Quality Assessment of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae
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This paper describes an improved quality assessment method for Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae (Root of Salvia miltiorrhiza Bge.) which was established using chromatographic fingerprinting and quantification of multiple marker compounds in the crude drug. High-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC) fingerprinting of water-soluble phenolics and nonpolar tanshinones was performed separately and the authentication of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae was achieved by comparing the fingerprints of the samples with those of the reference crude drug and by comparing the Rf values of the bands in TLC fingerprints with those of reference compounds. HPLC fingerprints were obtained by simultaneous separation of phenolics and diterpenoids in Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae. The HPLC fingerprints of seven batches of samples from different regions of China showed similar chromatographic patterns, and seven peaks were selected as characteristic peaks. The relative retention time of these characteristic peaks in the HPLC fingerprints was established as an important parameter for the identification of this herbal medicine. The pharmacologically active marker compounds salvianolic acid B, rosmarinic acid, and tanshinone IIA in herbal medicine were quantitatively determined using reverse-phase HPLC techniques. The HPLC quantitation methods of the three marker compounds were validated and the measurement uncertainty, which is important for setting the proposed content limit of the marker compounds in herbal medicine, were further evaluated.
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Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae (danshen, 丹参), the dried root of Salvia miltiorrhiza Bge. (Family Labiatae), is a very important traditional Chinese medicine that promotes blood flow to overcome blood stasis and to cool the blood to resolve abscesses.1) Modern pharmacological and clinical studies showed that Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae has curative effects in cardiovascular disease.2) In China, Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae are widely used in many preparations of Chinese medicines. The consumption of this crude drug was evaluated at about 80 million kilogram a year in China.

The chemical constituents of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae have been thoroughly studied for more than 60 years. The research results indicated that it contains a variety of diterpenoids, phenolics, flavonoids, triterpenoids, and sterols.3) Pharmacological studies showed that nonpolar compounds such as tanshinone IIA, tanshinone I, and cryptotanshinone are active components with a variety of biological activities including antiischemic, antioxidant, and antitumor properties.4,5) In recent years, the phenolics have attracted more attention since they are the main components in the water decoction that is the most common dosage form administered to patients in Chinese clinics. In the water extract, apart from a few simple benzoic derivatives, caffeic acid metabolites are the major compounds.6,7) These caffeic metabolites include caffeic acid monomer (such as caffeic acid itself and danshensu), dimers (such as rosmarinic acid), trimers (such as lithospermic acid), and tetrmers (such as salvianolic acid B). These phenolics were shown to protect the myocardium from ischemia-induced derangement, protect neural cells from injuries caused by anoxia, inhibit platelet aggregation, reduce hepatic fibrosis, and inhibit the activities of HIV-1.8,9)

Since Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae and its medicinal products are so commonly used clinically, a reasonable assessment method for evaluating the quality of the crude drug is essential to ensure the efficiency and stability of its products. On the other hand, while several bases of Good Agriculture Practice (GAP) have been built to grow the medicinal plant Salvia miltiorrhiza in China, it is also very important to establish reasonable assessment methods for the quality control of plants and raw materials.

A chromatographic fingerprint is in practice a chromatographic pattern of common types of chemical components in complicated samples.10) The HPLC fingerprint is a powerful tool for the identification of traditional Chinese medicines due to the high separation ability of HPLC. In the U.S.A. and Europe, HPLC chromatographic fingerprinting was used to establish official standards for the quality control of herbal dietary supplements or herbal medicine products. In China, guidelines for the establishment of fingerprints for injections of Chinese medicines have been officially published.11) It is generally recognized that both water-soluble compounds and nonpolar compounds in Chinese herbal medicines can be simultaneously separated on HPLC. Thus more information helpful in distinguishing false herbs and evaluating the quality of crude drugs can be obtained when chromatography is coupled with spectrometric analysis, such as diode array detector (DAD) and mass spectrometry.12,13) Therefore, if the HPLC fingerprint technique is used in the quality standard for the identification of herbal medicines, the reliability of identification results will improve markedly.

