seem that a weakness exists with regard to its ambiguity on the roles of different officers who contact suspected cases. Although three types of officer (health, welfare, and police officers) can take charge, child abuse cases might be missed because the division of labor varies between the different types of officer. However, a strength exists in the periodical pediatric health check system that is in place in each of Japan's 1,742 municipalities. To efficiently implement early intervention for child abuse, it is necessary to rearrange the division of labor among the three types of officers to clarify who should intervene in suspected cases.
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Child abuse is a national concern in Japan. The number of child abuse notification cases is increasing (1). The Japanese police report that 32 children were killed by abuse in 2012. Of the 59,919 cases that resulted in notification in 2011, physical abuse accounted for 36.6%, followed by neglect (31.5%), psychological abuse (29.5%), and sexual abuse (2.4%) (2). Worldwide, the estimated number of homicide deaths in children under 15 amounts is approximately 34,000 (3). Gilbert et al. report that about 4-16% of children experience physical abuse and that one in ten is neglected or psychologically abused. As for sexual abuse, between 5% and 10% of girls and up to 5% of boys are exposed to penetrative sexual abuse during childhood (4).

The difficulty in early detection of child abuse is that it usually occurs behind closed doors in a home environment, and that the main players in the abuse, usually parents, may be unaware that they are committing abuse. In Japan, this is further complicated by the fact that people nearby who suspect child abuse may be reluctant to notify authorities because it tends to be viewed as a family affair. Thus, the true situation of child abuse is likely to be hidden. Those who engage in the management of child abuse view it as a difficult challenge (5).

On December 31, 2013, The Yomiuri Shimbun (a Japanese newspaper) reported that 4,173 infants were unidentified or missing in Japan, and concluded that child abuse was a matter of concern (6). The article reported that the newspaper had conducted a questionnaire survey targeting all of Japan’s 1,742 municipalities in November 2013. Each municipality notes instances of non-attendance at periodical pediatric health checks; 334 municipalities showed the probable existence of missing infants. Some municipality officers were reported to have conducted home visits to check if the children were brought up in a safe environment. Others reported that they only performed a phone or mail survey and simply asked whether the infants in question were being nurtured properly. The article concluded that Japan has no surveillance system for identifying missing children with regard to cases of possible child abuse.

From the above-mentioned article, we see the strengths and weaknesses of Japan's welfare system for children and gain a clue as to how the early prevention
of child abuse might be achieved. With regard to early
detection, the weakness of Japan’s system is in the
ambiguity of the roles of the different types of officer
who make contact with suspected child abuse cases.
Throughout Japan, three types of officer (police, welfare, and health officers) can, in principle, take
charge in cases of child abuse.

While health officers take charge in the health
service (including through consultation of parents
suspected of abuse), welfare officers are generally
in charge of managing child abuse cases. Welfare
officers collect information on notifications of abuse
from neighbors of the families in which child abuse is
suspected and hospital staff, including medical doctors.
Aside from these points of contact, police officers
receive notification in cases where law enforcement
might be necessary.

The roles for identifying child abuse seem to be
deliberate because there is an overlap in the roles of
the three types of officer. However, child abuse cases might
be missed due to the lack of clear definition. In fact,
while the number of notifications has increased year
by year, there has not been a corresponding decrease
in the number of confirmed child abuse cases. In the
Netherlands, pediatricians are trying to detect child
abuse among children who present to the emergency
department (7). Learning from these practices, Japanese
pediatricians are also trying to identify abuse cases
at the emergency department and several manuals
have been published and circulated (5). However,
the efficacy of this type of medical approach has a
limitation in that its basic focus is on the detection of
physical abuse. We believe that a population-focused
approach would be more effective in the early detection
of child abuse.

A possible avenue for a population-focused
approach to child abuse prevention in Japan exists in
the periodical pediatric health check system, which is in
place in every municipality of Japan. Health checks are
provided, free of charge, for children aged 3-4 months,
1.5 years and 3 years (8,9). All of the target children
are registered and health officers at their corresponding
municipalities have contact with parents. Thus, it would
seem to be relatively easy to monitor attendance of
pediatric health checks.

Properly utilized, pediatric health checks could
provide an innovative and functional avenue for
facilitating the early prevention of child abuse. For
that purpose, it is necessary to redefine the mandate of
the pediatric health check system and to rearrange
the division of labor among health officers, welfare officers
and police, in order to clarify who should intervene in
cases where child abuse is suspected.
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