Two New Megastigmanes from the Leaves of *Cucumis sativus*

Hisahiro KAI, Masaki BABA, and Toru OKUYAMA*

Department of Natural Medicine and Phytochemistry, Meiji Pharmaceutical University; 2-522-1 Noshio, Kiyose, Tokyo 204-8588, Japan. Received August 24, 2006; accepted October 14, 2006; published online October 17, 2006

Two new megastigmane, cucumegastigmanes I (1) and II (2), together with a known megastigmane, (+)-dehydrovomifoliol (3), and five other known compounds were isolated from the leaves of *Cucumis sativus*. The structures of the new compounds were elucidated from spectroscopic analysis and their absolute stereochemistries were determined in detail using the chemical conversion and a modified Mosher's method.

Key words *Cucumis sativus*; cucumegastigmane I; cucumegastigmane II; megastigmane; modified Mosher's method

Cucumis sativus L., which belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family, is cultivated worldwide as a vegetable crop. The fruit of *C. sativus* (cucumber) is used not only as food, but also in folk medicines and folk cosmetics. The leaves, stems and roots of *C. sativus* are reportedly used in Chinese folk medicine as antidiarrheal, detoxicant and anticonorrheal agents.¹⁾ However, these are not major uses, so after harvest of the cucumber fruits, almost all the remaining plant material is waste. There have been few chemical studies regarding the constituents of this material. The only reports are by Enslin *et al.*, who isolated the triterpenoid cucurbitacin C,²⁾ and McNally *et al.*, who isolated C-glycosyl flavonoid.³⁾ Thus, in the present study, we attempted to identify useful components of cucumber plant material to support its development as a medicinal resource.

As part of our ongoing search for medicinal materials in cucumber plant material, chromatographic separation and purification led to the identification of two new megastigmanes, one known megastigmane, one known carotenoid, one known lignan and three other known compounds. In the present paper, we report the isolation and structural elucidation of the new megastigmanes.

Results and Discussion

Air-dried leaves of *C. sativus* were extracted using methanol (MeOH). The MeOH extract was partitioned into an ethyl acetate (EtOAc)–water mixture to furnish EtOAc-soluble and water-soluble parts. The EtOAc-soluble part was subjected to normal- and reversed-phase column chromatography and HPLC to yield compounds **1**, **3**, **4**, **5**, **6** and **7** (Fig. 1). The water-soluble part was again extracted with EtOAc, then with *n*-butanol (BuOH), using an automatic extractor. The *n*-BuOH-soluble part was subjected to normal- and reversed-phase column chromatography and HPLC to yield compounds **2** and **8** (Fig. 1).