In addition to the identification of crude drugs, quantitative determination of marker compounds is another useful method to control the quality of Chinese medicines. In the Chinese Pharmacopoeia, the quantification of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae is performed by determination of tanshinone IIA with HPLC. Although tanshinone IIA is an important ingredient in crude drugs, its content is not sufficient for comprehensively evaluating the quality of the herbal medicine because tanshinone IIA is not the sole pharmacologically active compound. Since there are water-soluble constituents
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the identification of herbal raw materials. Therefore in the plate that has long been used in almost all pharmacopeias for has been confirmed to be superior to that of the usual TLC size of about 5

Results and Discussion

HPTLC Fingerprinting Identification The performance of TLC using a sorbent with a homogeneous particle size of about 5 µm and with narrow particle size distribution has been confirmed to be superior to that of the usual TLC plate that has long been used in almost all pharmacopeias for the identification of herbal raw materials. Therefore in the study of quality assessment of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae, HPTLC plates were used to establish the TLC fingerprint method. The chromatographic conditions, especially the developing solvents (type of solvents and ratio), were carefully optimized before the seven batches of crude drug samples were analyzed. The results observed under UV light and visible light showed a good separation for both nonpolar compounds (Fig. 1) and water-soluble compounds (Fig. 2).

Reference Chinese Materia Medica and reference marker compounds of tanshinone II A, cryptotanshinone (for the nonpolar group), rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B (for the water-soluble group) were separated on the same plates for the authentication of unknown samples. The samples were identified by comparing their fingerprints with those of reference Chinese Materia Medica compounds and comparing the Rf values of bands in their fingerprints with those of marker compounds. For positive identification, the sample should show bands with chromatographic characteristics, including color and position, similar to those of reference Chinese Materia Medica compounds. The sample should show bands with the same color and Rf values (ca. 0.59 for tanshinone II A, ca. 0.34 for cryptotanshinone, ca. 0.43 for rosmarinic acid, ca. 0.20 for salvianolic acid B), similar to those of the reference marker compounds.

HPLC Fingerprinting Identification HPLC fingerprinting for simultaneous separation of both phenolics and tanshinones in the herbal medicine were studied. First, the extracting procedure of crude drug was investigated. Because the polarities between phenolics and tanshinones are different, a two-step extracting procedure was used to extract the tanshinones with methanol, followed by extracting the phenolics with boiling water. Then the HPLC separation conditions such as mobile phase, gradient program, and detection wavelength were optimized to carry out simultaneous separation of two groups of compounds with good resolution. Finally, several peaks in the HPLC fingerprint profile were structurally identified employing LC-MS-MS techniques and comparing the retention time values of reference standards with those of the peaks in the fingerprint chromatogram. Figure 3 shows the typical fingerprint chromatograms of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae and the results of LC-MS-MS identification.

The HPLC fingerprints of seven batches of samples from different regions of China were obtained under optimized conditions showed similar chromatographic patterns. After carefully analyzing the fingerprint profiles of these samples, seven peaks with reasonable heights and good resolution were selected as characteristic peaks for the identification of the crude drug because these peaks represent major active compounds and were chemically identified by LC-MS-MS analysis. They were danshensu (peak 1), rosmarinic acid (peak 8), lithospermic acid (peak 9), salvianolic acid B (peak

![Fig. 1. HPTLC Fingerprints of Tanshinones in Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae](image1)
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10), salvianolic acid B isomer (peak 11), cryptotanshinone (peak 14), and tanshinone IIA (peak 16). Relative retention times (RRT) of seven characteristic peaks were calculated using the following equation.

\[
RRT = \frac{\text{retention time of characteristic peak}}{\text{retention time of marker peak}}
\]

The calculated results of seven batches of samples (Table 1) indicated that the RRTs of the seven characteristic peaks were sufficiently stable to be set as the parameter for identification when the peak of rosmarinic acid or salvianolic acid B was chosen as the marker peak. Thus the sample with a similar HPLC chromatographic pattern and RRT value compared with the typical fingerprint chromatogram and average RRT shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1, respectively, can be authenticated as genuine Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae.

**Validation Results of Quantitative Analysis**

HPLC chromatograms of the quantification of tanshinone IIA, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B in Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. After carefully optimizing the HPLC conditions including the mobile phase and detection wavelength, the method validation for assay of three pharmacologically active marker compounds was performed. The validation results (calibration curve, precision, repeatability, recovery, detection limits, quantification limits) are listed in Table 2. Good linearity, precision, and repeatability (RSD < 2%) were demonstrated for the three compounds. The limit of quantitation (LOQ) is much lower than the content of the three pharmacologically active marker compounds in the crude drug. This indicates that the established quantification method is suitable for the quantitative analysis of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae.

