Clinical Characteristics of Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction Who Did Not Undergo Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention – Report From the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study –
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Background: In the current era of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), some patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) still do not undergo primary PCI.

Methods and Results: To examine the clinical characteristics of AMI patients who did not undergo primary PCI, we analyzed patients enrolled between 2002 and 2010 in the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study, in which all AMI patients in the Miyagi prefecture have been prospectively registered. Among a total of 8,640 patients, 1,879 (21.7%) did not undergo primary PCI and their in-hospital mortality was significantly worse compared with those who did (21.4% vs. 6.4%, P<0.01). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that female sex was significantly associated with non-performance of primary PCI [odds ratio (95% confidence interval): 1.40 (1.22–1.61), P<0.001], along with age [1.01 (1.01–1.02), P<0.001] and heart failure on admission [2.69 (2.29–3.16), P<0.001]. When dividing by age, the non-performance rate of primary PCI in females showed a U-shaped prevalence, whereas it simply increased with aging in males. Importantly, female patients aged <80 years had a significantly higher non-performance rate of primary PCI compared with male patients, regardless of the severity of AMI.

Conclusions: These results indicate that in the current PCI era, various factors, including aging, heart failure on admission and sex differences, are associated with non-performance of primary PCI, which remain to be resolved in order to further improve critical care of AMI. (Circ J 2015; 79: 2009–2016)

Key Words: Acute myocardial infarction; Percutaneous coronary intervention; Sex differences

A cute reperfusion therapy with fibrinolytic therapy or primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) reduces mortality from acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and guidelines from Europe and the United States have emphasized the need for identifying patients to minimize delays in the delivery of reperfusion therapy. In addition, previous clinical trials have suggested that primary PCI has some advantages over fibrinolysis in patients who are eligible for either treatment. Nevertheless, even in the current PCI era, only 40% of patients with ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction (STEMI) undergo primary PCI in Western countries, so a considerable number of patients still do not. On the other hand, in Japan with its relatively narrow territory and hence good access to hospitals, the performance rate of primary PCI in patients with AMI has dramatically increased during the 1990s, and currently more than 80% of AMI patients undergo the therapy, which is extremely high as compared with other Western countries. However, a certain number of AMI patients still do not undergo primary PCI in Japan and their clinical characteristics remained to be elucidated to further improve the critical care of AMI.
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In order to explore the annual trend for AMI in Japan, we have been conducting the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study for 35 years since 1979, in which almost all AMI patients in the Miyagi prefecture, which is located in northeastern Japan, have been prospectively registered.3,13–17 Because the Miyagi prefecture has a typical balance of urban and rural areas, and the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study includes a wide variety of hospitals, we could perform an unbiased study concerning the critical care of AMI patients in Japan. Thus, in the present study, we aimed to elucidate the clinical characteristics of AMI patients registered in the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study who did not undergo primary PCI in the current PCI era.

Methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine under the condition that personal data were protected at all times.

The MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study and Study Populations

The MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study is a prospective, multicenter and observational study. As previously reported,13,15–18 the registry was established in 1979 to explore the annual trend for AMI in Japan and all 45 hospitals with a coronary care unit and/or cardiac catheterization facility in Miyagi prefecture have been participating (Appendix). In the Miyagi prefecture with a population of approximately 2.33 million and an area of 7,286 km², almost all AMI patients are transferred to one of the participating hospitals via the emergency medical service. The diagnosis of AMI was based on the WHO-MONICA criteria, including typical severe chest pain accompanied by abnormal ECG changes and increased serum levels of cardiac enzymes.19 Thus, in the present study, both STEMI and non-STEMI (NSTEMI) patients were enrolled with no distinction. Treatments, including reperfusion therapies, were left to the discretion of individual cardiologists in charge.

In the present study, we analyzed a total of 8,640 AMI patients registered in the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study during the period between 2002 and 2010, when primary PCI with stents was established as the first-line therapy of recanalization and the performance rate of primary PCI reached a plateau of approximately 80% as previously reported.15,19

Statistical Analysis

To examine the determinants of non-performance of primary PCI, we performed multivariate logistic regression analysis and calculated the odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The following variables were examined in the logistic regression model: age; sex; history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia; current smoking; prior MI; infarction site (anterior or not); onset at night (19:00–07:00 hours); ambulance use for admission; elapsed time from symptom onset to admission (≤2; 2–6; 6–24; and ≥24 h); and coexisting heart failure (HF) with Killip class ≥2 on admission. In addition, we performed the same analysis in the subgroups divided by sex and age: male patients aged <80 years; female patients aged <80 years; male patients ≥80 years; and female patients ≥80 years.

