Retrospective Comparison of Long-Term Clinical Outcomes Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention and Medical Therapy in Stable Coronary Artery Disease With Gray Zone Fractional Flow Reserve
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Background: A fractional flow reserve (FFR) between 0.75 and 0.80 constitutes a “gray zone” for clinical decision-making in coronary artery disease. We compared long-term outcomes of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) using drug-eluting stents vs. medical therapy for coronary stenosis with gray zone FFR.

Methods and Results: We retrospectively investigated the clinical outcomes of 263 patients with gray zone FFR: 78 patients in the PCI group and 185 patients in the medical therapy group. During a median follow-up of 3.7 years, the frequency of target vessel failure (TVF, defined as a composite of cardiac death, myocardial infarction [MI], or ischemia-driven target vessel revascularization [TVR]) was significantly lower in the PCI group compared with the medical therapy group (6% vs. 19%, hazard ratio [HR]: 0.33, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.13–0.84, P = 0.008). The frequency of a composite of cardiac death or MI was not different between the 2 groups (1% vs. 2%, HR: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.07–5.49, P = 0.645). The frequency of ischemia-driven TVR was significantly lower in the PCI group compared with the medical therapy group (5% vs. 18%, HR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.10–0.79, P = 0.005).

Conclusions: In patients with gray zone FFR, compared with medical therapy, PCI decreased the frequency of TVF, which was mainly driven by a reduction in the frequency of angina or myocardial ischemia without any difference in the frequency of cardiac death or MI.
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Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is an invasive index used to determine the functional significance of coronary stenoses. An FFR < 0.75 is associated with myocardial ischemia. The DEFER (Deferral vs. performance of percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally non-significant coronary stenosis) study demonstrated the safety of deferral of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in coronary stenoses with FFR ≥ 0.75.2 In contrast, an FFR ≤ 0.80 is an indication for revascularization. The FAME 2 (Fractional flow reserve vs. angiography for multivessel evaluation 2) study, conducted in the era of drug-eluting stents (DES), demonstrated that PCI for coronary stenosis with FFR ≤ 0.80 improved patients’ clinical outcomes as compared with medical therapy.3,4 Subsequently, the FAME 2 substudy for the medical therapy group showed that the rate of vessel-oriented clinical events was significantly greater when lesions had an FFR ≤ 0.80, regardless of the angiographic appearance of the stenosis.5 Therefore, an FFR between 0.75 and 0.80 constitutes a “gray zone” for clinical decision-making, and the indication for revascularization in a case of gray zone FFR is debatable. In the present study, we compared long-term clinical outcomes of PCI using DES vs. medical therapy for coronary stenosis with gray zone FFR.
Methods

Study Population
This was a retrospective multicenter study (Retrospective comparison of long-term clinical outcomes between percutaneous coronary intervention and medical therapy in stable coronary artery disease with gray zone fractional flow reserve: COMFORTABLE retrospective study) in Wakayama Medical University Hospital, Wakayama, Japan, and its affiliated hospitals, including Hashimoto Municipal Hospital, Hashimoto, and Shingu Municipal Medical Center, Shingu, Japan. These 3 hospitals are core medical centers in Wakayama Prefecture, Japan. The university physicians rotate through these 3 hospitals and perform coronary catheterization in each hospital. Between June 2005 and December 2016, we identified patients with FFR 0.75–0.80 in a native coronary artery. The patients with FFR 0.75–0.80 were categorized into 2 groups according to the therapeutic approach to the target coronary artery: PCI using DES (PCI group) or medical therapy (medical therapy group). During the study period, we used the FFR cutoff value of <0.75 for identifying myocardial ischemia. In patients with FFR 0.75–0.80, therefore, we generally chose medical therapy and carefully selected PCI in reference to the clinical characteristics of the patients (e.g., age, physical activity, and symptoms) and the results of noninvasive tests for ischemia. Exclusion criteria were FFR in a culprit vessel responsible for acute coronary syndrome (ACS), FFR in a vessel treated with PCI in the previous 6 months, and FFR in a vessel treated with coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). In addition, we excluded patients who underwent PCI for the target coronary lesion but not using DES (i.e., bare-metal stent implantation, balloon angioplasty alone, or CABG). The study was approved by the research ethics board at each participating hospital, and written informed consent was given by all patients.

