Oxidation of Cyclopropane Terpenoids with Ruthenium Tetraoxide

Takahiro KAWAI, Takashi OOI, and Takenori KUSUMI*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokushima University; Tokushima 770–8505, Japan.

Received October 31, 2002; accepted December 18, 2002

Oxidation products of cyclopropanoid terpenes, (–)-carane (4), (+)-cyclosativene (5), laurinterol methyl ether (6), and thujopsane (7), with ruthenium tetraoxide were investigated.

Key words absolute configuration; ruthenium tetraoxide; terpene; cyclopropane

Recently, we have reported the isolation of a new sesquiterpene, cyclosinularane (**1**) from a soft coral collected off Ishigaki Island.¹⁾ The compound is a tetracyclic hydrocarbon composed of a cyclopropane ring. Its absolute configuration has been undetermined because it is an oily substance and no oxygen function necessary for chemical derivatization is present. If a carbonyl group could be introduced on one of the methylene carbons, the absolute configuration would be determined by means of the modified Mosher's method²⁾ after the carbonyl is reduced to a hydroxy group. This seemed feasible because Hasegawa and co-workers reported that ruthenium tetraoxide oxidation of cyclopropyl hydrocarbons afforded α -ketocyclopropanes as exemplified by reaction **2** to **3**.³⁾ Coudret and co-workers also reported the same category of the reactions.⁴⁾

Because of the limited amount of **1**, (–)-carane (**4**), (+)-cyclosativene (**5**), laurinterol methyl ether (**6**), and thujopsane (**7**) were chosen as substrates, and they were subjected to ruthenium tetraoxide oxidation.

Results and Discussions

The reaction conditions were the same as those reported by Hasegawa and co-workers.³⁾ The substrate was treated with ruthenium chloride (0.2–0.3 eq) and an excess (3 eq) of sodium periodate in carbon tetrachloride-acetonitrile and a phosphate buffer solution (pH 7). The reaction was carried out at room temperature (rt) for 24–26 h.

Oxidation of (–)-Carane and Application of the Modified Mosher's Method (–)-Carane (**4**) was prepared from commercially available (+)-3-carene by borane reduction. The ruthenium oxide oxidation of **4** for 24 h afforded 5-caranone (**8**) in 75% yield. The ketone was reduced with diisobutylaluminum hydride, and the volatile alcohol (**9**) was, without purification, converted to (*R*)- and (*S*)-methoxy-(phenyl)trifluoromethylacetic acid (MTPA) esters (**10**, **11**) by using 2,4,6-trinitrochlorobenzene as a condensation reagent.⁵⁾ The β -configuration of the oxygen function at C-5 was determined by the nuclear Overhauser effects (NOEs) observed between 5 α -H and 3 α -H as well as 5 α -H and 6 α -H observed for **11**. The $\Delta\delta$ values [$\delta_{(S)\text{-MTPA}} - \delta_{(R)\text{-MTPA}}$] were calculated as shown in **12**.²⁾ The absolute configuration predicted on the basis of these values is identical with the known one.

These results indicated that combination of the ruthenium tetroxide oxidation and the modified Mosher's method would be useful for the absolute configuration determination of cyclopropane terpenoids.

Encouraged by the positive findings, we further advanced toward more complex cyclopropanoids, which turned out to

produce unexpected products.

Oxidation of (+)-Cyclosativene Commercially available (+)-cyclosativene (**5**) afforded three products, **13** (15%), **14** (7%) and **16** (5%), by the ruthenium oxidation.

The major product **13** exhibited a molecular ion at m/z 220.1835 ($C_{15}H_{24}O$) and it showed a carbon signal at δ 74.5 (s) due to an oxygenated quaternary carbon in its ^{13}C -NMR spectrum. The cyclosativene framework and the position of the hydroxy group were deduced by the extensive study of the two dimensional (2D) NMR spectra including the heteronuclear multiple bond connectivity (HMBC) spectrum. The correlations observed between protons and carbons are illustrated in **13a**. The orientation of the hydroxy group was supposed to be α , that is C-5(*R*), by the cross peaks observed in the nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) spectrum [**13b**], and the α -orientation was further confirmed by the titration study using a paramagnetic shift reagent, Eu(fod)₃.⁶⁾ The downfield shift of H-1 is greater ($\Delta\delta=0.46$) than that of H-7 ($\Delta\delta=0.25$) when Eu(fod)₃/**13**=0.27 in 54 mM CDCl₃ solution of **13**.

