Left Ventricular Systolic and Diastolic Function in the Hypertrophied Ventricle
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To investigate left ventricular (LV) systolic and diastolic function in cardiac hypertrophy, we analysed LV pressure (catheter tip-manometer) and simultaneously performed cineangiography in 24 patients with systemic hypertension (HT), 25 patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) and 25 normal subjects. We digitized LV cineangiograms frame by frame and computed volume and its derivatives, wall thickness and circumferential wall stress. LV systolic pump function was normal or supernormal in HT and HCM. However, myocardial contractility assessed by end-systolic wall stress-volume relation was depressed in HCM whereas it is normally maintained in HT. LV diastolic function was also impaired in HCM and even in HT despite normal systolic function. The LV hypertrophy group showed significantly prolonged time constant of isovolumic relaxation, increased time from end-systole to the peak filling rate, and upward shift of the diastolic pressure-volume relationship. The characteristic findings of LV diastolic function in LV hypertrophy, therefore, can be summarized as impaired isovolumic relaxation, delayed early diastolic filling and decreased diastolic distensibility. The mechanisms of abnormal systolic and diastolic function may include myocardial ischemia and/or calcium overload in hypertrophied myocardium, but further study will be needed to clarify these problems.

CARDIAC hypertrophy is an important adaptive mechanism to maintain pump performance. It is also well known that hypertrophied hearts often develop heart failure. Despite many studies on the systolic and diastolic mechanics of the hypertrophied left ventricle, this phenomenon is not yet fully understood.

The purpose of this study was to investigate precisely left ventricular systolic and diastolic function in the hypertrophied ventricle of systemic hypertension and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. This was done by direct measurement of left ventricular volume and pressure by biplane cineventriculography and catheter tip manometer. We analysed systolic global pump and myocardial function, and diastolic relaxation, filling and distensibility in the hypertrophied left ventricle.
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SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study patients: We studied 24 patients with systemic hypertension, 25 patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and 25 normal control subjects who had undergone cardiac catheterization. The normal and hypertensive groups underwent diagnostic catheterization because of atypical chest pain or electrocardiographic abnormalities but were found to have normal hemodynamics, normal left ventriculography and normal coronary arteries. Vasospastic angina was excluded in these subjects by performing an ergonovine or acetylcholine provocation test. The diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy was based on clinical, echocardiographic, and angiographic evaluation. All subjects were in normal sinus rhythm and had received no drug treatment for at least 2 days before cardiac catheterization. No patient had a history of heart failure. No patient had a resting left ven-
TABLE I  LEFT VENTRICULAR FUNCTION IN NORMAL SUBJECTS (N) (N = 25), HYPERTENSION (HT) (N = 24) AND HYPERTROPHIC CARDIOMYOPATHY (HCM) (N = 25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>HR (beats/min)</th>
<th>LVSP (mmHg)</th>
<th>EDP (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean AoP (mmHg)</th>
<th>max(+)-dp/dt (mmHg/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>49 ± 9</td>
<td>70 ± 10</td>
<td>122 ± 17</td>
<td>9 ± 3</td>
<td>96 ± 12</td>
<td>1446 ± 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>54 ± 8</td>
<td>69 ± 14</td>
<td>151 ± 26**</td>
<td>12 ± 4**</td>
<td>111 ± 15**</td>
<td>1669 ± 470*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>47 ± 11</td>
<td>67 ± 12</td>
<td>119 ± 12</td>
<td>16 ± 7**</td>
<td>97 ± 14</td>
<td>1436 ± 266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EDVI (ml/m²)</th>
<th>ESVI (ml/m²)</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>Mean Vcf (circ/sec)</th>
<th>WT (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>90 ± 12</td>
<td>27 ± 5</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.20</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>81 ± 14*</td>
<td>22 ± 10*</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.36</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>82 ± 14*</td>
<td>22 ± 8*</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.31</td>
<td>16.0 ± 4.3**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AoP = aortic pressure; EDP = end-diastolic pressure; EDVI = end-diastolic volume index; ESVI = end-systolic volume index; EF = ejection fraction; HR = heart rate; LVSP = left ventricular peak systolic pressure; Vcf = circumferential fiber shortening velocity; WT = wall thickness; *=p < 0.005, **=p < 0.01. All data were presented as mean ± SD.

Fig.1. Left ventricular (LV) end-systolic pressure-volume index relation in normals (N), systemic hypertension (HT) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM).

Cardiac catheterization: Cardiac catheterization was performed via the percutaneous femoral approach in the fasting state and under premedication with hydroxyzine hydrochloride intramuscularly (50 mg). Simultaneous biplane cineventriculograms were obtained in the 30° right anterior oblique and 60° left anterior oblique projections by injecting 40 ml of contrast media at a rate of 12 ml/sec. The film speed was 50 frames/sec. Central aortic and left ventricular pressures were measured with a pigtail angiographic micromanometer catheter (Millar Instruments, Inc.). Left ventricular pressures were recorded simultaneously during ventriculograms at a paper speed of 150 mm/sec (Electronics for Medicine VR-12) along with the first derivative of pressure (dp/dt). An electrically triggered cine tracer marked the X-ray film and sent a timing signal to the recorder for simultaneous measurement of pressure and volume. The micromanometer-tipped catheter was calibrated against luminal pressures with a fluid-filled system.
Fig. 2. End-systolic circumferential wall stress-volume index relation in hypertension (HT) (upper) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) (lower) as compared with normal subjects (N). LVESVI = left ventricular end-systolic volume index.

