Immobilized Triazine-Type Dehydrocondensing Reagents for Carboxamide Formation: ROMP-Trz-Cl and ROMP(OH)-Trz-Cl

Kazuhito HIOKI,^{a,b} Satomi KAMEYAMA,^a Shohei TANI,^a and Munetaka KUNISHIMA^{*,a,b}

^a Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kobe Gakuin University; ^b Life Science Center, Kobe Gakuin University; Chuo-ku, Kobe 650–8586, Japan. Received December 28, 2006; accepted February 8, 2007; published online February 9, 2007

New triazine-type dehydrocondensing reagents, such as ROMP-Trz-Cl and ROMP(OH)-Trz-Cl, were synthesized by a ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) method, and these showed higher loading than conventional polymer-supported condensing reagents. These polymers effect the formation of amides in good yields by addition of a mixture of carboxylic acid, amine and NMM. ROMP(OH)-Trz-Cl, which contains hydroxyl groups in the polymer chain, gave amides in good yields even in MeOH.

Key words triazine; immobilized reagent; dehydrocondensation; ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP); amide

The advance in combinatorial chemistry has resulted in the development of solid-supported reagents that can be applied to automated synthesis of a target molecule. As a result, many useful reagents that are well established in the field of solution-phase chemistry have been applied to immobilized reagents.^{1–9)}

There have been some recent reports on the preparation of immobilized reagents for dehydrocondensation.^{10–18)} However, since most of these reagents are immobilized by connecting dehydrocondensing reagents to insoluble carriers such as polystyrene and silica gel, they would be prepared by inefficient chemical transformation on the insoluble carrier under heterogeneous conditions. In addition, the weight proportion of the solid carrier relative to the amount of solid-supported reagent is generally high, which inevitably results in the generation of large amounts of waste; this is a serious problem common to solid-supported reagents. To resolve this issue, we developed a new immobilized triazine-type dehydrocondensing reagent by polymerizing the dehydrocondensing reagent itself.¹⁹⁾ This polymer reagent is based on the condensing agent, 4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazinyl-2-methylmorpholinium chloride (DMT-MM)^{20–26)} and is a cross-linked polymer prepared by co-polymerizing tetra(ethylene glycol) bis(4-chloro-6-methoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl) ether and tris(2-aminoethyl)amine, which is easily substituted onto the triazine ring under weakly basic conditions.

In this work, we developed another application for polymer-type triazine condensing reagents: immobilization by well-established ROMP (ring opening metathesis polymerization) method, in which the reaction proceeds rapidly and with high yield under mild neutral conditions. The dramatically expanded ROMP methodology, based on the work of Grubbs *et al.* in the 1990s, has been developed as an effective method for acquiring high-loading polymer reagents by polymerizing the reagent unit as a monomer.^{27–31)} Barrett *et al.* actively developed ROMP-gel supported reagents using this methodology, and reported many excellent immobilized reagents.^{4,9,32–34)} These reagents have several advantages in addition to high-loading, *e.g.*, high tolerance of the metathesis catalysts for diverse functionalities, and readily available monomers from inexpensive precursors. Recently, ROMP supported coupling reagents carrying fluoroformamidinium or 2-bromopyridinium were also reported.¹¹⁾ In this case, however, preparation of the monomers, which requires opera-

tion of 3–4 steps, seems not to be very easy.

Our monomer **1a** was readily prepared by reacting cyanuric chloride consecutively with 5-norbornene-2-methanol and MeOH (Chart 1). A CH₂Cl₂ solution of the resulting monomer and cross-linker **2**³⁵⁾ in a mixing ratio of p : q, as shown in Table 1, was allowed to react in the presence of 0.1 mol% Grubbs' catalyst **3** to produce four kinds of polymer with cross-linking percentages of 10, 30, 50 and 100%. The polymer reagents exhibited condensation activity when treated with *N*-methylmorpholine (NMM). The activated structure can be thought of that as shown in Fig. 1. Free chloride ion derived from chlorotriazine was titrated to allow determination of the average molecular weight per active unit (Table 1).¹⁹⁾ Polymers with cross-linking at 50% or less were found to show higher loading than that with 100% cross-linking.