The second product had an unexpected structure. The molecular formula was established by high resolution (HR)-MS as $C_{14}H_{21}ClO$, showing that one carbon was eliminated from cyclosativene (**5**) and a chlorine atom was incorporated, which was verified by appearance of $M+2$ ion at m/z 242 (33% intensity of the molecular ion). The IR absorption at 1748 cm^{-1} and the ^{13}C signal at δ 216.8 indicated the presence of a cyclopentanone moiety. Two possible structures, **14** and **14'**, were deducible for this product even after the 2D NMR (heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC), HMBC, NOESY *etc.*) studies, because there was an ambiguity in the assignment of two methine signals at δ_C 57.1/ δ_H 3.68 (br s) and δ_C 61.4/ δ_H 2.77 (br s). These signals could be assigned as C-7 and C-9 of either **14** or **14'**. Therefore, this product was transformed into an alcohol (**15**) by reduction with sodium borohydride. C-8 of **15** appears at δ 80.6, and by the HSQC spectrum, H-8 (δ 3.81) was assignable. H-8 is coupled with H-9 (δ 2.47) ($J=3.6$ Hz) which was further correlated with a methine (δ_H 4.25/ δ_C 56.5) assignable as H-7. Structure **15** was eventually confirmed by the NOESY spectrum (see **15**), and these findings led to the structure of **14** as the oxidation product.

The third oxidation product ($C_{15}H_{22}O_4$ by HR-MS: m/z 267.1597 [$M+1$]) showed two signals of ester carbonyl carbons at δ 167.1 (s) and 168.0 (s). The IR spectrum exhibited a strong absorption band at 1749 cm^{-1} , suggesting the presence of strained ester moieties. In the ^{13}C -NMR spectrum an acetalic carbon shows a signal at δ 110.1 (C-8), to which two

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: tkusumi@ph2.tokushima-u.ac.jp

Fig. 1. A Possible mechanism to Produce the Chloroketone (14) from Cyclosativene (5)

Here we considered the pathway to produce 13, 14, and 16. Inertness of the methylene group at C-10 of cyclosativene (5) against oxidation may be ascribed to the steric hindrance by the surrounding aliphatic moieties, especially from methyl-11. It has been reported that a hydroxy group is introduced to a tertiary carbon,⁷⁾ as in the case of 13, by oxidation with ruthenium tetroxide, which can oxidize a methine rather than a methylene or methyl because the C-H bond of the former is more electron-rich than that of the latter. The oxidation at C-5 took place from the less crowded side to give the α -hydroxy product (13).

Formation of the chloroketone (14) is quite puzzling. The chlorine atom apparently originated from ruthenium chloride. A possible pathway leading to 14 is described in Fig. 1. Although disfavored, the methyl hydrogens can be nevertheless attacked by RuO_4 .⁸⁾ Then a chloride anion attacks at C-7 carbon with concomitant C7-C8 bond cleavage. Oxidative cleavage of the exomethylene group with ruthenium tetroxide affords 14. It is peculiar, however, that the chlorine atom is introduced from the more hindered side (C-7) rather than from the less crowded direction (C-9).

Peroxidation of the cyclopropane ring of 5, two methines at C-7 and 9 giving carboxyl groups and the quaternary carbon at C-8 transforming to a ketone (16'), produces 16. To our best knowledge, this type of oxidation of 1,1,2,3-tetra-substituted cyclopropane may be the unprecedented one.

Oxidation of Laurinterol Methyl Ether Laurinterol was obtained from the red alga *Laurencia intermedia* YAMADA.⁹⁾ The phenolic hydroxy group was converted into a methyl ether (6) to prevent the oxidation of the phenyl group. Oxidation of 6 under the conditions described above afforded a product ($\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{19}\text{BrO}_2$; HR-MS m/z 322.0546) in 32% yield. This compound showed an intense IR absorption band at 1660 cm^{-1} due to a conjugated enone. Presence of the enone system was further confirmed by the singlets at δ 5.88 (1H) and 1.65 (3H) ascribable to α -proton of the α,β -unsaturated ketone and the olefinic methyl, respectively, in the ^1H -NMR spectrum and by the olefinic carbon signals at δ 169.4 (s) and 125.8 (d) and a carbonyl carbon signal at δ 198.9 in the ^{13}C -NMR spectrum. Presence of 5-bromo-2-methoxy-4-methylphenyl group and vicinal two methylene groups were obvious from the NMR properties, and structure 18 was assigned for this oxidation product.

Instead of oxidizing the methylene group (C-5) adjacent to the cyclopropyl moiety, ruthenium tetroxide cleaved, as in the case of 14, one (C2-C4) of the cyclopropyl bonds to give possibly the hydroxy ketone (17), which afforded 18 by dehydration.

methyl signals at δ 1.59 (s) (H-15) and 1.17 (s) (H-11) showed correlation peaks in the HMBC spectrum. On the basis of these properties as well as other NMR data, structure 16 was assigned to this product.

