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Background: Cardio-renal anemia syndrome (CRAS) is a growing health problem, with a high mortality rate. Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal proBNP (NT-proBNP) are well-established diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of heart failure (HF). The difference in the clinical significance of these biomarkers, however, has not yet been completely elucidated in HF. The aim of the present study was to compare the prognostic ability of BNP and NT-proBNP in HF patients with CRAS.

Methods and Results: We measured BNP and NT-proBNP in 492 consecutive HF patients and in 17 control subjects. All patients were prospectively followed up during a median follow-up period of 1,034 days. NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was elevated in HF patients with CRAS compared with those without CRAS and the control subjects. There was no significant difference in the prognostic abilities of BNP and NT-proBNP in all HF patients. The C-index for NT-proBNP for predicting cardiovascular events and mortality, however, was significantly higher than that for BNP in HF patients with CRAS. On multivariate Cox proportional hazards-regression analysis, NT-proBNP, but not BNP, was an independent predictor for clinical outcome in HF with CRAS.

Conclusions: The difference in the prognostic abilities of BNP and NT-proBNP was high in HF patients with CRAS. NT-proBNP had a superior prognostic ability to BNP in HF patients with CRAS.
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Biochemistry Markers

Blood samples were obtained in the early morning ≤24 h after admission. These samples were transferred to chilled tubes containing 4.5 mg ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, disodium salt and aprotinin (500 U/mL) and were centrifuged at 1,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The clarified plasma samples were frozen, stored at −70°C, and thawed immediately before the assay was performed. BNP concentration was measured using a commercially available radioimmunoassay specific for human BNP (Shiono RIA BNP assay kit, Shionogi, Tokyo, Japan).

To perform an exact comparison between BNP and NT-proBNP, plasma samples that were obtained simultaneously, were used for NT-proBNP measurement. NT-proBNP was measured with an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay: the Elecsys NT-proBNP II on the cobas e602 platform (Roche Diagnostics, Tokyo, Japan).

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation with the Japanese coefficient. CKD was defined as reduced eGFR (<60 mL/min/1.73 m²) according to the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) clinical guidelines. Anemia was defined as hemoglobin <13 g/dL in male subjects and <12 g/dL in female subjects according to the World Health Organization guidelines. CRAS was defined as anemia with CKD.
**Endpoints and Follow-up**

Patients were prospectively followed up for a median of 1,034 days (IQR, 613–1,743 days). Patients were followed up by telephone or medical record twice a year until 2,555 days after discharge. Nine patients were lost to follow-up due to them moving or being unable to be contacted. The primary endpoints were cardiac events: progressive HF requiring rehospitalization; ACS; and cardiac death defined as death due to progressive HF, ACS or sudden cardiac death. Sudden cardiac death was defined as death without definite warning symptoms or signs. Sudden cardiac death was diagnosed by the attending physician after patients were transported to the emergency department. The secondary endpoint was cardiovascular death. There were 16 non-cardiac deaths during the follow-up period.

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee and all patients provided written informed consent to participate.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variable normality was checked using Shapiro-Wilk test. Due to the non-normal distribution of BNP and NT-proBNP, we used log10-transformed BNP and NT-proBNP in all analyses. All data are expressed as mean±SD. The NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was calculated and used for assessing the differences in the effects of BNP and NT-proBNP. The t-test and chi-squared test were used to compare continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Multivariate logistic analysis was performed to identify the factors associated with CRAS. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for cardiac events and mortality was performed to compare the prognostic abilities of BNP, NT-proBNP and NT-proBNP/BNP ratio. Cox proportional hazards analysis was carried out to identify independent predictors for cardiac events. Predictors that were significant on univariate analysis were used for multivariate analysis. Cardiac event-free curves were constructed according to the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP version 12 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and R 3.0.2 with additional packages including Rcmdr, Epi, and pROC.

**Results**

**HF Subject Characteristics and Presence of CRAS**

The baseline clinical characteristics of all HF patients and comparisons of clinical characteristics between those with and without CRAS are given in Table 1. Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hyperlipidemia were identified in 399 (81%), 137 (28%), and 135 (27%), respectively. The etiologies of HF were ischemic heart disease in 120 patients, dilated cardiomyopathy in 126, and others in the remaining 246 patients. Mean log-BNP and log-NT-proBNP were 2.50 and 3.15, respectively. CKD, anemia, and CRAS were identified in 226 (46%), 300 (61%), and 157 (31%), respectively.