Compound **1** was obtained as a yellow oil with positive

optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D^{25} +168.53^\circ$). Its molecular formula was determined to be $C_{13}H_{20}O_4$ by high-resolution (HR)-FAB-MS. The 1H -NMR spectrum (in CD_3OD) showed signals for a vinyl proton at δ 5.87 (1H, s), two *trans* olefinic protons at δ 5.89 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 1.0 Hz) and 5.79 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 5.6 Hz), two *gem*-dimethyl groups at δ 1.02 (3H, s) and 1.04 (3H, s), a methyl proton connected to a double bond at δ 1.92 (3H, d, $J=1.2$ Hz), geminally coupled signals at δ 2.51 (1H, d, $J=16.8$ Hz) and 2.16 (1H, d, $J=16.8$ Hz), one oxymethine at δ 4.19 (1H, m), and two protons of oxymethylene at δ 3.50 (1H, dd, $J=11.1$, 5.0 Hz) and 3.46 (1H, dd, $J=11.1$, 6.7 Hz) (Table 1). The ^{13}C -NMR spectrum of **1** exhibited a carbonyl signal at δ 200.7 (s); four olefinic carbons at δ 126.9 (d), 132.1 (d), 132.2 (d) and 166.9 (s); and an oxygenated carbon signal at δ 80.0 (s) (Table 2). The 1H – 1H correlation spectroscopy (1H – 1H COSY) spectrum showing correlations for **1** indicated the presence of partial structures (shown as bold lines (C-7–C-8–C-9–C-10) in Fig. 2). In a 1H – ^{13}C heteronuclear multiple bond coherence (HMBC) study for **1**, long-range correlations were observed between the following protons and carbons: H-4 and C-2; H-2a, 2b and C-3; H-13 and C-4; H-2a, 2b, 4, 7, 8, 13 and C-6; H-7, 8 and C-9; and H-10a, 10b and C-8 (Fig. 2). Furthermore, acetylation of **1** with acetic anhydride in dry pyridine yielded di-*O*-acetate (**1a**), whose ^{13}C -NMR spectrum showed an acetylation shift around the 9 and 10 position of **1a**. These findings and comparisons of the 1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectra of **1** and **1a** with those of a known megastigmane led us to formulate the 3-oxo-6,9,10-trihydroxy-ionone structure of **1** (Fig. 1). The absolute configuration of C-6 of **1** was determined by application of the circular dichroism (CD) helicity rule⁴⁾ with known (+)-dehydrovomifoliol (**3**). The CD spectrum of **3** showed a positive Cotton effect ($\Delta\epsilon +27.00$) at 243.2 nm, and the 6-position was determined to have an *S* configuration by the CD helicity rule.⁴⁾ On the basis of this evidence, the CD spectrum of **1** exhibited a positive Cotton effect ($\Delta\epsilon +15.79$) at 240.8 nm, and the 6-position was shown to have an *S* configuration. In order to clarify the absolute stereostructure of the 9-position of **1**, a modified Mosher's method was used.⁵⁾ Selective protection of the C-10-OH group of **1** with *t*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBDMSCl) in dry pyridine provided the desired *t*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) ether **1b** (63.9%) (Chart 1). The secondary hydroxyl group in **1b** was treated with *R*- and *S*- α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acids (MTPA), *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), and 4-(dimethyl-

Fig. 1. Structures of Compounds Isolated from Leaves of *C. sativus*

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: okuyama@my-pharm.ac.jp

Table 1. ^1H -NMR Data for Compounds **1**–**3**

Proton	1	2	3
2a	2.51 (1H, d, $J=16.8$ Hz)	2.52 (1H, d, $J=17.0$ Hz)	2.59 (1H, d, $J=18.3$ Hz)
2b	2.16 (1H, d, $J=16.8$ Hz)	2.16 (1H, d, $J=17.0$ Hz)	2.28 (1H, d, $J=18.3$ Hz)
4	5.87 (1H, s)	5.88 (1H, d, $J=1.1$ Hz)	5.93 (1H, s)
7	5.89 (1H, dd, $J=15.6, 1.0$ Hz)	5.93 (1H, dd, $J=15.5, 1.3$ Hz)	6.99 (1H, d, $J=15.7$ Hz)
8	5.79 (1H, dd, $J=15.6, 5.6$ Hz)	5.78 (1H, dd, $J=15.5, 5.6$ Hz)	6.43 (1H, d, $J=15.7$ Hz)
9	4.19 (1H, m)	4.40 (1H, m)	
10a	3.50 (1H, dd, $J=11.1, 5.0$ Hz)	3.91 (1H, dd, $J=10.1, 3.8$ Hz)	2.30 (3H, s)
10b	3.46 (1H, dd, $J=11.1, 6.7$ Hz)	3.46 (1H, dd, $J=10.1, 8.1$ Hz)	
11	1.04 (3H, s)	1.04 (3H, s)	1.06 (3H, s)
12	1.02 (3H, s)	1.02 (3H, s)	1.01 (3H, s)
13	1.92 (3H, d, $J=1.2$ Hz)	1.91 (3H, d, $J=1.2$ Hz)	1.89 (3H, d, $J=1.5$ Hz)
1'		4.29 (1H, d, $J=7.8$ Hz)	
2'		3.21 (1H, dd, $J=9.0, 7.8$ Hz)	
3'		3.36 (1H, m)	
4'		3.27 (1H, overlap)	
5'		3.27 (1H, overlap)	
6'a		3.86 (1H, d-like, $J=12.1$ Hz)	
6'b		3.64 (1H, dd, $J=12.1, 3.7$ Hz)	

ppm from TMS, in CD_3OD , 400 MHz, room temperature.Table 2. ^{13}C -NMR Data for Compounds **1**–**3**

