Rossicasins A, B and Rosicaside F, Three New Phenylpropanoid Glycosides from *Boschniakia rossica*

Ming-Hwang SHYR,^b Tung-Hu TSAI,^c and Lie-Chwen LIN^{*,a,d}

^aNational Research Institute of Chinese Medicine; Taipei, Taiwan: ^bDepartment of Anesthesiology, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital; Hualien, 970, Taiwan: ^cInstitute of Traditional Medicine, National Yang-Ming University; Taipei, Taiwan: and ^dGraduate Institute of Integration Chinese and Western Medicine, China Medical University; Taichung, Taiwan. Received August 26, 2005; accepted October 21, 2005

Three phenylpropanoid glycosides have been isolated, together with the known phenylpropanoid glycosides rossicaside A (4), B (5), E (6), and *trans-p*-coumaryl alcohol 1-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)- β -D-glucopyranoside (7), and an acylated oligosaccharide β -D-glucopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-(4-*O*-*trans*-caffeoyl)-D-glucopyranose (8), from the aqueous extract of *Boschniakia rossica* (CHAM. *et* SCHLECH.) FEDTSCH. *et* FLEROV. Spectroscopic evidence led to the assignments of their structures as *trans-p*-coumaryl-(6'-*O*- β -D-xylopyranosyl)-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside (1), *trans-p*-coumaryl-(6'-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranosyl)-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside (2) and 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-*R,S*-2-ethoxy-ethyl-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-(4-*O*-*trans*-caffeoyl)- β -D-glucopyranoside (3), designated as rossicasin A, rossicasin B, and rossicaside F, respectively. Compound 7 was identified from the degradation reaction and this is the first isolation from a natural source.

Key words *Boschniakia rossica*; Orobanchaceae; phenylpropanoid glycoside; rossicasin A; rossicasin B; rossicaside F

Boschniakia rossica (CHAM. *et* SCHLECH.) FEDTSCH. *et* FLEROV. (Orobanchaceae) is a parasitic plant growing on the root of plants of the genus *Alnus* (Betulaceae), as a substitute for *Cistanchis Herba*, a famous staminal tonic agent.¹⁾ The crude extracts of *B. rossica* showed a variety of pharmacological activities including antitumor,²⁾ anti-inflammatory,²⁾ antisenile,³⁾ antioxidative, and free radical scavenging activities.⁴⁾ Previous chemical studies of *B. rossica* have led to the isolation of a number of phenylpropanoid glycosides, iridoid glucosides, iridoid aglycones, and triterpenoids.^{5–8)} Further studies on this plant led to the isolation of three new phenylpropanoid glycosides. In this paper, we report the isolation and structures of these compounds.

Results and Discussion

The water-soluble fraction of the ethanolic extract of *B. rossica* was subjected to column chromatography by the procedure described in the Experimental section to yield seven phenylpropanoid glycosides (1–7) and an acylated oligosaccharide 8. Spectroscopic data obtained from compounds 4,^{5,6)} 5,^{5,7)} 6,⁵⁾ 7,⁷⁾ and 8⁶⁾ were in very good agreement with the literature data.

Rossicasin A (1) and rossicasin B (2) were found to be *trans-p*-coumaryl glycosides according to the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data. Compounds 1 and 2 had identical quasi-molecular ions at *m/z* 443 [M–H][–] in ESI-MS and HR-FAB-MS [M+H]⁺ ions at *m/z* 445.1715 and 445.1709, respectively, indicating the same molecular formula, C₂₀H₂₈O₁₁. The ESI-MS spectra of 1 and 2 showed only the same fragment ion at *m/z* 311 [M–133][–], indicating a similar structure which loses a pentose [C₅O₄H₉][–] mass unit from the molecular structure. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1, signals at δ 4.29 (1H, dd, *J*=12.5, 6.5 Hz, Ha-9), δ 4.48 (1H, dd, *J*=12.5, 6.0 Hz, Hb-9), δ 6.17 (1H, dt, *J*=16.0, 6.5 Hz, H-8), δ 6.59 (1H, d, *J*=16.0 Hz, H-7), and δ 6.74/7.27 (each 2H, d, *J*=8.5 Hz, H-3, -5/H-2, -6) suggested the presence of *trans-p*-coumaryl moieties that were identical with those of 2. In addition to the signals for the *trans-p*-coumaryl moiety, their