Patients with CRAS were older, more patients were female, and had a more severe NYHA functional class than those without it. Patients with CRAS had higher log-BNP and log-NT-proBNP and had lower hemoglobin and eGFR than those without it. There were no significant differences in body mass index, prevalence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or hyperlipidemia, echocardiographic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR 95% CI P-value</td>
<td>OR 95% CI P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.065 1.044–1.088 &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.055 1.031–1.080 &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female vs. Male</td>
<td>1.642 1.115–2.418 0.0121</td>
<td>1.602 1.007–2.551 0.0464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.345 0.822–2.259 0.2423</td>
<td>1.023 0.548–1.870 0.9407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.061 0.639–1.611 0.7823</td>
<td>1.053 0.622–1.764 0.8470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>1.199 0.785–1.819 0.3976</td>
<td>1.336 0.805–2.209 0.2607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA III/IV vs. II</td>
<td>1.702 1.161–2.499 0.0065</td>
<td>1.354 0.858–2.137 0.1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP/BNP ratio</td>
<td>1.135 1.085–1.193 &lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.111 1.061–1.169 &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD†</td>
<td>1.075 0.877–1.320 0.4852</td>
<td>1.293 0.972–1.730 0.0783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESI†</td>
<td>0.959 0.788–1.167 0.6725</td>
<td>0.858 0.649–1.132 0.2793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop diuretics use</td>
<td>2.166 1.427–3.345 0.0002</td>
<td>2.045 1.234–3.455 0.0052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Per 1-SD increase. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Figure 1. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide/brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP/BNP) ratio vs. the presence of cardio-renal anemia syndrome (CRAS) in heart failure patients.
parameters, or medication excluding loop diuretics, between patients with and without CRAS.

**NT-proBNP/BNP Ratio and CRAS**

To determine the impact of CRAS on natriuretic peptide level, we calculated the NT-proBNP/BNP ratio. As shown in Figure 1, NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was higher in patients with CRAS than in those without CRAS, as well as in the control subjects.

Next, to determine whether NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was related to CRAS, we performed univariate and multivariate logistic analyses for the presence of CRAS in HF patients. As shown in Table 2, NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was significantly associated with CRAS. Female sex, NYHA functional class and loop diuretics use were also related to CRAS. On multivariate logistic analysis, a high NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was independently related to the presence of CRAS, suggesting that the difference in circulating BNP and NT-proBNP was greater in CRAS patients than in those without it.

**Clinical Outcome and Natriuretic Peptides**

There were 185 cardiac events and 68 cardiac deaths during the follow-up period. The prognostic ability of BNP, NT-proBNP and NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was compared in all HF patients using ROC curves for cardiac events and mortality (Supplementary Figure 1). As shown in Figure 2, there were no significant differences in C index between
BNP and NT-proBNP. The C indices for NT-proBNP/BNP ratio for cardiac events and mortality were significantly lower than those for BNP and NT-proBNP in all HF patients. In addition, we compared the prognostic ability of BNP and NT-proBNP in HF patients with CKD, those without CKD, those with anemia, and those without anemia (Supplementary Figure 2). Interestingly, the C index for NT-proBNP for cardiac events, but not cardiac mortality, was significantly greater than that for BNP in HF patients with CKD. In contrast, the C index for NT-proBNP for cardiac mortality, but not cardiac events, was significantly greater than that for BNP in HF patients with anemia.

Next, we compared the prognostic ability of BNP, NT-proBNP and NT-proBNP/BNP ratio in HF patients with CRAS on ROC curves for cardiac events and mortality. The C indices for NT-proBNP for cardiac events and mortality were significantly greater than those for BNP, suggesting that NT-proBNP was a superior prognostic marker to BNP in HF patients with CRAS (Figure 3). The C indices for NT-proBNP/BNP ratio for cardiac events and mortality were significantly lower than those for BNP and NT-proBNP in HF patients with CRAS. Next, HF patients with CRAS were divided into 2 groups, based on median NT-proBNP. On Kaplan-Meier analysis, patients with high NT-proBNP had higher rates of cardiac events and mortality than those with low NT-proBNP, in HF patients with CRAS (Figure 4).

To examine whether NT-proBNP could predict clinical outcomes in patients with CRAS, we performed univariate

![Image: Kaplan-Meier curves for (A) cardiac event rate and (B) cardiac mortality in heart failure patients with cardio-renal anemia syndrome according to N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) level.](image)

Table 3. Indicators of Cardiac Events in HF Patients With CRAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.975–1.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female vs. Male</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.257–0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>0.614–2.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>0.715–1.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>0.619–1.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA III/IV vs. II</td>
<td>1.806</td>
<td>1.135–2.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR†</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.347–0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin†</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.692–1.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log BNP†</td>
<td>1.721</td>
<td>1.403–2.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log NT-proBNP†</td>
<td>2.447</td>
<td>1.946–3.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP/BNP ratio</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.309–1.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD†</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.910–1.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF†</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.234–1.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop diuretics use</td>
<td>1.580</td>
<td>0.905–2.958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Per 1-SD increase. HR, hazard ratio. Other abbreviations as in Tables 1, 2.
and multivariate Cox proportional-hazards regression analyses. As shown in Table 3, on univariate Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis both BNP and NT-proBNP, but not NT-proBNP/BNP ratio, were significantly associated with cardiac events. Also, NYHA functional class and eGFR were related to cardiac events in CRAS patients. On multivariate Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis, NT-proBNP, but not BNP, was an independent predictor for cardiac events after adjustment for age, sex, NYHA functional class, eGFR, hemoglobin, BNP and loop diuretic use.

