Redox Regulation of Ischemic Angiogenesis  
– Another Aspect of Reactive Oxygen Species –
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Antioxidants are expected to improve cardiovascular disease (CVD) by eliminating oxidative stress, but clinical trials have not shown promising results in chronic CVD. Animal studies have revealed that reactive oxygen species (ROS) exacerbate acute CVDs in which high levels of ROS are observed. However, ROS are also necessary for angiogenesis after ischemia, because ROS not only damage cells but also stimulate the cell signaling required for angiogenesis. ROS affect signaling by protein modifications, especially of cysteine amino acid thiols. Although there are several cysteine modifications, S-glutathionylation (GSH adducts; -SSG), a reversible cysteine modification by glutathione (GSH), plays an important role in angiogenic signal transduction by ROS. Glutaredoxin-1 (Glrx) is an enzyme that specifically removes GSH adducts in vivo. Overexpression of Glrx inhibits, whereas deletion of Glrx improves revascularization after mouse hindlimb ischemia. These studies indicate that increased levels of GSH adducts in ischemic muscle are beneficial in promoting angiogenesis. The underlying mechanism can be explained by multiple targets of S-glutathionylation, which mediate the angiogenic effects in ischemia. Increments in the master angiogenic transcriptional factor, HIF-1α, reduction of the anti-angiogenic factor sFlt1, activation of the endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ pump, SERCA, and inhibition of phosphatases may occur as a consequence of enhanced S-glutathionylation in ischemic tissue. In summary, inducing S-glutathionylation by inhibiting Glrx may be a therapeutic strategy to improve ischemic angiogenesis in CVD.
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Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated mainly as result of cellular respiration, and they are maintained at low levels by antioxidant molecules and enzymes.1 It is well known that ROS are increased in cardiovascular diseases (CVD), such as myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, heart failure (HF), atherosclerosis and peripheral arterial disease.2 Increased ROS are considered a consequence of CVD, particularly as they are produced by inflammatory leukocytes, and in addition may exacerbate disease because of deleterious cellular effects caused by “oxidative stress”.3 Therefore, eliminating ROS would seem a promising strategy for treatment of CVD, particularly based on results of animal studies. However, the results of clinical trials have been far below this expectation.4 ROS are now recognized not only as cytotoxic molecules but also as cell signaling mediators.5 Little is known about the effects of ROS related to signaling in CVD. However, animal studies show that ROS contribute to improvement of CVD, including angiogenesis following ischemia.6,7 In this review, we summarize the results of antioxidants used in clinical trials and mouse CVD models, the mechanism by which ROS regulate cell signaling, and discuss how ROS influence angiogenesis. We especially focus on ROS-induced signaling by S-glutathionylation or GSH protein adducts, which are a relatively stable oxidative post-translational protein modification.

Effects of Antioxidants on Human and Murine CVD

Levels of ROS are increased in almost every CVD. For example, ischemia reperfusion associated with MI or stroke causes a burst of ROS in mitochondria.8 Angiotensin II, and cytokines that are upregulated in HF increase ROS from NADPH oxidase.9 Macrophage-derived foam cells release ROS in atherosclerotic plaques.10 In addition, increments in ROS exacerbate CVD. For example, mouse studies show that deletion of antioxidant enzymes, including superoxide dismutase (SOD)11,12 and glutamate-cysteine ligase modifier subunit (Gclm),13 exacerbates ischemic reperfusion injury. SOD1 or SOD2 knockout (KO) mice also experience worsened stroke,14,15 and mice deficient in SOD216 or Gclm17 have impaired cardiac function in HF. Furthermore, genetic polymorphisms in antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD218 and Gclm,17,19,20 are also a risk factor for CVD. Therefore, it would be expected that treating CVD with antioxidants might be a good strategy. Actually, overexpression of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD or glutathione peroxidase (Gpx), as well as treatment with the free radical scavengers, edaravone or tempol, improves animal models of MI,21,22 ischemic reperfusion23–27 and stroke28,29 (Table). In addition, clinical studies showed that edaravone, which has
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been clinically used for patients in Japan, is effective in MI and stroke. In contrast to acute CVD, the effect of antioxidant therapeutics in chronic CVD has been limited. In mice, the antioxidant probucol improved HF, but clinical trials of antioxidant in HF have been controversial (Table). Several large clinical trials of antioxidants in the prevention of CVD were negative. These studies revealed that antioxidant vitamins C, E, and β-carotene, alone or in combination, did not reduce the risk of CVD. It is not clear why these studies had disappointing results. One possibility is that antioxidants have not proven universally beneficial in CVD in animal models. For example, in hindlimb ischemia models, overexpression of Nox4, which is essential for angiogenic responses after ischemia because not only do antioxidants impair angiogenesis, but also overexpression of Nox4, which produces hydrogen peroxide, improves angiogenesis after hindlimb ischemia (Figure 1B). Thus, ROS-induced signaling, which is mediated by oxidative protein modifications, is worth considering in angiogenesis.