Carbon	1	2	3
1	42.3	42.4	42.6
2	50.6	50.7	50.4
3	200.7	200.8	199.9
4	126.9	127.0	127.7
5	166.9	166.9	164.2
6	80.0	80.0	79.8
7	132.2	132.6	148.0
8	132.1	131.3	131.4
9	73.5	72.0	200.1
10	67.1	74.8	27.6
11	23.4	23.5	23.5
12	24.5	24.6	24.7
13	19.6	19.7	19.1
1'		104.5	
2'		75.1	
3'		77.8	
4'		71.5	
5'		77.9	
6'		62.7	

ppm from TMS, in CD_3OD , 100 MHz, room temperature. Fig. 2. ^1H – ^1H COSY and HMBC Correlations of **1** and **2**

amino)pyridine (DMAP) in dry dichloromethane at room temperature to yield 9-*O*-(*R*)-MTPA ester **1c** (60.0%) and 9-*O*-(*S*)-MTPA ester **1d** (86.6%), respectively (Chart 1). As shown in Fig. 3, the signals due to protons attached to the 2a, 2b, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12 and 13-positions in **1c** were observed at lower fields ($\Delta\delta$: negative) than in **1d**, whereas the signal due to the 10-position and the TBDMS groups in **1c** were observed at higher fields ($\Delta\delta$: positive) than in **1d**. Consequently, the absolute configuration at the 9-position was elucidated to be *S*. On the basis of this evidence, the structure of **1** was determined to be (6*S*,7*E*,9*S*)-6,9,10-trihydroxy-4,7-megastigmadien-3-one. We named this compound cucumegastigmane I.

Compound **2** was obtained as a white powder (mp 96–97 °C) with positive optical rotation ($[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23} +62.9^\circ$). Its molecular formula was determined to be $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_9$ by HR-FAB-MS. The ^1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectral data (in CD_3OD) were similar to those of **1**, except for the difference in the chemi-

cal shifts of the sugar, which was identified as glucose by these spectral data and results of described later. The ^1H -NMR spectrum revealed anomeric signals of β -glucose at δ 4.29 (1H, d, $J=7.8$ Hz) (Table 1). The β -glucose was localized at C-10 given the downfield shift of this carbon signal at δ 74.8 (Table 2), as confirmed by the HMBC spectrum (Fig. 2). Compound **2** was identified as being a megastigmane glucoside by MS, UV and NMR spectroscopy. ^1H – ^1H COSY, ^{13}C – ^1H COSY and HMBC spectral data were essentially identical to the published data for natural parvispinoside C ($[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} -8.9^\circ$),⁶⁾ although there was a disparity in the optical rotation values. The stereochemical structure at the 9-position of parvispinoside C has been not determined. Compound **2** was hydrolyzable by β -glucosidase to an aglycone and D-glucose. The absolute structure of aglycone was expected to be the same as that of **1**, as both were isolated from the same materials. The configuration of the D-glucose was identified by the positive optical rotation of the sugar part. Thus, the structure of compound **2** was determined to be (6*S*,7*E*,9*S*)-6,9,10-trihydroxy-4,7-megastigmadien-3-one 10-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside. We named this compound cucumegastigmane II.

