Outcomes of Critical Limb Ischemia in Hemodialysis Patients After Distal Bypass Surgery

– Poor Limb Prognosis With Stage 4 Wound, Ischemia, and Foot Infection (WIfI) –
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**Background:** Distal bypass is the first-line treatment for patients with critical limb ischemia (CLI). In Japanese high-volume centers, approximately half of these patients are on hemodialysis (HD). We have treated such patients first with bypass using a multidisciplinary perioperative strategy. We reveal the recent characteristics of patients who underwent distal bypass and the surgical outcomes in Japan, especially focusing on the foot conditions by using the wound, ischemia, and foot infection (WIfI) classification.

**Methods and Results:** The 152 patients underwent distal bypass in a tertiary center hospital, and we compared patients on HD (HD group) to those not on HD (non-HD group). There were significant differences between the 2 groups in the overall survival, major adverse cardiac event-free survival and amputation-free survival (AFS) rates (P<0.0001). The procedural outcomes were analyzed via primary and secondary patency, and there was no difference. In the subanalysis of limb status using WIfI stage, the AFS rate of the HD group was significantly worse than that of the non-HD group for WIfI stage 4 patients.

**Conclusions:** The life and limb prognoses of patients with CLI and HD were worse than those of non-HD patients. There was no difference in surgical outcomes suggested by the graft patency rates between the 2 groups. AFS in WIfI stage 4 was significantly worse in the HD group, which indicated the importance of preoperative limb status.
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Distal bypass is a good treatment option for improving the symptoms of critical limb ischemia (CLI), and it is the first line of treatment in the endovascular era.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) If arterial reconstruction is successfully performed, major limb amputation can be avoided in many CLI patients, which subsequently helps them maintain their quality of life. However, vascular surgeons must consider each CLI patient’s prognosis from 2 points of view: limb and life prognoses. In order to perform the bypass procedure successfully, we have to check the vessel for conditions such as calcification of the artery, peripheral vessel run-off, and the diameter of the candidate conduit, and we also have to plan how to access the anastomotic site and perform bypass routing. The bypass patency should be dependent on this perioperative planning. At the same time, we should also consider the life expectancy of CLI patients, because their comorbidities, including coronary risks, are severe.\(^4\) This is supported by the fact that the survival rate of CLI patients was revealed to be equivalent to that for some types of advanced cancers.\(^5\)

The number of patients who undergo hemodialysis (HD) has drastically increased in Japan, which might be strongly correlated to the increase in diabetes mellitus (DM).\(^6\) CLI patients with HD and DM might be admitted to high-volume centers, at which most of the preoperative risk evaluation and foot care are systematically structured. In Japan, approximately half of CLI patients in these high-volume centers are also on HD (Figure 1).\(^7\)\^-\(^10\) Despite a relatively large population of high-risk patients, the outcomes of distal bypass in CLI patients have been acceptable; limb salvage rate and survival rate at 5 years after surgery of approximately 80% and 60%, respectively.\(^7\)

We have previously reported the prognosis of CLI patients who underwent distal bypass up to 2010.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^11\) The foot and systemic conditions of CLI patients who are admitted to our
staging might reveal the characteristic features of this study population, especially of the HD group, and influence the operative strategy of distal bypass.

Methods

This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the research ethical committee of the University of Tokyo. We retrospectively reviewed 152 patients with CLI who were admitted to hospital and underwent distal bypass between January 2005 and December 2014. We divided these patients

specific tertiary high-volume center have tended to worsen, possibly affected by the increase of DM, so we considered it necessary to perform further evaluation.

In this study, we wanted to elucidate the recent outcomes of HD patients after distal bypass for CLI under multidisciplinary treatment in a tertiary high-volume center, from the life and the limb prognostic point of view. Next, as limb condition varies widely, especially in HD patients, we evaluated it preoperatively using a risk stratification based on the wound, ischemia, and foot infection (WIfI) classification\(^1\) and analyzed the limb outcomes per stage. We hypothesized that WIfI staging might reveal the characteristic features of this study population, especially of the HD group, and influence the operative strategy of distal bypass.
Outcomes of CLI in HD Patients

Preoperative Management
The details of preoperative management have been described previously. On admission of CLI patients, we controlled their chronic ischemic pain with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioid drugs, and administrated antibiotics prophylactically for infection in the tissue loss site. We routinely referred patients to the comfort care team and infection survey team of the hospital. Prostaglandin E1 (Alprostadil®) was sometimes used. The preoperative routine study included cardiopulmonary, gastrointestinal, and carotid artery diseases and other comorbidities, and all patients underwent consultation with an anesthesiologist. Distal bypass surgery was finally decided with consideration of the patient’s risks (Figure 2).