After left ventriculography, selective coronary angiography was performed in all patients.

*Analysis of catheterization data:* We analyzed biplane left ventricular silhouettes by digitizing frame by frame (50 frame/sec) and computed volumes and its derivatives, wall thickness, mass, and wall stress. All beats analyzed were with a sinus rhythm, and postextrasystolic beats were excluded. We computed left ventricular volume by the biplane area-length method.

Left ventricular wall thickness was measured by averaging the wall thickness of a 4 cm segment of the free left ventricular wall at approximately the junction of the apical and middle third. Left ventricular mass (LVM) was calculated as follows: \(LVM (g) = 1.05 [(pi/6)(a + 2h)(b + 2h)^2 - EDV]\), where \(a\) is the ventricular long axis, \(b\) is the short axis as computed from the area-length relationship, and \(h\) is wall thickness at end-diastole. Circumferential wall stress (WS) was calculated using Mirsky's equation: \(WS (g/cm^2) = 1.36 \left( pb/2h \right) \left( 1 - b^2/2a^2 - h/b + h^2/2a^2 \right)\).

To assess systolic pressure-volume and wall stress-volume relation.

We assessed left ventricular relaxation by the time constant of isovolumic pressure decay. To calculate the time constants of pressure decay, left ventricular pressure was measured every 5.0 msec from the point of minimal dP/dt to a level 5 mmHg above the end-diastolic pressure of the next beat. Left ventricular pressure and time during this interval was fit by an exponential method with zero (Tw) or variable asymptotes (Tb) to the following 2 equations: (1) \(P(t) = ae^{bt} + c\) and (2) \(P(t) = ae^{bt} + c\) where \(P\) is left ventricular pressure (mmHg), \(t\) is time (msec), \(c\) is the asymptote of pressure fall (mmHg), and \(a\) and \(b\) are constants. From these equations, 2 time constants \((-1/b)\) were calculated. To describe left ventricular early diastolic filling, we measured the peak diastolic filling rate (PFR) of volumes and the time from the end-systolic frame to the PFR (TPFR). The volume-time curve was smoothed using a polynomial approximation technique. The third-degree polynomial was fit to each set of 5 consecutive points using the method of least squares. After digitizing and filtering, the volumes were differentiated and the PFR and the TPFR were calculated. As the PFR seems to be affected by total left ventricular end-diastolic volume and stroke volume; the normalized PFR corrected for end-diastolic volume (PFR/EDV) and stroke volume (PFR/SV) was also calculated. To assess the alterations in left ventricular diastolic chamber compliance (distensibility), we plotted diastolic pressure-volume relations from the point of minimal left ventricular pressure to the top of the atrial pressure wave form.

**Statistical analysis:** Intergroup comparison of the hemodynamic variables was performed with an unpaired \(t\) test. A significant difference was indicated by a \(p\) value less than 0.05. Values are expressed as the mean \(\pm SD\).

**RESULTS**

*Left ventricular systolic function in the hypertrophied ventricle*

Table I is a list of the left ventricular hemodynamic data in N, HT and HCM. There was no
significant difference in heart rate in the 3 groups. LV peak systolic pressure and mean aortic pressure were significantly higher in HT compared with N and HCM. The mean values of LV end-diastolic pressure and wall thickness were significantly greater in the LV hypertrophy group of HT and HCM than that in N, and both values were greater in HCM than HT. There was a significant decrease in both LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volume in HT and HCM compared with N. Ejection fraction and mean Vcf was greater in HT and HCM, but it did not reach statistical significance. The end-systolic pressure-volume relationship of the 3 groups is shown in Fig. 1. It shifted leftward (HCM) or leftward and upward (HT) compared with N, indicating increased LV chamber contractility in the 2 LV hypertrophy groups.

Figure 2 shows the end-systolic wall stress-volume relationship in the 3 groups. A good first order correlation between end-systolic wall stress and end-systolic volume index was observed in all 3 groups (range of correlation coefficient: 0.65–0.73). The relations of N and HT were in general very close to each other (upper panel). In contrast, the relationship in HCM tended to shift downward and the mean slope of the relation was significantly lower than N (lower panel). These findings suggest that myocardial contractility evaluated by force-length relation may be depressed in HCM, whereas it is normally maintained in HT.

LV diastolic function in the hypertrophied ventricle

The time constants of LV isovolumic pressure decay were significantly prolonged in HT and HCM as compared with N (Tw: N; 37 ± 4 msec, HT; 42 ± 7 msec, HCM; 52 ± 11 msec, Tb: N; 55 ± 11 msec, HT; 70 ± 25 msec, HCM; 95 ± 25 msec), suggesting impaired isovolumic relaxation in the LV hypertrophy group. The time constants were significantly greater in HCM than HT (Fig. 3).

