Lipoprotein(a) in Familial Hypercholesterolemia With Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/Kexin Type 9 (PCSK9) Gain-of-Function Mutations
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Background: It has been shown that serum lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] is elevated in familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) with mutation(s) of the LDL receptor (LDLR) gene. However, few data exist regarding Lp(a) levels in FH with gain-of-function mutations of the PCSK9 gene.

Methods and Results: We evaluated 42 mutation-determined heterozygous FH patients with a PCSK9 gain-of-function mutation (FH-PCSK9, mean age 52, mean LDL-C 235 mg/dl), 198 mutation-determined heterozygous FH patients with a LDLR mutation (FH-LDLR, mean age 44, mean LDL-C 217 mg/dl), and 4,015 controls (CONTROL, mean age 56, mean LDL-C 109 mg/dl). We assessed their Lp(a), total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, use of statins, presence of hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, smoking, body mass index (BMI) and coronary artery disease (CAD). Multiple regression analysis showed that LDL-C, use of statins, presence of hypertension, smoking, BMI, and Lp(a) were independently associated with the presence of CAD. Under these conditions, the serum levels of Lp(a) in patients with FH were significantly higher than those of the CONTROL group regardless of their causative genes, among the groups propensity score-matched (median Lp(a) 12.6 mg/dl [IQR:9.4–33.9], 21.1 mg/dl [IQR:11.7–34.9], and 5.0 mg/dl [IQR:2.7–8.1] in the FH-LDLR, FH-PCSK9, and CONTROL groups, respectively, P=0.002 for FH-LDLR vs. CONTROL, P=0.002 for FH-PCSK9 vs. CONTROL).

Conclusions: These data demonstrate that serum Lp(a) is elevated in patients with FH caused by PCSK9 gain-of-function mutations to the same level as that in FH caused by LDLR mutations.
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this study was to investigate serum Lp(a) level in patients with FH caused by PCSK9 gain-of-function mutations, comparing it with that in FH caused by LDLR mutation to see if Lp(a) is catabolized via the LDLR. The secondary aims of this study were to investigate the distribution of Lp(a), the associations between Lp(a) and several clinical statuses, including CAD, chronic kidney disease (CKD), and diabetes (DM), and the contribution of Lp(a) to CAD in Japanese subjects.

Methods

Study Population
We retrospectively investigated 6,130 subjects whose serum Lp(a) had been measured for any reason (eg, any operations or procedures that need prolonged bed rest, risk factors for atherosclerosis such as hypertension (HT) or DM) at Kanazawa University Hospital from April 2004 to March 2014. We excluded 1,868 such as those with FH whose therapies were discontinued during this study period. It has been shown that the coefficient of variation for the measurement of Lp(a) was <8% within and between assayings.

We used the same assay during this study period. It has been shown that the coefficient of variation for the measurement of Lp(a) was <8% within and between assays.

Ethical Considerations
This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kanazawa University. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committees on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. Informed consent for genetic analyses was given by subjects with FH for inclusion in the study.

Biochemical Analysis
Blood samples were drawn for assays after overnight fasting. Serum levels of total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) and HDL cholesterol (HDL-C) were determined enzymatically (Qualigent, Sekisui Medical, Tokyo, Japan) using automated instrumentation based on assays previously described. There were some patients with FH whose therapies were discontinued temporarily (~4 weeks) in order to measure Lp(a). An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was used to determine Lp(a) concentrations (N-Assay TIA Lp(a) Nittobo, Nitto Boseki Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). We used the same assay during this study period. It has been shown that the coefficient of variation for the measurement of Lp(a) was <8% within and between assays.

