Bioactive Constituents from Chinese Natural Medicines. XXIX.¹⁾ Monoterpene and Monoterpene Glycosides from the Roots of *Rhodiola sachalinensis*

Xuezheng LI, Seikou NAKAMURA, Hisashi MATSUDA, and Masayuki YOSHIKAWA*

Kyoto Pharmaceutical University; Misasagi, Yamashina-ku, Kyoto 607–8412, Japan.

Received December 28, 2007; accepted January 17, 2008; published online January 22, 2008

A new monoterpene, sachalol, and three new monoterpene glycosides, sachalosides VI, VII, VIII, were isolated from *Rhodiola Radix*, the roots of *Rhodiola sachalinensis*. The absolute stereostructures of new monoterpenes were elucidated on the basis of chemical and physicochemical evidence including the application of the modified Mosher's method.

Key words *Rhodiola Radix*; *Rhodiola sachalinensis*; Crassulaceae; monoterpene; sachalol; sachaloside

In the course of our characterization studies on bioactive constituents from Chinese natural medicines,^{2–11)} we have reported the isolation and structure elucidation of two new monoterpene glycosides, sachalosides I and II, two new flavonol bisdesmosides, sachalosides III and IV, a new cyanogenic glycoside, sachaloside V, from the roots of *Rhodiola sachalinensis* A. BOR. (Crassulaceae) together with 34 known constituents.¹²⁾ As a continuation of the characterization studies on *R. sachalinensis*, we have isolated a new monoterpene, sachalol (**1**), and three monoterpene glycosides, sachalosides VI–VIII (**2**–**4**). In this paper, we describe the isolation and absolute stereostructure elucidation of these new monoterpenes (**1**–**4**).

Isolation of Sachalol and Sachalosides VI–VIII The roots of *R. sachalinensis* were extracted with methanol under reflux as previously reported. The methanolic extract (14.6% from the roots) was partitioned into an EtOAc–H₂O mixture to furnish an EtOAc-soluble fraction (3.5%) and aqueous layer. The aqueous layer was further extracted with *n*-BuOH to give *n*-BuOH and H₂O-soluble fractions (4.4%, 6.5%, respectively). The EtOAc-soluble fraction was subjected to normal-phase and reverse-phase column chromatographies, and finally HPLC to give sachalol (**1**, 0.00074%). The *n*-BuOH-soluble fraction was also subjected to Diaion HP-20 column chromatography (H₂O→MeOH) to give the water- and methanol-eluted fractions (0.8%, 3.5%, respectively). The methanol-eluted fraction was subjected to normal- and reversed-phase column chromatographies, and finally HPLC to give sachalosides VI (**2**, 0.0011%), VII (**3**, 0.00038%), and VIII (**4**, 0.00028%).

Sachalol (**1**) was obtained as a colorless viscous oil with a negative optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D^{25} -7.2^\circ$ in CHCl₃). The IR spectrum of **1** showed absorption bands at 3339 and 1655 cm⁻¹ ascribable to hydroxyl and olefin functions. In the positive-ion chemical ionization (CI)-MS of **1**, a quasimolec-

ular ion peak was observed at *m/z* 173 (M+H)⁺, and the high-resolution (HR) CI-MS analysis revealed the molecular formula of **1** to be C₁₀H₂₀O₂. The ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) and ¹³C-NMR (Table 1) spectra of **1**, which were assigned by various NMR experiments,¹³⁾ showed signals assignable to three methyls [δ 0.96 (3H, d, *J*=6.8 Hz, H₃-10), 1.65, 1.75 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9)], a methylene bearing an oxygen function [δ 3.63 (1H, m, H-1a), 3.76 (1H, m, H-1b)], a methine bearing an oxygen function [δ 3.41 (1H, m, H-4)], an olefinic proton [δ 5.17 (1H, t, *J*=6.8 Hz, H-6)], two methyl-

Table 1. ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz) Data for Sachalol (**1**, CDCl₃) and Sachalosides VI–VIII (**2**–**4**, CD₃OD)

Position	1	2	3	4
1	60.6	69.4	66.4	66.7
2	35.9	33.1	121.7	121.6
3	36.2	36.4	142.0	142.0
4	75.6	76.9	37.7	41.1
5	33.4	33.5	30.3	23.5
6	120.2	122.5	78.8	44.3
7	135.6	133.7	73.8	71.4
8	18.0	18.1	24.9 ^{a)}	29.2
9	26.0	26.1	25.8 ^{a)}	29.2
10	16.7	16.3	16.5	16.5
1'		104.5	102.8	102.3
2'		75.1	75.1	75.0
3'		78.0	78.1	78.0
4'		71.6	71.7	71.7
5'		77.9	78.0	76.9
6'		62.8	62.8	69.5
1''				105.2
2''				72.4
3''				74.2
4''				69.5
5''				66.7

a) May be interchangeable within the same column.

