Effects of Glucose Abnormalities on In-Hospital Outcome After Coronary Intervention for Acute Myocardial Infarction
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Background The effects of glucose abnormalities on outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) remain unclear. We examined the association between glucose abnormalities and in-hospital outcome in patients undergoing PCI for acute myocardial infarction (AMI).

Methods and Results A total of 849 patients with AMI who were admitted within 12 h after symptom onset and underwent emergency PCI were classified according to the presence or absence of admission hyperglycemia, defined as a blood glucose level on admission of >11 mmol/L and whether they had a history of diabetes mellitus: group 1 (n=504), non-diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia; group 2 (n=111), diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia; group 3 (n=87), non-diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia; and group 4 (n=147), diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia. Among groups 1, 2, 3 and 4, in-hospital mortality was 2.6, 2.7, 11.5 and 8.8%, respectively (p=0.01). Multivariate analysis showed that compared with group 1 patients, the odds ratio (95%-confidence interval) for in-hospital mortality among those in groups 2, 3, and 4 were 0.80 (0.24–2.60, p=0.708), 2.29 (1.10–5.49, p=0.039), and 2.14 (1.14–4.69, p=0.048), respectively.

Conclusions In-patients undergoing PCI for AMI, admission hyperglycemia, irrespective of the presence or absence of diabetes, is associated with increased in-hospital mortality, whereas diabetes without admission hyperglycemia is not. (Circ J 2005; 69: 375–379)
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Patients with diabetes have been established to have poorer outcomes after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) than non-diabetic patients. Furthermore, hyperglycemia itself on admission (admission hyperglycemia) is also associated with an increased risk of adverse events, including heart failure, cardiogenic shock, and death after AMI, irrespective of whether diabetes was previously diagnosed. Recently, Wahab et al report that diabetes, admission hyperglycemia, or both were associated with adverse outcomes after AMI during the thrombolytic era.

Thrombolytic therapy has been established to significantly reduce mortality among both diabetic and non-diabetic patients with AMI. Despite substantial benefits, thrombolytic therapy is less likely to be given to diabetic patients, which might contribute to their poorer outcome. Recently, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is increasingly used for reperfusion therapy, improving the outcome of patients with AMI. In diabetic patients with AMI, primary angioplasty is associated with fewer and less severe adverse events than thrombolytic therapy, suggesting that PCI might have a beneficial effect on survival in diabetic patients. The aim of this study was to examine the relations of glucose abnormalities to infarct size and in-hospital mortality in patients with AMI who underwent PCI.

Methods

Study Population

The Japan Acute Coronary Syndrome Study (JACSS) was a retrospective, observational multicenter trial. Between January and December 2001, patients with AMI admitted to 35 participating hospitals in Japan were studied.
A diagnosis of AMI required at least 2 of the following characteristics: typical chest pain persisting for 30 min or longer, ischemic electrocardiographic changes, and a peak creatine kinase level equivalent to more than twice the upper limit of normal. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of each participating hospital. A total of 849 patients who met the following entry criteria were studied: (i) admission within 12 h from the onset of AMI; (ii) coronary angiography performed immediately after admission; (iii) percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, stenting, or both of the infarct-related artery; (iv) measurement of blood glucose level on admission; (v) availability of a detailed clinical history.

Coronary Angiography and Coronary Intervention

Written informed consent for coronary catheterization was obtained from all patients at each hospital. Coronary angiography was performed immediately after admission. The perfusion status of the infarct-related artery was assessed according to the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) study classification. The recanalization method was left to the physicians’ discretion. Final TIMI flow grade was assessed on the basis of final angiograms obtained on admission.

Data Analysis

Previous angina was defined as the presence of typical chest pain occurring at rest or during exercise and persisting for less than 30 min, within 24 h before the onset of AMI. Diabetes mellitus was considered present if this diagnosis and antidiabetic treatment, including drugs or insulin, had been given to the patient, if the fasting glucose level was found to be $\geq 126$ mg/dl (7.0 mmol/L) on the previous occasion or if the results of an oral glucose tolerance test were abnormal. Patients who did not meet these criteria were considered not to have diabetes mellitus. Blood samples for measurement of blood glucose level were obtained on admission. Admission hyperglycemia was defined as a blood glucose level on admission of $\geq 198$ mg/dl (11 mmol/L). Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was measured in 420 patients (49%) within 14 days after admission. Patients were classified into 4 groups, based on their history of diabetes and their blood glucose level on admission:

Group 1 (n=504): Non-diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia;
Group 2 (n=111): Diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia;
Group 3 (n=87): Non-diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia;
Group 4 (n=147): Diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia.