Furthermore, to address the influence of sex and age in non-performance of primary PCI, all patients were divided into 5 age groups (≤59, 60–69, 70–79, 80–89, and ≥90 years), and sex difference was examined in terms of non-performance rate of primary PCI, coexisting HF with Killip class ≥2, in-hospital mortality and percentage of patients admitted within 24 h of onset. Moreover, to assess the factors associated with sex difference by age groups in non-performance of primary PCI, we analyzed the patients by the presence or absence of coexisting HF with Killip class ≥2, death during hospitalization, elapsed time ≥24 h from onset to admission, and study period (2002–2004, 2005–2007, and 2008–2010).

We applied the Mann-Whitney test for continuous values and the chi-square test for categorical variables. Continuous variables are expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS statistics 20 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

### Table. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of the Study Population From the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall (n=8,640)</th>
<th>With primary PCI (n=6,761 (78.3%))</th>
<th>Without primary PCI (n=1,879 (21.7%))</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR)</td>
<td>70 (60–79)</td>
<td>69 (59–78)</td>
<td>73 (63–83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (%)</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior infarction (%)</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior AMI (%)</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset at night (19:00–07:00 hours) (%)</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulance use (%)</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elapsed time from onset to admission (h)</td>
<td>≤2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–24</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥24</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF with Killip class ≥2 on admission (%)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality (%)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; HF, heart failure; PCI, primary percutaneous coronary intervention.
Non-Performance of Primary PCI in AMI

Results

Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes

In the present study, a total of 8,640 patients with AMI registered from 2002 to 2010 were analyzed. They were predominantly male (71.8%) and the median age was 70 years. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of the patients subdivided according to the presence or absence of performance of primary PCI are summarized in Table. Among all subjects, 1,879 (21.4%) did not undergo primary PCI. Of those, 353 patients received fibrinolytic therapy. In the patients who did not undergo primary PCI, in-hospital mortality was significantly worse than for those who had PCI (21.4% vs. 6.4%, P<0.001), and the clinical characteristics also varied markedly between the 2 groups with and without primary PCI. The patients without primary PCI were characterized by advanced age, female sex and previous MI, whereas those with primary PCI showed a higher prevalence of dyslipidemia and current smokers. Moreover, the patients without primary PCI had a longer time from onset to admission and a higher prevalence of HF with Killip...
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Factors Associated With Non-Performance of Primary PCI

To explore the factors associated with non-performance of primary PCI, we performed multivariate logistic regression analysis and the results are shown in Figure 1. Covariates associated with non-performance of primary PCI included, in the order of OR, coexisting HF with Killip class ≥2 on admission [OR (95% CI); 2.67 (2.26–3.14), P<0.001], admission ≥24 h of the onset of symptoms [OR (95% CI); 1.46 (1.21–1.77), P<0.001], female sex [OR (95% CI); 1.40 (1.22–1.61), P<0.001], prior MI [OR (95% CI); 1.36 (1.14–1.64), P=0.001], onset at night (19:00–07:00 hours) [OR (95% CI); 1.23 (1.09–1.39), P=0.001], and age [OR for age per 5 years (95% CI); 1.05 (1.02–1.07), P<0.001] (Figure 1). In contrast, covariates associated with performance of primary PCI included use of ambulance [OR (95% CI); 0.67 (0.56–0.76), P<0.001], dyslipidemia [OR (95% CI); 0.74 (0.65–0.84), P<0.001], current smoking habit [OR (95% CI); 0.81 (0.70–0.93), P=0.003] and anterior AMI [OR (95% CI); 0.83 (0.74–0.93), P=0.002] (Figure 1).

Non-Performance Rate of Primary PCI by Age and Sex

When we analyzed the patients by age groups, the non-performance rate of primary PCI in male patients simply increased with aging, whereas it showed a U-shaped prevalence in female patients (Figure 2). Moreover, female patients had significantly higher non-performance rate of primary PCI than male patients in the 3 younger age groups (≤59, 60–69, 70–79 years old; P<0.01, P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively). Especially, in the youngest group (≤59 years), the sex difference in non-performance rate of primary PCI was consistently noted during the study period (Figure S1). In contrast, the prevalence of coexisting HF with Killip class ≥2 on admission simply increased with aging in both sexes (Figure 3A).

