ortic stenosis (AS) is a common native valvular heart disease, and aortic valve replacement (AVR) is the only effective treatment that can halt the natural course of progression for such patients. AVR is indicated when ejection fraction (EF) is reduced or when symptoms are revealed during exercise testing based on the current guidelines.

Although EF is the most widely used measurement of left ventricular (LV) function upon which surgical risk assessment is based, it is reduced only in patients with end-stage AS. Currently, the management of patients with severe AS and preserved EF remains controversial. Previous investigators have demonstrated that the fibrotic changes induced by AS start in the subendocardium and affect mainly longitudinal myocardial function, which is not clearly reflected by the EF. Thus, the occurrence of reduced longitudinal myocardial function may affect the clinical outcome for patients with preserved EF.

LV dyssynchrony has emerged as an important mechanism contributing to the progression of heart failure (HF) and ventricular remodeling, and appears to play a pathophysiologic role in HF. Dyssynchrony has been typically studied in HF patients with wide QRS duration, but the relationship between LV dyssynchrony and AS has not been clarified.

Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to investigate, by means of multidirectional assessment of 2-dimensional (2D) speckle-tracking strain whether patients with AS and preserved EF have dyssynchrony and whether it improves after aortic valve replacement (AVR).

Methods and Results: We studied 30 consecutive patients with severe AS and preserved EF undergoing AVR. For baseline comparison, we studied 17 EF-matched patients with mild-to-moderate AS, and 18 EF-matched normal volunteers. Longitudinal dyssynchrony was determined as the standard deviation for time-to-peak speckle-tracking strain in apical 4- and 2-chamber views at the basal- and mid-levels. Radial and circumferential dyssynchrony was determined as the difference for time-to-peak strain between the anteroseptum and posterior wall from the mid-left ventricular (LV) short-axis view. Each of the myocardial functions was also evaluated by averaging each peak systolic strain. Longitudinal dyssynchrony and function in patients with severe AS was significantly worse than in the patients with mild-to-moderate AS and the controls (94±46 vs. 66±18 ms*, and 52±17 ms*, and 12.5±3.7% vs. 16±3.5% and 18.7±3.7%, respectively, *P<0.05, vs. severe AS). In contrast, radial and circumferential dyssynchrony were similar for the 3 groups. Importantly, the dyssynchrony of patients with severe AS significantly improved after AVR from 94±46 ms to 68±22 ms (P<0.005).

Conclusions: Significant longitudinal dyssynchrony was present in patients with severe AS and preserved EF, and it improved after AVR.
served EF have LV dyssynchrony and whether it improves after AVR.

### Methods

#### Study Population

The study group consisted of 33 consecutive patients with severe AS and preserved EF, defined as aortic valve area (AVA) <1.0 cm², who underwent AVR; 3 patients (9%) with suboptimal echocardiographic images were excluded from all subsequent analyses. The eventual patient study group thus consisted of 30 patients with severe AS (Table 1), 20 (67%) of whom were female. The group’s mean age was 73±7 years, EF was 63±14% (all ≥50%), and QRS duration was 99±21 ms (all <120 ms). For baseline comparison, we also studied 17 age- and EF-matched asymptomatic patients with mild-to-moderate AS (AVA: 1.0–1.6 cm²; mean age: 75±8 years; EF: 67±7%), and 18 age- and EF-matched normal volunteers (mean age: 70±10 years; EF: 67±6%). We excluded AS patients with: (1) coronary artery disease, defined as visually 1- or multivessel coronary artery stenosis of a major epicardial vessel >50% or a previous history of myocardial infarction; (2) atrial fibrillation; (3) EF <50%; (4) more than mild additional valve disease; and (5) presence of regional wall motion abnormality. The normal volunteers had no history of cardiovascular disease and completely normal electrocardiograms as well as 2D and Doppler echocardiograms. Written informed consent was given by all patients.

#### Echocardiography

All echocardiographic data were acquired with a commercially available echocardiography system (Vivid 7; GE-Vingmed Ultrasound AS, Horten, Norway).