Chart 1

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: myoshika@mb.kyoto-phu.ac.jp

Fig. 1

ene [δ 1.63 (2H, m, H₂-2), 2.19 (2H, m, H₂-5)], a methine [δ 1.73 (1H, m, H-3)]. As shown in Fig. 1, the double quantum filter correlation spectroscopy (DQF COSY) experiment on **1** indicated the presence of partial structures written in bold lines, and in the heteronuclear multiple-bond correlations (HMBC) experiment, long-range correlations were observed between the following protons and carbons: H-1 and C-2, 3; H-2 and C-4, 10; H-4 and C-5, 10; H-5 and C-3, 4, 6, 7; H-6 and C-4, 5, 7, 8, 9; H-8 and C-9; H-9 and C-8; H-10 and C-2, 3, 4. These results supported that the plane structure of **1** was the same as that of (3*S*,4*R*)-3,7-dimethyl-6-octene-1,4-diol, which was synthesized by Umemura and Mori.¹⁴ Next, the configuration at 4-position in **1** was characterized by the application of the modified Mosher's method. Namely, the 1-pivaloyl ester (**1a**), which was derived from **1** upon reaction with pivaloyl chloride in pyridine, gave the (*S*)-2-methoxy-2-trifluoromethylphenylacetic acid (MTPA) ester (**1b**) by treatment with (–)-2-methoxy-2-trifluoromethylphenylacetyl chloride [(–)-MTPACl] in pyridine. In addition, the (*R*)-MTPA ester (**1c**) was obtained from **1a** using (+)-MTPACl in pyridine. As shown in Fig. 2, the signals due to protons attached to the 5, 6, 8 and 9-positions in the (*S*)-MTPA ester (**1b**) were observed at higher fields compared with those of the (*R*)-MTPA ester (**1c**) [$\Delta\delta$: negative], while the signals due to protons on the 1, 2, 3 and 10-positions in **1b** were observed at lower fields compared with those of **1c** [$\Delta\delta$: positive]. Thus, the absolute configuration at the 4-position in **1** was determined to be *S*. On the basis of this evidence, the structure of sachalol was elucidated to be (3*S*,4*S*)-3,7-dimethyl-6-octene-1,4-diol (**1**).¹⁵

Sachaloside VI (**2**), obtained as a colorless viscous oil with a negative optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D^{27}$ –23.9° in MeOH), showed absorption bands at 3586, 1643 and 1032 cm^{–1} assignable to hydroxyl, olefin, and ether functions in the IR spectrum. The molecular formula, C₁₆H₃₀O₇, was determined from the positive-ion fast atom bombardment (FAB)-MS at *m/z* 335 (M+H)⁺ and by HR-FAB-MS measurement. Acid hydrolysis of **2** with 1.0 M aqueous HCl liberated D-glucose, which was identified by HPLC analysis using an optical rotation detector.^{3,7,12} Enzyme hydrolysis of **2** with β -glucosidase liberated sachalol (**1**). The ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD) and ¹³C-NMR (Table 1) spectra¹³ of **2** showed signals assignable to a sachalol moiety [δ 0.90 (3H, d, *J*=6.9 Hz, H₃-10), 1.40, 1.87 (1H each, both m, H₂-2), 1.60, 1.68 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9), 1.68 (1H, m, H-3), 2.07, 2.19 (1H each, both m, H₂-5), 3.38 (1H, m, H-4), 3.55, 3.97 (1H each, both m, H₂-1), 5.22 (1H, t, *J*=6.8 Hz, H-6)], together with a β -D-glucopyranosyl moiety [δ 4.23 (1H, d, *J*=7.5 Hz, H-1')]. The structure of **2** was characterized by means of DQF COSY and HMBC ex-