Oxidation of Thujopsane We were further interested in the mode of ruthenium tetroxide oxidation of a cyclopropane compound. Thujopsane (**7**), obtained by reduction of thujopsene with borane, was chosen as another example and subjected to the ruthenium oxidation.

The product turned out to be a ketocarboxylic acid (**19**) that was isolated as a methyl ester (**20**) after treatment with diazomethane. It should be remarkable that the same compound (**19**) was obtained by oxidation of thujopsene under the same conditions. Although the latter type of oxidative cleavage of an olefin is already documented,^{10,11} the rapture of the sigma bond adjacent to a cyclopropane ring into a dicarbonyl moiety must be unique.

Coudret and co-workers reported the same type of oxidative cleavage in the ruthenium oxide oxidation of cyclopropane compounds.⁴ According to the proposed mechanism, a hydroxy group is at first introduced at C-2 as in the case of **13**, the tertiary alcohol is dehydrate to thujopsene, and then the oxidative cleavage of the double bond takes place.

Conclusion

Four cyclopropane terpenoids, (–)-carane (**4**), (+)-cyclosativene (**5**), laurinterol methyl ether (**6**), and thujopsane (**7**) were subjected to ruthenium tetroxide oxidation. (–)-Carane (**4**) afforded a ketone (**8**), the reduction product (**9**) of which was subjected to the modified Mosher's method to confirm the absolute configuration. In the case of **5** and **6**, carbonyl functions were not introduced at the α -methylenes of the cyclopropane rings. (+)-Cyclosativene (**5**) afforded a tertiary alcohol **13** as well as a chloroketone **14** and an acetal diester **16**, which resulted from the oxidative degradation of the cyclopropane ring. The cyclopropane ring of laurinterol methyl ether (**6**) was labile under the oxidation conditions, and the reaction produced a cyclohexenone (**18**). The ruthenium oxidation of thujopsane (**7**) resulted in the unusual cleavage of the sp^3 – sp^3 sigma bond β to the cyclopropane ring to produce a ketocarboxylic acid (**19**).

The result obtained for (+)-cyclosativene (**5**) is informative for elucidating the absolute configuration of cyclosinularane (**1**): Formation of **14** from **5** suggests that a similar chloro ketone might be produced by ruthenium oxide oxidation of **1**. The ketone would be transformed to an alcohol analogous to **15**, to which the modified Mosher's method would be applicable. An attempted experiment along this line is in progress.

Experimental

¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were measured with JEOL AL-400 and Bruker ARX-400 spectrometers. IR spectra were measured on an FT/IR-420 spectrophotometer. Low resolution (LR)-MS spectra were taken on a JEOL JMS-DX 303 spectrometer. GC-MS spectra were taken on a HEWLETT PACKARD 5890 Series II and a JEOL JMS-AM 150. HR-MS spectra were taken under electron impact (EI) conditions or fast atom bombardment (FAB) conditions using a JEOL JMS-SX102A spectrometer.

General Procedure of Ruthenium Tetroxide Oxidation To a substrate in carbon tetrachloride, acetonitrile, and a phosphate buffer (pH 6.86) (1 : 1 : 1.5) was added sodium periodate (3.0 eq) and ruthenium chloride hydrate (0.2–0.3 eq). The mixture was stirred at rt (reaction time varied in each reaction), diluted with water, and extracted with dichloromethane (×5). The combined organic layer was washed with a 1 : 1 mixture of saturated sodium thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃) and brine (1 : 1), and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated.

Preparation of (–)-Carane (4**)** To a solution of (+)-3-carene (3.1 g, 22.8 mmol) in dry THF (7 ml) was added dropwise dimethyl sulfide borane

complex (10.5 ml, 110.6 mmol, 4.9 eq) at 0 °C under argon. After the mixture was stirred for 19 h at rt, water was added to the reaction mixture, and the solution was extracted with dichloromethane (×3). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to give a residue (yellow oil, 4.5 g) which was chromatographed on silica gel to afford (–)-carane (**4**) (660.3 mg, 21%). **4**: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.40 (1H, t, J =8.0 Hz, 6-H), 0.63 (1H, m, 4-H), 0.67 (1H, td, J =13.2, 4.4 Hz, 2-H), 0.71 (1H, m, 1-H), 0.81 (3H, d, J =6.8 Hz, 10-CH₃), 0.94 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 0.97 (3H, s, 9-CH₃), 1.16 (1H, m, 3-H), 1.39 (1H, m, 4-H), 1.73 (1H, m, 5-H), 1.80 (1H, m, 5-H), 1.88 (1H, ttd, J =13.2, 4.4, 2.0 Hz, 2-H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 15.4 (C-8), 17.4 (C-7), 18.1 (C-6), 19.8 (C-5), 21.0 (C-1), 22.2 (C-10), 28.4 (C-2), 28.7 (C-3), 29.4 (C-9), 31.2 (C-4). GC-MS m/z 138 (M⁺). [α]_D –41.7° (c =2.99, EtOH) {lit. [α]_D –47.7° (c =3.03, EtOH)¹²}.

