Structures and DNA-Binding and Cleavage Properties of Ternary Copper(II) Complexes of Glycine with Phenanthroline, Bipyridine, and Bipyridylamine

Masahiro YODOSHI, Mamiko ODOKO, and Nobuo OKABE*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kinki University; 3–4–1 Kowakae, Higashiosaka, Osaka 577–8502, Japan.

Received November 27, 2006; accepted February 22, 2007

The crystal structures of the series of three complexes, $[\text{Cu}(\text{Gly})(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**) (Gly=glycine; bpy=2,2'-bipyridine),¹⁾ $[\text{Cu}(\text{Gly})(\text{phen})\text{Cl}]\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**) (phen=1,10-phenanthroline), and $[\text{Cu}(\text{Gly})(\text{bpa})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Cl}]$ (**3**) (bpa=2,2'-bipyridylamine) were determined, and the coordination modes of Cu(II) ternary complexes were compared. The central Cu(II) atoms of complexes **1** and **3** have a similar distorted octahedral coordination geometry, while the Cu(II) atom of complex **2** has a distorted square pyramidal coordination. In all complexes, the aromatic heterocyclic compounds bpy, phen, and bpa, behave as a bidentate N,N' ligand, and Gly behaves as a bidentate N,O ligand. DNA-binding properties of the complexes to calf thymus (CT) DNA were studied by using the fluorescence method. Each of the complexes showed binding propensity to CT DNA with the relative order $2>3\approx 1$. DNA cleavage studies indicate that each of the complexes, especially **2**, can cleave plasmid supercoiled pBR322 DNA in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid with cleavage efficiency in the order $2>3\approx 1$. The degradation of the conformation of CT DNA by the complexes was also reflected in the decrease in the intensities of the characteristic CD bands with the relative order $2>3\approx 1$.

Key words Cu(II) ternary complex; Cu(II) complex coordination mode; X-ray crystal analysis; glycine; N,N'ligands; DNA cleavage

Recently, the interaction of Cu(II) complexes with nucleic acid has attracted attention due to the study of mutation of genes and therapeutic approaches,^{2–6)} because DNA is thought to be the target of the chemotherapy in the treatment of tumors.⁷⁾ Some ternary complexes of Cu(II) of 1,10-phenanthroline(phen) have antitumor activity.⁸⁾ Various novel Cu(II) complexes with different ligand species have been designed and synthesized,^{3,9)} and the interaction of complexes with DNA has been characterized. Several groups^{1,3,10–13)} showed that some Cu(II) complexes with phen bind to DNA noncovalently in the minor groove and the free hydroxyl radicals formed due to the reaction of reduced Cu(II) complex with H_2O_2 lead to strand scission of DNA. Chikira and coworkers⁹⁾ investigated the orientation of Cu(II) complexes of phen or the ternary Cu(II) complexes with amino acids on DNA using electron paramagnetic resonance method and suggested that the complexes bind to DNA with several different binding modes, such as non intercalative binding in the minor groove of DNA and/or intercalative binding. In addition to studies characterizing the interaction of Cu(II) complexes with DNA, there were a few studies on the crystal structures of ternary Cu(II) complexes of amino acids with heterocyclic ligands, such as glutamic acid and phen,^{4,14)} aspartic acid and bpy,¹⁵⁾ serine and phen,¹⁶⁾ proline and phen,¹⁷⁾ or glycine and phen.^{18,19)}

We aimed to synthesize and determine three ternary Cu(II) complexes containing an amino acid, glycine ligand, and different heterocyclic ligands, phen, bpy, and 2,2'-bipyridylamine (bpa) and evaluate the ability the complexes to cleave DNA. The following three complexes (Fig. 1) were prepared and the structures, DNA binding, and DNA cleavage were examined. The three complexes are designated as $[\text{Cu}(\text{Gly})(\text{bpy})\text{Cl}]\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**), $[\text{Cu}(\text{Gly})(\text{phen})\text{Cl}]\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**), and $[\text{Cu}(\text{bpa})(\text{phen})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Cl}]$ (**3**). We had previously determined the structure of (**1**),¹⁾ and the structures of (**2**) and (**3**)

were determined in this study. The structural features of (**1**) were calculated using the previous coordination data¹⁾ and cited together with those of (**2**) and (**3**) for comparison.

Experimental

Materials Analytical-grade bpy, phen, and bpa, glycine (Gly), copper(II) chloride dihydrate, other reagents and Agarose-Precast Gel (1%) were obtained from Wako Pure Chemicals, Industries Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Calf thymus DNA (CT DNA) and supercoiled plasmid pBR322 DNA (SC DNA, lot no. K4301A) were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.)