Data are presented as mean values±SD or percentages of patients.
Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation for continuous variables and as percentages for categorical variables. We made comparisons by one-way analysis of variance for continuous variables, and the statistical significance of differences was calculated by using the Scheffe F test. Chi-squared analysis or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables. A two-tailed p value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to examine determinants of in-hospital mortality. Variables used for analysis included an age of >70 years, sex, time to admission, Killip >1 on admission, previous infarction, serum creatinine level on admission, ST-segment elevation, anterior infarction, absence of previous angina within 24 h before symptom onset, occlusion status at the culprit lesion, 3-vessel disease, stent implantation, final TIMI flow grade ≤2, and glycemic status. The strength of association of glycemic status was assessed by comparison of the 3 groups with a disordered blood glucose profile to the normal (group 1) patients who had no diagnosis of diabetes without admission hyperglycemia. Analyses were conducted with the use of SPSS PC software.

Results

Patient Characteristics

The overall prevalence of diabetes in the study group was 30%. Patients’ characteristics in the 4 study subgroups are presented in Table 1. Non-diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia were likely to be oldest. Patients with admission hyperglycemia were likely to be female and to be in the Killip class >1 on admission, and independent of a diabetic status. The prevalence of previous infarction was slightly but not significantly higher in the diabetic patients than in the non-diabetic patients. Diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia had the highest blood glucose level on admission and the highest HbA1c value. In general, diabetic patients were more likely to have hyperlipidemia and hypertension than non-diabetic patients. Diabetic patients were more likely to be receiving aspirin, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors. There were no differences in the 4 groups with regard to time from symptom onset to admission, infarct location, serum creatinine level on admission, and prevalence of ST-segment elevation.

Coronary Angiographic Findings

The coronary angiographic findings of the patients are presented in Table 1. Stent implantation was performed in 665 patients (78%). Diabetic patients were more likely to have 3-vessel disease than non-diabetic patients. There were no significant differences in the 4 groups with respect to the prevalences of TIMI flow grade 0 at initial coronary angiography, a final TIMI flow grade ≥2, a final TIMI flow grade of 3, or stent implantation.

Peak Creatine Kinase Level

Non-diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia had a higher peak creatine kinase level than the other 3 groups, which had similar levels (Fig 1).

In-Hospital Mortality

During hospitalization (mean 14 days), 39 patients (4.5%) died (38 of cardiac causes and one of multiple organ failure). In-hospital mortality was higher in non-diabetic and diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia, especially in the former (Fig 2). Multivariate analysis showed that patients who were >70 years of age, had Killip >1 on admission, serum creatinine on admission, anterior infarction, final TIMI grade ≤2, and admission hyperglycemia, irrespective of the presence or absence of diabetes (groups 3 and 4), were independent predictors of in-hospital death (Table 2).

Discussion

Our findings suggest that in-patients undergoing PCI for AMI, and the presence of admission hyperglycemia with or without diabetes significantly contributed to in-hospital mortality. Diabetes without admission hyperglycemia did not increase in-hospital mortality.

Non-Diabetic Patients With Admission Hyperglycemia

The poor outcome in non-diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia may arise from a larger infarct size. Hyperglycemia has been shown to increase intercellular adhesion molecule-1, which increases the leukocyte plug-
Hyperglycemia was caused by severe myocardial damage.\textsuperscript{16} Larger infarct size, we cannot rule out the possibility that hyperglycemia is primarily related to the deleterious effects of diabetes. Clinically, heart muscle disease associated with diabetes.\textsuperscript{2} The higher prevalence of Killip class >1 on admission in diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia, despite a similar infarct size as compared with patients without admission hyperglycemia, may reflect increased susceptibility to the deleterious effects of diabetes. Such effects might be most obvious in patients with a prolonged history of severe diabetes. Hyperglycemia itself may directly impair left ventricular function.\textsuperscript{3} Furthermore, poorly controlled diabetes may relate to microvascular dysfunction.\textsuperscript{22} Moreover, coronary atherosclerosis may be more severe and diffuse in diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia\textsuperscript{23} as indicated by the higher incidence of 3-vessel disease. Severer ischemia in the non-infarcted myocardium might increase the risk of heart failure.

Diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia had a smaller infarct size and a better in-hospital outcome than did patients with admission hyperglycemia, regardless of whether they had a history of diabetes. These findings do not support the results of a recent study by Wahab et al, who showed that diabetic patients, irrespective of admission hyperglycemia, have higher mortality after AMI than non-diabetic patients.\textsuperscript{6} In-hospital mortality in their diabetic patients was much higher than that in the patients of the present study. These disparate findings may relate to the different treatment strategies used. Patients in the study by Wahab et al, especially those who were diabetic, were less likely to receive thrombolyis or PCI. The worse outcome in their diabetic patients might thus be related, at least in part, to inadequate reperfusion therapy, as suggested previously.\textsuperscript{6,8} In contrast, we studied only patients who received PCI, and our final success rate was high. The better in-hospital outcome of diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia in the present study suggests that a higher rate of reperfusion by PCI might improve survival in such patients, compared with that of previous studies. Another important distinction between the 2 studies involves the baseline characteristics of diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia. In the present study, a smaller proportion of patients were receiving insulin treatment, and the mean HbA1C value was 6.9%, suggesting relatively good glycemic control. Our subjects most likely had milder or a shorter duration of diabetes than those studied by Wahab et al! Experimental studies have shown that the heart in the early phase of diabetes is more resistant to ischemia than the non-diabetic heart.\textsuperscript{24} Another study has reported that a shorter duration of diabetes is associated with a better outcome after AMI! These findings suggest that the duration and severity of diabetes are important determinants of outcome.

### Study Limitations
This was a retrospective, observational and non-randomized study. However, we included approximately two-thirds of all patients who were admitted to JACSS-affiliated hospitals within 12 h from the onset of AMI. Therefore, we believe that our results serve to demonstrate the effect of glucose abnormalities on in-hospital outcome in patients who receive PCI. In the present study, diabetes mellitus was diagnosed on the basis of whether patients were receiving antidiabetic treatment, blood glucose levels were measured before admission, and the results of oral glucose tolerance tests were available. However, diabetes may have not been diagnosed with the use of these general criteria in some “non-diabetic” patients. The inclusion of such patients may have substantially affected the study results. The inability to exclude such patients from this multicenter retrospective investigation represents an important limitation of our study.

### Table 2: Multivariate Analysis of Factors Associated With In-Hospital Mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95%CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>1.00 (-)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>0.80 (0.24–2.60)</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>2.29 (1.10–4.59)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>2.14 (1.14–4.59)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;70 years</td>
<td>3.09 (1.08–8.98)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.90 (0.32–2.55)</td>
<td>0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to admission</td>
<td>1.07 (0.75–1.16)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip &gt;1 on admission</td>
<td>5.49 (1.88–16.0)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous infarction</td>
<td>2.49 (0.73–8.45)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine on admission</td>
<td>1.82 (1.13–2.93)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST-segment elevation</td>
<td>0.49 (0.11–2.29)</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior infarction</td>
<td>5.45 (1.68–17.7)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of previous angina</td>
<td>1.15 (0.37–3.64)</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI flow grade 0 at initial CAG</td>
<td>3.67 (0.96–14.0)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-vessel disease</td>
<td>2.93 (0.95–8.98)</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent implantation</td>
<td>1.10 (0.32–3.02)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final TIMI flow grade ≤2</td>
<td>3.53 (1.06–11.7)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAG, coronary angiography.

Group 1, Non-diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia; Group 2, Diabetic patients without admission hyperglycemia; Group 3, Non-diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia; Group 4, Diabetic patients with admission hyperglycemia.
study design. Nonetheless, the proportion of our subjects who had diabetes (approximately 30%) was consistent with that of previous studies of patients. Furthermore, we evaluated infarct size on the basis of peak creatine kinase level, but peak creatine kinase level may not accurately reflect infarct size. Other techniques that allow direct examination of infarct size, such as radioisotopes, are needed to more objectively evaluate infarct size and provide important additional information. Further prospective studies involving larger numbers of patients are required to confirm the effect of admission hyperglycemia for patients on outcome after PCI for AMI.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that in-patients undergoing PCI for AMI, and admission hyperglycemia, irrespective of the presence or absence of diabetes, is associated with increased in-hospital mortality, whereas diabetes without admission hyperglycemia is not.
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