Patients’ Characteristics
Patients’ characteristics were analyzed for each group (HD vs. non-HD group), including age, sex, hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, ischemic heart disease (IHD), and cerebrovascular disease (CVD). IHD was defined as documented definite angina or myocardial infarction, or >50% stenosis of at least 1 coronary artery, CVD was defined as documented prior stroke or transient ischemic attack (Table).

Wound Evaluation and Bypass Indication
As we described previously, the severity of ischemia was assessed using the ankle-brachial pressure index and skin perfusion pressure (SPP). Besides classical classification of peripheral arterial disease, such as Fontaine or Rutherford, we included the SPP, which is reported as a predictor of wound healing, in the diagnostic criteria of CLI. In addition, we evaluated the CLI status by referring to the WIfI classification. We retrospectively reviewed the electronic database and classified the status using images of the patients’ feet taken by the foot care team. As the SPP is accepted widely in Japan, we valued the ischemic parameter of WIfI as a substitute of toe pressure. We determined the distal anastomotic site with both a subtraction image from intra-arterial angiography and ultrasonography. For more accurate identification of the appropriate anastomotic site, we used an exploratory image overlay system with a tablet PC for 10 CLI patients.

Postoperative Wound Care
As the outcomes of uncovered wounds directly affect the limb prognosis, we checked the wound daily, with additional debridement if necessary, and performed several treatments: local administration of recombinant human basic fibroblast growth factor (Fiblast®; Kaken Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), prostaglandin ointment, vacuum-assisted closure therapy (VAC®; Kinetic Concepts, San Antonio, TX, USA), and skin graft or free flap transfer. Patients were discharged from the hospital after confirmation of the wound’s stability.

Postoperative Surveillance and Outcome Assessment
The patients were surveyed with routine physical examinations, wound care, and ultrasonography for graft flow every 3–6 months in the first 2 years after the surgery. Primary and secondary graft patency, the limb salvage rate, survival rate, amputation-free survival (AFS), and major adverse cardiovas-

| Table. Clinical Characteristics of the Patients and Their Limbs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HD group</th>
<th>Non-HD group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>66.7±10.1</td>
<td>70.6±13.5</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>55 (75%)</td>
<td>50 (63%)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>57 (78%)</td>
<td>56 (71%)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>32 (44%)</td>
<td>22 (28%)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>23 (32%)</td>
<td>19 (24%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>59 (81%)</td>
<td>37 (47%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>19 (26%)</td>
<td>23 (29%)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest pain</td>
<td>9 (12%)</td>
<td>16 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer</td>
<td>24 (33%)</td>
<td>37 (47%)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gangrene</td>
<td>40 (55%)</td>
<td>26 (33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIfI classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 (13%)</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 (25%)</td>
<td>25 (34%)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 (30%)</td>
<td>26 (35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23 (32%)</td>
<td>20 (27%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WIfI; wound, ischemia, and foot infection.
was no difference in WIfI stage between the 2 groups (P=0.17) (Table).

Long-Term Outcomes
We evaluated the life prognosis by comparing the survival rates (overall and MACE-free) of the 2 groups. There were significant differences in these survival rates (P<0.0001) (Figure 3). The limb prognosis by AFS was worse in the HD group (P=0.0004) (Figure 4).

The surgical outcomes were analyzed via primary and secondary patency, and we could not find differences between the 2 groups. P-values were 0.57 for primary patency and 0.11 for secondary patency, respectively (Figure 5).

The observation period was 3.4±2.4 years. Within this period, death, MACE, graft failure, and major amputation occurred in 51, 69, 20 and 16 patients, respectively. In order to exclude the bias of limb status between the 2 groups as much as possible, we focused on the limb prognosis by comparing the AFS rate per WIfI stage between groups (Figure 6). As the number of patients in stages 1 and 2 was small, we analyzed the data for stages 1–3 and for stage 4. In this series, the AFS rate in the HD group tended to be worse than that in the non-HD group in stages 1–3 (P=0.06), and was significantly worse in stage 4 (P=0.0016).