Data Collection
Data on patients’ clinical characteristics, medications, blood tests, angiography, FFR and PCI were manually extracted after reviewing all the available records. Clinical outcomes were investigated through telephone interview with patients and/or medical records review.

Baseline Clinical Data
Baseline clinical data of the patients included age, sex, hypertension (defined as systolic blood pressure (BP) ≥140 mmHg, diastolic BP ≥90 mmHg, or antihypertensive medication), diabetes mellitus (defined as hemoglobin A1c ≥6.5% or antidiabetic medication), dyslipidemia (defined as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL or antilipidemic medication), current smoker (defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes lifetime and smoking currently), and obesity (defined as body mass index ≥25 kg/m²), hemodialysis, prior myocardial infarction (MI) (presented as patient-level data), and elevated cardiac biomarker levels, urgent TVR was defined as a composite of cardiac death or MI; clinically-driven TVR; urgent TVR; and stroke. Cardiac death was defined as any death not clearly attributable to a non-cardiac cause. MI was defined as a clinical episode of typical chest pain with development of new Q waves in ≥2 contiguous leads on ECG or elevation of the creatine kinase myocardial band (CK-MB) fraction (spontaneous: > upper reference limit, periprocedural: >3-fold upper reference limit), either of which was attributed to the target coronary artery. TVR was defined as subsequent revascularization of the target vessel by either PCI (additional stent or angioplasty) or CABG. TVR was considered ischemia-driven if revascularization was performed on a patient who had ischemic signs confirmed by noninvasive tests or FFR reassessment (with an inducible ischemia cutoff set at <0.75), despite the presence or absence of ischemic symptoms. TVR was considered to be clinically-driven if revascularization was performed on a patient who had ischemic signs confirmed by noninvasive tests or FFR reassessment and clinical symptoms such as chest pain that increased in frequency, intensity or duration. TVR was considered to be urgent if a patient was admitted to the hospital with persistent or increasing chest pain (with or without ST-segment or T-wave changes or elevated cardiac biomarkers) and the revascularization procedure was performed during the same hospitalization. In cases of patients without ST-segment or T-wave changes and elevated cardiac biomarker levels, urgent TVR was performed according to FFR <0.75. Severity of chest pain
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During the study period, 2,011 patients underwent FFR. From these, we identified 267 patients who had a coronary artery presenting with FFR 0.75–0.80. We excluded 4 patients from the analysis because of PCI using a bare-metal stent (n=1) or drug-coated balloon (n=3). Thus, 263 patients constituted the final study population: 78 patients in the PCI group and 185 patients in the medical therapy group. There were no patients who had ≥2 vessels with FFR 0.75–0.80. The median FFR value in the PCI group and the medical therapy group was 0.78 (IQR 0.76–0.79) and 0.78 (IQR 0.77–0.79), respectively (P=0.070). Baseline clinical and angiographic characteristics were not different between the PCI and medical therapy groups, except for severe stenosis lesion (19% vs. 5%, P=0.004) (Table 1). The DES in the PCI group included 31 Xience (Abbot Vascular), 17 Cypher (Cordis, Miami, FL, USA), 11 Ultimaster (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan), 9 Synergy (Boston Scientific), 5 Promus (Boston Scientific), 3 Nobori (Terumo), 1 Taxus (Boston Scientific), and 1 Resolute Integrity (Medtronic, Santa Rosa, CA, USA).