Compound **3** was obtained as a yellow oil with positive optical rotation ($[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{28} +139.0^\circ$). Its molecular formula was determined to be $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_3$ by HR-EI-MS. Compound **3** was identified as a megastigmane by MS, UV, CD, and NMR spectroscopy. ^1H – ^1H COSY, ^{13}C – ^1H COSY and HMBC spec-

In addition, other five known compounds were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic data (NMR, UV, IR, MS, CD and optical rotation) with reported values. These compounds were lutein (**4**),⁸⁾ (+)-(1*R*,2*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-2,6-di-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-3,7-dioxabicyclo(3,3,0)octane (**5**),^{9,10)} indole-3-aldehyde (**6**),¹¹⁾ indole-3-carboxylic acid (**7**),¹²⁾ and adenosine (**8**).¹³⁾ respectively.

Extraction and Isolation Air-dried powdered leaves of *C. sativus* (4.5 kg) were extracted using MeOH (135 l for 24 h×4 times) at room temperature. The MeOH extract was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 661.8 g. The MeOH extract (645.1 g) was suspended in water and partitioned with EtOAc. The EtOAc-soluble part (126.9 g) was subjected

Acetylation of 1 A solution of **1** (3.9 mg, 16.25 μ mol) in dry pyridine (0.1 ml) was cooled with ice water, and acetic anhydride (0.05 ml) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 210 min under an Ar atmosphere. After dilution with water (10 ml), the mixture was extracted with chloroform (10 ml $\times$ 3) and chloroform/*n*-BuOH (2:1, 10 ml $\times$ 3). The combined extracts were washed with 5% NaHCO₃ (10 ml), dried with Na₂SO₄,

and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield a residue. Chromatography of the residue on a silica gel (40 ml) column with *n*-hexane–EtOAc as the eluent yielded **1a** (5.0 mg, 95.0%) as a yellow oil.

Cucumegastigmane I Diacetate (1a): Yellow oil, $[\alpha]_D^{29} + 75.53^\circ$ ($c=0.094$, CHCl_3). UV λ_{max} (CHCl_3) nm (log ϵ): 241.0 (3.74). FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 325 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 265, 205, 121. HR-FAB-MS m/z : 325.1643 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}_6$: 325.1652). ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ : 5.91 (1H, d-like, $J=1.2$ Hz, H-4), 5.91 (1H, d, $J=15.6$ Hz, H-7), 5.77 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 6.5 Hz, H-8), 5.51 (1H, m, H-9), 4.23 (1H, dd, $J=11.7$, 4.0 Hz, H-10a), 4.13 (1H, dd, $J=11.7$, 6.6 Hz, H-10b), 2.44 (1H, d, $J=17.1$ Hz, H-2a), 2.27 (1H, d, $J=17.1$ Hz, H-2b), 2.09 (3H, s, 10-OAc), 2.06 (3H, s, 9-OAc), 1.86 (3H, d, $J=1.5$ Hz, H-13), 1.08 (3H, s, H-11), 0.99 (3H, s, H-12). ^{13}C -NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ : 197.3 (C-3), 170.4 (9-OCOCH₃), 169.8 (10-OCOCH₃), 161.4 (C-5), 134.5 (C-7), 127.2 (C-4), 126.0 (C-8), 79.0 (C-6), 71.5 (C-9), 64.7 (C-10), 49.6 (C-2), 41.1 (C-1), 24.1 (C-12), 22.9 (C-11), 21.1 (10-OCOCH₃), 20.8 (9-OCOCH₃), 18.8 (C-13).

Treatment with TBDMSCl of 1¹⁴ A solution of **1** (7.0 mg, 29.2 μmol) in dry pyridine (0.2 ml) was stirred under an Ar atmosphere. Then, TBDMS-Cl (73.0 μmol , 1 M in dichloromethane) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h, after which time 5 ml of a saturated aqueous NH_4Cl solution (5 ml) was added. The aqueous soluble part was extracted with chloroform (5 ml $\times$ 3) and chloroform/*n*-BuOH (2:1, 5 ml $\times$ 3). The combined extracts were dried with Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield a residue. Chromatography of the residue on a silica gel (40 ml) column with *n*-hexane–EtOAc as the eluent yielded **1b** (6.6 mg, 63.9%) as a yellow oil.