**Oxidative Post-Translational Modification of Cysteine Residues**

Protein oxidation results from various oxidations of amino acid residues. For example, all kinds of amino acid residues can be carbonylated and this modification is well known as a marker for protein oxidation. However, compared with other amino acid oxidative modifications, many studies have shown abundant cysteine modifications by ROS. Cysteine has oxidant-sensitive thiols (-SH groups) that can be modified all kinds of ROS. Approximately 10% of protein thiols exist as thiolate anions (-S-) because of local electrostatic forces, making them much more reactive than the uncharged thiol. These thiols in particular are easily oxidized by ROS to form sulfenic acid (Cys-SOH) (Figure 2[A]). Because of the instability of sulfenic acid, sulfenic acid (Cys-SO2H) (Figure 2[B]) is formed by additional oxidation. Further oxidation induces sulfonic acid (Cys-SO3H) (Figure 2[C]). Sulfonic acid and sulfenic acid are biologically irreversible oxidative modifications, but sulfenic acid is a reducible modification or can react to form other protein modifications. Intraprotein (Figure 2[D]) or interprotein (Figure 2[E]) disulfide bonds may form between sulfenic acid groups. Disulfide bond formation also occurs between sulfenic acid and the most abundant cytosolic small molecule thiol, glutathione (GSH) (Figure 2[F]), producing S-glutathionylation. Glutathione, a tripeptide that is composed of glutamine, cysteine and glycine, can be oxidized to form the homodimer, GSSG. When levels of GSSG are increased under oxidant stress conditions, GSSG can form S-glutathionyl adducts with thiol exchange (Figure 2[H]). Nitric oxide (NO), a free radical, mediates its bioactivity largely by modifying protein thiols (-SNO, S-nitrosylation) (Figure 2[G]). S-nitrosylation is chemically unstable and may further react with GSH to form S-glutathionylation (Figure 2[I]). GSH may be oxidized by NO to form S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), which is relatively stable compared with NO and also stimulates S-nitrosylation of proteins (Figure 2[G]) as well S-glutathionylation (Figure 2[H]). All these modifications are reported to affect protein function or signal transduction. For example, intraprotein disulfide bond formation is an important part of thioredoxin function, and interprotein disulfide bond formation activates ASK1. These protein modifications are site-specific reactions, because the reaction between 2 intr- or interprotein cysteine thiols completely depends on protein structure. Sulfenic, sulfenic, and sulfonic acids, S-nitrosylation and S-glutathionylation also depend on protein structure as it pertains to reactivity of cysteine residues. For example, only 1 of 14 cysteine thiols on the protein surface of SERCA exists as a thiolate anion at physiological pH, and therefore modification of its thiol represents more than 90% of the glutathione adducts as demonstrated by mutating the one cysteine to serine. In addition, modification of only specific cysteine residues in specific proteins results in functional changes, and it is likely that protein structure has evolved to increase the reactive.