Fig. 1. Chemical Structures of Three Complexes 1–3

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: okabe@phar.kindai.ac.jp

Table 1. Crystal Data for Complexes **1**,¹⁾ **2** and **3**

	1	2	3
Formula	C ₁₂ H ₁₂ N ₃ O ₂ CuCl·2H ₂ O	2(C ₁₄ H ₁₂ N ₃ O ₂ CuCl)·7H ₂ O	C ₁₂ H ₁₂ N ₄ O ₂ CuCl·H ₂ O
Formula weight	365.28	832.64	362.28
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> ₂ ₁ / <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> ₂ ₁ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> ₂ ₁ / <i>c</i>
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.47(2)	6.837(5)	7.370(6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	18.32(2)	12.21(1)	9.553(9)
<i>c</i> (Å)	7.646(9)	20.25(2)	19.58(2)
α (°)	90.00	90.00	90.00
β (°)	103.92(5)	95.20(3)	91.01(4)
γ (°)	90.00	90.00	90.00
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	1424(4)	1684(2)	1378(2)
<i>Z</i>	4	2	4
<i>D</i> _{calc} (g/cm ⁻³)	1.704	1.678	1.659
<i>T</i> (K)	123.1	123.1	123.1
<i>F</i> (000)	748	876	700
Crystal size (mm)	0.30×0.10×0.05	0.30×0.08×0.05	0.20×0.10×0.05
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	1.740	1.493	1.784
Reflection measured/unique	13847/3249	15125/3852	12528/3162
Observed reflections	2104	1302	2324
<i>R</i> (<i>F</i> ² >2σ(<i>F</i> ²))	0.030	0.068	0.028
<i>R</i> _w (<i>F</i> ²)	0.086	0.190	0.080
Goodness of fit	0.943	0.934	1.056
No. of variables	294	227	197

and Takara Bio Inc. (Otsu, Japan), respectively. Gene Ruler 100-bp DNA Ladder Plus and Loading Dye solution (Fermentas Co., Ltd.) were obtained from Cosmo Bio Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

Preparation of Complexes All single crystals of the complexes were prepared with copper(II) chloride, Gly, bpy, phen, and bpa. The typical syntheses and the results of the elemental analyses are described below.

Preparation of [Cu(Gly)(bpy)Cl]₂·2H₂O (1) Complex **1** was prepared according to the method described previously.¹⁾ One hundred and twenty milligrams (0.76 mmol) of bpy was mixed with 130 mg (0.76 mmol) of CuCl₂·2H₂O in 5 ml 80% (v/v) of methanol–water solution for about 5 min at room temperature. The aquamarine-colored precipitate appeared after adding copper salt. The precipitate was dried under a vacuum and assumed to be [Cu(bpy)Cl₂]. The calculation of the yield of the product as well as the elemental analysis of it were not done. Then, 4.4 mg (0.015 mmol) of the precipitate was reacted with 1.1 mg (0.015 mmol) of Gly in 5 ml of 70% (v/v) methanol–water solution for about 30 min at 343 K, until the volume of the reaction mixture was concentrated to ca. 1 ml. The concentrated light blue solution was allowed to stand at room temperature for slow evaporation. One week later, yellow-blue prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained from the mother liquor. *Anal.* Calcd for [Cu(Gly)(bpy)Cl]₂·2H₂O: C, 39.461; H, 4.416; N, 11.507. Found: C, 39.321; H, 4.522; N, 11.410.

Preparation of [Cu(Gly)(phen)Cl]₂·7H₂O (2) One hundred and forty milligrams (0.76 mmol) of phen was mixed with 130 mg (0.76 mmol) of CuCl₂·2H₂O in 5 ml of 80% (v/v) methanol–water solution for about 5 min at room temperature. The aquamarine-colored precipitate appeared after adding copper salt. The precipitate was dried under a vacuum and assumed to be [Cu(phen)Cl₂]. The calculation of the yield of the product as well as the elemental analysis were not done. Then, 4.7 mg (0.015 mmol) of precipitate was reacted with 1.1 mg (0.015 mmol) of Gly and 1.3 mg (0.015 mmol) of NaHCO₃ in 5 ml of water solution for about 30 min at 343 K, until the volume of the reaction mixture was concentrated to ca. 1 ml. The concentrated light blue solution was allowed to stand at the room temperature for slow evaporation. Three weeks later, blue prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained from the mother liquor. *Anal.* Calcd for [Cu(Gly)(phen)Cl]₂·7H₂O: C, 39.537; H, 4.740; N, 9.822. Found: C, 39.454; H, 4.632; N, 9.924.

Preparation of [Cu(Gly)(bpa)(H₂O)Cl] (3) One hundred and thirty milligrams (0.76 mmol) bpa was mixed with 130 mg (0.76 mmol) of CuCl₂·2H₂O in 5 ml of 80% (v/v) ethanol–water solution for about 5 min at room temperature. The dark green precipitate appeared immediately after adding copper salt. The precipitate was dried under a vacuum and assumed to be [Cu(bpa)Cl₂]. The calculation of the yield of the product and the elemental analysis were not done. Then, 4.6 mg (0.015 mmol) of precipitate was mixed with 1.1 mg (0.015 mmol) of Gly in 5 ml of degassed water solu-

tion, and the pH of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 5–6 using 20 mm NaOH. The reaction was continued for about 30 min at 343 K, until the volume of the reaction mixture was concentrated to ca. 1 ml. The concentrated light green solution was allowed to stand at room temperature for slow evaporation. Four weeks later, blue prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained from mother liquor. *Anal.* Calcd for [Cu(Gly)(bpa)(H₂O)Cl]: C, 39.899; H, 3.906; N, 15.514. Found: C, 39.788; H, 3.812; N, 15.513.