**Measurement Uncertainty**

MU is an important parameter in analysis results. It is also useful to set the proposed...
limits of the contents of marker compounds in Chinese medicine. In our study, the estimation of MU using the method validation data was based on the guides of Eurachem and Valid Analytical Measurement.17,18) The most significant sources of MU, including both random effects (precision study and sample homogeneity) and systematic effects (bias study and standard purity), which contribute to the overall uncertainty were considered during the uncertainties evaluation (Table 3). Precision (Repeatability): The results obtained from analyses of seven batches of representative Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae samples were statistically analyzed. Each batch of samples was determined in duplicate in separate extraction and HPLC runs. The absolute deviation from the means for duplicate tests of different samples were pooled together to give an estimate of relative standard deviation $u(P)/P$. Bias (Recovery): The bias of the analytical procedure was investigated during the method validation study using spiked samples. The bias of the analytical procedure was estimated using the recovery data of Chinese herbal medicine samples fortified with reference standards of tanshinone IIA, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B. The relative standard uncertainty $u(Re)Re$ was calculated as the relative standard deviation of the mean value. In addition, Student’s $t$-test was carried out to determine whether the mean recovery was significantly different from 1. If the recovery is not significantly different from 1, the uncertainty does not need to be increased to take into account that the recovery has not been corrected for.

Standard Purity: The purity of reference standards was provided by the manufacturer. The standard uncertainty $u(Cad)/Cad$ in purity was calculated using the assumption of a rectangular distribution.

Sample Homogeneity: To investigate uncertainty derived from sample homogeneity, herbal medicines were sampled using the quartering process prior to the grinding step, which was usually used to ensure the best sample homogeneity. The result revealed that the relative standard uncertainty $u(F(hom))/F(hom))$ derived from sample homogeneity is the major factor contributing to the overall MU.

Combined Standard Uncertainty: During the study of the analytical procedure, uncertainty sources, including the precision, bias, standard purity, and sample homogeneity were thoroughly investigated. These four relative standard uncertainties were combined using the square root of the summed variances to give the overall MU $u(c)$. Expanded Uncertainty: The expanded uncertainty $u(c)$ at the 95% confidence level for the determination of marker compounds in Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae was calculated by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty with a coverage factor of 2. Thus, low- or high-level results would be reported with the uncertainties expressed in concentration units. The measurement uncertainties for the HPLC determination of tanshinone IIA, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B are summarized in Table 3.

### Table 3. Measurement Uncertainties for the HPLC Determination of Marker Compounds in Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Tanshinone IIA (%)</th>
<th>Rosmarinic acid (%)</th>
<th>Salvianolic acid B (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard uncertainties</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(P)/P$</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(Re)Re$</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(Cad)/Cad$</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(F(hom))/F(hom))$</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Contents of Three Marker Compounds in Crude Drugs of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Tanshinone IIA (%)</th>
<th>Rosmarinic acid (%)</th>
<th>Salvianolic acid B (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sichuan Province, China</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yi Nan, Shandong Province, China</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang Yang, Sichuan Province, China</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping Ding Shan, Henan Province, China</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo Yang, Henan Province, China</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shang Luo, Shaanxi Province, China</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPLC Quantitative Analysis Results Seven batches of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae samples collected from representative regions of China and three batches of processed medicinal materials purchased from a Hong Kong market were quantitatively determined using the reverse-phase HPLC methods developed in this paper. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate to determine the mean contents of three pharmacologically active marker compounds in crude drugs (Table 4).

The quantitative results showed that the established assay method is suitable for the evaluation of the quality not only of the crude drug but also of the processed medicinal materials. Table 5 shows the contents of marker compounds in three batches of the processed Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae purchased. The contents of three pharmacologically active compounds in the processed materials were much lower than those in the crude drugs. Thus studies of quality standards of Chinese herbal medicines should be based on the representative crude drug samples. The content limits of marker compounds in crude drugs can be proposed according to the determination results of representative crude drug samples and MU.
In conclusion, a comprehensive quality assessment method for *Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae*, was established in this paper. Compared with the previous quality standard of *Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae*, our improved methods include HTPLC fingerprints of both water-soluble and nonpolar compounds and HPLC fingerprint of total extract containing a large amount of chemical information that can be used for the identification of the crude drug, and HPLC determination of three pharmacologically active marker compounds directly associated with the quality of herbal medicines. The method of HPTLC fingerprinting identification has the advantages of simplicity, rapidity, and visibility, while the HPLC fingerprint method has the advantages of specificity, powerful separation ability, and increased chemical information. These two fingerprint methods can improve the reliability of identification of Chinese herbal medicines. In the HPLC fingerprinting procedure, the RRT of characteristic peaks was found to be an ideal quantitative parameter for the authentication of crude drugs if characteristic peaks and marker compounds are carefully selected. The MU evaluated from the HPLC validation data will be considered as an important parameter for proposing the content limits of respective marker compounds in the quality standard of medicinal herbs.