Discussion

The novel findings from the present study were: (1) patients with CRAS had a higher NT-proBNP/BNP ratio than those without it; (2) on multivariate logistic analysis a high NT-proBNP/BNP ratio was associated with the presence of CRAS; (3) although C index was almost identical in all HF patients, the C index of NT-proBNP was significantly greater than that of BNP in HF patients with CRAS, and (4) NT-proBNP, but not BNP, was an independent predictor for cardiac events and mortality in HF patients with CRAS.

The clinical application of natriuretic peptides was expanded, from a diagnostic marker for HF, to a prognostic marker for cardiovascular disease in a broad spectrum of heart disease. It is worth examining whether natriuretic peptides serve as a prognostic marker for clinical outcomes in patients with severe heart disease, that is, CRAS.

Anemia and kidney dysfunction are common comorbidities in HF patients, the prevalence of which reaches 30–50%. In the present study, CRAS was identified in 31% of HF patients and was associated with poor clinical outcome, similar to past reports (Supplementary Figure 3). Intriguingly, anemia and kidney dysfunction are independently associated with natriuretic peptide elevation in HF patients. A recent study indicated that the consideration of anemia is recommended for physicians when assessing natriuretic peptide levels in patients with suspected HF. One of the possible mechanisms by which anemia increases natriuretic peptide level is that anemia leads to an elevated plasma volume, resulting in LV remodeling. Therefore, anemia increases natriuretic peptide levels through augmented production.

In contrast, kidney dysfunction increases natriuretic peptide level through impaired excretion. The cut-off points of natriuretic peptide level for the diagnosis of HF rise in line with CKD level, and an increase in NT-proBNP was relatively higher compared with that in BNP, with an advancing CKD stage in patients with dyspnea, despite baseline production by the ventricular myocytes being at equimolar levels. In addition, Takase and Dohi reported that deterioration in kidney function has a greater impact on NT-proBNP than on BNP. They also reported, however, that NT-proBNP/BNP ratio is preserved in moderate kidney dysfunction due to preserved NT-proBNP excretion. Given that patients with CRAS had moderate kidney dysfunction (eGFR, 41 mL/min/1.73 m²) in the present study, other mechanisms as well as kidney dysfunction may be involved in the elevation of NT-proBNP/BNP ratio. Therefore, the increased difference in circulating BNP and NT-proBNP may result from augmentation of their production, impaired NT-proBNP excretion and BNP metabolism, in patients with CRAS.

Recently, LCZ696, a new therapeutic drug for HF, has been given a class I recommendation for HF patients with reduced ejection fraction (EF), based on the American Heart Association and European Society of Cardiology guidelines. The Prospective Comparison of angiotensin-receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI) with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and Morbidity in Heart Failure (PARADIGM-HF) trial demonstrated that BNP increased and NT-proBNP decreased after use of LCZ696. Given that BNP is a substrate for LCZ696, the diagnostic and prognostic roles of NT-proBNP are gaining attention in the field of HF patient treatment.

Although several reports have compared the prognostic ability of BNP and NT-proBNP, the results were controversial. The Valsartan Heart Failure (Val-HeFT) Data, which is the largest study discussing this issue, showed that NT-proBNP was superior to BNP in predicting cardiac events in HF patients. In the present study, however, their prognostic abilities were equal in all HF patients, similar to other previous studies. These differences may be explained by the patient clinical background and the sample size. Importantly, we showed that NT-proBNP, but not BNP, was independently associated with cardiac events, and that C indices for NT-proBNP for cardiac events and mortality were significantly higher than those for BNP in HF patients with CRAS, despite the small sample size. Notably, NT-proBNP is associated with clinical outcome and had superior prognostic value in patients with hemodialysis, who generally had comorbid anemia and kidney dysfunction. Therefore, CRAS is an important comorbidity that affects natriuretic peptide level, and, potentially, prognostic ability.

The clinical perspective of the present study is that NT-proBNP measurement is useful and could be a feasible marker for cardiac events in HF patients with CRAS, having superiority to BNP. Physicians should be aware of variables that affect natriuretic peptide level and appropriately select natriuretic peptides when assessing severity and clinical outcomes in HF patients.

Study Limitations

First, BNP increases during carperitide infusion, but NT-proBNP does not vary with it, suggesting that NT-proBNP/BNP ratio is modulated by carperitide infusion during acute-phase HF. Given that carperitide is usually used in CRAS, we may have underestimated the NT-proBNP/BNP ratio, despite there being a significant difference. Second, because this was a single-center study, the number of patients was relatively small. Third, we did not assess the cause of anemia. Thus, we did not distinguish between iron deficiency anemia, kidney anemia, and other causes. Fourth, natriuretic peptides at discharge were also associated with cardiac events in patients with CHF. Further studies are needed to determine whether NT-proBNP at discharge also has superior prognostic ability to BNP at discharge in HF patients with CRAS. Finally, although the mean LVEF in the present study was equivalent to that reported in the Japanese HF study and HF registry, it was relatively high compared with that seen in Western countries.

Conclusions

Natriuretic peptide level was affected by CRAS; the differ-
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