¹H-, ¹³C-NMR spectra showed two sugar anomeric signals at δ _H 4.37 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, H-1')/ δ _C 103.1 (d) and δ _H 4.36 (d, *J*=7.0 Hz, H-1'')/ δ _C 105.5 (d) for 1, and δ _H 4.37 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, H-1')/ δ _C 103.2 (d) and δ _H 4.35 (d, *J*=7.0 Hz, H-1'')/ δ _C 105.2 (d) for 2. Combination of ¹H–¹H COSY, 1D-TOCSY, and HMQC spectral data revealed that the sugar residues of 1 consisted of β -glucopyranose and β -xylopyranose and of 2 consisted of β -glucopyranose and α -arabinopyranose.⁹⁾ Acid hydrolysis with 2N H₂SO₄ afforded D-glucose and D-xylose in 1 (identified by HPLC), and afforded

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: lclin@nricm.edu.tw

D-glucose and L-arabinose in **2** (identified by HPLC). HMBC correlations of **1** from H-9a/b to C-1' and from H-1' to C-9 confirmed the attachment of a glucose unit to aglycone, and the position of the xylose unit was confirmed in a similar manner by correlations from H-1'' to C-6' and from H-6'a/b to C-1''. On the basis of the above spectral data, we propose the structure of **1** as being *trans-p*-coumaryl-(6'-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl)-O- β -D-glucopyranoside. HMBC correlations of **2** from H-9a/b to C-1' and from H-1' to C-9 confirmed the attachment of a glucose unit to aglycone, and the position of the arabinose unit was confirmed in a similar manner by correlations from H-1'' to C-6' and from H-6'a/b to C-1''. On the basis of the above spectral data, we propose the structure of **2** as being *trans-p*-coumaryl-(6'-O- α -L-arabinopyranosyl)-O- β -D-glucopyranoside.

The new phenylpropanoid glycoside rossicaside F (**3**) showed a dirty green coloration with ferric chloride reagent, suggesting the presence of a phenolic hydroxyl group in the molecular structure. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **3** showed the presence of three anomeric protons at δ 4.44/4.45 (total 1H, each d, J =8.0 Hz, H-1''), 4.48 (1H, d, J =7.0 Hz, H-1'''), and 5.26 (1H, br s, H-1'''), consistent with the presence of two β -glucose and an α -rhamnose unit, and ethoxy signals at δ 3.43 (2H, m) and δ 1.18 (3H, t, J =7.5 Hz). A set of signals of an aromatic ABX system (δ 6.97, 6.80, 7.07) and *trans* α , β unsaturated protons (δ 6.26, 7.59, each d, J =15.5 Hz, H-8', 7') suggested the presence of *trans*-caffeic acid. Other ABX aromatic protons (δ 6.67, 6.76, 6.79), oxygenated methylene protons (δ 3.68, 3.85, H₂-8), and an oxygenated methine proton (δ 4.48, overlap with H-1''') were assigned to the β ,3,4-trihydroxy-phenethyl alcohol moiety. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **3** were similar to those of rossicaside A (**4**),⁵ except for the presence of ethoxy signals, suggesting that **3** is an ethyl ether derivative of rossicaside A. The mass spectrum of **3** showed a quasi-molecular ion at m/z 829 [M-H]⁻, corresponding to an additional ethoxyl group as compared to rossicaside A. HMBC correlations from C-7 to H-2, H-6, and H₂- α confirmed the attachment of an ethyl ether unit to C-7. Though **3** only gave a spot on TLC, the ¹³C-NMR spectral data of **3** showed two kinds of chemical shift for each carbon in the vicinity of the asymmetric C-7, such as C-1, C-6, C-7, C-8, C-1', C- α and C- β . These findings indicated that **3** existed as epimers at the β -C of the phenethyl alcohol moiety (*R,S*- β -OEt) like campneoside I.¹⁰ Accordingly, compound **3** was characterized as 2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-*R,S*-2-ethoxy-ethyl-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)(4-*O-trans*-caffeoyl)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound **7** had the molecular formula C₂₇H₄₀O₁₆ as determined by a combination of ESI-MS (m/z 619 [M-H]⁻), ¹³C-NMR, and DEPT spectra. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectral data of **7** were very similar with those of rossicaside B (**5**)⁵ except for the disappearance of the caffeoyl group, as shown in the Experimental section. This means that **7** has a *p*-coumaryl, a rhamnose, and two glucose moieties. From the similarity of these spectral data, the linkage positions of the coumaryl, rhamnose, and two glucose moieties were concluded to be rossicaside B (**5**). HMBC correlations from C-1' (δ 102.9) to H-9a/9b (δ 4.29/4.49), from C-1'' (δ 102.4) to H-3' (δ 3.53), and from C-1''' (δ 105.5) to H-4'' (δ 3.64) also supported the above deductions. Therefore, the structure of **7**