**Experimental**

**Herbal Materials** Reference Chinese Materia Medica powder of *Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae* was purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). Seven samples of crude drug were collected from the cultivation base of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* in different regions of China and were air-dried according to the procedure described in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2000 edition). Three samples of processed medicinal materials (after washing with water, the crude drugs were softened and sliced) were purchased from three Chinese herbal medicine companies in Hong Kong. All of them were identified by one of the authors (Z. Zhao) and deposited in the Centre of Chinese Materia Medica, Hong Kong Baptist University.

**Reference Standards** Cryptotanshinone, danshensh, tanshinone IIA, and salvianolic acid B (purity >98%) were purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). Rosmarinic acid (purity >97%) was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). Lithospermic acid (purity >96%) was isolated in our laboratory and the identity and purity were confirmed by chromatographic methods (TLC and HPLC) and by comparison with the published spectral data.

**Reagents** Acetonitrile and methanol of HPLC grade and chloroform, cyclohexane, dichloromethane, diethyl ether, ethanol, ethyl acetate, petroleo ether (60–80 °C), and toluene of analytical grade were purchased from Labscan (Stillorgan, Ireland). Formic acid of GR grade for HPLC analysis was obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Water for HPLC analysis was prepared using a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, U.S.A.).

**Sample Preparation for HPTLC Identification** One gram of powdered sample was extracted with 10 ml of diethyl ether for 1 h at room temperature with occasional shaking. After centrifugation, the supernatant was quickly dried in a water bath. The residue was then dissolved in 0.5 ml of methanol as the TLC test solution for the nonpolar compounds.

Another 1 g of powdered sample was decoted for 30 min with 50 ml of water. After centrifugation, the supernatant was adjusted to pH 2 with HCl 2M with a pH meter. The solution was then extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 ml) after filtration. The combined organic layer was concentrated to dryness under a vacuum. The residue was dissolved in 2 ml of ethyl acetate as TLC test solution for the water-soluble compounds.

**HPTLC Procedure for Identification** HPTLC silica gel 60 F254 plates (10 cm × 10 cm) were Merck products. The plates were dried for 30 min at 105 °C before use. Test solutions (2 μl) of the reagent blank, sample, sample duplicate, reference standard, spiked sample (1 μl of sample +1 μl of standard) and reference Chinese Materia Medica were applied on the plates with an TLC system (Camag, Muttenz, Switzerland). The plates were then developed in a double-trough 10 × 10-cm chamber with a stainless steel lid which was uniformly filled with the mobile phase. For HPTLC separation of nonpolar compounds, petroleum ether–ethyl acetate–cyclohexane (5:3:2) was used as the developing solvent. After developing over a path of 4.5 cm, the plate was dried in air and imaged under UV light (254 nm) and visible light. For HPTLC separation of water-soluble compounds, chloroform-ethyl acetate-toluene–formic acid-methanol (15:20:10:10:1) was chosen as the solvent system. After developing over a path of 7 cm, the plate was dried in air and imaged under UV light (254 nm). The plate was then sprayed with 2% FeCl3/EtOH, heated at 110 °C for 10 min and was imaged immediately under visible light.

**Sample Preparation for HPLC Fingerprinting** The powdered crude drug (0.2 g) was extracted with 5 ml of methanol for 30 min by sonication. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred into a 25-ml amber volumetric flask. The sample was reextracted twice with 5 ml of methanol and the supernatants were combined. The residue was then transferred into a 50-ml round-bottomed flask and refluxed with 10 ml of water for 30 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant was combined with the above methanol solution which was made up to 25 ml with water. The sample solution was filtered through a 0.22-μm nylon filter (Iwaki, Japan) into a HPLC amber sample vial for HPLC fingerprinting analysis.

**HPLC Conditions for HPLC Fingerprinting** An HP 1100 system (Hewlett-Packard, Wilmington, DE, U.S.A.) consisting of a G1312A binary pump, G1329A automatic sample injector, and G1315A diode array detector was used to perform HPLC analysis. The HPLC fingerprinting was carried out on a C18 column (Alltech, Alltima C18, 4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 μm) at ambient temperature with a sample injection volume of 20 μl. The mobile phase was a gradient elution of water–acetonitrile–formic acid (90:10:0.4, v/v/v) and acetonitrile (B), commencing with 0% B, rising to 30% B after 40 min, then to 80% B after 50 min, and 85% B after 70 min at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The fingerprint profiles were recorded at an optimized wavelength of 280 nm.