X-Ray Crystal Analysis The X-ray measurements were performed on a Rigaku RAXIS RAPID diffractometer with a graphite monochromatized MoK α radiation (50 kV–100 mA; λ =0.71069 Å) using the ω scan mode at 123 K. A summary of the crystallographic data and structure refinements is given in Table 1. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structure was solved by direct methods²⁰⁾ using the Crystal Structure²¹⁾ software package. The refinement was performed using SHELXL-97.²²⁾ All H atoms except those of water molecules were located from difference Fourier maps, placed at idealized positions, and treated as riding, with a C–H distance of 0.93 and *U*_{iso}(H) values equal to 1.2*U*_{eq}(C) (*U*_{eq} is the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter for the pivot atom.).

The function of $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$ was minimized by using the weight scheme of $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$. Final *R* [$= \sum (|F_o| - |F_c|) / \sum |F_o|$], *R*_w [$= (\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w|F_o|^2)^{1/2}$], and *S* (goodness of fit) [$= (\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / (M - N))^{1/2}$], where *M*=no. of reflections and *N*=no. of variables used for the refinement] values are given in Table 1. Anisotropic displacement coefficients were refined for all nonhydrogen atoms. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 2–4 for complexes **1**–**3**, respectively. The distance between the centers of gravities (Cg–Cg distance) were calculated using PLATON.²³⁾ The detailed H-bond data are summarized in Table 5.

The final atomic coordinates, anisotropic displacement coefficients, bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles of non-H atoms, and the atomic coordinates of H atoms have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge, U.K. (CCDC No. 289755 for [Cu(Gly)bpy]Cl₂·2H₂O (**1**), CCDC 628028 for [Cu(Gly)(phen)Cl]₂·7H₂O (**2**), and CCDC 628029 for [Cu(Gly)(bpa)(H₂O)Cl] (**3**).

DNA-Binding Experiments The binding experiment of the complexes to CT DNA was studied using the fluorescence method. Competitive binding studies were performed by measuring the emission of ethidium bromide (EB) bound to DNA which shows the enhanced emission intensity due to its intercalative binding to DNA.^{24,25)} The competitive binding of the complexes to the DNA reduces the emission intensity of EB with either a displacement of the bound EB from the bound to the free state or the bound complex quenching the emission.^{26,27)}

Fluorescence measurements were performed using a Hitachi-850 spectrofluorometer equipped with a temperature control bath. All the fluorescence measurements were taken at λ_{ex} of 545 nm and λ_{em} of 600 nm at 25 ± 0.1 °C.

In a typical binding experiment, 2 μl solution of 1.45 mM EB was added to a volume of 300 μl of 100 μM CT DNA solution. All the fluorescence measurements were taken at λ_{ex} of 545 nm and λ_{em} of 600 nm. Aliquots of 3 mM of a solution of the complexes in distilled water were added to the EB-DNA solution. After the mixtures were incubated overnight, their fluorescence intensity was measured after each addition. The fluorescence intensities were plotted against the complex concentration to yield a slope that showed the relative extent of binding of the complexes to DNA. A control experiment was also done with the EB in the absence of DNA.

CD Measurements CD spectra of the CT DNA were measured using a JASCO J-710 spectropolarimeter equipped with a Peltier temperature control device at 25 ± 0.1 °C. All experiments were done using a quartz cell of 1 cm pathlength. Each CD spectrum was recorded after averaging over at least 5 accumulations using a scan speed of 100 nm min^{-1} .

DNA Cleavage Experiments The cleavage experiments were per-

Table 3. Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (°) for Complex 2

Bond distances			
Cu1–O1	1.950(4)	N2–C6	1.348(8)
Cu1–Cl1	2.573(2)	N2–C10	1.330(9)
Cu1–N1	2.015(5)	N3–C14	1.481(8)
Cu1–N2	2.014(5)	O1–C13	1.285(7)
Cu1–N3	2.006(5)	O2–C13	1.231(8)
N1–C1	1.341(8)	C13–C14	1.527(9)
N1–C5	1.362(7)		
Bond angles			
N1–Cu1–N2	82.0(2)	N3–Cu1–O1	84.0(2)
N1–Cu1–N3	98.1(2)	O1–Cu1–Cl1	94.8(2)
N1–Cu1–Cl1	96.8(2)	C1–N1–Cu1	130.2(4)
N1–Cu1–O1	167.5(2)	C5–N1–Cu1	112.7(4)
N2–Cu1–N3	162.9(2)	C6–N2–Cu1	112.8(4)
N2–Cu1–O1	92.4(2)	C10–N2–Cu1	128.4(4)
N2–Cu1–Cl1	96.0(2)	C14–N3–Cu1	107.0(4)
N3–Cu1–Cl1	101.0(2)	C13–O1–Cu1	114.7(4)

Table 2. Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (°) for Complex 1¹⁾

Bond distances			
Cu1–O1	1.957(2)	N1–C5	1.354(4)
Cu1–O2*	2.748(2)	N2–C6	1.347(3)
Cu1–Cl1	2.635(5)	N2–C10	1.337(3)
Cu1–N1	2.002(2)	N3–C11	1.474(4)
Cu1–N2	1.999(2)	O1–C12	1.274(4)
Cu1–N3	2.006(2)	O2–C12	1.242(3)
N1–C1	1.338(3)	C11–C12	1.520(4)
Bond angles			
N1–Cu1–N3	98.49(9)	N3–Cu1–O2*	80.4(1)
N1–Cu1–N2	84.52(9)	N3–Cu1–O1	84.52(9)
N1–Cu1–Cl1	92.01(9)	O1–Cu1–Cl1	98.04(9)
N1–Cu1–O1	169.25(7)	O1–Cu1–O2*	82.3(1)
N1–Cu1–O2*	88.0(1)	C1–N1–Cu1	126.7(2)
N2–Cu1–N3	165.12(8)	C5–N1–Cu1	114.6(1)
N2–Cu1–O1	93.04(8)	C6–N2–Cu1	114.5(2)
N2–Cu1–Cl1	100.1(1)	C10–N2–Cu1	125.8(2)
N2–Cu1–O2*	84.8(1)	C11–N3–Cu1	108.7(2)
N3–Cu1–Cl1	94.8(1)	C12–O1–Cu1	115.5(2)