Results

Patients’ Characteristics

During the study period, 2,011 patients underwent FFR. From these, we identified 267 patients who had a coronary artery presenting with FFR 0.75–0.80. We excluded 4 patients from the analysis because of PCI using a bare-metal stent (n=1) or drug-coated balloon (n=3). Thus, 263 patients constituted the final study population: 78 patients in the PCI group and 185 patients in the medical therapy group. There were no patients who had ≥2 vessels with FFR 0.75–0.80. The median FFR value in the PCI group and the medical therapy group was 0.78 (IQR 0.76–0.79) and 0.78 (IQR 0.77–0.79), respectively (P=0.070). Baseline clinical and angiographic characteristics were not different between the PCI and medical therapy groups, except for severe stenosis lesion (19% vs. 5%, P=0.004) (Table 1). The DES in the PCI group included 31 Xience (Abbot Vascular), 17 Cypher (Cordis, Miami, FL, USA), 11 Ultimaster (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan), 9 Synergy (Boston Scientific), 5 Promus (Boston Scientific), 3 Nobori (Terumo), 1 Taxus (Boston Scientific), and 1 Resolute Integrity (Medtronic, Santa Rosa, CA, USA).
A stent was successfully implanted in all patients of the PCI group; 1 patient had angiographic slow-flow during the PCI but no significant CK-MB elevation was found immediately after the procedure. There were no side branch occlusions or coronary dissections requiring additional procedures.

**Clinical Outcomes**

The median follow-up period in the PCI and medical therapy groups was 3.0 (IQR 2.1–8.1) years and 3.9 (IQR 2.5–7.3) years, respectively (P=0.110). Medications at follow-up did not differ between groups, except for thienopyridines (29% vs. 14%, P=0.003) (Table 2). Blood test results at follow-up were comparable between groups (Table 2).

The frequency of TVF was significantly lower in the PCI group compared with the medical therapy group (6% vs. 19%, HR: 0.33, 95% CI: 0.13–0.84, P=0.008) (Table 3, Figure 1). The frequency of a composite of cardiac death or MI was not different between groups (1% vs. 2%, HR: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.07–5.49, P=0.645) (Table 3, Figure 2). The frequency of ischemia-driven TVR (5% vs. 18%, HR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.09–0.76, P=0.004) (Table 3, Figure 2).

**Table 2. Medications and Blood Test Results at Follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>PCI (n=78)</th>
<th>Medical therapy (n=185)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>60 (77)</td>
<td>146 (79)</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thienopyridine</td>
<td>23 (29)</td>
<td>26 (14)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blocker</td>
<td>25 (32)</td>
<td>80 (43)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>49 (63)</td>
<td>103 (56)</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>45 (58)</td>
<td>109 (59)</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral antiglycemic agents</td>
<td>25 (32)</td>
<td>55 (30)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td>22 (12)</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Blood test results**

- Total cholesterol, mg/dL: 168±36 vs. 163±34, P=0.286
- HDL cholesterol, mg/dL: 46±12 vs. 45±13, P=0.561
- LDL cholesterol, mg/dL: 93±30 vs. 89±26, P=0.278
- Triglyceride, mg/dL: 148±108 vs. 130±81, P=0.139
- HbA1c, %: 6.2±0.9 vs. 6.2±1.1, P=0.999

**Table 3. Clinical Outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>PCI (n=78)</th>
<th>Medical therapy (n=185)</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TVF</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>35 (19)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.13–0.84)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac death or MI</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.07–5.49)</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.11–12.87)</td>
<td>0.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemia-driven TVR</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>33 (18)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.10–0.79)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-driven TVR</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>23 (12)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.05–0.87)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent TVR</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>18 (10)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.07–0.98)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.08–6.32)</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as n (%). CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TVF, target vessel failure; TVR, target vessel revascularization.
ers was 1 of 2 (50%) in the PCI group and 5 of 18 (28%) in the medical therapy group (P=0.521).

**TVR at Scheduled Angiographic Follow-up**

In the PCI group, scheduled angiographic follow-up at 6–12 months after FFR measurement was performed in 61 (78%) patients. The FFR reassessment and/or noninvasive ischemia tests was performed in 19 patients and 42 patients, respectively. There was 1 case of ischemia-driven TVR but none of clinically-driven TVR.