Chart 1. Preparation of Monomer **1a**

Table 1. Synthesis of ROMP-Trz-Cl

ROMP-Trz-Cl	Degree of cross-linking ^{a)}	p : q	meq/g	MW _{aver} ^{b)}
Trz ₁₀	10%	10 : 1	2.43	412
Trz ₃₀	30%	10 : 3	2.55	392
Trz ₅₀	50%	2 : 1	2.30	436
Trz ₁₀₀	100%	1 : 1	1.94	515

a) The degree of cross-linking was represented by the mole ratio of cross-linker **2** to the monomer used in the reaction. b) Average molecular weight per active unit; MW_{aver} = 1000/(meq/g).

A coupling reaction between phenylpropionic acid **5** and phenethylamine **6** was conducted using each polymer (2 eq) in various solvents (Table 2).³⁶⁾ The use of CH₂Cl₂ and THF resulted in production of the amide in high yield, while the use of MeOH resulted in a slightly lower yield. Disappointingly, only small amounts of amide were obtained in water. The observed solvent effect may be correlated to the extent

Fig. 1. Activated Structure of the Polymer Reagent (ROMP-Trz-MM)

Table 2. Amidation Activity of ROMP-Trz_x ($x=10-100$) in Several Solvents

$\text{Ph-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-COOH (5, 1 eq)} + \text{Ph-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-NH}_2 \text{ (6, 1.1 eq)} \xrightarrow[\text{solvent, rt, 24 h}]{\text{ROMP-Trz}_x\text{-Cl (2 eq), NMM (3 eq)}} \text{Ph-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-C(=O)-NH-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-Ph}$				
ROMP-Trz _x -Cl	Yield (%) ^{a)}			
	CH ₂ Cl ₂	THF	MeOH	H ₂ O
$x=10$	92	93	71	2
30	96	96	73	7
50	99	97	82	15
100	97	90	90	21
ROMP(OH)-Trz ₅₀ -Cl	98	84	90	2

^{a)} The yield was determined by NMR.

Table 4. Dehydrocondensation Using ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl or ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl

$\text{Acid (1 eq) + Amine (1.1 eq) + NMM (3 eq)} \xrightarrow[\text{solvent, rt, 24 h}]{\text{ROMP-Trz}_{50}\text{-Cl (2 eq) or ROMP(OH)-Trz}_{50}\text{-Cl (2 eq)}} \text{Amide}$			
Run	Acid	Amine	Yield (%) ^{a)}
			ROMP-Trz ₅₀ -Cl ROMP(OH)-Trz ₅₀ -Cl
			CH ₂ Cl ₂ MeOH MeOH
1			80 84 98
2	5		95 90 96
3	5		84 79 92
4			91 71 87
5		6	95 74 80
6	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₀ COOH	6	85 86 93
7		6	67 53 87
8	CH ₃ COONa		— ^{b)} 83 90
9		7	— ^{b)} 86 98 ^{c)}

^{a)} Isolated yield. ^{b)} Not performed. ^{c)} A slight epimerization (1.3%) was observed by HPLC.

of polymer swelling; no swelling occurs in water (Table 3). ROMP-Trz₁₀-Cl and Trz₃₀-Cl, which are cross-linked to a lesser extent than ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl, became sticky when swollen in CH₂Cl₂, and the gel particles tended to aggregate during shaking and thus clogged the filter, so general filtering could not be used. We employed ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl, which has a lower average molecular weight than Trz₁₀₀-Cl (Table 1), in this study.