Fig. 2

periments. As shown in Fig. 1, long-range correlations in the HMBC experiment on **2** were observed between the following proton and carbons: H-1 and C-2, 3, 1'; H-2 and C-4, 10; H-4 and C-5, 10; H-5 and C-3, 4, 6, 7; H-6 and C-4, 5, 7, 8, 9; H-8 and C-9; H-9 and C-8; H-10 and C-2, 3, 4; H-1' and C-1. Furthermore, comparison of the ¹³C-NMR data of **2** with those of **1** indicated the presence of a glycosylation shift around the 1-position. On the basis of this evidence, the structure of sachaloside VI was elucidated to be (3*S*,4*S*)-3,7-dimethyl-6-octene-1,4-diol 1-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside (**2**).¹⁵

Sachaloside VII (**3**), obtained as a colorless viscous oil with a negative optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D^{27}$ –44.0° in MeOH), showed absorption bands at 3567, 1636 and 1040 cm^{–1} assignable to hydroxyl, olefin, and ether functions in the IR spectrum. The molecular formula, C₁₆H₃₀O₈, was determined from the positive-ion FAB-MS at *m/z* 373 (M+Na)⁺ and by HR-FAB-MS measurement. The acid hydrolysis of **3** liberated D-glucose, which were identified by HPLC analysis.^{3,7,12} The enzymatic hydrolysis of **3** afforded a known monoterpene, (*S*)-3,7-dimethyloct-2*E*-en-1,6,7-triol.¹⁶ The ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD) and ¹³C-NMR (Table 1) spectra¹³ of **3** showed signals assignable to a monoterpene aglycon moiety [δ 1.13, 1.17, 1.71 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9, 10), 1.39, 1.75 (1H each, both m, H₂-5), 2.08, 2.29 (1H each, both m, H₂-4), 3.24 (1H, m, H-6), 4.25 (1H, dd, *J*=7.6, 12.0 Hz, H-1a), 5.34 (1H, dd, *J*=6.4, 12.0 Hz, H-1b), 5.43 (1H, t like, *J*=ca. 7 Hz, H-2)], together with an β -D-glucopyranosyl moiety [δ 4.28 (1H, d, *J*=7.7 Hz, H-1')]. As shown in Fig. 1, long-range correlations in the HMBC experiment on **3** were observed between the following proton and carbon pairs: H-1 and C-2, 3, 1'; H-2 and C-4; H-4 and C-2, 3, 5, 6, 10; H-5 and C-4, 6, 7; H-6 and C-4, 5, 8, 9; H-8, 9 and C-6, 7; H-10 and C-2, 3, 4; H-1' and C-1. Finally, comparison of the ¹³C-NMR data of **3** with those of (*S*)-3,7-dimethyloct-2*E*-en-1,6,7-triol indicated the presence of a glycosylation shift around the 1-position. On

the basis of this evidence, the structure of sachalose VII was elucidated to be (*S*)-3,7-dimethyloct-2*E*-en-1,6,7-triol 1-*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside (3).

Sachalose VIII (4), obtained as a colorless viscous oil with a negative optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D^{27} -36.8^\circ$ in MeOH), showed absorption bands at 3503, 1647 and 1040 cm^{-1} assignable to hydroxyl, olefin, and ether functions in the IR spectrum. The molecular formula, $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_{11}$, was determined from the positive-ion FAB-MS at m/z 489 ($\text{M}+\text{Na}$)⁺ and by HR-FAB-MS measurement. The acid hydrolysis of 4 liberated L-arabinose and D-glucose,^{3,7,12} while enzyme hydrolysis of 4 with naringinase liberated a known monoterpene, *E*-3,7-dimethyloct-2-en-1,7-diol.¹⁷ The ¹H-NMR (CD_3OD) and ¹³C-NMR (Table 1) spectra¹³ of 4 showed signals assignable to a monoterpene aglycon moiety [δ 1.17 (6H, s, H₃-8, 9), 1.69 (3H, s, H₃-10), 1.50 (2H, m, H₂-5), 2.04 (2H, t, $J=6.9\text{ Hz}$, H₂-4), 1.49 (2H, m, H-6), 4.20 (1H dd, $J=7.6, 12.4\text{ Hz}$, H-1a), 4.35 (1H dd, $J=6.9, 12.4\text{ Hz}$, H-1b), 5.38 (1H, t like, $J=ca. 7\text{ Hz}$, H-2)], together with a β -D-glucopyranosyl moiety [δ 4.29 (1H, d, $J=8.2\text{ Hz}$, H-1')] and an α -L-arabinopyranosyl moiety [δ 4.31 (1H, d, $J=6.8\text{ Hz}$, H-1'')]. The proton and carbon signals due to the 1-*O*-glycoside moiety in the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 4 were similar to those of sachalose I.¹² As shown in Fig. 1, long-range correlations in the HMBC experiment on 4 were observed between the following proton and carbon pairs: H-1 and C-2, 3, 1'; H-2 and C-4, 10; H-4 and C-2, 5, 6, 10; H-5 and C-4; H-6 and C-4, 5, 7, 8, 9; H-8, 9 and C-6, 7; H-10 and C-2, 3, 4; H-1' and C-1; H-6' and C-1'; H-1'' and C-6'. Comparison of the ¹³C-NMR data of 4 with those of *E*-3,7-dimethyloct-2-en-1,7-diol and methyl β -D-glucopyranoside indicated the presence of glycosylation shifts around the 1- and 6'-positions. On the basis of this evidence, the structure of sachalose VIII (4) was elucidated to be *E*-3,7-dimethyloct-2-en-1,7-diol 1-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Experimental