Symmetry code: (*) $+1-x, +1-y, +1-z$.

Table 4. Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (°) for Complex 3

Bond distances			
Cu1–O1	1.978(2)	O2–C11	1.241(3)
Cu1–Cl1	2.642(3)	C11–C12	1.524(3)
Cu1–O3	2.978(2)	N1–C1	1.355(3)
Cu1–N1	1.996(2)	N1–C5	1.348(3)
Cu1–N2	1.979(2)	N2–C6	1.339(3)
Cu1–N4	2.030(2)	N2–C10	1.362(3)
O1–C11	1.274(3)	N4–C12	1.481(3)
Bond angles			
N1–Cu1–N2	89.14(7)	N4–Cu1–O3	81.47(8)
N1–Cu1–N4	99.26(7)	O1–Cu1–Cl1	94.90(7)
N1–Cu1–Cl1	93.32(7)	O1–Cu1–O3	93.39(8)
N1–Cu1–O1	171.58(7)	C1–N1–Cu1	118.6(1)
N1–Cu1–O3	78.60(8)	C5–N1–Cu1	123.4(1)
N2–Cu1–N4	167.89(7)	C5–N3–C6	128.7(2)
N2–Cu1–Cl1	93.45(6)	C6–N2–Cu1	123.7(1)
N2–Cu1–O1	88.54(7)	C10–N2–Cu1	117.4(1)
N2–Cu1–O3	91.76(7)	C12–N4–Cu1	104.4(1)
N4–Cu1–O1	81.89(7)	C11–O1–Cu1	112.5(1)
N4–Cu1–Cl1	94.74(7)		

Table 5. Hydrogen Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (°) for Complexes 1¹⁾–3

Donor (D–H)	Acceptor (A)	Sym.code of A	D...A (Å)	H...A (Å)	D–H...A (°)
Complex 1					
N3	Cl1	$+x, 1/2-y, -1/2+z$	3.453(7)	2.60	157
N3	O3	$+x, 1/2-y, -1/2+z$	3.227(7)	2.40	153
O3	O2	$1-x, -1/2+y, 3/2-z$	2.799(3)	1.94	148
O3	Cl1	$+x, 1/2-y, -1/2+z$	3.199(7)	2.26	168
O4	Cl1		3.137(7)	2.15	172
O4	Cl1	$+x, 1/2-y, -1/2+z$	3.194(7)	2.33	145
Complex 2					
N3	O3	$3/2-x, -1/2+y, 1/2-z$	3.000(8)	2.12	165
N3	O2	$1/2-x, -1/2+y, 1/2-z$	3.031(7)	2.36	131
O3	Cl1		3.216(6)	2.16	178
O3	O6		2.743(8)	1.86	179
O4	O6		2.751(12)	1.69	164
O4	O5	$1-x, 2-y, 1-z$	3.00(1)	2.37	152
O5	O3	$-1+x, +y, +z$	2.827(8)	1.87	173
O5	O4		2.59(1)	2.59	179
O6	Cl1	$3/2-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z$	3.099(5)	2.06	176
O6	O1		2.889(7)	2.89	175
Complex 3					
N3	O3	$1-x, 1-y, 2+z$	2.937(4)	2.17	149
N4	O1	$1-x, -1/2-y, 3/2-z$	3.278(2)	2.44	146
N4	Cl1	$2-x, -1/2-y, 3/2-z$	3.297(2)	2.54	154
O3	Cl1	$-1+x, +y, +z$	3.224(2)	2.33	171
O3	O2	$1-x, -1/2-y, 3/2-z$	2.782(2)	1.90	179

Fig. 4. ORTEP II Drawing of Complex **2**, Showing 50% Probability Displacement Ellipsoids

The water molecules involved in the unit are omitted for the clarification of the basic Cu(II) coordination geometry.

torted square-pyramidal five coordination geometry. The Cu(II) atom is bonded to two N atoms from the bidentate phen ligand and one carboxylate O atom and one N atom from Gly in the equatorial plane and one Cl atom in the axial position. The Cu1 atom deviates from the mean square plane (N1/N2/O1/N3) toward the Cl atom by the upper distance of 0.2495(3) Å, similar to complex **1**. The five-membered chelate rings, Cu1–N1–C5–C6–N2 and Cu1–N3–C14–C13–O1, are formed with the phen and Gly ligands, respectively. These two rings are slightly twisted with the dihedral angle of 19.6(2)°. This is caused by the short contact between the H atom of C1 and the H atom of the amino group. The phen ring is almost planar. The Gly ligand takes an eclipsed conformation with the torsion angle of N3–C11–C12–O3 of 8.5(9)°. The two types of structure of Cu(II) complexes of Gly with phen have been reported to be a monohydrate complex, [Cu(Gly)(phen)Cl]·H₂O¹⁸⁾ and a trihydrate one, [Cu(Gly)(phen)Cl]·3H₂O.¹⁹⁾ Their overall structures are essentially the same as that of **2**, although the geometrical parameters are slightly different from that of **2**.