During the rapid filling period the PFR of LV
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DISCUSSION

In this study, LV systolic pump function was almost normal and LV chamber contractility seemed to be supernormal as assessed by the end-systolic pressure-volume relation in patients with HT and HCM. LV myocardial contractility as assessed by end-systolic wall stress-volume relation was normal in HT, but was depressed in HCM. On the other hand, LV diastolic function was abnormal in both HT and HCM, characterized by impaired isovolumic relaxation, delayed early diastolic filling and decreased distensibility.

Early studies demonstrated that many patients with HT have normal ventricular performance as assessed by ejection phase indices, whereas some patients with severe hypertrophy or cardiac dilatation have depressed ventricular performance. However, it is controversial whether the depressed systolic pump function in HT is due to afterload mismatch or decreased myocardial contractility as assessed by force-velocity-length framework. It is reported that in patients with moderate hypertrophy the myocardial contractile state appears to be maintained, but it may be depressed in patients with severe hypertrophy. Our patients had mild and moderate LV hypertrophy without dilatation. It thus appears that mild or moderate LV hypertrophy caused by pressure overload was associated with almost normal LV pump function and myocardial contractility.

As for HCM, Hirota et al. and Pouleur et al. using LV cineangiography and a catheter tip manometer, reported that myocardial contractility assessed by end-systolic wall stress-volume, mean Vcf and EF relation was normal or depressed as compared with N in this disorder whereas LV pump function was normal or supernormal. Our study supports their findings. This finding may be of clinical importance because recent studies have demonstrated that some patients with HCM develop overt congestive heart failure with cardiac dilatation. Although the exact mechanism of myocardial contractile dysfunction in HCM is unclear, it is postulated...
that myocardial ischemia associated with small coronary artery disease and that decreased coronary flow reserve may possibly be one of the causative mechanisms. To test this hypothesis and investigate other factors, further studies will be needed.

Recent studies have demonstrated that patients with LV hypertrophy usually have abnormal diastolic function. We also observed that LV diastolic function was impaired in HCM and even hypertensive patients with normal pump function and myocardial contractility. The LV isovolumic relaxation has been invasively assessed by the time constant of isovolumic pressure decay. However, there are some methodological problems in its calculation. Not only the patients with HCM but also those with HT associated with mild and moderate LV hypertrophy had significant prolongation of both the time constants in this study, implying that the slowing of isovolumic relaxation seems to be a sensitive marker of LV hypertrophy. Several studies have suggested that early diastolic filling is also impaired in cardiac hypertrophy, in addition to impairment of isovolumic relaxation. However, we did not observe abnormal early diastolic filling assessed by PFR and normalized PFR in HT and HCM except a significant difference of PFR/SV between N and HT. The rate of LV early diastolic filling seems mainly to be determined by relaxation rate, the magnitude of left atrio (LA)-LV pressure gradients, the elastic recoil and probably LV diastolic compliance. In this study, a significant decrease in LV end-systolic volume index might enhance the elastic recoil in HT and HCM, resulting in increased early diastolic filling rate. Although we did not make direct measurements of LA-LV pressure gradients, it can be postulated that a compensatory increase in LA driving pressure might also maintain rate normally. On the other hand, the TPFR was significantly prolonged in LV hypertrophy and was roughly but significantly correlated with LV mass. So, the TPFR, rather than the PFR or normalized PFR, seems to be a relatively sensitive index of early diastolic filling in LV hypertrophy.

The LV diastolic pressure-volume relation tended to shift upward (decreased diastolic distensibility) in the LV hypertrophy group, particularly in HCM. LV hypertrophy itself shifts the diastolic pressure-volume relation. The other major factors affecting the relation are the isovolumic relaxation rate, extrinsic constraint (pericardial constraint and ventricular interaction) and diastolic chamber stiffness. Impaired isovolumic relaxation (incomplete relaxation) might be associated with an upward shift particularly during early diastole. Pericardial effect may be negligible in the steady-state condition. The right atrial or right ventricular pressures were not simultaneously obtained with LV pressure, but ventricular interaction does not seem to play a significant role in cardiac hypertrophy. At present, there is no accurate and established method to evaluate LV diastolic chamber stiffness. Based on the above considerations, the mechanisms of upward shift of the diastolic pressure-volume relation may be complex and it seems to be, at least, related to LV hypertrophy and incomplete relaxation.

Finally, what is responsible for LV diastolic dysfunction in LV hypertrophy? Recent studies have suggested that some patients with abnormal diastolic filling in HT may improve after regression of LV hypertrophy by anti-hypertensive agents. Several investigators have suggested that calcium blocking agents improve abnormal LV diastolic function in HCM and that impaired diastolic function may be associated with calcium overload in hypertrophied myocardium. However, in a previous study we showed that sublingual nifedipine did not improve LV diastolic dysfunction in patients with asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic HCM. It is therefore speculated that cardiac hypertrophy itself may be responsible for abnormal diastolic function in mild form or early-stage hypertrophy, and that calcium overload, myocardial ischemia and other unknown factors may further aggravate diastolic function in severe or end-stage hypertrophy.
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