### Table. (A) Effect of Antioxidants or Antioxidant Enzymes in Animal Models of CVD, (B) Clinical Trials of Antioxidants in CVD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVD</th>
<th>Exacerbate</th>
<th>Improve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI (collateral growth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic reperfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindlimb ischemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic preconditioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD, N-2-mercaptopropionyl glycine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>Improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Edaravone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Edaravone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>NAC (renal failure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of CVD</td>
<td>Vitamins C and E, β-carotene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVD, cardiovascular disease; HF, heart failure; MI, myocardial infarction; NAC, N-acetyl cysteine.
Figure 1. Effects of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cardiovascular disease (CVD). (A) Effects on molecules in CVD. Oxidation of lipids and DNA results in cell death or dysfunction and might exacerbate CVD. On the other hand, oxidative protein modification can change protein function and its effects on CVD remain unclear. (B) Effects of ROS and antioxidants on ischemic CVD. Effects of ROS on cells depend on their levels. High levels of ROS induce cell death and increase necrosis; therefore, antioxidants can decrease infarct area in myocardial infarction or stroke. However, intermediate levels of ROS can induce angiogenic signaling. Therefore, antioxidants may impair angiogenesis after ischemia.

Figure 2. [A–I] Oxidative cysteine modification. Cysteine residues in proteins can be modified by oxidation and the negative charge may change protein function. GHS, glutathione; GSNO, S-nitrosoglutathione; S-GSH, S-glutathionylation; SH, protein thiol of cysteine; S-S, disulfide bond; SOH, sulfenic acid; SO₂H, sulfonic acid; SO₃H, sulfonic acid; SNO, S-nitrosylation.
whether other modifications occur physiologically under the same conditions is unknown. Therefore, particularly as pertains to more chronic influences of ROS, S-glutathionylation might play an important role in angiogenesis after ischemia.

Glutaredoxin and Its Role in Ischemic Revascularization

Removal of GSH protein adducts is catalyzed by a small cytosolic enzyme, glutaredoxin-1 (Glrx). Glrx, also called thioltransferase, specifically catalyzes the removal of GSH adducts from S-glutathionylated proteins using one of its own thiols on
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Gain and loss of function of Glrx in vivo shows that S-glutathionylation stimulates angiogenic signaling after ischemia (Figure 4). Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFa), the central angiogenic growth factor, plays an important role in angiogenesis and ischemic revascularization. S-glutathionylation regulates VEGFa production and signaling through effects on multiple proteins. First, S-glutathionylation of Cys520 of HIF1α stabilizes the protein and increases VEGFa expression. Second, S-glutathionylation of the NF-κB components p65, p50, and Inhibitor of κB kinase (IKK) inhibits NF-κB activation. Soluble Flt (sFlt)-1, which binds to VEGFa as a decoy receptor and inhibits VEGFa signaling, is regulated by NF-κB and is anti-angiogenic. Decreased S-glutathionylation of p65 caused by Glrx overexpression results in hyper-activation of NF-κB and increased sFlt1, indicating that S-glutathionylation has a role in suppressing anti-angiogenic sFlt1 production. Therefore, S-glutathionylation promotes VEGFα expression and activation of its signaling receptor (VEGFR2) by decreasing this inhibitory factor.

In addition, downstream of VEGF receptor activation, S-glutathionylation regulates VEGFa-dependent angiogenic responses in endothelial cells. VEGFa-dependent Ca2+ influx is critical for angiogenic responses in endothelial cells. The sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ ATPase (SERCA) 2b is activated by S-glutathionylation of Cys674. GSH adducts on cysteine 22 or 25. After GSH is removed from a protein, Glrx requires GSH to be returned to an active reduced form again. The GSH that is oxidized to GSSG in the process needs to be reduced by glutathione reductase and NADPH (Figure 3A). Therefore, Glrx expression is increased in atherosclerotic human coronary artery and diabetic rat retina. Glrx itself is induced by NF-κB activation and is therefore upregulated in association with inflammation. However, the pathophysiological role of Glrx in vivo still needs to be explored.