was determined as *trans-p*-coumaryl alcohol 1-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)- β -D-glucopyranoside. Compound **7** has been identified from the degradation reaction,⁷ and this is the first isolation from a natural source.

Experimental

Apparatus All melting points were determined on a Yanagimoto micro-melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained as KBr pellets or film on a Nicolet Avatar 320 IR spectrometer. UV spectra were measured on a Hitachi U-3200 spectrophotometer in MeOH. ¹H-, ¹³C- and 2D-NMR spectra were measured with a Varian Inova-500 spectrometer with deuterated solvents as internal standard. ESI-MS and HR-FAB-MS were recorded on Finnigan LCQ and Finnigan/Thermo Quest MAT spectrometers, respectively. HPLC analysis was performed using a Shimadzu LC-8A or LC-10AT vp pump and SPD-10A vp UV-Vis detector or RIA-10A refractive index detector.

Plant Material The whole plant of *Boschniakia rossica* was purchased in Taipei, Taiwan, and verified by Mr. Hsi-Yu Chang, director of Feng Li Co., Inc., Taipei, Taiwan. A voucher specimen is deposited in the National Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, ROC.

Isolation The whole herb of *B. rossica* (9.6 kg) was extracted with 95% EtOH (60 l $\times$ 4) under reflux. The ethanolic extracts were combined and concentrated under vacuum to a volume of 1.5 l. The ethanolic extract was then partitioned successively between H₂O and EtOAc, followed by *n*-BuOH (each 1 l $\times$ 3). A portion (200 g) of the H₂O extract (700 g) was subjected to column chromatography over Diaion HP-20 (10 cm $\times$ 50 cm) with H₂O, 20% MeOH/H₂O, 50% MeOH/H₂O, and MeOH as the eluting solvents to give 4 fractions. Fr. 2 (40 g) was rechromatographed over Sephadex LH-20 with aqueous MeOH (0–20%) and further purified by Cosmosil C₁₈ OPN 140 (20–40% MeOH in H₂O) to give Fr. 2-1 and 2-2. Fr. 2-1 was recrystallized with H₂O to give **8** (876 mg). Fr. 2-2 was further purified with semipreparative HPLC (column: Cosmosil NH₂, 5 μ m, 25 $\times$ 250 mm; mobile phase: 80% CH₃CN/H₂O; flow rate: 16 ml/min, detector: UV 254 nm) to give compounds **1** (65 mg), **2** (13 mg) and **7** (190 mg). Fr. 3 (16 g) was chromatographed over a Sephadex LH-20 (0–60% MeOH in H₂O) to give Fr. 3-1–3-9. Fr. 3-5 was further purified with semipreparative HPLC (column: Inertsil 10 ODS, 22 $\times$ 250 mm; mobile phase: 18% CH₃CN/H₂O; flow rate: 16 ml/min, detector: UV 254 nm) to give compounds **3** (35 mg) and **4** (125 mg). Repeated chromatography of fraction Fr. 3-7 over Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) and semipreparative HPLC (column: Inertsil 10 ODS, 22 $\times$ 250 mm; mobile phase: 50% MeOH/H₂O; flow rate: 15 ml/min, detector: UV 254 nm) yielded **5** (728 mg) and **6** (590 mg).