Figure 3. Sex differences in the non-performance rate of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coexisting heart failure (HF) on admission. (A) Prevalence of coexisting HF with Killip class ≥2 on admission increased with aging in both sexes. (B) When dividing the patients into 2 groups according to coexisting HF on admission, the sex difference in non-performance rate of primary PCI in younger populations was observed only in patients without coexisting HF on admission.

Finally, we performed subgroup analysis of the patients in 2 groups according to coexisting HF on admission. Interestingly, the U-shaped prevalence of non-performance of primary PCI by age strata was evident only for female patients without HF on admission (Figure 3B). Similar findings were also noted when dividing the patients into 2 groups according to in-hospital mortality (Figure 5) and the elapsed time at class ≥2 on admission (both P<0.001).
Figure 4. Adjusted odds ratio of non-performance of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in subgroups divided by age and sex. Only among female patients aged <80 years was younger age significantly associated with non-performance of primary PCI. In contrast, coexisting heart failure (HF) on admission was significantly associated with non-performance of primary PCI in all groups. Odds ratio was adjusted by the same factors as in Figure 1. CI, confidence interval.

Figure 5. Sex differences in the non-performance rate of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and in-hospital mortality. (A) In-hospital mortality in both male and female patients simply increased with aging. (B) When dividing the patients into 2 groups according to survival to discharge and death during hospitalization, the sex difference in younger population was evident only in the patients who survived to discharge.
Primary PCI in Japan could be explained by the following. First, Japan is a relatively small country geographically, with good access to hospitals for the entire population. Second, in Japan, not only high-volume centers but also a number of low-volume ones have their own cardiac catheterization facility and perform primary PCI. Thus, access to a PCI-equipped hospital for AMI patients in Japan is more feasible than in Europe or USA. Third, because the cost of primary PCI is fully covered by the healthcare system operated by the Japanese government, AMI patients do not hesitate for economic reasons to visit hospital in Japan. The PACIFIC registry conducted between 2008 and 2011 at 96 hospitals in Japan demonstrated that the performance rate of primary PCI in patients with STEMI or NSTEMI was 95.6% and 90.4%, respectively, which was even higher than in the present study. This discrepancy in the performance rate of primary PCI between the PACIFIC registry and the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study could be explained in part by the difference in the study populations. The PACIFIC registry enrolled AMI patients from selected regional core hospitals that had facilities for advanced interventional therapy, whereas we enrolled AMI patients from community-based hospitals. Moreover, the characteristics of the study populations may also cause a discrepancy because the patients in the present study were older and had a higher proportion of females as compared with the PACIFIC registry (median age, 70 years vs. 66 years; female, 28% vs. 22%). Taken together, the present findings would more reflect the actual state of critical care for AMI patients in Japan.

**Discussion**

The novel findings of the present study were as follows: (1) AMI patients who did not undergo primary PCI in Japan in the stent era were characterized by coexistence of symptomatic HF on admission, female sex, prior MI, onset at night, older age, and admission from onset >24h; (2) when analyzed by age, the non-performance rate of primary PCI in female patients showed a U-shaped prevalence, whereas it simply increased with aging in male patients, and (3) in the younger population aged <60 years, female AMI patients had a significantly higher non-performance rate of primary PCI as compared with male patients independent of disease severity or delay from symptom onset to hospital arrival. These results indicate that there are substantial sex differences in the circumstances surrounding primary PCI, which remain to be resolved in order to further improve critical care of AMI in Japan.