In the packing of **2**, the π – π interaction is present between phen rings as well as between the phen ring and the five-membered chelate rings with the distances between Cg1(N1/C1–C5) and Cg2(N2/C6–C10) [symmetry code: (1–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*)] of 3.56(10) Å, and Cg3(Cu1/N1/C5/C6/N2) and Cg4(C4–C7/C11/C12) [symmetry code: (1–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*)] of 3.46(10) Å, as shown in Fig. 5a. The hydrogen-bond networks are also indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 5b, in which the water O4 atom is disordered and refined as half-occupancy. The complex molecules are connected to each other by the available H-bonds. The overall complex molecules are stabilized by the H-bond network together with the π – π interaction.

The molecular structure of complex **3** is shown in Fig. 6. The Cu(II) atom has a distorted octahedral six coordination geometry. The Cu(II) atom is bonded to two N atoms from the bidentate bpa ligand and one carboxylate O atom and one N atom from Gly in the equatorial plane, and one Cl atom and one water O atom in the axial positions. In the square-planar coordination, atom Cu1 deviates by 0.1419(3) Å from

Fig. 5a. View of the π – π Interaction between Adjacent Complexes, between Cg1(N1/C1–C5) and Cg2(N2/C6–C10) [Symmetry Code: 1–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*], and between Cg3(Cu1/N1/C5/C6/N2) and Cg4(C4–C7/C11/C12) [Symmetry Code: 1–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*]

Fig. 5b. View of the Packing Pattern in Complex **2** Including H-Bonds Indicated by Dashed Lines [Symmetry Codes: (i) 1/2–*x*, 1/2+*y*, 1/2–*z*; (ii) –*x*, –*y*, –*z*]

Fig. 6. ORTEP II Drawing of Complex **3**, Showing 50% Probability Displacement Ellipsoids

The water molecules involved in the unit are omitted for the clarification of the basic Cu(II) coordination geometry.

the mean plane through the O1, N1, N2, and N4 atoms. The six- and five-membered chelate rings, Cu1–N1–C5–N3–C6–N2 and Cu1–N4–C12–C11–O1, were formed with the

bpa and Gly ligands, respectively. These two rings are slightly twisted with the dihedral angle of $16.9(1)^\circ$. This is caused by the short contact between the H atom of C1 and the H atom of the amino group. The two pyridine rings of the bpa ligand are slightly twisted with the dihedral angle of $2.7(3)^\circ$. The Gly ligand takes an eclipsed conformation with the torsion angle of N3–C11–C12–O3 of $9.2(3)^\circ$. The dihedral angle of the two pyridine rings of the bpa ligand is $28.5(1)^\circ$.

In the packing of **3**, the π – π interaction is not present. The hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 7. The complex molecules are connected by the H-bond networks through the coordinated Cl atom, water molecule, and imino group of the bpa ligand and by the carboxylate and amino groups of the Gly ligand. The complex molecules are connected to each other by the available H-bonds.

The coordination bond distances indicate that the carboxylate O atom of the Gly ligand has a strong interaction with the Cu(II) atom with the bond Cu–O distance values ranging from $1.949(5)$ Å in **2** to $1.978(2)$ Å in **3**. The interaction between the Cu(II) atom and N atoms of the heterocyclic and Gly ligands resemble each other.

In all of the complexes, the heterocyclic compounds behave as N,N bidentate ligands and the Gly ligand behaves as a N,O bidentate ligand and Cl atom is also coordinated as the common ligand atom.

Complexes **1** and **3** have a similar distorted octahedral coordination geometry, while **2** has a distorted square pyramidal one. The order of the r.m.s. deviations of the Cu(II) atom is $2 > 1 > 3$, while the order of the coordination bond lengths is the same. This is explained by the difference in the coordination geometries, in which the Cu(II) atoms in **1** and **3** are coordinated with two atoms in the axial positions, while in **2** it is coordinated only with the Cl atom in the axial position. The three H-bonds of the coordinated water molecule of **3**, O3–H14...C11, O3–H15...O2, and N3–H9...O3 may draw the Cu atom toward the square plane, as reflected in the relatively small r.m.s. deviation. At present, we have not confirmed why complexes **1** and **3** take the distorted octahedral coordination geometry, and **2** the distorted square pyramidal one, in other words, why the difference in the coordination geometries appears at the apical sixth coordinate ligand atom. We can only say that the structures of the complexes

Fig. 7. View of the Packing Pattern in Complex **3** Including H-Bonds Indicated by Dashed Lines [Symmetry Codes: (i) $-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z$; (ii) $-x, -y, -z$]

represent one of the energetically stable states in the crystal which includes various stabilizing factors such as hydrogen bonds, stacking interactions, steric hindrances, *etc.* affecting the structure of the coordination geometry.