Rossicasin A (1) Colorless needles (MeOH), mp 168–170 °C. [α]_D²³ –92.5° (c =0.4, H₂O). UV $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 263 (4.36). IR $\nu_{\max}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3455, 3322 (OH), 1605, 1520 (C=C), 1451, 1398, 1351, 1035, 1005. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD) δ : 3.22 (1H, t, J =11.0 Hz, Ha-5''), 3.25 (2H, m, H-2', -2''), 3.35 (1H, t, J =9.0 Hz, H-3''), 3.37 (2H, m, H-3', 4'), 3.45 (1H, m, H-5'), 3.52 (1H, d, J =9.5, 5.5 Hz, H-4''), 3.76 (1H, dd, J =11.0, 5.5 Hz, Ha-6') 3.89 (1H, dd, J =11.5, 5.5 Hz, Hb-5''), 4.11 (1H, dd, J =11.0, 1.5 Hz, Hb-6'), 4.29 (1H, dd, J =12.5, 6.5 Hz, Ha-9), 4.36 (1H, d, J =7.0 Hz, H-1''), 4.37 (1H, d, J =8.0 Hz, H-1'), 4.48 (1H, dd, J =12.5, 6.0 Hz, Hb-9), 6.17 (1H, dt, J =16.0, 6.5 Hz, H-8), 6.59 (1H, d, J =16.0 Hz, H-7), 6.74 (2H, d, J =8.5 Hz, H-3, 5), 7.27 (2H, d, J =8.5 Hz, H-2, 6). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD) δ : 66.9 (C-5''), 69.7 (C-6'), 71.1 (C-4''), 71.2 (C-9), 71.4 (C-4'), 74.8 (C-2'), 75.0 (C-2''), 76.9 (C-5'), 77.7 (C-3''), 77.9 (C-3'), 103.1 (C-1'), 105.5 (C-1''), 116.3 (C-3, 5), 123.3 (C-8), 128.9 (C-2, 6), 129.7 (C-1), 134.3 (C-7), 158.4 (C-4). ESI-MS m/z : 443 [M-H]⁻, 311 [M-133]⁻. HR-FAB-MS m/z 445.1715 [M+1]⁺ (Calcd 445.1710 for C₂₀H₂₉O₁₁).

Acid Hydrolysis of 1 A solution of **1** (5 mg) in 2 N H₂SO₄ (3 ml) was refluxed in a water bath for 2 h. H₂O was added to the solution, the mixture washed with CHCl₃, the aqueous phase neutralized with BaCO₃, and then the precipitate was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated and examined by HPLC (Phenomenex Luna 5 μ NH₂, 250 $\times$ 4.6 mm, 65% acetonitrile/H₂O, 1.2 ml/min, RI detector). D-glucose (t_R =4.50 min) and D-xylose (t_R 4.03 min) were detected by comparing them with the retention times (t_R) of authentic samples.

Rossicasin B (2) Brown syrup. [α]_D²³ –51.7° (c =0.29, H₂O). UV $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 263 (4.02). IR $\nu_{\max}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3395 (OH), 1609, 1514, 1435, 1372, 1062, 1009 (C=C). ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD) δ : 3.24 (1H, t, J =8.0 Hz, H-2'), 3.36 (2H, m, H-3', -4'), 3.45 (1H, m, H-5'), 3.54 (2H, m, H-3'', Ha-5''), 3.62 (1H, dd, J =9.0, 6.5 Hz, H-2''), 3.75 (1H, dd, J =11.5, 5.5 Hz, Ha-6'), 3.81 (1H, br s, H-4''), 3.88 (1H, dd, J =12.5, 3.5 Hz, Hb-5''), 4.12 (1H, dd,