Clinical Evaluations
HT was defined as systolic blood pressure of at least 140mmHg, diastolic blood pressure of at least 90mmHg, or the use of antihypertensive medication. DM was defined as previously described by the Japan Diabetes Society, or the use of diabetes medication. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as body weight in kilograms divided by the square of height measured in meters. Lipid-lowering therapy was defined as taking any lipid-lowering therapy, including statins, ezetimibe, resins, fibrates, and ethyl icosapentate. CKD was defined as ≥ stage 3. CAD was defined by the presence of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, or severe stenotic region(s) in the coronary artery identified by either angiography or computed tomography.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Control Subjects and the Patients With FH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unmatched groups</th>
<th>Propensity score-matched groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All (n=4,235)</td>
<td>CONTROL (n=4,015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58 [46–69]</td>
<td>58 [47–69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n, %)</td>
<td>2,270 (53.6)</td>
<td>2,167 (54.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.7±4.5</td>
<td>23.6±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dl)</td>
<td>194±56</td>
<td>188±48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>135±167</td>
<td>136±170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>51±17</td>
<td>51±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp(a) (mg/dl)</td>
<td>115±49</td>
<td>109±39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT (n, %)</td>
<td>2,269 (53.6)</td>
<td>2,198 (54.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (n, %)</td>
<td>1,464 (34.6)</td>
<td>1,412 (35.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n, %)</td>
<td>1,830 (43.2)</td>
<td>1,759 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering therapy (n, %)</td>
<td>1,215 (28.7)</td>
<td>1,121 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD (n, %)</td>
<td>818 (19.3)</td>
<td>757 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD stage 3 or greater (n, %)</td>
<td>653 (15.4)</td>
<td>641 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 vs. CONTROL, **P<0.001 vs. CONTROL, †P<0.05 vs. FH-LDLR. BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HT, hypertension; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDLR, low-density lipoprotein receptor; PCSK9, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride.
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Among the 240 genetically determined heterozygous FH subjects, we evaluated 240 mutation-determined heterozygous FH subjects whose genetic basis was unknown following our analysis. Thus, we defined all other subjects as controls (CONTROL group).

Statistical Analysis
Categorical variables are expressed as percentages. Fisher’s exact test or the chi-square test, whichever was appropriate, was used. Continuous variables with a normal distribution are shown as the mean±SD. For those values lacking a normal distribution, the median and the interquartile range (IQR) are reported. Mean values of continuous variables were compared with Student’s t-test for independent data, and median values were compared with the nonparametric Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney rank sum test. The significance of differences in the characteristics of the 3 groups was analyzed using analysis of variance for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables with Fisher’s post-hoc test. Multivariate analysis, including factors with a P-value <0.10 in univariate analyses was used to assess the association between factors and CAD. The values that showed a skewed distribution were natural log-transformed. Propensity score-matched groups were also constructed on a 1:1 basis using the R package (Matching) accounting for age, sex, DM, and CKD to minimize the likelihood of selection bias. All statistical analyses were conducted using R statistical software. All P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of Study Subjects
The clinical characteristics of study subjects are shown in Table 1. According to the diagnostic criteria for FH of the Japan Atherosclerosis Society, we found 250 clinically determined FH subjects among 4,255 subjects. We excluded 10 whose genetic basis was unknown following our analysis. Thus, we evaluated 240 mutation-determined heterozygous FH subjects and 4,015 controls.

Among the 240 genetically determined heterozygous FH subjects, we found 42 with a gain-of-function mutation in the PCSK9 gene (c.94G>A or p.E32K, FH-PCSK9 group), and 198 subjects with 26 different mutations in the LDLR gene (FH-LDLR group). The p.E32K mutation in PCSK9 has been shown to be one of the most common causes of FH via a gain-of-function mutation of PCSK9 in Japanese subjects with FH. We defined all other subjects as controls (CONTROL group).

Statistical Analysis
Categorical variables are expressed as percentages. Fisher’s exact test or the chi-square test, whichever was appropriate, was used. Continuous variables with a normal distribution are shown as the mean±SD. For those values lacking a normal distribution, the median and the interquartile range (IQR) are reported. Mean values of continuous variables were compared with Student’s t-test for independent data, and median values were compared with the nonparametric Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney rank sum test. The significance of differences in the characteristics of the 3 groups was analyzed using analysis of variance for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables with Fisher’s post-hoc test. Multivariate analysis, including factors with a P-value <0.10 in univariate analyses was used to assess the association between factors and CAD. The values that showed a skewed distribution were natural log-transformed. Propensity score-matched groups were also constructed on a 1:1 basis using the R package (Matching) accounting for age, sex, DM, and CKD to minimize the likelihood of selection bias. All statistical analyses were conducted using R statistical software. All P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Distribution of Lp(a) and Other Clinical Status
The overall distribution of Lp(a) in the present Japanese subjects is illustrated in Figure 1. The median Lp(a) level was 12.1 mg/dl [IQR: 6.6–29.7]. The frequency distribution was right-tailed, as in other reports from Asian populations. When divided into 2 groups based on clinical status (CAD, CKD, and DM), Lp(a) levels in these patients were significantly higher than those without such status (median Lp(a) 21.3 mg/dl [IQR: 7.8–34.1] vs. 11.5 mg/dl [IQR: 6.3–28.3], P=1.8×10⁻¹⁷, 22.2 mg/dl [IQR: 8.3–35.9] vs. 11.5 mg/dl [IQR: 6.3–28.0], P=1.9×10⁻¹⁷, 11.2 mg/dl [IQR: 5.9–28.9] vs. 12.5 mg/dl [IQR: 6.9–30.3], P=2.1×10⁻⁴, respectively, Figures S2A–C). In addition, the Lp(a) level in females was significantly higher than in males (median Lp(a) 12.7 mg/dl [IQR: 6.9–30.6] vs. 11.6 mg/dl [IQR: 5.9–28.9], P=2.4×10⁻³, Figure 2D). Those associations were unchanged in the subanalyses of patients without CKD (Figure S1).