10-TBDMS-Cucumegastigmane I (1b): Yellow oil, $[\alpha]_D^{27} + 128.24^\circ$ ($c=0.170$, CHCl_3). UV λ_{max} (CHCl_3) nm (log ϵ): 241.0 (4.02). FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 355 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 337, 205, 121, 73. HR-FAB-MS m/z : 355.2312 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{35}\text{O}_4\text{Si}$: 355.2306). ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ : 5.92 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 1.2 Hz, H-7), 5.91 (1H, d, $J=1.5$ Hz, H-4), 5.77 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 5.1 Hz, H-8), 4.25 (1H, m, H-9), 3.66 (1H, dd, $J=10.0$, 3.9 Hz, H-10a), 3.44 (1H, dd, $J=10.0$, 7.3 Hz, H-10b), 2.46 (1H, d, $J=17.1$ Hz, H-2a), 2.24 (1H, dd, $J=17.1$, 1.0 Hz, H-2b), 1.89 (3H, d, $J=1.5$ Hz, H-13), 1.08 (3H, s, H-11), 1.02 (3H, s, H-12), 0.90 (9H, s, $-\text{CMe}_3$), 0.08 (6H, s, $-\text{SiMe}_2$). ^{13}C -NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ : 197.4 (C-3), 162.0 (C-5), 131.2 (C-7), 129.9 (C-8), 126.7 (C-4), 79.1 (C-6), 71.8 (C-9), 66.8 (C-10), 49.6 (C-2), 41.0 (C-1), 25.8 ($-\text{CMe}_3$), 24.0 (C-12), 22.8 (C-11), 18.8 (C-13), 18.2 ($-\text{CMe}_3$), -5.3 , -5.4 ($-\text{SiMe}_2$).

Preparation of the (R)- and (S)-MTPA Derivatives 1c and 1d from 1b¹⁵ (*R*)-MTPA working solution (*R*)-MTPA 27.8 mg and DCC 29.1 mg in 0.5 ml of dry dichloromethane) was prepared. Compound **1b** (2.8 mg, 7.9 μmol) and DMAP (0.9 mg) were dissolved in dry dichloromethane (0.5 ml) and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Then, (*R*)-MTPA working solution was added and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After the addition of 5 ml each of water and dichloromethane, the solution was washed successively with 5% HCl (5 ml), saturated NaHCO_3 (5 ml) and brine (5 ml). The organic soluble part was dried with Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield a residue. Chromatography of the residue on a silica gel (40 ml) column using *n*-hexane–EtOAc as the eluent yielded **1c** (2.7 mg, 60.0%) as a colorless oil. Using a similar procedure, **1d** (4.6 mg, 86.6%) was prepared from **1b** (3.3 mg, 9.3 μmol) with (*S*)-MTPA (33.1 mg), DCC (25.9 mg) and DMAP (1.3 mg).

10-TBDMS-Cucumegastigmane I 9-O-(R)-MTPA Ester (1c): Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_D^{29} + 42.73^\circ$ ($c=0.044$, CHCl_3). UV λ_{max} (CHCl_3) nm (log ϵ): 241.0 (3.68). FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 571 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 337, 205, 189, 121, 73. HR-FAB-MS m/z : 571.2706 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{42}\text{O}_6\text{F}_3\text{Si}$: 571.2704). ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ : 7.52–7.34 (5H, m, $-\text{Ph}$), 5.96 (1H, d, $J=15.4$ Hz, H-7), 5.89 (1H, s, H-4), 5.85 (1H, dd, $J=15.4$, 7.0 Hz, H-8), 5.53 (1H, m, H-9), 3.75 (1H, dd, $J=10.9$, 6.1 Hz, H-10a), 3.69 (1H, dd, $J=10.9$, 4.8 Hz, H-10b), 3.50 (3H, d, $J=1.0$ Hz, $-\text{OMe}$), 2.39 (1H, d, $J=17.0$ Hz, H-2a), 2.24 (1H, d, $J=17.0$ Hz, H-2b), 1.85 (3H, d, $J=1.2$ Hz, H-13), 1.06 (3H, s, H-11), 0.94 (3H, s, H-12), 0.84 (9H, s, $-\text{CMe}_3$), 0.01 (6H, s, $-\text{SiMe}_2$).