General Experimental Procedures The following instruments were used to obtain physical data: specific rotations, Horiba SEPA-300 digital polarimeter ($l=5\text{ cm}$); IR spectra, Shimadzu FTIR-8100 spectrometer; EI-MS, CI-MS, HR-EI-MS, and HR-CI-MS, JEOL JMS-GCMATE mass spectrometer; FAB-MS and HR-FAB-MS, JEOL JMS-SX 102A mass spectrometer; ¹H-NMR spectra, JEOL EX-270 (270 MHz), JNM-LA500 (500 MHz), and JEOL ECA-600K (600 MHz) spectrometers; ¹³C-NMR spectra, JEOL EX-270 (68 MHz) JNM-LA500 (125 MHz), and JEOL ECA-600K (150 MHz) spectrometers with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard; HPLC detector, Shimadzu RID-6A refractive index detector; and HPLC column, YMC-Pack ODS-A (YMC, Inc., $250\times 4.6\text{ mm i.d.}$) and ($250\times 20\text{ mm i.d.}$) columns were used for analytical and preparative purposes, respectively.

The following experimental materials were used for chromatography: normal-phase silica gel column chromatography, Silica gel BW-200 (Fuji Silysia Chemical, Ltd., 150–350 mesh); reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography, Chromatorex ODS DM1020T (Fuji Silysia Chemical, Ltd., 100–200 mesh); Diaion HP-20 column chromatography (Nippon Rensui); TLC, precoated TLC plates with Silica gel 60F₂₅₄ (Merck, 0.25 mm) (ordinary phase) and Silica gel RP-18 F_{254S} (Merck, 0.25 mm) (reversed phase); reversed-phase HPTLC, precoated TLC plates with Silica gel RP-18 WF_{254S} (Merck, 0.25 mm); and detection was achieved by spraying with 1% $\text{Ce}(\text{SO}_4)_2$ –10% aqueous H_2SO_4 followed by heating.

Plant Material The dried roots of *R. sachalinensis* were collected at Jilin and Heilongjiang provinces of China in 2005 and identified by one of authors (M.Y.). A voucher of the plant is on file in our laboratory (2006, China-06).

Extraction and Isolation The methanolic extract (1457 g, 14.6%) was obtained from dried roots of *R. sachalinensis* (10.0 kg) as reported previ-