**Identification of Peaks in HPLC Fingerprints** The peaks in HPLC fingerprinting were identified by comparing the retention times of danshensu, rosmarinic acid, lithospermic acid, salvianolic acid B, cryptotanshinone, and tanshinone IIA in chromatograms of the extracts with those of the authentic reference standards and using LC-MS-MS.

**Preparation of Standard Solutions for HPLC Quantitation** Stock solution of standard tanshinone IIA was obtained by dissolving the crystal in least amount of dichloromethane and adding methanol to obtain a concentration of 500 mg/l. Stock solutions of rosmarinic acid and salvianolic acid B were prepared by dissolving each compound in methanol–water (50:50) to reach a concentration of 2000 mg/l. The solutions were then stored at −10 °C in the dark.

The concentrations of reference standard tanshinone IIA used for calibration were 5.00, 10.0, 20.0, 50.0, and 100.0 mg/l in methanol. The concentrations of standard solutions of polyphenolics used for calibration were obtained by diluting the stock solutions with methanol–water (50:50, v/v) to 1.00, 2.00, 5.00, 10.0, and 20.0 mg/l for rosmarinic acid and 20.0, 40.0, 100, 200, and 400 mg/l for salvianolic acid B.

**Sample Preparation for HPLC Quantitation** About 0.5 g of powdered crude drug was accurately weighed into a 10-ml centrifugal tube. A 5-ml portion of methanol–dichloromethane (8:2) was added, and the suspension was sonicated for 30 min. After centrifugation, the supernatant was carefully transferred into a 25-ml volumetric flask. The sample was then reextracted with 5 ml of methanol–dichloromethane four times and the supernatants were combined into the volumetric flask which was made up to 25 ml with methanol–dichloromethane (8:2). The sample solution was filtered through a 0.45-μm PTFE filter (Iwaki, Japan) as the test solution for

---

**Table 5. Contents of Three Marker Compounds in Processed Medicinal Materials of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae Purchased from Three Companies in Hong Kong**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Tanshinone IIA (%)</th>
<th>Rosmarinic acid (%)</th>
<th>Salvianolic acid B (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company A</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company B</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company C</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was the wavelength for detection. The injection volume was 20 µl. After centrifugation, the supernatant was carefully transferred into a 25-ml volumetric flask. The sample was then re-extracted with 5 ml of methanol–water four times and the supernatants were combined into the volumetric flask which was made up to 25 ml with methanol–water (6 : 4). The sample solution was filtered through a 0.22-µm nylon filter as the test solution for quantitative analyses of salvianolic acid B and rosmarinic acid.

**HPLC Conditions for Quantitation** For quantitation of tanshinones, a C18 column (Alltech, Alltima C18, 4.6 mm×150 mm, 5 µm) was used. Solvent of methanol/water (75 : 25) and detection wavelength of 270 nm were selected for the assay. The flow rate was 1 ml/min, and the injection volume was 20 µl.

For quantification of polyphenolics, the separation was performed on a C18 column (Alltech, Alltima C18, 4.6 mm×250 mm, 5 µm). The mobile phase was water–acetonitrile–formic acid (22 : 78 : 0.4). The analysis was carried out under isocratic conditions at a flow rate of 1 ml/min, and 330 nm was the wavelength for detection. The injection volume was 20 µl.

**Validation of Quantitative Methods** Five-point calibration curves were based on linear regression analysis. The intraday precisions of injection were calculated with the results obtained by analyzing the middle point standard solutions of the calibration curve five times. Five replicate analyses of one batch of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae sample were carried out to evaluate the repeatability of the quantitative procedure. The recovery studies were conducted by spiking the same batch of Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae sample five times in the repeatability study of tanshinone IIa, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B standards at ca. 0.4, 1, and 10 mg/g, respectively. Limits of detection of tanshinone IIa, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B were based on visual evaluation (signal-to-noise ratio of 3 : 1) by analyzing the diluted sample extract with known concentrations of analyte. The LOQ of tanshinone IIa, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B in Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae were primary estimated by the signal-to-noise ratio (about 10 : 1). Then five replicate analyses of blank matrix (Radix Ginseng) spiked with estimated amounts of tanshinone IIa, rosmarinic acid, and salvianolic acid B were performed. The spiked amount was ca. 0.04 mg/g of the standards of tanshinones, 0.1 mg/g of rosmarinic acid, and 0.5 mg/g of salvianolic acid B, respectively. The recoveries of the spiking tests fell within the range of 90—110%, and the RSDs were less than 10%.
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