Oxidation of (–)-Carane (4**)** **4** (100.0 mg, 0.72 mmol) was oxidized for 24 h. The reaction afforded a ketone compound (**8**) (82.5 mg, 75 %). **8**: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.92 (3H, d, J =6.4 Hz, 10-CH₃), 1.09 (1H, m, J =13.6, 4.4 Hz, 2 β -H), 1.10 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 1.11 (3H, s, 9-CH₃), 1.36 (1H, d, J =7.6 Hz, 6-H), 1.52 (1H, ddd, J =9.4, 8.0, 4.4 Hz, 1-H), 1.57 (1H, dd, J =16.8, 13.6 Hz, 4 β -H), 1.91 (1H, m, 3-H), 2.01 (1H, ttd, J =9.6, 4.8, 2.8 Hz, 2 α -H), 2.20 (1H, brd, J =16.8 Hz, 4 α -H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 16.6 (C-8), 21.1 (C-10), 27.5 (C-2), 27.7 (C-7), 29.1 (C-9), 30.5 (C-1), 34.1 (C-3), 34.5 (C-6), 48.3 (C-4), 209.8 (C-5).

Reduction of **8** To a solution of **8** (39.6 mg, 0.24 mmol) in THF/dimethoxyethane (1 : 1, 5.2 ml) was added diisobutylaluminum hydride (0.35 ml of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 0.35 mmol) at –60 °C. After stirring for 5 min at –60 °C, the reaction was quenched with methanol (18 μ l), and the mixture was diluted with ether. The ethereal solution was washed with saturated potassium sodium tartrate solution, and dried over Na₂SO₄. Filtration and concentration afforded the alcohol (**9**) as white crystals (42.7 mg). **9**: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.87 (3H, d, J =6.4 Hz, 10-CH₃), 1.04 (3H, s), 1.15 (3H, s), 4.29 (1H, dt, J =10.8, 7.2 Hz, 5-H).

Condensation of (R)-MTPA with **9** **9** (15.0 mg), 37.6 mg of 2,4,6-trinitrochlorobenzene and 24.7 mg of (R)-MTPA were dissolved in 1.5 ml of pyridine. After the mixture was stirred for 10.5 h, ether was added, and organic layer was washed with 0.1 M NaOH, water, saturated aqueous copper(II) sulfate and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to yield a residue (yellow oil, 30.3 mg). The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel to afford the ester (**10**) (19.9 mg, 64.2% {two steps}) as a colorless oil. **10**: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.83 (1H, m, 2 β -H), 0.89 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 1.03 (3H, s, 9-CH₃), 1.11 (1H, td, J =9.2, 4.0 Hz, 1-H), 1.42 (1H, m, 3-H), 1.81 (1H, ddt, J =12.6, 7.8, 2.3 Hz, 4 α -H), 1.90 (1H, dddd, J =14.5, 9.7, 4.8, 1.9 Hz, 2 α -H), 3.56 (3H, q, J =0.8 Hz, –OCH₃), 5.71 (1H, dt, J =10.8, 7.6 Hz, 5-H), 7.39–7.58 (5H, Ph-H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 16.3 (C-8), 21.0 (C-7), 21.3 (C-10), 22.6 (C-6), 26.0 (C-1), 27.6 (C-2), 29.7 (C-9), 30.4 (C-3), 36.1 (C-4), 55.2 (–OCH₃), 75.9 (C-5), 127.6, 128.3, 129.4, 132.8 (Ph), 166.3 (C=O). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 370.1764 (Calcd for C₂₀H₂₅F₃O₃; 370.1756).