In the medical therapy group, the scheduled angiographic follow-up was performed in 40 (22%) patients (vs. the PCI group, P<0.001). The FFR reassessment and/or noninvasive ischemic tests was performed in 25 patients and 15 patients, respectively. There were 10 cases of ischemia-driven TVR and 6 of clinically-driven TVR.

**Subgroup Analysis**

As for the reduction in TVF, the tests for interactions showed that the treatment effect of PCI compared with medical therapy was consistent across the subgroups with respect to age (P=0.762), current smoker (P=0.679), prior MI (P=0.151), prior PCI (P=0.378), LAD lesion (P=0.421), and severe stenosis lesion (P=0.258), but there were significant heterogeneities across the subgroups with respect to

95% CI: 0.10–0.79, P=0.005), clinically-driven TVR (3% vs. 12%, HR: 0.20, 95% CI: 0.05–0.87, P=0.008), and urgent TVR (3% vs. 10%, HR: 0.27, 95% CI: 0.07–0.98, P=0.039) was significantly lower in the PCI group compared with the medical therapy group (Table 3, Figure 2).

The frequency of stroke was not different between the 2 groups (1% vs. 2%, HR: 0.70, 95% CI: 0.08–6.32, P=0.744) (Table 3).

**Clinical Presentations at TVR**

The frequency of ischemia-driven TVR related to a decrease in FFR (<0.75) was 0 of 4 (0%) in the PCI group and 16 of 33 (48%) in the medical therapy group (FFR, 0.69±0.05) (P=0.118). There was no deferral of TVR in patients who had FFR <0.75 or positive results for noninvasive ischemia tests with or without ischemic symptoms. In patients with clinically-driven TVR, the distribution of CCS class I/II/III/IV at TVR was 0/0/0/2 in the PCI group and 3/6/6/8 in the medical therapy group (P=0.353); though there was no ischemic symptoms at baseline in either group. The frequency of urgent TVR related to chest pain with ST-segment or T-wave changes on admission ECG was 2 of 2 (100%) in the PCI group and 13 of 18 (72%) in the medical therapy group (P=0.999). The frequency of urgent TVR related to chest pain with elevated cardiac biomarker...
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The main finding of the present study comparing long-term clinical outcomes in patients with gray zone FFR between PCI using DES and medical therapy was the significantly lower frequency of TVF in the PCI group. The reason for the lower frequency of TVF in the PCI group was mainly the reduction in the frequency of angina or myocardial ischemia. The frequency of cardiac death or MI was not different between the 2 groups.

Discussion

The main finding of the present study comparing long-term clinical outcomes in patients with gray zone FFR between PCI using DES and medical therapy was the significantly lower frequency of TVF in the PCI group. The reason for the lower frequency of TVF in the PCI group was mainly the reduction in the frequency of angina or myocardial ischemia. The frequency of cardiac death or MI was not different between the 2 groups.

**Figure 3.** Subgroup analysis. Subgroup analyses with HR and 95% CI are shown for TVF among subgroups of patients who underwent PCI or medical therapy. The frequency of TVF is shown as n/total n. (%). The P value for interaction represents the likelihood of interaction between the variable and the relative treatment effect. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LMCA, left main coronary artery; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TVF, target vessel failure.
FFR-Guided PCI

Both the DEFER and the FAME study showed that FFR-guided decision-making for revascularization was associated with a favorable clinical outcome. Subsequently, the FAME 2 study demonstrated that in functionally significant stenoses, PCI compared with medical therapy decreased the need for urgent revascularization, though the frequency of death or MI was not different between PCI and medical therapy. In the FAME 2 study, however, patient enrollment was interrupted early after interim analyses by the data and safety monitoring board, and so the small number of patients may have biased the results. Therefore, the clinical effect of PCI with FFR ≤0.80 is still being debated.