Just as anti-angiogenic effects are shown by Glrx overexpression in vitro, Glrx overexpressing transgenic (TG) mice show poor blood flow recovery in hindlimb ischemia compared with control wild-type mice (Figure 3B). The impaired blood flow is associated with poor motor function and lower capillary density in muscle, reflecting poorer angiogenesis. In contrast, deletion of Glrx in the KO mice improves revascularization after hindlimb ischemia (Figure 3C). Therefore, these data indicate that endogenous Glrx has an anti-angiogenic role. In other words, oxidant-induced S-glutathionylation (GSH adducts) on protein(s) in ischemic muscles facilitate angiogenic responses and promote ischemic revascularization. There is a report indicating that Glrx overexpression enhances neovascularization in a mouse MI model; however, they evaluated vascularization only by α-smooth muscle actin staining in hearts, and the results are not sufficient to conclude Glrx enhances neovascularization. Also, they showed anti-apoptotic effects in cardiomyocytes, which most likely explain the better cardiac function observed in Glrx TG mice.
Cys674 are required for VEGF-induced increased SERCA activity (ER Ca^{2+} uptake), increased cytosolic Ca^{2+} influx, and endothelial cell migration. In addition, in vivo S-glutathionylation of SERCA2b C674 is essential for normal angiogenesis after hindlimb ischemia in mice. Those studies indicate that S-glutathionylation of SERCA C674 critically regulates endothelial angiogenic responses.

As another example, phosphatases, such as protein tyrosine phosphatase-1B (PTP1B) and protein phosphatase-A2 (PP2A), normally suppress VEGFα signaling and are inhibited by oxidative protein modifications. GSH adducts on Cys215 of PTP1B inhibit phosphatase activity. In endothelial cells, PTP1B inhibits tyrosine phosphorylation of the VEGF receptor, VEGFR2, and deletion of PTP1B in mice increases angiogenesis after MI. Therefore, the inhibition of phosphatases by GSH adducts may enhance tyrosine phosphorylation of VEGFR2, thereby enhancing the activity of focal adhesion kinase, a key mediator of endothelial cell migration, and angiogenesis.

In ischemic conditions, various other proteins are S-glutathionylated. It is reported that some pro-angiogenic proteins, such as eNOS and sirtuin-1, are inhibited by S-glutathionylation, and therefore might be expected to inhibit their important role in angiogenesis. This apparent contradiction could exist for several reasons, including the possibility that the proteins are protected from S-glutathionylation during ischemia because of their subcellular localization (eg, calveoli and nucleus, respectively) or the effects are masked by other angiogenic factors. However, in vivo studies of Glrx KO and overexpression indicate that overall enhancement of S-glutathionylation by inhibiting Glrx promotes angiogenic responses during ischemia.

**Summary**

ROS are increased in CVD and considered a deleterious consequence of the diseases. However, antioxidant therapeutics in humans do not prevent CVD. This might be explained by a beneficial effect of ROS on CVD, especially in ischemic angiogenesis. Although high levels of ROS cause cell damage and death, another aspect of ROS is to directly modify protein thiol and change protein function. S-glutathionylation, a relatively stable oxidative cysteine modification by GSH, increases during hindlimb ischemia and apparently stimulates angiogenic signaling. Studies of gain and loss of function of Glrx, which specifically removes S-glutathionylation from proteins, reveal that S-glutathionylation, on balance, stimulates angiogenesis after hindlimb ischemia. S-glutathionylation increases active VEGFα by stabilizing HIF-1α and inhibiting the anti-angiogenic factor, sFlt1, as well as enhancing VEGFα signaling in endothelial cells through inhibition of PTPs and activation of SERCA2. Therefore, S-glutathionylation and its regulator Glrx may be good targets for treatment to improve ischemic angiogenesis in CVD.
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