$J=11.5$, 2.0 Hz, Hb-6'), 4.29 (1H, dd, $J=12.5$, 7.0 Hz, Ha-9), 4.35 (1H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz, H-1''), 4.37 (1H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, H-1'), 4.48 (1H, dd, $J=12.5$, 6.5 Hz, Hb-9), 6.17 (1H, dt, $J=16.0$, 6.5 Hz, H-8), 6.60 (1H, d, $J=16.0$ Hz, H-7), 6.74 (2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz, H-3, 5), 7.27 (2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz, H-2, 6). ^{13}C -NMR (CD_3OD) δ : 66.7 (C-5''), 69.5 (C-6', 4''), 71.2 (C-9), 71.7 (C-4'), 72.4 (C-2''), 74.2 (C-3''), 75.1 (C-2'), 76.9 (C-5'), 78.0 (C-3'), 103.2 (C-1'), 105.2 (C-1''), 116.3 (C-3, 5), 123.4 (C-8), 128.9 (C-2, 6), 129.8 (C-1), 134.1 (C-7), 158.4 (C-4). ESI-MS m/z : 443 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$, 311 $[\text{M}-133]^-$. HR-FAB-MS m/z 445.1709 $[\text{M}+1]^+$ (Calcd 445.1710 for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{29}\text{O}_{11}$).

Acid Hydrolysis of 2 A mixture of **2** (3 mg) and 2 N H_2SO_4 (3 ml) was heated in a water bath for 2 h. The products D-glucose ($t_R=4.48$ min) and L-arabinose ($t_R=3.68$ min) were isolated in the HPLC analysis, as described for **1**.

Rosicacid F (3) Brown syrup. $[\alpha]_D^{25} -70.9^\circ$ ($c=0.79$, H_2O). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 333 (4.18), 290 sh. (4.01), 219 (4.21). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm^{-1} : 3390 (OH), 1698 (C=O), 1630, 1604, 1520 (C=C), 1170, 1062, 1020. ^1H -NMR (CD_3OD) δ : 1.18 (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz, H- β), 1.20 (3H, d, $J=6.5$ Hz, H-6''), 3.05 (1H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz, H-2'''), 3.43 (3H, m, H- α , 2''), 3.68 (2H, m, Ha-8, H-5'''), 3.81 (1H, t, $J=9.5$ Hz, H-3''), 3.85 (1H, m, Hb-8), 4.44/4.45 (total 1H, each d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H-1''), 4.48 (2H, m, H-1'''), 4.94 (1H, t, $J=9.0$ Hz, H-4''), 5.26 (1H, s, H-1'''), 6.26 (1H, d, $J=15.5$ Hz, H-8'), 6.67 (1H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H-6), 6.76 (1H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H-5), 6.79 (1H, s, H-2), 6.80 (1H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H-5'), 6.97 (1H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H-6'), 7.07 (1H, s, H-2'), 7.59 (1H, d, $J=15.5$ Hz, H-7'). ^{13}C -NMR (CD_3OD) δ : 15.4/15.5 (C- β), 18.5 (C-6''), 62.3 (C-6''), 62.8 (C-6'''), 65.0/65.1 (C- α), 68.8 (C-5'''), 70.4 (C-4''), 71.5 (C-4'''), 72.1 (C-3'''), 72.2 (C-2'''), 75.1/76.6 (C-8), 75.8 (C-2'''), 76.0 (C-5''), 76.4 (C-2''), 77.8 (C-5'''), 78.1 (C-3'''), 80.9 (C-3''), 82.5/82.6 (C-7), 83.5 (C-4'''), 102.4 (C-1'''), 103.9/104.1 (C-1''), 105.5 (C-1'''), 114.6 (C-8'), 114.6/114.9 (C-2), 115.4 (C-2'), 116.2/116.3 (C-5), 116.6 (C-5'), 119.7/119.8 (C-6), 123.4 (C-6'), 127.7 (C-1'), 131.4/131.8 (C-1), 146.3 (C-4), 146.5 (C-3), 147.7 (C-3'), 148.2 (C-7'), 149.8 (C-4'), 168.3 (C-9'). ESI-MS m/z : 829 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$. HR-FAB-MS m/z : 831.2924 $[\text{M}+1]^+$ (Calcd 831.2923 for $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{51}\text{O}_{21}$).