Digital routine grayscale 2D cine loops from 3 consecutive beats were obtained at end-expiratory apnea from standard apical views (4-chamber, 2-chamber, and long-axis), parasternal long-axis view, and mid-LV short-axis views at depths of 11–20 cm (mean 16±2 cm). Frame rates were 44–90 Hz (mean 59±11 Hz) for grayscale imaging. Sector width was optimized to allow for complete myocardial visualization while maximizing frame rate.

LV dimensions were calculated from the standard M-mode images obtained in the parasternal long-axis views and included LV diameters and end-diastolic thicknesses of the interventricular septum and posterior wall. LV mass was calculated using the formula proposed by Devereux et al and corrected by the body surface area to derive LV mass index. LV volumes and EF were assessed by biplane Simpson’s rule using manual tracing of digital images. For global LV afterload, the valvulo-arterial impedance was calculated using the formula presented by Briand et al. For local measurement by the European Association of Echocardiography and American Society of Echocardiography. AS etiologies were defined as congenital, rheumatic, or degenerative as previously published. Classification of AS severity was based on AVA peak velocity and mean gradient. AVA was calculated with the continuity equation using velocity-time integrals of the aorta and LV outflow tract. Peak and mean aortic transvalvular gradients were calculated using the modified Bernoulli equation.

#### Speckle-Tracking Strain Analysis

Speckle-tracking strain analysis was performed offline using dedicated software (EchoPAC version BTO8; GE-Vingmed Ultrasound AS) to assess LV dyssynchrony and myocardial function. LV dyssynchrony was assessed for each patient by longitudinal, radial and circumferential speckle-tracking strain (Figure 1). Longitudinal dyssynchrony was evaluated as the

---

**Table 1. Baseline Clinical and Echocardiographic Characteristics of the Study Population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Severe AS (n=30)</th>
<th>Mild-to-moderate AS (n=17)</th>
<th>Normal control (n=18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>73±7</td>
<td>75±8</td>
<td>70±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area (m²)</td>
<td>1.51±0.17</td>
<td>1.50±0.18</td>
<td>1.58±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>2.67±0.44</td>
<td>20±7</td>
<td>119±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>45±7</td>
<td>44±5</td>
<td>44±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>29±9</td>
<td>26±4</td>
<td>27±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass index (g/m²)</td>
<td>171±43*</td>
<td>139±37*</td>
<td>89±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV wall stress (kdyn/cm²)</td>
<td>69±33*</td>
<td>45±14</td>
<td>46±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global LV afterload (mmHg · m² · ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.6±0.6*</td>
<td>2.8±0.7</td>
<td>2.8±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>74±30*</td>
<td>65±16</td>
<td>60±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>30±24*</td>
<td>21±6</td>
<td>20±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>63±14</td>
<td>67±7</td>
<td>67±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVA mean gradient (mmHg)</td>
<td>61±27</td>
<td>23±11*</td>
<td>4±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVA (cm²)</td>
<td>0.70±0.18*</td>
<td>1.23±0.20*</td>
<td>2.67±0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean±SD or number.

*P<0.05 vs. normal control, †P<0.05 vs. mild-to-moderate AS, AS, aortic stenosis; LV, left ventricular; AVA, aortic valve area.
standard deviation in time-to-peak speckle-tracking strain in the apical 4- and 2-chamber views at the basal- and mid-levels. Both radial and circumferential dyssynchrony were evaluated as the difference in the time-to-peak strain between the anteroseptum and posterior wall using the mid-LV short-axis view. Longitudinal, radial, and circumferential myocardial functions were also evaluated by averaging each of the corresponding peak systolic speckle-tracking strains (Figure 1).