Coupling reactions between several kinds of carboxylic acids and amines were conducted using ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl in CH₂Cl₂ or MeOH (Table 4). The reaction can be performed by a very simple procedure, mixing a carboxylic acid, an amine, and NMM together with the polymer. After completion of the reaction, NMM and amine remaining in the filtrate were easily removed by extraction using Merck Extrelut[®]. Amides were generally obtained in good yield in CH₂Cl₂. A lower yield was obtained for 3,5-di-*t*-butylbenzoic acid (run 7), probably because diffusion of the bulky carboxylic acid inside the polymer was hindered, and thus decomposition of the reagent by elimination of CH₃Cl from the activated triazino moiety (ROMP-Trz-MM) might compete.²²⁾

An important characteristic of the condensing reagent DMT-MM, which is the basis of this polymer reagent, is its

Table 3. Swelling Ratio^{a)} of ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl and ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl

	CH ₂ Cl ₂	THF	MeOH	H ₂ O
ROMP-Trz ₅₀ -Cl	230%	180%	20%	0%
ROMP(OH)-Trz ₅₀ -Cl	150%	150%	60%	0%

^{a)} The swelling ratios were represented by the ratio of increased volume of the polymer by swelling to the initial volume of dried polymer.

Chart 2. Synthesis of ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl

high dehydrocondensing ability in water or MeOH.²³⁾ These solvents are not only inexpensive and eco-friendly, but can also suppress the aforementioned decomposition of the reagent by solvation; thus, these solvents are the preferred media in which to conduct the reaction. As mentioned above, the observed yields for amide-formation using ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl in MeOH and water were moderate and poor, respectively. This was probably due to the lower hydrophilicity of the polymer, which resulted in its low swelling ratio.

In order to enhance the affinity of the polymer for protic solvents, we attempted to introduce hydroxyl groups to the polymer chain by using monomer **1b** instead of **1a** (Chart 2). The swelling ratio of the resulting polymer ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl, in CH₂Cl₂ and THF decreased compared to that of ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl, but tripled in MeOH (Table 3), and the yield of the dehydrocondensation between phenylpropionic acid and phenethylamine in MeOH was improved. As shown in Table 4, the yield of amides in MeOH increased for all substrates, including 3,5-di-*t*-butylbenzoic acid, which had a low yield with ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl (run 7). *N*-Acetylation of phenylalanine methyl ester hydrochloride proceeded effectively using sodium acetate, which is more practical than acetyl chloride or acetic anhydride (run 8). Reaction of Boc-Leu-OH and H-Phe-OMe gave the corresponding dipeptide in 98% yield with a slight epimerization (1.3%³⁷⁾; run 9). Unfortunately, ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl did not show swelling in water, either, so the yield of amide in this solvent was not improved.

In conclusion, we have succeeded in developing new triazine-type polymers ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl and ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl, synthesized by the ROMP methodology, as immobilized dehydrocondensing reagents. These reagents work efficiently and can be prepared relatively at low cost. High-loading immobilization by ROMP results in the development of polymers with lower average molecular weights in comparison with conventional solid-supported condensing reagents, therefore, the waste arising from the immobilized reagent can be reduced. Since these ROMP-Trz-Cl reagents, especially ROMP(OH)-Trz₅₀-Cl, can be utilized in MeOH, they can also be applied to the substrates which are difficult to dissolve in the less polar solvents. Several types of norbornene derivatives, which contain alcohol, aldehyde, and amine functional groups, are available, so additional structural modification should be relatively easy to achieve. Further studies with the aim of improving the hydrophilicity of the polymers as well as recycling the reagents according to our method for regeneration of 4-chloro-2,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine (CDMT)³⁸⁾ are currently underway.

Experimental

Dry THF and CH₂Cl₂ were distilled in the usual manner. 2,4-Dichloro-6-

methoxy-1,3,5-triazine was prepared by modification of reported procedure.³⁹⁾ Other chemicals and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. IR spectra were measured on a Nicolet FT-IR AVATAR 360 spectrometer. ¹H-NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker DPX-400 spectrometer. ESI-MS and EI-MS spectra were recorded on a Waters Micromass ZQ 2000 spectrometer and JEOL JMS-700 spectrometer, respectively.