Correlations Between Lipids and Lp(a)
The natural log-transformed Lp(a) exhibited positive correlations with TC (Pearson’s R=0.119, P=2.2×10⁻³) and with LDL-C (Pearson’s R=0.167, P<2×10⁻⁶) but a negative correlation with natural log-transformed TG (Pearson’s R=−0.036, P=0.01) (Figure S2).

Factors of CAD in Japanese Subjects
To clarify factors associated with CAD, those that may be associated with atherosclerotic diseases were assessed. Multivariate analysis, which included factors with a P-value <0.10 in the univariate analyses, showed that age (odds ratio [OR] 1.027, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.024–1.030, P=7.6×10⁻¹⁵), BMI (OR 0.999, 95% CI 0.998–1.000, P=0.003) and Lp(a) (OR 1.146, 95% CI 1.026–1.268, P=0.015) were independently associated with the presence of CAD overall (Table 2). Indeed, the use of lipid-lowering therapy was positively associated with the presence of CAD; however, this should be interpreted as an inverse-causal relationship in our cross-sectional study design.
significant difference between the serum level of Lp(a) in the FH-LDLR and FH-PCSK9 groups (median Lp(a) 21.9 mg/dl [IQR: 10.0–34.2] vs. 21.1 mg/dl [IQR: 11.7–34.9], respectively, P=0.48, Figure 3A). These associations were unchanged in the subanalyses of the propensity score-matched groups.

Lp(a) in FH
The serum level of Lp(a) in the FH-LDLR group was significantly higher than that of the CONTROL group (median Lp(a) 21.9 mg/dl [IQR: 10.0–34.2] vs. 11.8 mg/dl [IQR: 6.5–29.4], respectively, P=2.1×10^−7, Figure 3). However, there was no

Table 2. Multiple Regression Analysis of Factors Associated With CAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>1.024–1.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>1.287–2.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.998–1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.971–0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.999–1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.998–1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering therapy</td>
<td>6.911</td>
<td>5.545–8.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>0.965–1.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>2.735</td>
<td>2.075–3.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD (≥ stage3)</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>0.796–1.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>2.602</td>
<td>2.018–3.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp(a)</td>
<td>1.157</td>
<td>1.035–1.294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a); OR, odds ratio. Other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Figure 2. Lipoprotein(a) levels plotted according to (A) the presence of coronary artery disease, (B) chronic kidney disease (≥ stage 3), (C) diabetes, and by (D) sex. Gray, cases; white, non-cases.
Lp(a) in FH With PCSK9 Mutations

Discussion

In the current study, we retrospectively investigated the following: (1) the distribution of Lp(a); (2) associations between Lp(a) level and several clinical conditions (viz., CAD, CKD, and DM); (3) contributing factors to CAD; and (4) effect of a gain-of-function mutations of PCSK9 on serum Lp(a). We found that: (1) the distribution of Lp(a) was highly skewed to the right in the present Japanese subjects, similar to other populations; (2) Lp(a) levels in patients with CAD, CKD, and DM were significantly higher than in those without such conditions; (3) Lp(a) was independently associated with CAD; and (4) the serum level of Lp(a) was elevated in patients with FH caused by PCSK9 gain-of-function mutations, to the same level as in FH caused by LDLR mutations.

Lp(a) Metabolism via LDLR?