Statistical Analysis
All group data were compared by 2-tailed Student’s t-test for paired and unpaired data and are presented as mean±SD. Proportional differences were evaluated by Fisher’s exact test or the χ² test as appropriate. Correlation analysis was performed with linear regression, and the results are expressed as Pearson’s correlation coefficients. Differences in baseline characteristics between groups were assessed by 1- or 2-way analysis of variance followed by a Tukey multiple comparisons test. Univariate linear regression analysis was initially used to assess the relationship between longitudinal dyssynchrony and echocardiographic parameters. Multiple linear regression analysis based on stepwise selection was then performed for an analysis of independent determinants of longitudinal dyssynchrony. The entry criterion for the multivariate linear regression model was a univariate P value <0.05. Inter- and intra-observer variabilities were expressed as the absolute difference between the respective measurements divided by their mean value from 10 randomly selected patients. For all
**Figure 2.** Comparison of baseline left ventricular dyssynchrony among the 3 groups, demonstrating that longitudinal dyssynchrony in patients with severe aortic stenosis (AS) was significantly greater than in patients with mild-to-moderate AS or the normal controls, but radial and circumferential dyssynchrony were similar for the groups.

**Figure 3.** Comparison of baseline left ventricular myocardial function among the 3 groups, demonstrating that both longitudinal and radial strain in patients with severe aortic stenosis (AS) were significantly lower than in patients with mild-to-moderate AS or the normal controls. In contrast, circumferential strain was similar in all groups.
tests, P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed with software R version 2.11.1. (R foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The authors had full access to the data and take full responsibility for its integrity. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.

**Results**

The baseline clinical and echocardiographic characteristics of the 65 subjects are summarized in Table 1. Overall, speckle-tracking analysis was possible for 96% of 1,520 attempted segments from 95 subjects (baseline for 65 subjects and follow-up for 30 patients with severe AS) with technically satisfactory images. Only 4% of the segments had to be eliminated. The inter- and intra-observer variabilities were 11±9% and 10±6%, 9±9% and 10±7%, and 12±8% and 11±5% for the analysis of longitudinal, radial and circumferential dyssynchrony, respectively.

### Baseline LV Dyssynchrony and Myocardial Function in Patients With AS

Longitudinal dyssynchrony of patients with severe AS was significantly greater than that of patients with mild-to-moderate AS or the controls (94±46 vs. 66±18 ms* and 52±17 ms*, respectively; *P<0.05, vs. severe AS, Figure 2). On the other hand, both the radial and circumferential dyssynchrony of the 3 groups were similar (38±49 vs. 58±51 ms and 54±48 ms, and 47±32 vs. 49±23 ms and 39±21 ms, Figure 2). Longitudinal and radial strains for patients with severe AS were significantly lower than those for patients with mild-to-moderate AS and the controls (12.5±3.7% vs. 16±3.5%* and 18.7±3.7%*, 40.9±15.7% vs. 52.2±14.6%* and 52.8±16.8%*, respectively, *P<0.05, vs. severe AS, Figure 3). In contrast, the circumferential strain of the 3 groups was similar (21.6±9.4% vs. 20.6±3.1% and 24.4±9.9%, Figure 3).

In addition, longitudinal dyssynchrony was significantly correlated with mitral inflow E and mitral E’ annular velocity ratio (r=0.45 and P=0.01), but not with brain natriuretic pep-
tide levels (r=-0.22 and P=0.25). Using a dichotomized longitudinal dyssynchrony value (high or low), longitudinal dyssynchrony was not significantly associated with clinical characteristics including symptoms, NYHA functional class, blood pressure, or heart rate.

**LV Dyssynchrony After AVR of Patients With Severe AS**

Follow-up echocardiographic data were available for 30 patients with severe AS who underwent AVR (Table 2). Bioprostheses were implanted in all patients (100%); none (0%) received mechanical prostheses. AVA increased significantly after AVR from 0.70±0.18 cm² to 1.42±0.32 cm² (P=0.001), but AVR did not affect EF, which remained virtually unchanged from 63±14% to 63±9%. Importantly, the longitudinal dyssynchrony of patients with severe AS improved significantly after AVR from 94±46 ms to 68±22 ms (P<0.005, Figure 4), whereas neither radial nor circumferential dyssynchrony changed significantly (38±49 vs. 42±45 ms and 47±32 vs. 44±35 ms, respectively, Figure 4).