10-TBDMS-Cucumegastigmane I 9-O-(S)-MTPA Ester (1d): Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_D^{28} + 70.94^\circ$ ($c=0.064$, CHCl_3). UV λ_{max} (CHCl_3) nm (log ϵ): 241.0 (4.12). FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 571 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 337, 205, 189, 121, 73. HR-FAB-MS m/z : 571.2706 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{42}\text{O}_6\text{F}_3\text{Si}$: 571.2704). ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ : 7.54–7.32 (5H, m, $-\text{Ph}$), 5.87 (1H, s, H-4), 5.79 (1H, d, $J=15.6$ Hz, H-7), 5.74 (1H, d, $J=15.6$ Hz, H-8), 5.59 (1H, m, H-9), 3.76 (1H, dd, $J=9.8$, 5.4 Hz, H-10a), 3.72 (1H, dd, $J=9.8$, 3.4 Hz, H-10b), 3.58 (3H, d, $J=1.0$ Hz, $-\text{OMe}$), 2.28 (1H, d, $J=17.0$ Hz, H-2a), 2.19 (1H, d, $J=17.0$ Hz, H-2b), 1.82 (3H, d, $J=1.5$ Hz, H-13), 1.04 (3H, s, H-11), 0.91

(3H, s, H-12), 0.87 (9H, s, $-\text{CMe}_3$), 0.05 (6H, s, $-\text{SiMe}_2$).

Cucumegastigmane II (2): White powder, mp 96–97 $^\circ\text{C}$, $[\alpha]_D^{23} + 62.89^\circ$ ($c=0.698$, MeOH). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ): 235.5 (3.94). CD ($c=6.76\times 10^{-5}$ M, MeOH) $\Delta\epsilon$ (nm): +11.09 (239.6), -0.60 (319.8). FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 403 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, (neg.): 401 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$. HR-FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 403.1967 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_5$: 403.1968). NMR data: see Tables 1 and 2.

Enzymatic Hydrolysis of 2^{16,17} A solution of **2** (7.4 mg) in acetate buffer (pH 4.4, 2.0 ml) was treated with β -glucosidase (Oriental Yeast, 8.1 mg) and the solution was left standing at 38 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 20 h. The reaction solution was poured into water (5 ml) and the whole was extracted with EtOAc (5 ml $\times$ 5). The combined EtOAc extracts were dried with MgSO_4 , and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield **2a** (2.2 mg, 49.7%) as a yellow oil. The water-soluble part after neutralization using Amberlite (IRA-400, Organo) and evaporation was shown to contain D-glucose, identified by TLC using a 2-butanone–acetic acid– H_2O (3:1:1) solvent system. Chromatography of the water-soluble part on a silica gel (40 ml) column with EtOAc–MeOH as the eluent yielded D-glucose (1.5 mg, 45.3%). D-Glucose: $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 28.58^\circ$ ($c=0.099$, H_2O , 24 h after being dissolved in H_2O).