Preparation of 5-(4-Chloro-6-methoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)oxymethyl-norbornene (1a) Cyanuric chloride (21.57 g, 117.0 mmol) was added to a mixture of 5-norbornene-2-methanol (7.26 g, 58.5 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (9.07 g, 70.2 mmol) in dry THF (64.5 ml) at 0 °C under N₂ atmosphere. After stirring for 12 h at rt, Et₂O (150 ml) was added, and the mixture was filtered and evaporated. The residue was subjected to chromatography (hexane : AcOEt = 93 : 7) to give a mixture of 5-(4,6-dichloro-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)oxymethyl-norbornene and by-products derived from cyanuric chloride. The product mixture was dissolved in MeOH (100 ml) containing 10% water, and NaHCO₃ (9.83 g, 117.0 mmol) was added. After stirring for 6 h at 35 °C, almost all of the MeOH was removed by evaporation. Celite®, MgSO₄ and CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml) were added to the residue, and the mixture was filtered through Celite® and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane : AcOEt = 9 : 1) to give the title compound (11.45 g, 73%, *endo* and *exo* (1.8 : 1) mixture) as a colorless oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 0.64 (*endo* 1H, ddd, *J* = 11.8, 4.3, 2.7 Hz), 1.24 (*exo* 1H, ddd, *J* = 11.8, 4.1, 3.8 Hz), 1.30 (*endo* 1H, br d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 1.42–1.32 (*exo* 3H, m), 1.49 (*endo* 1H, br d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 1.96–1.87 (*endo* 1H, *exo* 1H, m), 2.62–2.52 (*endo* 1H, m), 2.90–2.82 (*endo* 1H, *exo* 2H, m), 3.01 (*endo* 1H, br s), 4.01 (*endo* 1H, dd, *J* = 10.5, 9.5 Hz), 4.07 (*exo* 3H, s), 4.06 (*endo* 3H, s), 4.21 (*endo* 1H, dd, *J* = 10.5, 6.6 Hz), 4.32 (*exo* 1H, dd, *J* = 10.6, 9.5 Hz), 4.51 (*exo* 1H, dd, *J* = 10.6, 6.3 Hz), 5.98 (*endo* 1H, dd, *J* = 5.7, 2.9 Hz), 6.13–6.08 (*exo* 2H, m), 6.19 (*endo* 1H, dd, *J* = 5.7, 3.0 Hz). *Anal.* Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄N₃ClO₂: C, 53.84; H, 5.27; N, 15.70. Found: C, 53.58; H, 5.19; N, 15.78. MS (ESI) *m/z*: 268 (MH⁺), 270 (MH⁺). HR-MS (EI) *m/z* Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄N₃ClO₂ (M⁺): 267.0775; Found: 267.0777.

Preparation of 5-(4-Chloro-6-methoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)oxymethyl-6-hydroxymethylnorbornene (1b) This compound would be undergoing self-polymerization in a concentrated solution. It should be used immediately after concentration. To a dry THF solution (32.4 ml) of 5-norbornene-2,3-endo-dimethanol (5.0 g, 32.4 mmol) was added 2,4-dichloro-6-methoxy-1,3,5-triazine (7.0 g, 38.9 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (4.19 g, 32.4 mmol) at 0 °C. After stirring for 30 min at rt, the mixture was heated at 40 °C for 16 h. The resulting solution was poured into water (100 ml) and extracted with AcOEt (100 ml). The organic layer was evaporated, and the residual oil was immediately subjected to column chromatography to give the title compound (4.1 g, 43%) as a colorless solid. mp: 109–110 °C (dec.). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 1.39 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 1.55 (1H, dt, *J* = 8.4, 1.8 Hz), 2.56–2.47 (1H, m), 2.76–2.67 (1H, m), 2.99 (1H, br s), 3.03 (1H, br s), 3.39 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.6, 7.9 Hz), 3.51 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.6, 7.4 Hz), 4.06 (3H, s), 4.14 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.7, 8.9 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 10.7, 7.1 Hz), 6.23–6.16 (2H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ: 40.9, 45.1, 45.9, 46.0, 49.7, 56.5, 63.2, 70.4, 135.4, 136.2, 172.3, 172.9, 173.1. *Anal.* Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆N₃ClO₃: C, 52.44; H, 5.42; N, 14.11. Found: C, 52.27; H, 5.41; N, 14.11. MS (ESI) *m/z* = 298 (MH⁺), 300 (MH⁺). HR-MS (EI) *m/z* Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆N₃ClO₃ (M⁺): 297.0880; Found: 297.0895.