**Performance Rate of Primary PCI in Japan**

In the present study, the performance rate of primary PCI in AMI patients was 78.3%, which is higher than in other Western countries; in the GRACE and the NRMI studies, only 44% and 43.2%, respectively, of STEMI patients underwent primary PCI. The high performance rate of primary PCI in Japan could be explained by the following. First, Japan is a relatively small country geographically, with good access to hospitals for the entire population. Second, in Japan, not only high-volume centers but also a number of low-volume ones have their own cardiac catheterization facility and perform primary PCI. Thus, access to a PCI-equipped hospital for AMI patients in Japan is more feasible than in Europe or USA. Third, because the cost of primary PCI is fully covered by the healthcare system operated by the Japanese government, AMI patients do not hesitate for economic reasons to visit hospital in Japan. The PACIFIC registry conducted between 2008 and 2011 at 96 hospitals in Japan demonstrated that the performance rate of primary PCI in patients with STEMI or NSTEMI was 95.6% and 90.4%, respectively, which was even higher than in the present study. This discrepancy in the performance rate of primary PCI between the PACIFIC registry and the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study could be explained in part by the difference in the study populations. The PACIFIC registry enrolled AMI patients from selected regional core hospitals that had facilities for advanced interventional therapy, whereas we enrolled AMI patients from community-based hospitals. Moreover, the characteristics of the study populations may also cause a discrepancy because the patients in the present study were older and had a higher proportion of females as compared with the PACIFIC registry (median age, 70 years vs. 66 years; female, 28% vs. 22%). Taken together, the present findings would more reflect the actual state of critical care for AMI patients in Japan.
Factors Influencing Non-Performance Rate of Primary PCI

Previous studies from Western countries have demonstrated several factors associated with no reperfusion therapy in AMI patients, including higher age, female sex, pre-existing cardiovascular conditions such as prior MI or HF, history of diabetes, left bundle-branch block, lack of chest pain at presentation, delay from symptom onset to hospital arrival, and out-of-hours presentation. Indeed, most of these findings are consistent with the present study. Moreover, it has been noted that AMI patients presenting with HF are less likely to be treated with reperfusion therapy and have a higher risk of inhospital mortality. Similarly, in the present study, 43.4% of male and 54.9% of female patients with HF on admission did not undergo primary PCI, and multivariate analysis showed that HF on admission correlated most positively with non-performance of primary PCI. Furthermore, several studies have reported that mortality reduction by reperfusion therapies, especially by primary PCI, can be fully expected and appears most evident among high-risk patients with prior MI, older age or HF, a consistent finding of the present study. Thus, the optimal and aggressive approach using primary PCI for high-risk AMI patients appears to improve their prognosis.

Sex Difference in the Non-Performance Rate of Primary PCI

In the present study, female patients underwent primary PCI less frequently than male patients. From the present results and previous reports, the sex difference in the non-performance rate of primary PCI could be explained by the following factors. First, prolonged delay in presentation, because of the atypical symptoms in female patients, could prevent them from having primary PCI during the optimal time for the procedure. Second, female AMI patients are older and have more comorbidities than male patients, making cardiologists hesitate to perform primary PCI. Third, it is known that female patients have non-obstructive coronary artery disease more frequently than male patients. Indeed, a recent study reported that 25% of acute coronary syndrome patients had no culprit lesion, characterized by younger age, female sex and high prevalence (~50%) of coronary spasm. These pathophysiological features of female AMI patients may result in the lower rate of primary PCI. Importantly, the present study showed for the first time that the non-performance rate of primary PCI showed a U-shaped distribution only in female patients when analyzed by age, whereas it simply increased with age in male patients. However, the sex difference in the performance rate of primary PCI, especially in the younger population, was not associated with prehospital delay or disease severity as evidenced by HF on admission and in-hospital mortality, whereas these factors themselves were strongly related to the non-performance of primary PCI. Actually, as shown in Figure S2, female patients aged ≤59 years without primary PCI tended to have lower in-hospital mortality and shorter prehospital delay, as compared with male patients of similar age. Based on these findings, the sex difference in the non-performance rate of primary PCI noted in the present study could partly reflect sex differences in the pathogenesis of AMI.

Study Limitations

Several limitations should be mentioned. First, although almost all AMI patients were transferred to participating hospitals in the Miyagi prefecture, all patients in the Miyagi prefecture may not be registered. Second, in the present study, we were unable to distinguished patients with STEMI and NSTEMI because of the registration system. It is possible that patients with NSTEMI underwent primary PCI less frequently than those with STEMI, which might have affected the present results. Third, as the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study does not include angiographic data, we were unable to examine the performance rate of diagnostic coronary angiography and the influence of angiographic findings on the performance status of primary PCI in AMI patients. Fourth, management decisions, including the selection of reperfusion therapy, were left to the discretion of each attending physician in the MIYAGI-AMI Registry Study, so we do not know the reasons why fibrinolytic therapy was chosen for some of the patients without primary PCI.

Conclusions

In the current PCI era, various factors, including age, HF on admission and sex, are associated with non-performance of primary PCI, and remain to be resolved in order to further improve the critical care of AMI in Japan.
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