Aglycone of Cucumegastigmane II (2a): Yellow oil, $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 144.53^\circ$ ($c=0.075$, MeOH). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ): 236.5 (4.12). CD ($c=2.92\times 10^{-5}$ M, MeOH) $\Delta\epsilon$ (nm): +12.39 (240.2), -0.76 (328.4). FAB-MS m/z (pos.): 241 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 207, 115. HR-FAB-MS m/z : 241.1453 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_4$: 241.1440). ^1H -NMR (CD_3OD , 400 MHz) δ : 5.89 (1H, dd, $J=15.7$, 1.2 Hz, H-7), 5.88 (1H, s, H-4), 5.78 (1H, dd, $J=15.7$, 5.5 Hz, H-8), 4.19 (1H, m, H-9), 3.50 (1H, dd, $J=11.0$, 4.9 Hz, H-10a), 3.46 (1H, dd, $J=11.0$, 6.6 Hz, H-10b), 2.50 (1H, d, $J=16.8$ Hz, H-2a), 2.15 (1H, d, $J=16.8$ Hz, H-2b), 1.92 (3H, d, $J=1.2$ Hz, H-13), 1.04 (3H, s, H-11), 1.02 (3H, s, H-12). ^{13}C -NMR (CD_3OD , 100 MHz) δ : 200.7 (C-3), 166.9 (C-5), 132.3 (C-7), 132.1 (C-8), 126.9 (C-4), 80.0 (C-6), 73.5 (C-9), 67.2 (C-10), 50.7 (C-2), 42.3 (C-1), 24.5 (C-12), 23.4 (C-11), 19.6 (C-13).

(+)-Dehydrovomifolol (3): Yellow oil, $[\alpha]_D^{28} + 138.96^\circ$ ($c=0.424$, MeOH). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ϵ): 238.0 (4.19). CD ($c=3.15\times 10^{-5}$ M, MeOH) $\Delta\epsilon$ (nm): +27.00 (243.2). EI-MS m/z (rel. int. %): 222 (1) $[\text{M}]^+$, 166 (25), 124 (100). HR-EI-MS m/z : 222.1251 $[\text{M}]^+$ (Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_3$: 222.1256). NMR data: see Tables 1 and 2.

References

- 1) “Chuyaku-daijiten” Shanghai Technology, Shogakukan Press, Tokyo, 1985, pp. 105–120.
- 2) Enslin P. R., Hugo J. M., Norton K. B., Rivett D. E. A., *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1960**, 4787–4791 (1960).
- 3) McNally D. J., Wurms K. V., Labbe C., Quideau S., Belanger R. R., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **66**, 1280–1283 (2003).
- 4) Weiss G., Koreeda M., Nakanishi K., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, **1972**, 565–566 (1972).
- 5) Ohtani I., Kusumi, Kashman Y., Kakisawa H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **113**, 4092–4096 (1991).
- 6) Perrone A., Plaza A., Bloise E., Nigro P., Hamed A. I., Belisario M. A., Pizzia C., Piacente S., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **68**, 1549–1553 (2005).
- 7) Kim I., Chin Y. W., Lim S. W., Kim Y. C., Kim J., *Arch. Pharmacol. Res.*, **27**, 600–603 (2004).
- 8) Molnar P., Deli J., Osz E., Zsila F., Simonyi M., Toth G., *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **87**, 2159–2168 (2004).
- 9) Kobayashi M., Ohta Y., *Phytochemistry*, **22**, 1257–1261 (1983).
- 10) Luedemann H. D., Nimz H., *Makromol. Chem.*, **175**, 2393–2407 (1974).
- 11) Nakajima E., Nakano H., Yamada K., Shigemori H., Hasegawa K., *Phytochemistry*, **61**, 863–865 (2002).
- 12) Li G., Deng Z., Li J., Fu H., Lin W., *J. Chin. Pharm. Sci.*, **13**, 81–86 (2004).
- 13) Stueber D., Grant D. M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **124**, 10539–10551 (2002).
- 14) Moreno-Dorado F. J., Guerra F. M., Ortega M. J., Zubia E., Massanet G. M., *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **14**, 503–510 (2003).
- 15) Otsuka H., Kijima H., Hirata E., Shinzato T., Takushi A., Bando M., Takeda Y., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **51**, 286–290 (2003).
- 16) Murakami T., Kishi A., Matsuda H., Hattori M., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **49**, 845–848 (2001).
- 17) Yu Q., Matsunami K., Otsuka H., Takeda Y., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **53**, 800–807 (2005).