General Procedure for Synthesis of ROMP-Trz-Cl. ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl To a solution of monomer **1a** (5.16 g, 19.28 mmol) and cross-linker **2**³⁵⁾ (1.53 g, 9.64 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (96 ml) was added a solution of Grubbs' catalyst **3** (15.9 mg, 0.019 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) at rt. Gelation occurred within a few minutes. The gel was allowed to stand for 12 h to complete the reaction. Ethyl vinyl ether (1 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml) were added, and the gel was crushed to small pieces (*ca.* 2–3 mm). After stirring for 12 h, the polymer was passed through a sieve (250 μm) and washed repeatedly with

CH_2Cl_2 and Et_2O , and dried under reduced pressure to give ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl (5.69 g, 85%, 2.30 meq/g) as colorless powder. Amount of chloride loaded on the polymer was determined according to our procedure previously described.^[9] IR (ATR) cm^{-1} : 1557, 1533, 1437, 1294, 1034, 814.

ROMP-Trz(OH)₅₀-Cl: Colorless powder. IR (ATR) cm^{-1} : 1558, 1534, 1438, 1296, 1035, 814.

General Procedure for Amide Formation. *N*-Phenethyl-3-phenylpropanamide A solution of phenylpropionic acid (15.0 mg, 0.10 mmol), phenethylamine (13.3 mg, 0.11 mmol), and *N*-methylmorpholine (30.3 mg, 0.30 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2.0 ml) was added to ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl (87.2 mg, 0.20 mmol). After shaken for 24 h at rt, Et_2O (ca. 10 ml) was added in order to shrink the polymer, and then, the reaction mixture was filtered. The polymer was rinsed twice with AcOEt (5 ml), and the washings and filtrates were combined. The resulting solution was poured into the Merk Extrelut® NT20 column containing 0.01 M HCl (10 ml). The column was eluted with AcOEt (100 ml), and the filtrate was evaporated to give *N*-phenethyl-3-phenylpropanamide (25.0 mg, 99%). Colorless crystals. mp 94.5–95.5 °C. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 2.41 (3H, t, $J=7.7$ Hz), 2.73 (2H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz), 2.94 (2H, t, $J=7.7$ Hz), 3.47 (2H, td, $J=6.9$, 6.0 Hz), 5.37 (1H, br s), 7.16–7.30 (5H, m). IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3299, 1635, 1544. MS (ESI) m/z : 254 (MH^+).

N,3-Diphenylpropanamide: Colorless crystals. mp: 98–99 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3311, 1652, 1601, 1534, 1497, 1443, 1316, 1076, 756, 697. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 2.65 (2H, t, $J=7.6$ Hz), 3.05 (2H, t, $J=7.6$ Hz), 7.09 (2H, t, $J=7.4$ Hz), 7.19–7.33 (6H, m), 7.42 (2H, d, $J=7.9$ Hz). MS (ESI) m/z : 226 (MH^+).

N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropanamide: Colorless crystals. mp 141.5–143 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3294, 1658, 1590, 1519, 1488, 1310, 1093, 821, 694. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 2.65 (2H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 3.05 (2H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 6.98 (1H, br s), 7.19–7.33 (7H, m), 7.37 (2H, d, $J=8.7$ Hz). MS (ESI) m/z : 260 (MH^+).

N-(1-Phenylethyl)-3-phenylpropanamide: Colorless crystals. mp 50–51 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3260, 1646, 1557. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 1.40 (3H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 2.46–2.50 (2H, m), 2.97 (2H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 5.10 (1H, qd, $J=6.9$, 7.2 Hz), 5.51 (1H, br s), 7.16–7.32 (10H, m). MS (ESI) m/z : 254 (MH^+).

N-Phenethylcinnamamide: Colorless needles. mp 126–127 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3299, 1650, 1614, 1544. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 2.89 (2H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz), 3.66 (2H, td, $J=6.9$, 6.0 Hz), 5.73 (1H, br s), 6.33 (1H, d, $J=15.6$ Hz), 7.21–7.37 (8H, m), 7.45–7.50 (2H, m), 7.62 (1H, d, $J=15.6$ Hz). MS (EI) m/z : 251 (M^+).