Condensation of (S)-MTPA with **9** Condensation of compound **9** (18.1 mg, containing solvents) with (S)-MTPA in the same manner afforded the desired product (**11**) (19.0 mg, 50% {two steps}) as a colorless oil. **11**: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.81 (1H, m, J =15.6 Hz, 2 β -H), 0.84 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 0.90 (3H, d, J =6.8 Hz, 10-CH₃), 0.93 (3H, s, 9-CH₃), 0.98 (1H, m, J =7.2 Hz, 4 β -H), 1.02 (1H, m, 6-H), 1.06 (1H, m, 1-H), 1.42 (1H, m, 3-H), 1.83 (1H, dt, J =8.0, 2.4 Hz, 4 α -H), 1.88 (1H, dddd, J =14.2, 9.4, 5.0, 1.8 Hz, 2 α -H), 3.57 (3H, q, J =0.8 Hz, –OCH₃), 5.65 (1H, dt, J =10.8, 7.6 Hz, 5-H), 7.39–7.57 (5H, Ph-H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 16.1 (C-8), 21.0 (C-7), 21.3 (C-10), 22.4 (C-6), 26.1 (C-1), 27.6 (C-2), 29.5 (C-9), 30.4 (C-3), 36.4 (C-4), 55.4 (–OCH₃), 76.1 (C-5), 127.4, 128.3, 129.4, 132.8 (Ph), 166.2 (C=O). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 370.1751 (Calcd for C₂₀H₂₅F₃O₃; 370.1756).

Oxidation of Cyclosativene Cyclosativene (**5**) (100 mg, 0.49 mmol) was oxidized for 24 h. After the crude product was purified by preparative TLC, **13** (16.2 mg, 0.074 mmol, 15%), **14** (8.3 mg, 0.034 mmol, 7%) and **16** (6.5 mg, 0.025 mmol, 5%) were obtained as colorless oils. **13**: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.73 (1H, brd, J =5.6 Hz, H-7), 0.77 (3H, s, 11-CH₃), 0.87 (1H, m, 9-H), 0.89 (3H, d, J =7.0 Hz, 13-CH₃), 0.92 (3H, d, J =7.0 Hz, 14-CH₃), 1.00 (3H, s, 15-CH₃), 1.03 (1H, brd, J =11.6 Hz, 10 α -H), 1.40 (1H, m, 3 α -H), 1.44 (1H, m, 4 β -H), 1.52 (1H, m, 3 β -H), 1.52 (1H, m, 4 α -H), 1.53 (1H, brs, 1-H), 1.68 (1H, brd, J =11.6 Hz, 10 β -H), 1.70 (1H, m, J =7.0 Hz, 12-H), 1.77 (1H, brs, 6-H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 10.4 (C-15), 16.0 (C-13), 16.4 (C-14), 19.0 (C-11), 20.0 (C-9), 22.0 (C-8), 22.3 (C-7), 29.0 (C-3), 30.9 (C-4), 31.0 (C-10), 35.6 (C-12), 41.3 (C-1), 43.8 (C-