ously.¹² The aliquot (1395 g) from the extract was partitioned into an EtOAc–H₂O (1 : 1, v/v) mixture to furnish an EtOAc-soluble fraction (336 g, 3.5%) and an aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was further extracted with *n*-BuOH to give an *n*-BuOH-soluble fraction (418 g, 4.4%) and an H₂O-soluble fraction (620 g, 6.5%). The *n*-BuOH-soluble fraction (366 g) was subjected to Diaion HP-20 column chromatography (4.0 kg, H₂O $\rightarrow$ MeOH) to give H₂O- and MeOH-eluted fractions 65.9 g, 0.8% and 290.7 g, 3.5%, respectively. The EtOAc fraction (139 g) was subjected to ordinary-phase silica gel column chromatography [3.0 kg, $\text{CHCl}_3\rightarrow\text{CHCl}_3\text{--MeOH}$ (20 : 1) $\rightarrow\text{CHCl}_3\text{--MeOH--H}_2\text{O}$ (15 : 3 : 1, lower layer) $\rightarrow$ 10 : 3 : 1, lower layer $\rightarrow$ 7 : 3 : 1, lower layer) $\rightarrow$ MeOH] to give six fractions [Fr. 1 (18.0 g), Fr. 2 (38.7 g), Fr. 3 (19.8 g), Fr. 4 (13.1 g), Fr. 5 (15.1 g), Fr. 6 (17.4 g)]. Fraction 2 (16.8 g) was subjected to ordinary-phase silica gel column chromatography [450 g, *n*-hexane–EtOAc (20 : 1) $\rightarrow$ 10 : 1 $\rightarrow$ 5 : 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 : 1 $\rightarrow$ 1 : 1, v/v) $\rightarrow\text{CHCl}_3\text{--MeOH--H}_2\text{O}$ (20 : 3 : 1, lower layer)] to give eight fractions [Fr. 2-1 (117 mg), Fr. 2-2 (1681 mg), Fr. 2-3 (4256 mg), Fr. 2-4 (2233 mg), Fr. 2-5 (217 mg), Fr. 2-6 (2385 mg), Fr. 2-7 (3549 mg), Fr. 2-8 (1005 mg)]. Fr. 2-7 (3549 mg) was subjected to reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography [180 g, MeOH–H₂O (50 : 50) $\rightarrow$ 60 : 40 $\rightarrow$ 70 : 30 $\rightarrow$ 90 : 10, v/v) $\rightarrow$ MeOH] to give seven fractions [Fr. 2-7-1 (866 mg), Fr. 2-7-2 (483 mg), Fr. 2-7-3 (37 mg), Fr. 2-7-4 (175 mg), Fr. 2-7-5 (107 mg), Fr. 2-7-6 (89 mg), Fr. 2-7-7 (166 mg)]. Fr. 2-7-2 (483 mg) was further purified by HPLC [MeOH–H₂O (55 : 45, v/v)] to give sachalol (1, 21.3 mg). The MeOH-eluted fraction (188.8 g) was subjected to ordinary-phase silica gel column chromatography [3.0 kg, $\text{CHCl}_3\text{--MeOH--H}_2\text{O}$ (15 : 3 : 1, lower layer) $\rightarrow$ 10 : 3 : 1, lower layer $\rightarrow$ 7 : 3 : 1, lower layer $\rightarrow$ 6 : 4 : 1, v/v/v) $\rightarrow$ MeOH] to give seven fractions [Fr. 1 (428 mg), Fr. 2 (1.8 g), Fr. 3 (60.5 g), Fr. 4 (13.4 g), Fr. 5 (16.6 g), Fr. 6 (12.6 g), Fr. 7 (64.1 g)]. Sachaloses I–V was obtained from Fr. 4 and Fr. 6 as reported previously.¹² Fraction 3 (60.5 g) was subjected to reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography [1.5 kg, MeOH–H₂O (10 : 90) $\rightarrow$ 20 : 80 $\rightarrow$ 30 : 70 $\rightarrow$ 40 : 60 $\rightarrow$ 50 : 50 $\rightarrow$ 60 : 40 $\rightarrow$ 70 : 30, v/v) $\rightarrow$ MeOH] to give 11 fractions [Fr. 3-1 (12.1 g), Fr. 3-2 (3.4 g), Fr. 3-3 (2.3 g), Fr. 3-4 (822 mg), Fr. 3-5 (572 mg), Fr. 3-6 (26.5 g), Fr. 3-7 (956 mg), Fr. 3-8 (221 mg), Fr. 3-9 (347 mg), Fr. 3-10 (626 mg), Fr. 3-11 (356 mg)]. Fr. 3-7 (956.3 mg) was separated by HPLC [MeOH–H₂O (45 : 55, v/v)] to give sachalose VI (2, 61.9 mg). Fraction 5 (15.1 g) was subjected to reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography [450 g, MeOH–H₂O (20 : 80) $\rightarrow$ 30 : 70 $\rightarrow$ 50 : 50 $\rightarrow$ 70 : 30 $\rightarrow$ 90 : 10, v/v) $\rightarrow$ MeOH] to afford nine fractions [Fr. 5-1 (142 mg), Fr. 5-2 (5021 mg), Fr. 5-3 (860 mg), Fr. 5-4 (761 mg), Fr. 5-5 (6560 mg), Fr. 5-6 (212 mg), Fr. 5-7 (381 mg), Fr. 5-8 (386 mg), Fr. 5-9 (143 mg)]. Fraction 5-3 (860 mg) was separated by HPLC [MeOH–H₂O (20 : 80, v/v)] to give sachalose VII (3, 20.5 mg). Fraction 6 (11.6 g) was subjected to reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography [400 g, MeOH–H₂O (20 : 80) $\rightarrow$ 30 : 70 $\rightarrow$ 50 : 50 $\rightarrow$ 70 : 30 $\rightarrow$ 90 : 10, v/v) $\rightarrow$ MeOH] to afford ten fractions [Fr. 6-1 (1308 mg), Fr. 6-2 (813 mg), Fr. 6-3 (2004 mg), Fr. 6-4 (761 mg), Fr. 6-5 (586 mg), Fr. 6-6 (2039 mg), Fr. 6-7 (491 mg), Fr. 6-8 (2255 mg), Fr. 6-9 (787 mg), Fr. 6-10 (218 mg)]. Fraction 6-5 (586 mg) was separated by HPLC [MeOH–H₂O (25 : 75, v/v)] to give sachalose VIII (4, 15.5 mg).