4-Chloro-*N*-phenethylbenzamide: Colorless crystals. mp 137–138 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3346, 1638, 1596, 1542, 1488, 1316, 1094, 844, 752, 699. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 2.93 (2H, t, $J=6.8$ Hz), 3.72 (2H, td, $J=6.8$, 6.0 Hz), 6.07 (1H, br s), 7.20–7.28 (3H, m), 7.30–7.40 (4H, m), 7.59–7.64 (2H, m). MS (ESI) m/z : 260 (MH^+).

N-Phenethyldodecanamide: Colorless crystals. mp 72–73 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3311, 2921, 2859, 1641, 1551, 1463, 1192, 745, 697. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 0.88 (3H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz), 1.21–1.34 (16H, m), 1.53–1.62 (2H, m), 2.11 (2H, t, $J=7.6$ Hz), 2.82 (2H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz), 3.52 (2H, td, $J=6.9$, 6.0 Hz), 5.39 (1H, br s), 7.16–7.35 (5H, m). MS (ESI) m/z : 304 (MH^+).

3,5-Di-*tert*-butyl-*N*-phenethylbenzamide: Colorless crystals. mp 202–203 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3282, 2960, 1635, 1598, 1539, 1332, 1276, 1194, 742, 694. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 1.32 (18H, s), 2.95 (2H, t, $J=6.8$ Hz), 3.72 (2H, td, $J=6.8$, 6.0 Hz), 6.07 (1H, br s), 7.22–7.29 (3H, m), 7.30–7.37 (2H, m), 7.49 (2H, d, $J=1.8$ Hz), 7.54 (1H, t, $J=1.8$ Hz). MS (ESI) m/z : 338 (MH^+).

Boc-Leu-Phe-OMe: Colorless crystals. mp 83.5–84 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 3342, 3307, 1743, 1666, 1523. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 0.91 (3H, d, $J=6.2$ Hz), 0.92 (3H, d, $J=6.4$ Hz), 1.24–1.31 (1H, m), 1.44 (9H, s), 1.57–1.69 (2H, m), 3.09 (1H, dd, $J=5.9$, 13.7 Hz), 3.14 (1H, dd, $J=5.8$, 13.7 Hz), 3.71 (3H, s), 4.07 (1H, br s), 4.77 (1H, br s), 4.84 (1H, td, $J=5.9$, 7.8 Hz), 6.44 (1H, br d, $J=7.5$ Hz), 7.08–7.13 (2H, m), 7.21–7.31 (3H, m). MS (EI) m/z : 392 (M^+).

N-Acetylphenylalanine Methyl Ester: Colorless crystals. mp 89.5–90 °C. IR (KBr) cm^{-1} : 1752, 1648, 1537. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ : 1.97 (3H, s), 3.09 (1H, dd, $J=5.8$, 13.9 Hz), 3.14 (1H, dd, $J=5.9$, 13.9 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s), 4.88 (1H, td, $J=5.8$, 7.9 Hz), 6.00 (1H, br s), 7.07–7.12 (2H, m), 7.22–7.32 (3H, m). MS (ESI) m/z : 222 (MH^+).