2), 49.3 (C-6), 74.5 (C-5). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 220.1835 (Calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}O$: 220.1827). **14**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.70 (1H, qd, $J=13.6$, 3.6 Hz, 4 β -H), 0.91 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 13-CH₃), 1.00 (3H, s, 11-CH₃), 1.03 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 14-CH₃), 1.15 (1H, td, $J=13.6$, 4.4 Hz, 3 α -H), 1.19 (1H, m, 5-H), 1.47 (1H, septd, $J=6.6$, 2.2 Hz, 12-H), 1.60 (1H, brd, $J=13.6$ Hz, 4 α -H), 1.88 (1H, dt, $J=13.6$, 3.6 Hz, 3 β -H), 2.05 (1H, dd, $J=11.0$, 3.0 Hz, 10 β -H), 2.14 (1H, d, $J=11.0$ Hz, 10 α -H), 2.46 (1H, brd, $J=3.0$ Hz, 1-H), 2.76 (1H, brs, 6-H), 2.76 (1H, brs, 9-H), 3.80 (1H, brs, 7-H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 20.7 (C-13), 21.1 (C-14), 22.1 (C-11), 22.7 (C-4), 30.7 (C-12), 32.6 (C-10), 33.9 (C-3), 44.1 (C-5), 46.9 (C-2), 48.1 (C-1), 50.2 (C-6), 56.7 (C-7), 61.2 (C-9), 219.5 (C-8). 1H -NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ : 0.47 (1H, qd, $J=13.4$, 3.4 Hz, 4 β -H), 0.75 (1H, td, $J=13.4$, 3.4 Hz, 3 α -H), 0.76 (3H, d, $J=6.4$ Hz, 13-CH₃), 0.77 (1H, m, 5-H), 0.77 (3H, s, 11-CH₃), 1.00 (3H, d, $J=6.4$ Hz, 14-CH₃), 1.22 (1H, m, 12-H), 1.23 (1H, dd, $J=13.4$, 3.4 Hz, 4 α -H), 1.54 (1H, q, $J=1.6$ Hz, 1-H), 1.61 (1H, dd, $J=11.0$, 1.6 Hz, 10 β -H), 1.83 (1H, dt, $J=13.4$, 3.4 Hz, 3 β -H), 1.89 (1H, d, $J=11.0$ Hz, 10 α -H), 2.56 (1H, brd, $J=3.2$ Hz, 6-H), 2.77 (1H, brs, 9-H), 3.68 (1H, brs, 7-H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6) δ : 20.8 (C-13), 21.3 (C-14), 22.2 (C-11), 22.7 (C-4), 30.8 (C-12), 32.7 (C-10), 34.0 (C-3), 44.2 (C-5), 46.6 (C-2), 48.1 (C-1), 50.5 (C-6), 57.1 (C-7), 61.4 (C-9), 216.8 (C-8). GC-MS m/z (rel. int. %): 242 (M^+ + 2, 33), 240 (100). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 240.1284 (Calcd for $C_{14}H_{21}ClO$: 240.1281). IR (liquid film) 1748 cm^{-1} . **16**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.88 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 13-CH₃), 1.03 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 14-CH₃), 1.17 (3H, s, 11-CH₃), 1.17 (1H, m, 4 β -H), 1.28 (1H, m, 5-H), 1.47 (1H, m, 12-H), 1.51 (1H, m, $J=14.6$ Hz, 3 α -H), 1.59 (3H, s, 15-CH₃), 1.89 (1H, dd, $J=17.6$, 4.0 Hz, 4 α -H), 1.96 (1H, dd, $J=8.0$, 2.6 Hz, 1-H), 2.11 (1H, ddd, $J=14.6$, 4.0, 2.0 Hz, 3 β -H), 2.55 (1H, d, $J=19.8$ Hz, 10 β -H), 2.93 (1H, brs, 6-H), 3.11 (1H, dd, $J=19.8$, 8.0 Hz, 10 α -H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 20.5 (C-13), 21.1 (C-14), 21.3 (C-15), 23.2 (C-11), 24.5 (C-4), 29.4 (C-12), 34.2 (C-2), 34.4 (C-10), 34.9 (C-3), 39.9 (C-1), 47.7 (C-6), 48.5 (C-5), 110.1 (C-8), 167.1 (C-9), 168.0 (C-7). HR-MS (FAB) m/z : 267.1597 (Calcd for $C_{15}H_{22}O_4$: 267.1596 [M^+ + H]). IR (liquid film) 1749 cm^{-1} .

Reduction of 14 Sodium borohydride (5.8 mg) was added to 0.8 mg of **14** in 0.1 ml of MeOH. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at rt, the reaction mixture was concentrated by applying nitrogen. The residue was diluted with water, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ($\times 3$). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated to yield 1.1 mg (containing solvents) of **15**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.91 (3H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 13-CH₃), 0.94 (3H, s, 11-CH₃), 1.03 (3H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 14-CH₃), 1.07 (1H, m, 5-H), 1.09 (1H, td, $J=13.4$, 4.0 Hz, 3 α -H), 1.32 (1H, qd, $J=13.4$, 4.0 Hz, 4 β -H), 1.55 (1H, brd, $J=13.4$ Hz, 4 α -H), 1.56 (1H, m, 12-H), 1.59 (1H, d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 10 β -H), 1.63 (1H, brs, 1-H), 1.65 (1H, brd, $J=13.4$ Hz, 3 β -H), 1.72 (1H, d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 10 α -H), 2.47 (1H, m, 9-H), 2.48 (1H, m, 6-H), 3.81 (1H, d, $J=3.6$ Hz, 8-H), 4.25 (1H, d, $J=4.2$ Hz, 7-H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 20.7 (C-13), 21.3 (C-14), 23.8 (C-4), 30.0 (C-11), 30.9 (C-10), 30.9 (C-12), 33.0 (C-3), 36.1 (C-2), 43.8 (C-5), 50.6 (C-1), 51.1 (C-6), 54.3 (C-9), 56.5 (C-7), 80.6 (C-8). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 242.1439 (Calcd for $C_{14}H_{23}ClO$: 242.1437).

Preparation of Laurinterol Methyl Ether (6) A solution of laurinterol (300.0 mg, 1.0 mmol) in dry THF (10 ml) was treated with sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil; 79.9 mg, 2.0 mmol) under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min. Then, iodomethane (0.31 ml, 5.0 mmol) was added to the mixture. After the mixture was stirred for 10 h at rt, water and ether were added and the organic layer was washed with 1 M NaOH, water and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated to give a residue (292.5 mg) which was chromatographed on silica gel to afford **6** (229.1 mg, 74%) as white crystals. **6**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.47–0.52 (2H, m, overlap), 1.06 (1H, dt, $J=7.6$, 4.0 Hz), 1.17 (1H, td, $J=12.2$, 8.2 Hz), 1.29 (3H, s), 1.35 (3H, s), 1.60 (1H, dd, $J=12.4$, 8.0 Hz), 1.91 (1H, m), 2.16 (1H, dd, $J=13.6$, 8.0 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s, benzylic-CH₃), 3.76 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 6.72 (1H, s, Ph-H), 7.67 (1H, s, Ph-H).