Sachalol (1): Obtained as colorless viscous oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} -7.2^\circ$ ($c=1.25$, CHCl_3); IR (Film) ν_{max} 3339, 2928, 1655 cm^{-1} ; ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3 , 600 MHz) δ : 0.96 (3H, d, $J=6.8\text{ Hz}$, H₃-10), 1.63 (2H, m, H₂-2), 1.65, 1.75 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9), 1.73 (1H, m, H-3), 2.19 (2H, m, H₂-5), 3.41 (1H, m, H-4), 3.63 (1H, m, H-1a), 3.76 (1H, m, H-1b), 5.17 (1H, t, $J=6.8\text{ Hz}$, H-6); ¹³C-NMR data see Table 1; positive-ion CI-MS m/z 173 [$\text{M}+\text{H}$]⁺; HR-CI-MS m/z 173.1541 (Calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_2$ [$\text{M}+\text{H}$]⁺, 173.1545).

Sachalose VI (2): Obtained as colorless viscous oil; $[\alpha]_D^{27} -23.9^\circ$ ($c=2.98$, MeOH); IR (Film) ν_{max} 3586, 1643, 1032 cm^{-1} ; ¹H-NMR (CD_3OD , 600 MHz) δ : 0.90 (3H, d, $J=6.9\text{ Hz}$, H₃-10), 1.40, 1.87 (1H each, both m, H₂-2), 1.60, 1.68 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9), 1.68 (1H, m, H-3), 2.07, 2.19 (1H each, both m, H₂-5), 3.38 (1H, m, H-4), 3.55, 3.97 (1H each, both m, H₂-1), 4.23 (1H, d, $J=7.5\text{ Hz}$, H-1'), 5.22 (1H, t, $J=6.8\text{ Hz}$, H-6); ¹³C-NMR data see Table 1; positive-ion FAB-MS m/z : 335 [$\text{M}+\text{H}$]⁺; HR-FAB-MS m/z : 335.2077 (Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_7$ [$\text{M}+\text{H}$]⁺, 335.2069).

Sachalose VII (3): Obtained as colorless viscous oil; $[\alpha]_D^{27} -44.0^\circ$ ($c=0.84$, MeOH); IR (Film) ν_{max} 3567, 1636, 1040 cm^{-1} ; ¹H-NMR (CD_3OD , 600 MHz) δ : 1.13, 1.17, 1.71 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9, 10), 1.39, 1.75 (1H each, both m, H₂-5), 2.08, 2.29 (1H each, both m, H₂-4), 3.24 (1H, m, H-6), 4.25 (1H, dd, $J=7.6, 12.0\text{ Hz}$, H-1a), 4.28 (1H, d, $J=7.7\text{ Hz}$, H-1'), 5.34 (1H, dd, $J=6.4, 12.0\text{ Hz}$, H-1b), 5.43 (1H, t like, $J=ca. 7\text{ Hz}$, H-2); ¹³C-NMR data see Table 1; positive-ion FAB-MS m/z : 373 [$\text{M}+\text{Na}$]⁺; HR-FAB-MS m/z : 373.1844 (Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_8\text{Na}$ [$\text{M}+\text{Na}$]⁺, 373.1838).