Discussion
Although we compared the HD group with the non-HD group in the present study, it is not necessarily accurate to link this single parameter of HD to the outcome of distal bypass surgery. There are many confounders in the atherosclerotic pathogenesis and the pathway to CLI. Among them, the most critical confounder was DM, as shown in the Table. However, even after adjusting for other parameters such as DM, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, HD was still revealed to be an independent risk for cardiovascular events. When performing distal bypass for HD patients, we sometimes found that severe arterial calcification made the procedure more difficult. One of the scenarios of calcification is that elevated levels of phosphorus might play an important role in vascular calcification by transforming vascular smooth muscle cells into osteoblast-like cells. Considering the pathophysiological state of uremic calcification and accompanying technical difficulty...
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(79%), classical classifications such as Fontaine’s or Rutherford’s were not appropriate for predicting outcome, which was the reason we used the WIfI classification. Although a few short-term outcomes such as major amputation risk, AFS, and wound healing time are reported to be differentiated per WIfI stage,\textsuperscript{23} the evaluation of this new concept has not been established.\textsuperscript{24} By accumulating data, including images and clinical information collected from both doctors and medical staff, we were able to evaluate the patients’ foot conditions with the WIfI classification. Thus we found that the AFS rate of the HD group was significantly worse in stage 4 compared with other stages. Considering the inherent operative risk in stage 4 patients with HD, the surgical indication of stage 4 patients might need to be reconsidered, even if distal bypass itself could be performed in theory. Although we could not prove the down-staging effect of preoperative multidisciplinary treatment in this retrospective study, it is certain that we had some down-staged during distal bypass, it is clinically meaningful to compare the HD and non-HD groups.

In Japan, the rate of HD is obviously high. Even considering publication bias, the rate of HD patients in high-volume centers is high at 43–78%,\textsuperscript{7–10,21} in contrast to rates of 10–14% in the USA and Europe.\textsuperscript{3,4,22} This might be related to differences in medical circumstances; it should be easy to introduce HD into the Japanese medical service system. In their treatment of patients with unique profiles, vascular surgeons have polished the surgical techniques and settled the procedural steps for HD-specific vessel conditions such as severe calcification. In the same way, multidisciplinary treatment, including foot care for DM, has spread worldwide, and the emergence of the WIfI classification makes it easy to evaluate foot conditions not only by doctors but also by medical staff, which might lead to improved limb prognosis.

As most of the limbs in the present study had tissue loss (79%), classical classifications such as Fontaine’s or Rutherford’s were not appropriate for predicting outcome, which was the reason we used the WIfI classification. Although a few short-term outcomes such as major amputation risk, AFS, and wound healing time are reported to be differentiated per WIfI stage,\textsuperscript{23} the evaluation of this new concept has not been established.\textsuperscript{24} By accumulating data, including images and clinical information collected from both doctors and medical staff, we were able to evaluate the patients’ foot conditions with the WIfI classification. Thus we found that the AFS rate of the HD group was significantly worse in stage 4 compared with other stages. Considering the inherent operative risk in stage 4 patients with HD, the surgical indication of stage 4 patients might need to be reconsidered, even if distal bypass itself could be performed in theory. Although we could not prove the down-staging effect of preoperative multidisciplinary treatment in this retrospective study, it is certain that we had some down-staged
patients under our preoperative strategy. We hope that multidi- 
disciplinary treatment for CLI will prevail in the future and 
 improve the limb outcomes.25

We reconfirmed the worse life prognosis of the HD group 
using the overall survival rate and MACE-free survival rate. 
The AF5 rate, which was closely related to limb prognosis, 
as was also worse in the HD group. This trend was the same 
as seen in our previous study.27 Considering the poor life pro-
gnosis of the HD group, we think the limb salvage rate does not 
necessarily reflect the real limb prognosis. Instead, the primary 
and secondary patency rates would better reflect the proce-
dural outcomes. As there was no difference in patency 
between the groups, we reconfirmed that distal bypass for 
patients on HD should still be the first-line treatment.

Study Limitations
First, there are confounders in comparing the 2 groups, and the 
number of patients was too small for matching of the groups. 
Next, this study was based on retrospective data from a single 
institution, where a selection bias exists. Another limitation was that there might have been a selection bias for the surgical 
indication, which should be limited by simply comparing the 
HD and non-HD groups. Nevertheless, this study revealed the 
real-world outcomes of CLI patients with HD after distal 
bypass surgery.

Conclusions
The life and limb prognoses of CLI patients with HD were 
 worse than those of non-HD patients, as indicated by the over-
all survival, MACE-free survival and AF5 rates. There was no 
difference in surgical outcomes suggested by the primary 
and secondary graft patency rates between the 2 groups. AF5 in 
WIFI stage 4 was significantly worse in the HD group, which 
indicates the importance of the preoperative limb status.
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