References and Notes

- Uozumi Y., Yamada Y., *Kagaku Furontia*, **16**, 155–163 (2006).
- Parlow J. J., *Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev.*, **8**, 757–775 (2005).
- Gonthier E., Breinbauer R., *Molecular Diversity*, **9**, 51–62 (2005).
- Harned A. M., Zhang M., Vedantham P., Mukherjee S., Herpel R. H., Flynn D. L., Hanson P. R., *Aldrichimica Acta*, **38**, 3–16 (2005).
- Katritzky A. R., Suzuki K., Singh S. K., *ARKIVOC*, **i**, 12–35 (2004).
- Barrett A. G. M., Hopkins B. T., Köbberling J., *Chem. Rev.*, **102**, 3301–3324 (2002). See also references therein.
- Kirschning A., Monenschein H., Wittenberg R., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **40**, 650–679 (2001).
- Ley S. V., Baxendale I. R., Bream R. N., Jackson P. S., Leach A. G., Longbottom D. A., Nesi M., Scott J. S., Storer R. I., Taylor S. J., *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, **2000**, 3815–4195 (2000).
- Thompson L. A., *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, **4**, 324–337 (2000).
- Valeur E., Bradley M., *Chem. Commun.*, **2005**, 1164–1166 (2005).
- Barrett A. G. M., Bibal B., Hopkins B. T., Köbberling J., Love A. C., Tedeschi L., *Tetrahedron*, **61**, 12033–12041 (2005).
- Filip S. V., Lejeune V., Vors J.-P., Martinez J., Cavalier F., *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2004**, 1936–1939 (2004).
- Donati D., Morelli C., Porcheddu A., Taddei M., *J. Org. Chem.*, **69**, 9316–9318 (2004).
- Crosignani S., Gonzalez J., Swinnen D., *Org. Lett.*, **6**, 4579–4582 (2004).
- Chinchilla R., Dodsworth D. J., Nájera C., Soriano J. M., Yus M., *ARKIVOC*, **x**, 41–47 (2003).
- Disadee W., Watanabe T., Ishikawa T., *Synlett*, **2003**, 115–117 (2003).
- Desai M. C., Stramiello L. M. S., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **34**, 7685–7688 (1993).
- Kaminski Z. J., *Biopolymers*, **55**, 140–164 (2000).
- Kunishima M., Yamamoto K., Watanabe Y., Hioki K., Tani S., *Chem. Commun.*, **2005**, 2698–2700 (2005).
- Hioki K., Yamamoto K., Tani S., Kunishima M., “Peptide Science 2005,” ed by Wakamiya T., The Japanese Peptide Society, Osaka, 2006, pp. 485–486.
- Kunishima M., Kawachi C., Iwasaki F., Terao K., Tani S., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **40**, 5327–5330 (1999).
- Kunishima M., Kawachi C., Morita J., Terao K., Iwasaki F., Tani S., *Tetrahedron*, **55**, 13159–13170 (1999).
- Kunishima M., Kawachi C., Hioki K., Terao K., Tani S., *Tetrahedron*, **57**, 1551–1558 (2001).
- Kunishima M., Kitao A., Kawachi C., Watanabe Y., Iguchi S., Hioki K., Tani S., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **50**, 549–550 (2002).
- Falchi A., Giacomelli G., Porcheddu A., Taddei M., *Synlett*, **2000**, 275–277 (2000).
- Armitt D. J., *Aust. J. Chem.*, **54**, 469 (2001).
- Fu G. C., Nguyen S. T., Grubbs R. H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **115**, 9856–9857 (1993).
- Schwab P., France M. B., Ziller J. W., Grubbs R. H., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **34**, 2039–2041 (1995).
- Scholl M., Ding S., Lee C. W., Grubbs R. H., *Org. Lett.*, **1**, 953–956 (1999).
- Trnka T. M., Grubbs R. H., *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **34**, 18–29 (2001), and references cited therein.
- Grubbs R. H., Sanford M., *Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry*, **56**, 17–21 (2002).
- Fuchter M. J., Hoffman B. M., Barrett A. G. M., *J. Org. Chem.*, **71**, 724–729 (2006).
- Barrett A. G. M., Hopkins B. T., Love A. C., Tedeschi L., *Org. Lett.*, **6**, 835–837 (2004).
- Årstad E., Barrett A. G. M., Tedeschi L., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **44**, 2703–2707 (2003).
- Stille J. K., Frey D. A., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **81**, 4273–4275 (1959).
- When the reaction was conducted with 1.4 eq of ROMP-Trz₅₀-Cl in MeOH, the yield of amide decreased to 67% presumably due to inefficient heterogeneous conditions.
- The epimerization test was performed by HPLC analysis according to ref. 23.
- Kunishima M., Hioki K., Wada A., Kobayashi H., Tani S., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **43**, 3323–3326 (2002).
- Dudley J. R., Thurston J. T., Schaefer F. C., Holm-Hansen D., Hull C. J., Adams P., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **73**, 2986–2990 (1951).