DNA-Binding Studies Figure 8 shows the reduction in the fluorescence intensity of EB with the addition of the complexes to the EB-DNA solution. The extent of the fluorescence reduction of EB bound to DNA is used to determine the extent of binding of the complexes to DNA mainly by intercalation.^{29,30} The weak reduction in the fluorescence intensity caused by the addition of the complexes indicates a weak binding propensity of the complexes to DNA, which is indicated by the near slope in Fig. 8. The extent of the binding of complex **2** is predominant among the three complexes. From the reduction in the fluorescence intensity, the values of a linear Stern–Volmer quenching constant (K_{sq})³¹ which is the slope in Fig. 9 were calculated according to the Stern–Volmer equation, $I_0/I = 1 + K_{sq}r$, where I_0 and I represent the fluorescence intensities in the absence and presence of the complex, respectively, and r is the concentration ratio of the complex to DNA. The values of the apparent binding constant (K_{app})^{32,33} were also deduced from the slope of the quenching plot according to the equation $K_{app,complex}[Complex] = K_{app,EB}[EB]$ where $K_{app,EB}$ is the apparent binding constant of EB assumed to be $10^7 M^{-1}$ and $[Complex]$ is the concentration of the complex at 50% quenching. K_{sq} values were $3.2(1) \times 10^{-2}$, $8.8(2) \times 10^{-2}$ and $4.2(1) \times 10^{-2}$, for **1**, **2** and **3**, respectively, and $K_{app,complex}$ values were $6.0(1) \times 10^4 M^{-1}$, $1.6(1) \times 10^5 M^{-1}$ and $8.0(2) \times 10^4 M^{-1}$ for **1**, **2** and **3**, respectively. These results indicate that both K_{sq} and K_{app} values of **2** are about two-fold greater than those of **1** and **3**, although

Fig. 8. Relative Fluorescence Intensity of the CT DNA-Bound Ethidium Bromide (EB, $10 \mu M$) at Different Complex Concentrations in Tris–HCl 50 mM–NaCl 50 mM Buffer, pH 7.4 at $25^\circ C$ with the Addition of Complex **1** ($\diamond$), Complex **2** ($\square$), and Complex **3** ($\triangle$)

The concentration of CT DNA was $100 \mu M$. The excitation and emission wavelengths of EB were 545 nm and 600 nm, respectively.

Fig. 9. Stern–Volmer Quenching Plots Using the Data in Fig. 8: Complex **1** ($\diamond$), Complex **2** ($\square$) and Complex **3** ($\triangle$)

K_{app} values of **1**, **2** and **3** are remarkably small as compared with that of EB. The DNA-binding propensity can be reflected in the relative order $2 > 3 \approx 1$. The Cu(II) complexes with phen or bpy bind to DNA with several different binding modes, namely intercalation or nonintercalation, such as groove binding and binding to the phosphate group.⁹⁾ Chikira *et al.*⁹⁾ investigated the orientation of $[Cu(phen)X_{aa}]^{n+}$ (where X_{aa} is an α -amino acid) on DNA by recording electronparamagnetic resonance spectra of the complex. They suggested that a phen plane of $[Cu(phen)Gly]^+$ intercalates between the base pairs of the double helical DNA, and Gly in the ternary complex is partly replaced with some coordinating atoms such as purine nitrogen and pyrimidine nitrogen of bases or phosphate oxygen atoms in DNA. They also suggested that the three fused aromatic rings of phen are critical for the intercalative binding of the complexes from the absence of the intercalated species for $[Cu(bpy)]^{2+}$. Based on their results, the strongest binding of **2** among the three complexes may be explained by the intercalative binding of the phen plane of **2** between the nucleobases. The existence of the π - π interaction between phen planes in the crystal packing of **2** (Figs. 5a, b) suggests the occurrence of intercalative binding. Chikira *et al.*⁹⁾ suggested that the Cl^- ion is not coordinated with $[Cu(phen)]^{2+}$ in solution. Therefore the coordinated Cl atom in the crystal structures of the three complexes may be replaced by the water oxygen atom as suggested, and as a result, positively charged complexes interact with the negatively charged DNA. The weak binding of complexes **1** and **3** may be explained by the nonintercalative binding modes, since they include the same or analogous ligands with $[Cu(bpy)]^{2+}$, which does not intercalate into DNA. In this study, however, we did not investigate about the structures of the complexes in aqueous solution. At present we are not able to confirm whether the octahedral coordination geometry of **1** and **3** in the crystal structures or the dimeric units of **1** in the crystal structure (Fig. 3a) are maintained in aqueous solution, although the dimeric units of the Cu(II) ternary complex with bpy and *N*-propyl-norfloxacin in the crystal structure occurs as a mixture of dimeric and monomeric species in aqueous solution.³⁴⁾ Therefore we can conclude safely that complexes **1**, **2** and **3** interact with DNA, and but cannot suggest the exact binding mode of each complex. The precise explanation of the binding modes of the complexes will be confirmed in further studies.

DNA Cleavage The ability to cleave DNA of the complexes was investigated with gel electrophoresis using SC DNA. The electrophoretic migration patterns for the cleavage

of SC DNA are usually characterized by three forms (forms I, II, III).^{2,3,32,33)} The fastest migration of the electrophoretic pattern is called form I, which reflects supercoiled DNA. A slower migration is form II, which reflects nicked circular DNA. Form III reflects linear open circular DNA, and migrates between form I and form II. Figure 10 shows the results of cleavage of SC DNA in the presence and absence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid. The results indicate that the production of form II is apparently enhanced by the presence of complexes **1** and **3** in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid (lanes 5, 9), although form II is produced even in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid alone (lane 3). With the same concentration of complex **2**, form I was converted to form III or more cleaved to small fragments (lane 7). These phenomena suggest that complex **2** has the highest cleavage efficiency in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid.