Clinical Outcomes in FFR 0.75–0.80 vs. FFR >0.80

A recent meta-analysis of FFR studies demonstrated that major adverse clinical events increased as FFR decreased in patients treated medically (median follow-up ≥15 years). Previously, we also showed that medically treated patients with FFR 0.75–0.80 (n=56) were at higher risk of TVF for 3 years than those with FFR >0.80 (n=94). Hence, for patients with gray zone FFR, further therapeutic intervention in addition to medical therapy might be warranted to improve clinical outcomes.

PCI vs. Medical Therapy

A few non-randomized studies have demonstrated the safety of deferral of PCI (i.e., medical therapy) in patients with gray zone FFR, though the studies were relatively small, with low-risk patients who had predominantly single-vessel disease. Also, a subanalysis of the IRIS-FFR (Interventional Cardiology Research In-cooperation Society Fractional Flow Reserve) registry disclosed no significant difference in major adverse clinical events between PCI and medical therapy in patients with gray zone FFR. In contrast, some retrospective studies, including the present study, have shown that PCI compared with medical therapy was associated with better clinical outcomes in patients with gray zone FFR. To prove the superiority of PCI compared with medical therapy in patients with gray zone FFR, we are planning a prospective, multicenter randomized study (the COMFORTABLE prospective study, UMIN000031526). The results of this prospective study will settle the controversy of gray zone FFR.

Reduction in Death or MI by PCI

A large-scale single-center study showed that the patients with single-vessel disease and a coronary stenosis with gray zone FFR had a half as much risk of the composite of death and MI for up to 5 years if treated with PCI instead of medical therapy. A recent retrospective study also demonstrated that the composite of death or MI was significantly lower in PCI. However, in most studies, including the present study, the reduction in major adverse clinical events in PCI compared with medical therapy was mainly driven by the reduction in the frequency of angina or myocardial ischemia without any difference in the frequency of death or MI. In terms of the reduction in the composite of death or MI, PCI may offer a benefit in patients with more severe FFR values than gray zone FFR.

Reduction in Urgent TVF by PCI

In the present study, the frequency of urgent TVR was significantly lower in the PCI group compared with the medical therapy group. Urgent TVR is considered to be an important surrogate marker of ACS/MI. However, urgent TVR is an endpoint based on subjective evaluation of acute ischemic symptoms and does not necessarily require objective evidence of coronary thrombosis. Therefore, patients who underwent urgent TVR might include not only ACS/MI caused by coronary thrombosis but also new or worsening angina pectoris caused by plaque progression and lumen narrowing. Further study is needed to assess whether PCI in lesions presenting gray zone FFR contributes to reducing future ACS/MI.

Subgroup Analysis

In the present study, there were differences in the treatment effect across subgroups defined according to the baseline clinical and angiographic characteristics. The benefit of PCI was greater in patients with traditional coronary risk factors including male sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and obesity, whereas it was smaller in patients with complex lesions including tandem lesions and bifurcation lesions. However, as the sample size was small for most subgroups, caution is warranted in the interpretation of our results and in drawing conclusions from them.

Study Limitations

First, this was a retrospective study. The decision on whether to measure FFR was made by the operators. Also, medical therapy was more often selected than PCI. Hence, there might be a selection bias. Second, the fact that we were able to collect limited amounts of information for each patient (via chart review) and also that the number of patients was relatively small in most of the subgroups precludes performing propensity score matching to control for confounding. Third, the FFR pullback data were not available in the present study. Only absolute FFR was used for analysis. Fourth, the medical therapy during follow-up period may have been suboptimal. However, our results are reflective of real-world practice. Fifth, 40% of patients in the medical therapy group underwent the scheduled angiographic follow-up. Of these, 10 patients had ischemia-driven TVR. The scheduled angiographic follow-up may have increased the incidence of deferred lesion revascularization. Finally, the present study was underpowered to assess a group difference in death or MI because of the low frequency of each event.

Conclusions

In patients with gray zone FFR, compared with medical therapy, PCI decreased the frequency of TVF, which was mainly driven by a reduction in the frequency of angina or myocardial ischemia without any difference in the frequency of cardiac death or MI.
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