Sachalose VIII (4): Obtained as colorless viscous oil; $[\alpha]_D^{27} -36.8^\circ$ ($c=0.79$, MeOH); IR (Film) ν_{max} 3503, 1647, 1040 cm^{-1} ; ¹H-NMR (CD_3OD , 600 MHz) δ : 1.17 (6H, s, H₃-8, 9), 1.69 (3H, s, H₃-10), 1.50 (2H,

m, H₂-5), 2.04 (2H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz, H₂-4), 1.49 (2H, m, H-6), 4.20 (1H dd, $J=7.6, 12.4$ Hz, H-1a), 4.35 (1H dd, $J=6.9, 12.4$ Hz, H-1b), 4.29 (1H, d, $J=8.2$ Hz, H-1'), 4.31 (1H, d, $J=6.8$ Hz, H-1''), 5.38 (1H, t like, $J=ca. 7$ Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR data see Table 1; positive-ion FAB-MS m/z 489 [M+Na]⁺; HR-FAB-MS m/z 489.2317 (Calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₁₁Na [M+Na]⁺, 489.2312).

Acid Hydrolysis of 2–4 Solution of 2–4 (each 1.0 mg) in 1 M HCl (2.0 ml) were each heated under reflux for 3 h. After cooling, each reaction mixture was neutralized with Amberlite IRA-400 (OH[−] form) and filtrated, and the solution was partitioned with EtOAc to give two layers. The aqueous layer was evaporated and then subjected to HPLC analysis using Kaseisorb LC NH₂-60-5 column (4.6 mm×250 mm i.d., Tokyo Kasei Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and an optical rotation detector (Shodex OR-2, Showa Denko Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with CH₃CN–H₂O [(75:25, v/v), 0.5 ml/min]. D-Glucose and L-arabinose were confirmed by comparison of the retention time with the authentic samples (Wako Pure Chemicals Ltd., Osaka, Japan); t_R : 13.8 min (D-(+)-glucose, positive optical rotation); t_R : 12.3 min (L-(+)-arabinose, positive optical rotation).

Enzymatic Hydrolysis of 2 and 3 with β -Glucosidase Solution of 3 (5.5 mg) in H₂O (2.0 ml) was treated with β -glucosidase (from Almond, Oriental yeast Co., Ltd., Japan, 1 unit) and the solution was stirred at 37 °C for 24 h. After EtOH was added to the reaction mixture, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by HPLC [MeOH–H₂O (45:55, v/v)] to furnish (S)-3,7-dimethyloct-2E-en-1,6,7-triol (2.7 mg, 90%). Through a similar procedure, enzymatic hydrolysis of 2 (7.2 mg) was carried out to afford sachalol (1, 3.1 mg, 82%). The obtained compound, (S)-3,7-dimethyloct-2E-en-1,6,7-triol, was identified by comparison of their physical data ([α]_D, ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, MS) with reported values.

Enzymatic Hydrolysis of 4 with Naringinase Solution of 4 (6.7 mg) in 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 3.8, 2.0 ml) was treated with naringinase (Sigma Chemical Co., 2 units), and the solution was stirred at 40 °C for 24 h. After EtOH was added to the reaction mixture, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by HPLC [MeOH–H₂O (45:55, v/v)] to furnish E-3,7-dimethyloct-2-en-1,7-diol (1.2 mg, 49%). The obtained compound, E-3,7-dimethyloct-2-en-1,7-diol, was identified by comparison of their physical data (¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, MS) with reported values.

Pivaloylation of 1 Solution of 1 (4.0 mg, 0.023 mmol) in pyridine (1.0 ml) was treated with pivaloyl chloride (20 μ l, 0.161 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (1.0 ml) and the whole was extracted with EtOAc (5 ml). The EtOAc extract was successively washed with H₂O and brine, then dried over Na₂SO₄ powder and filtered. Removal of the solvent from the filtrate under reduced pressure furnished a residue, which was purified by normal-phase silica gel column chromatography [*n*-hexane–EtOAc (2:1, v/v)] to give 1a (4.3 mg, 72%).