Oxidation of Laurinterol Methyl Ether (6) Laurinterol methyl ether (**6**) (19.6 mg, 0.063 mmol) was oxidized for 26 h. After the crude product was purified by preparative TLC, **18** (6.5 mg, 0.020 mmol, 32%) was obtained. **18**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 1.59 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.65 (3H, d, $J=0.8$ Hz, allylic-CH₃), 1.69 (1H, dt, $J=13.6$, 4.8 Hz), 2.35 (1H, dt, $J=16.8$, 4.8 Hz), 2.37 (3H, s, benzylic-CH₃), 2.53 (1H, ddd, $J=17.4$, 12.8, 5.2 Hz), 2.71 (1H, td, $J=12.8$, 4.8 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 5.88 (1H, s, olefin-H), 6.72 (1H, s, Ph-H), 7.34 (1H, s, Ph-H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 20.9 (q), 22.7 (q), 23.3 (q), 34.6 (t), 35.0 (t), 42.5 (s), 55.0 (q), 113.7 (d), 115.4 (s), 125.8 (d), 131.1 (d), 133.1 (s), 137.6 (s), 156.3 (s), 169.4 (s), 198.9 (s). MS m/z (rel. int. %): 324 (M^+ + 2, 99.8), 322 (100). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 322.0546 (Calcd for $C_{16}H_{19}BrO_2$: 322.0568). IR (liquid film) 1660 cm^{-1} .

Preparation of Thujopsane (7) To a solution of thujopsene (2.4 g, 11.7 mmol) in dry THF (7 ml) was added dimethyl sulfide borane complex (2.3 ml, 24.2 mmol) at 0 °C under argon. After the mixture was stirred for 15 h at rt, water was added to the reaction mixture, and the solution was extracted with dichloromethane ($\times 3$). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated to give a residue (yellow oil, 1.7 g), which was chromatographed on silica gel to afford **7** (134.7 mg, 6%) as a colorless oil. **7**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.012 (1H, t, $J=5.2$ Hz, 1-H), 0.39 (1H, dd, $J=10.0$, 5.2 Hz, 1-H), 0.51 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 0.80 (1H, dd, $J=10.0$, 5.6 Hz, 1 α -H), 0.88 (1H, m, 4 β -H), 1.0 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 1.0 (3H, d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 2-CH₃), 1.0 (1H, t, $J=12.8$ Hz, 3 β -H), 1.0 (1H, m, 4 α -H), 1.1 (3H, s, 4 α -CH₃), 1.1 (1H, d, $J=14.2$ Hz, 5 α -H), 1.2 (1H, dd, $J=12.8$, 6.0 Hz, 3 α -H), 1.2 (1H, t, $J=14.2$ Hz, 7 β -H), 1.4 (1H, d, $J=14.2$ Hz, 7 α -H), 1.5 (1H, d, $J=14.2$ Hz, 6 β -H), 1.5 (1H, m, 2-H), 1.7 (1H, td, $J=14.2$, 3.2 Hz, 5 β -H), 1.8 (1H, tt, $J=14.2$, 3.2 Hz, 6 α -H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 13.1 (C-1), 19.0 (C-6), 24.4 (2-CH₃), 25.2 (C-1 α), 27.1 (8-CH₃), 27.5 (C-3), 29.3 (8-CH₃), 29.6 (4 α -CH₃), 31.1 (C-2), 31.6 (C-4 α), 32.4 (C-8 α), 33.4 (C-8), 36.6 (C-5), 38.6 (C-4), 40.8 (C-7). GC-MS m/z 206 (M^+).