Figure 11 shows the electrophoretic migration patterns of SC DNA induced by increasing amounts of the complexes in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid. Complexes **1** and **3** could convert form I to form II (lanes 5–9). In contrast, complex **2** could convert form I to form II (lanes 5, 6), form III (lane 7), and more small DNA fragments (lanes 8, 9). These results indicate that complex **2** induces intensive cleavage of SC DNA with the relative order $2 > 3 \approx 1$. The most effective DNA cleavage efficiency observed for complex **2** may be explained by the strongest binding ability of **2** with the intercalative binding mode. Chikira *et al.*⁹⁾ explained the intercalative binding mode of the ternary complex $[Cu(phen)(Gly)]^+$ as follows: the apical position of the ter-

Fig. 10. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of Oxidative Cleavage of SC DNA by the Complexes in the Presence and Absence of H_2O_2 and Ascorbic Acid in 10 mM Tris-HCl Buffer, pH 7.4, Containing 50 mM NaCl at 25 °C for 1 h

Lane: (1) and (10) Mark, (2) DNA alone, (3) DNA+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (4) DNA+complex **1**, (5) DNA+complex **1**+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (6) DNA+complex **2**, (7) DNA+complex **2**+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (8) DNA+complex **3**, (9) DNA+complex **3**+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid. For the oxidation cleavage reaction, 1 μ g of DNA was treated with 45 μ M of the complexes, 525 μ M of H_2O_2 and 525 μ M of ascorbic acid in 40 μ l of the reaction mixture.

Fig. 11. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of Oxidative Cleavage of SC DNA by Various Concentrations of the Complexes in the Presence and Absence of H_2O_2 and Ascorbic Acid in 10 mM Tris-HCl Buffer, pH 7.4, Containing 50 mM NaCl at 25 °C for 1 h

(A) Complex **1**, (B) complex **2**, (C) complex **3**. Lane: (1) and (10) Mark, (2) DNA alone, (3) DNA+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (4) DNA+complex (45 μ M), (5) DNA+complex (15 μ M)+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (6) DNA+complex (30 μ M)+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (7) DNA+complex (45 μ M)+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (8) DNA+complex (60 μ M)+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid, (9) DNA+complex (75 μ M)+ H_2O_2 +ascorbic acid. The concentrations of SC DNA, H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid were the same as in Fig. 10.

Fig. 12. CD Spectra of CT DNA in the Absence and Presence of the Complexes, H_2O_2 , and Ascorbic Acid

1, DNA alone; 2, DNA + (H_2O_2 + ascorbic acid); 3, DNA + complex 1 + (H_2O_2 + ascorbic acid); 4, DNA + complex 3 + (H_2O_2 + ascorbic acid); 5, DNA + complex 2 + (H_2O_2 + ascorbic acid). The CT DNA concentration was $150 \mu\text{M}$, the concentration of each complex was $50 \mu\text{M}$, and the concentrations of both H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid were $250 \mu\text{M}$ in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, containing 50 mM NaCl at 25°C . The samples were preincubated for 1 h at 25°C . CD spectra were measured at 25°C .

nary complex coordinates to a base or a phosphate group, and the species with the phen plane oriented perpendicularly to a DNA double-helical axis is intercalated in the DNA. This binding mode will bring the complex molecules close to the phosphodiester backbone of DNA and accelerate the hydrolysis of the phosphodiester bonds by a nucleophilic attack or several oxidative cleavage pathways with free hydroxyl radicals or hydroxide ions formed due to the reaction of the Cu(II) complex with H_2O_2 .^{2,3,35–37}

The DNA cleavage efficiency of the complexes was also examined with CT DNA using the CD method. Figure 12 shows the CD spectra of CT DNA in the presence and absence of the complexes, H_2O_2 , and ascorbic acid. CT DNA has characteristic CD bands consisting of a positive band near 275 nm due to base stacking and a negative band near 245 nm due to helicity characteristic of right-handed B-DNA.^{2,38} The intensities of both CD bands were decreased markedly in the presence of the complexes, H_2O_2 , and ascorbic acid, especially in the case of complex 2, although a slight decrease occurred even in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid alone. This result suggests that the decrease in the CD bands may be caused by the cleavage of CT DNA due to the complexes in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid, as indicated by the electrophoretic migration patterns of SC DNA. The conformational degradation of CT DNA is caused by the complexes with the relative order $2 > 3 \approx 1$.

Conclusion

The coordination modes of three ternary Cu(II) complexes with different ligand atoms, N,O bidentate glycine ligand, and N,N' bidentate heterocyclic ligand have been structurally characterized. The interaction between the Cu(II) atom and ligand atoms are discussed including the packing effects.