trans-p-Coumaryl Alcohol 1-O- β -Glucopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- α -rhamnopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)- β -glucopyranoside (7) Brown syrup. UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 263 (4.12). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm^{-1} : 3390 (OH), 1605, 1514 (C=C), 1235, 1078, 1030. ^1H -NMR (CD_3OD) δ : 1.33 (3H, d, $J=6.0$ Hz, H-6''), 3.23 (1H, t, $J=9.0$ Hz, H-2''), 3.53 (1H, t, $J=9.0$ Hz, H-3'), 3.64 (1H, t, $J=9.0$ Hz, H-

4''), 3.72 (2H, m, Ha-6', 6'''), 3.85 (1H, dd, $J=11.5$, 2.0 Hz, Hb-6'''), 3.89 (1H, dd, $J=11.5$, 2.0 Hz, Hb-6'), 3.96 (1H, dd, $J=9.5$, 3.0 Hz, H-3''), 3.99 (1H, brs, H-2''), 4.09 (1H, m, H-5''), 4.29 (1H, dd, $J=12.5$, 6.5 Hz, Ha-9), 4.38 (1H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz, H-1'), 4.49 (1H, dd, $J=12.5$, 6.5 Hz, Hb-9), 4.60 (1H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H-1'''), 5.18 (1H, s, H-1''), 6.17 (1H, dt, $J=16.0$, 6.5 Hz, H-8), 6.60 (1H, d, $J=16.0$ Hz, H-7), 6.75 (2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz, H-3, 5), 7.26 (2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz, H-2, 6). ^{13}C -NMR (CD_3OD) δ : 18.0 (C-6''), 62.6 (C-6', 6'''), 68.6 (C-5''), 70.1 (C-4'), 71.2 (C-9), 71.4 (C-4'''), 72.1 (C-2''), 72.2 (C-3''), 75.6 (C-2'), 76.0 (C-2'''), 77.7 (C-5'), 77.9 (C-5'''), 78.1 (C-3'''), 83.5 (C-4''), 84.1 (C-3'), 102.4 (C-1''), 102.9 (C-1'), 105.2 (C-1'''), 116.3 (C-3, 5), 123.3 (C-8), 128.8 (C-2, 6), 129.7 (C-1), 134.1 (C-7), 158.3 (C-4). ESI-MS m/z : 619 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$.

Acknowledgement We are grateful to the National Science Council, the Republic of China, for support of this research under Grant NSC 93-2113-M-077-001.

References

- 1) Juangsu New Medical College. Zhong Yao Da Ci Dian "Dictionary of Chinese Materia Medica," Shanghai Scientific and Technological Publishers, Shanghai, 1979, p. 1583.
- 2) Yin Z. Z., Jin H. L., Yin X. Z., Li, T. Z., Quan J. S., Jin Z. N., *World J. Gastroentero.*, **12**, 812–818 (2000).
- 3) Tsuda T., Liu Y. Z., Sugaya A., Katoh K., Hori K., Tanaka S., Nomura M., Sugaya E., *J. Ethnopharmacology*, **44**, 67–71 (1994).
- 4) Tsuda T., Sugaya A., Liu Y. Z., Katoh K., Tanaka H., Kawazura H., Sugaya E., Kusai M., Kohno M., *J. Ethnopharmacology*, **41**, 85–90 (1994).
- 5) Lin L. C., Chen K. T., *Chin. Pharm. J.*, **56**, 77–85 (2004).
- 6) Konishi T., Narumi Y., Watanabe K., Kiyosawa S., Shoji J., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **35**, 4155–4161 (1987).
- 7) Konishi T., Shoji J., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **29**, 2807–2815 (1981).
- 8) Yim S. H., Kim H. J., Liu Y. Z., Lee I. S., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **52**, 289–290 (2004).
- 9) Tolonen A., Pakonen M., Hohtola A., Jalonen J., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **51**, 467–470 (2003).
- 10) Imakura Y., Kobayashi S., Mima A., *Phytochemistry*, **24**, 139–146 (1985).