Oxidation of Thujopsane (7) **7** (25.2 mg, 0.12 mmol) was oxidized for 24 h. The 1H -NMR spectrum of the crude product (32.1 mg) indicated that ca. 30% yield **19** was produced. The crude product was methylated with diazomethane in an ether solution. After the solvent was removed, the residue was chromatographed on silica gel to afford **20** (5.9 mg, 18% from thujopsane). In another experiment, a small amount of **19** was isolated from an oxidation product by chromatography on silica gel. **19**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.69 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 0.99 (3H, s, 4 α -CH₃), 1.16 (1H, dd, $J=5.9$, 4.8 Hz, 1-H), 1.24 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 1.26 (1H, t, $J=13.6$ Hz, 5 β -H), 1.32 (1H, td, $J=13.6$, 3.6 Hz, 7 α -H), 1.45 (1H, dt, $J=13.6$, 3.6 Hz, 6 α -H), 1.52 (1H, dq, $J=13.6$, 3.0 Hz, 7 β -H), 1.70 (1H, brs, 1-H), 1.74 (1H, q, $J=13.6$ Hz, 6 β -H), 1.74 (1H, m, 1 α -H), 1.94 (1H, dq, $J=13.6$, 2.8 Hz, 5 β -H), 2.04 (1H, d, $J=12.8$ Hz, 4-H), 2.35 (3H, s, 2-CH₃), 3.05 (1H, d, $J=12.8$ Hz, 4-H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 17.0 (C-1), 18.4 (C-6), 26.8 (4 α -CH₃), 29.0 (8-CH₃), 29.6 (8-CH₃), 32.4 (2-CH₃), 32.6 (C-1 α), 36.0 (C-8), 38.0 (C-4 α), 39.9 (C-5), 40.7 (C-7), 43.5 (C-4), 47.9 (C-8 α), 177.0 (C-3), 207.8 (C-2). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 252.1706 (Calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$: 252.1725). **20**: 1H -NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 0.68 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 0.92 (3H, s, 4 α -CH₃), 1.14 (1H, dd, $J=6.8$, 4.4 Hz, 1-H), 1.24 (3H, s, 8-CH₃), 1.25 (1H, m, 5-H), 1.31 (1H, td, $J=13.2$, 4.0 Hz, 7-H), 1.44 (1H, dt, $J=14.0$, 3.6 Hz, 6-H), 1.51 (1H, dbrd, $J=13.0$, 2.8 Hz, 7-H), 1.72 (1H, m, 1-H), 1.73 (1H, m, 1 α -H), 1.75 (1H, m, 6-H), 1.87 (1H, dbrd, $J=13.6$, 2.0 Hz, 5-H), 2.00 (1H, d, $J=12.8$ Hz, 4-H), 2.35 (3H, s, 2-CH₃), 3.03 (1H, d, $J=12.8$ Hz, 4-H), 3.59 (3H, s, 3-OCH₃). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ : 17.0 (C-1), 18.5 (C-6), 26.8 (4 α -CH₃), 29.1 (8-CH₃), 29.9 (8-CH₃), 32.5 (2-CH₃), 32.7 (C-1 α), 36.0 (C-8), 38.2 (C-4 α), 40.0 (C-5), 40.8 (C-7), 43.7 (C-4), 48.0 (C-8 α), 51.1 (3-OCH₃), 172.0 (C-3), 207.4 (C-2). HR-MS (EI) m/z : 266.1870 (Calcd for $C_{16}H_{26}O_3$: 266.1882).

Acknowledgments We are indebted to Professor Haruki Niwa, Department of Applied Physics and Chemistry, The University of Electro-Communication, for his suggestion on the ruthenium oxidation. We are also grateful to Takasago International Corporation for its kind donation of thujopsene.

References

- Yasumoto M., Mada K., Ooi T., Kusumi T., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **63**, 1534–1536 (2000).
- Ohtani I., Kusumi T., Kashman Y., Kakisawa H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **113**, 4092–4096 (1991).
- Hasegawa T., Niwa H., Yamada K., *Chem. Lett.*, **1985**, 1385–1386.
- Coudret J. L., Zöllner S., Ravoo B. J., Malara L., Hanisch C., Dörre K., de Meijere A., Waegell B., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **37**, 2425–2428 (1996).
- Takimoto S., Inanaga J., Katsuki T., Yamaguchi M., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **54**, 1470–1473 (1981).
- Silverstein R. M., Webster F. X., "Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds," 6th edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1998, p. 191.
- Tenaglia A., Terranova E., Waegell B., *J. Org. Chem.*, **57**, 5523–5528 (1992).
- Coudret J. L., Waegell B., *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, **222**, 115–122 (1994).
- Irie T., Suzuki M., Kurosawa E., Masamune T., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1966**, 1837–1840.
- Wolfe S., Hasan S. K., Campbell J. R., *Chem. Commun.*, **1970**, 1420–1421.
- Webster F. X., Rivas-Enterrios J., Silverstein R. M., *J. Org. Chem.*, **52**, 689–691 (1987).
- Cocker W., Shannon P. V. R., Staniland P. A., *J. Chem. Soc. (C)*, **1966**, 946–949.