The DNA binding and ability of the complexes to cleave DNA were studied. Each of the complexes showed a propensity to bind to CT DNA and cleave SC DNA in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid. The complexes also degraded the conformation of CT DNA in the presence of H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid. Complex 2 [Cu(Gly)(phen)Cl] showed the most effective DNA binding, cleavage, and conformational degradation as compared with the other two complexes 1 [Cu(Gly)(bpy)Cl] and 3 [Cu(Gly)(bpa)(H_2O)Cl]. The physicochemical properties of the three ternary Cu(II) complexes

clarified in this study will be useful for further investigation of the biochemical behavior of Cu(II) complexes.

References

- Yodoshi M., Odoko M., Okabe N., *Acta Crystallogr.*, **E61**, m2299–m2301 (2005).
- Selvakumar B., Rajendiran V., Maheswari P. U., Stockli-Evans H., Palaniandavar M., *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **100**, 316–330 (2006).
- Li H., Le X.-Y., Pang D.-W., Deng H., Xu Z.-H., Lin Z.-H., *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **99**, 2240–2247 (2005).
- Lu L.-P., Zhu M.-L., Yang P., *Acta Crystallogr.*, **C60**, m21–m23 (2004).
- Kasprzak K. S., *Free Radic. Med.*, **32**, 958–967 (2002).
- Santra B. K., Reddy P. A. N., Neelakanta G., Mahadevan S., Nethaji M., Chakravarty A. R., *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **89**, 191–196 (2002).
- Kelland L. R., *Eur. J. Cancer*, **41**, 971–979 (2005).
- Ranford J. D., Sadler P. J., Tocher D. A., *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, **1993**, 3393–3399 (1993).
- Chikira M., Tomizawa Y., Fukita, D., Sugizaki T., Sugawara N., Yamazaki T., Sasano A., Shindo, H., Palaniandavar M., Antholine W. E., *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **89**, 163–173 (2002).
- Marshall L. E., Graham D. R., Reich K. A., Sigman D. S., *Biochemistry*, **20**, 244–250 (1981).
- Spassky A., Sigman D. S., *Biochemistry*, **24**, 8050–8056 (1985).
- Chen C. H. B., Milne L., Landgraf R., Perrin D. M., Sigman D. S., *Chem. Biochem.*, **2**, 735–740 (2001).
- Theederahn T. B., Kuwabara M. D., Larsen T. A., Sigman D. S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **111**, 4941–4946 (1989).
- Antolini L., Marcotrigiano G., Menabue L., Pellacani G. C., Saladini M., Sola M., *Inorg. Chem.*, **24**, 3621–3626 (1985).
- Antolini L., Marcotrigiano G., Menabue L., Pellacani G. C., *Inorg. Chem.*, **22**, 141–145 (1983).
- Moreno-Esparza R., Molins E., *Acta Crystallogr.*, **C51**, 1505–1508 (1995).
- Venkatraman R., Zubkowski J. D., Valente E. J., *Acta Crystallogr.*, **C55**, 1241–1243 (1999).
- Solans X., Ruiz-Ramírez L., Martínez A., Gasque L., Briensó J. L., *Acta Crystallogr.*, **C44**, 628–631 (1988).
- Zheng Y.-Q., Kong Z.-P., Lin J.-L., Zhou L.-X., *Z. Kristallogr.*, **216**, 137–138 (2001).
- Altomare A., Burla M., Camalli M., Cascarano G., Giacovazzo C., Guagliardi A., Moliterni A., Polidori G., Spagna P., *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **32**, 115–119 (1999).
- Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Rigaku and Rigaku/MSO. The Woodlands, TX, U.S.A. (2000–2004).
- Sheldrick G. M., SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- Speck A. L., *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **36**, 7–13 (2003).
- Waring M. J., *J. Mol. Biol.*, **13**, 269–282 (1965).
- Le Pecq J. B., Paoletti C., *J. Mol. Biol.*, **27**, 87–106 (1967).
- Mahadevan M. P., *Inorg. Chem.*, **37**, 3927–3934 (1998).
- Thomas A. M., Naik A. D., Nethaji M., Chakravarty A. R., *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **357**, 2315–2323 (2004).
- Reichman M. E., Rice S. A., Thomas C. A., Doty P., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **76**, 3047–3053 (1954).
- Baguley B. C., Bret M. L., *Biochemistry*, **23**, 937–943 (1984).
- Lakowicz J. R., Weber G., *Biochemistry*, **12**, 4161–4170 (1973).
- Ghosh S., Barve A. C., Kumbhar A. A., Kumbhar A. S., Puranik V. G., Datar P. A., Sonawane U. B., Joshi R. R., *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **100**, 331–343 (2006).
- Dhar S., Nethaji M., Chakravarty A. R., *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, **2004**, 4180–4184 (2004).
- Lee M., Rhodes A. L., Wyatt M. D., Forrow S., Hartley J. A., *Biochemistry*, **32**, 4237–4245 (1976).
- Efthimiadou E. K., Thomadaki H., Sanakis Y., Raptopoulou C. P., Katsaros N., Scorilas A., Karaliota A., Psomas G., *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, **101**, 64–73 (2007).
- Detmer C. A. III, Pamatong F. V., Bocarsly J. R., *Inorg. Chem.*, **35**, 6292–6298 (1996).
- Meijler M. M., Zelenko O., Sigman D. S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **119**, 1135–1136 (1997).
- Komiyama M., Takeda N., Shigekawa H., *Chem. Commun.*, **1999**, 1443–1451 (1999).
- Collins J. G., Shields T. P., Barton J. K., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **116**, 9840–9846 (1994).