Since the discovery of Lp(a) in 1963, 24 numerous studies have confirmed a strong correlation between elevated Lp(a) and CAD, leading to consensus that Lp(a) is an important, independent predictor of cardiovascular disease. 25 Genetic data also support the theory that Lp(a) causes cardiovascular disease. 26 Moreover, Lp(a) is an “established” residual causal factor in this statin era, together with remnant cholesterol 27 and fasting TG. 28 In contrast to a number of merely biomarkers associated with CAD, 29–31 it is still unclear whether Lp(a) is mainly catabolized via the LDLR, because of critical contradictory observations that the serum Lp(a) level in FH with LDLR mutation(s) has been shown to be elevated, while statins, whose main mechanism is upregulation of the LDLR, cannot reduce Lp(a) levels effectively. 13 Our data showing no difference between serum Lp(a) in FH caused by PCSK9 gain-of-function mutations and those caused by LDLR mutations, even among the propensity score-matched groups, are consistent with the notion that the LDLR plays an important role in Lp(a) catabolism. To support this hypothesis, it has been demonstrated in a human hepatocellular carcinoma model system that the LDLR mediates the effects of PCSK9 on Lp(a) internalization. 32 However, there remains a significant paradox regarding the effect of statins and PCSK9 inhibitors on serum Lp(a) levels, although both drugs target the upregulation of the LDLR, and should lower Lp(a) similarly. One of the possible explanations for this is that the production of ApoB-containing lipoprotein is elevated in patients with FH who also show a disturbance of the clearance of such lipoproteins. 33

Lp(a), Diabetes, and FH

In addition, we found that the Lp(a) levels in the patients with DM were significantly higher than those in the patients without DM. This inverse association between low Lp(a) and prevalent DM has been shown in a large, randomized clinical trial. 34 Moreover, the prevalence of DM was lower in the present FH-LDLR group compared with the CONTROL group in accordance with a previous observational study, 35 whereas the prevalence of DM in the FH-PCSK9 group was the same as that in the CONTROLS. In this study, neither LDL-C nor DM displayed a significant association with CAD. Approximately 40% of the patients with FH in our study were on lipid-lowering therapies at the time of Lp(a) measurement. However, there were some patients with FH whose therapies were discontinued temporarily (~4 weeks) in order to measure Lp(a). Thus, the lipid values, especially LDL-C, in patients with FH in this study may not reflect their potential contribution to CAD. In addition, an association between DM and CAD may be diluted by such lipid-lowering therapies.

Study Limitations

First, this was a retrospective, cross-sectional observational analysis. However, our study investigated one of the largest sample sizes regarding Lp(a) and CAD in a Japanese population, which could contribute to our understanding of this issue.
across ethnicities. In addition, our findings regarding the factors associated with CAD were consistent with previous studies. Second, we investigated subjects whose serum Lp(a) levels had been measured for any reason, which could lead to some bias. In our hospital, most of the patients who underwent operations, especially those requiring prolonged bed rest, had their Lp(a) levels measured routinely, regardless of the operation. In addition, patients with any risk factors for systemic atherosclerosis were also sampled routinely. Those factors, as well as our large sample size, could dilute any bias. Third, we did not perform genetic analyses for the control subjects, among which there may have been some cases of mutations in either LDLR or PCSK9 without elevated LDL-C. In this regard, it does not make sense to include such mutations in either LDLR or PCSK9 that do not affect LDL-C. Fourth, more frequent usage of statins in FH could increase Lp(a), as described in previous reports. However, the percentage increase by statin has been reported as up to ~20%. Thus, it is hard to explain the difference between the Lp(a) levels in the FH and control subjects by statin usage. Fifth, it has been shown that the assay we used is affected to some extent by the number of Kringle IV domains. However, it has already been shown that Lp(a) levels in FH are equally elevated across patients with different numbers of Kringle IV domains. Thus, we believe it acceptable to compare the Lp(a) levels among these 3 groups using this assay, although an assay that is insensitive to the apo(a) isoform size heterogeneity would be much better.

Conclusions

In summary, the data suggested that the serum level of Lp(a) was significantly elevated in patients with FH caused by PCSK9 gain-of-function mutations. A further study, focusing on the mechanism of reduction of Lp(a) by PCSK9 inhibitors, is needed to fully understand the metabolism of Lp(a).
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