**Predictors of Longitudinal Dyssynchrony**

Univariate analysis showed that longitudinal strain (β=0.346, P=0.001) and global LV afterload (β=0.222, P=0.031) were associated with longitudinal dyssynchrony (Table 3). Multivariable analysis showed that only longitudinal strain (β=0.306, P=0.005) was an independent determinant of longitudinal dyssynchrony (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In this study, significant longitudinal dyssynchrony was present in patients with severe AS and preserved EF, and it improved after AVR. Furthermore, the longitudinal dyssynchrony in these patients might be related to LV afterload and associated with impaired longitudinal myocardial function, and as the AS severity of AS increases, this impairment progresses. These findings suggest that non-uniformity of myocardial damage may lead to LV dyssynchrony. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first study to assess and identify in patients the association of LV dyssynchrony with AS and preserved EF.

**LV Longitudinal Myocardial Dysfunction in Patients With AS**

Previous studies have demonstrated impaired longitudinal myocardial function in patients with AS. Carasso et al used speckle-tracking strain to demonstrate that longitudinal function was reduced in patients with severe AS and preserved EF and that it normalized after AVR. Moreover, Lindqvist et al showed that longitudinal strain, assessed by means of tissue Doppler and speckle-tracking techniques, in patients with AS and preserved EF was significantly reduced, compared with age- and sex-matched normal controls, and that it improved after AVR. In the same vein, Ng et al recently reported longitudinal, circumferential and radial speckle-tracking strain with an increase in AS severity in patients with AS and preserved EF. Furthermore, Delgado et al observed that impaired longitudinal, circumferential, and radial speckle-tracking strain showed significant improvement after AVR in patients with severe AS and preserved EF. Our study confirms the findings of these previous investigations; namely, that LV myocardial function, including longitudinal function, is significantly impaired in patients with severe AS and preserved EF. A decrease or increase in a particular regional strain must be compensated by an increase or decrease in another strain to keep the global LVEF normal, but in this study longitudinal and radial strains were significantly different and only circumferential strain was similar between the 3 groups, despite similar EFs. Although the precise reason is unknown, we speculate that some other regional myocardial functional parameters, such as LV torsion, play a role in maintaining LVEF. Thus, multidirectional myocardial analysis may well be important for a better understanding of subtle myocardial dysfunction in AS patients. Furthermore, no significant correlation was observed between longitudinal dyssynchrony and circumferential (r=0.13 and P=0.34), or radial strain (r=0.07 and P=0.59).

LV hypertrophy compensates for pressure overload in patients with AS, and may be accompanied by interstitial myocardial fibrosis that starts at the subendocardium and progresses toward replacement fibrosis. These alterations gradually affect LV function, thus contributing to the symptoms. Furthermore, longitudinal function is governed by the subendocardial myocardial fibers, which are aligned longitudinally and more sensitive to microvascular ischemia. The selective impairment in longitudinal function in patients with AS is thus related to the increase in subendocardial stress and associated reduction in coronary flow reserve. Consequently, the improvement in coronary flow reserve after AVR, secondary to an increase in the effective orifice area, results in a more efficient myocardial arterial supply and improved longitudinal function.

**LV Longitudinal Dyssynchrony in Patients With AS**

LV dyssynchrony has emerged as an important mechanism contributing to the progression of HF and ventricular remodeling, and appears to play a pathophysiological role in HF. Furthermore, LV dyssynchrony has various detrimental effects on LV systolic and diastolic functions, as well as right ventricular and left atrial functions, and it is well known that

---

**Table 3. Results of the Univariate and Multivariate Linear Regression Analyses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Longitudinal dyssynchrony (dependent variable)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β coefficient</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass index (g/m²)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV wall stress (kdyne/cm²)</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global LV afterload (mmHg·m⁻²·ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal strain (%)</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted R-squared 0.129.

CI, confidence interval. Other abbreviation see in Table 1.
Conclusions

Significant longitudinal dyssynchrony was present in patients with severe AS and preserved EF, and it improved after AVR. Longitudinal dyssynchrony in patients with AS may be associated with LV afterload and impaired longitudinal myocardial function.
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