Compound 1a: Obtained as colorless viscous oil; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ : 1.20 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃CCOO), 0.97 (3H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz, H₃-10), 1.63 (2H, m, H-2), 1.65, 1.74 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9), 1.73 (1H, m, H-3), 2.17 (2H, m, H-5), 3.44 (1H, m, H-4), 3.65 (1H, m, H-1a), 3.77 (1H, m, H-1b), 5.17 (1H, t like, $J=ca. 7.0$ Hz, H-6); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ : 15.7 (C-10), 18.0 (C-8), 26.0 (C-9), 27.2 [(CH₃)₃CCOO], 33.4 (C-5), 36.1 (C-3), 36.2 (C-2), 38.7 [(CH₃)₃CCOO], 63.0 (C-1), 75.3 (C-4), 120.2 (C-6), 135.6 (C-7), 178.7 [(CH₃)₃CCOO]; positive-ion CI-MS m/z : 257 [M+Na+H]⁺; HR-CI-MS m/z : 257.2109 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₉O₃Na [M+Na+H]⁺, 257.2117).

Preparation of the (S)- and (R)-MTPA Esters, 1b and 1c, with MTPA-Cl Solution of 1a (1.7 mg, 0.0066 mmol) in pyridine (1.0 ml) was treated with (−)-MTPA-Cl (0.01 ml, 0.066 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water (1.0 ml) and the whole

was extracted with EtOAc (6 ml). The EtOAc extract was washed with H₂O and brine, then dried over Na₂SO₄ powder and filtered. Removal of the solvent from the filtrate under reduced pressure furnished a residue, which was purified by normal-phase silica gel column chromatography [*n*-hexane–EtOAc (3:1, v/v)] to give (S)-MTPA ester derivative (1b, 2.0 mg, 64%). Using a similar procedure, (R)-MTPA ester derivative (1c, 1.5 mg, 58%) was obtained from 1a (1.4 mg, 0.0055 mmol).

(S)-MTPA Ester 1b: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ : 0.97 (3H, d, $J=6.7$ Hz, H₃-10), 1.20 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃CCOO), 1.40 (1H, m, H-2a), 1.55, 1.63 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9), 1.85 (1H, m, H-2b), 1.94 (1H, m, H-3), 2.21 (1H, m, H-5a), 2.24 (1H, m, H-5b), 4.06 (1H, m, H-1a), 4.12 (1H, m, H-1b), 4.98 (1H, t, $J=7.0$ Hz, H-6).

(R)-MTPA Ester 1c: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ : 0.88 (3H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, H₃-10), 1.20 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃CCOO), 1.34 (1H, m, H-2a), 1.61, 1.70 (3H each, both s, H₃-8, 9), 1.80 (1H, m, H-2b), 1.92 (1H, m, H-3), 2.27 (1H, m, H-5a), 2.43 (1H, m, H-5b), 4.02 (1H, m, H-1a), 4.09 (1H, m, H-1b), 5.04 (1H, m, H-6).

Acknowledgments This research was supported by the 21st COE Program, Academic Frontier Project, and a Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

References and Notes

- 1) Part XXVIII: Nakamura S., Li X., Matsuda H., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **56**, 536–540 (2008).
- 2) Morikawa T., Xie H., Wang T., Matsuda H., Yoshikawa M., *Helv. Chim. Acta*, in press.
- 3) Zhang Y., Morikawa T., Nakamura S., Ninomiya K., Matsuda H., Muraoka O., Yoshikawa M., *Heterocycles*, **71**, 1565–1576 (2007).
- 4) Morikawa T., Zhang Y., Nakamura S., Matsuda H., Muraoka O., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **55**, 435–441 (2007).
- 5) Ninomiya K., Morikawa T., Zhang Y., Nakamura S., Matsuda H., Muraoka O., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **55**, 1185–1191 (2007).
- 6) Yoshikawa M., Wang T., Morikawa T., Xie H., Matsuda H., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **55**, 1308–1315 (2007).
- 7) Yoshikawa M., Morikawa T., Zhang Y., Nakamura S., Muraoka O., Matsuda H., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **70**, 575–583 (2007).
- 8) Yoshikawa M., Matsuda H., Morikawa T., Xie H., Nakamura S., Muraoka O., *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **14**, 7468–7475 (2006).
- 9) Xie H., Morikawa T., Matsuda H., Nakamura S., Muraoka O., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **54**, 669–675 (2006).
- 10) Morikawa T., Xie H., Matsuda H., Yoshikawa M., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **69**, 881–886 (2006).
- 11) Morikawa T., Xie H., Matsuda H., Wang T., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **54**, 506–513 (2006).
- 12) Nakamura S., Li X., Matsuda H., Ninomiya K., Morikawa T., Yamaguti K., Yoshikawa M., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **55**, 1505–1511 (2007).
- 13) The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 1–8 were assigned with the aid of distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT), double quantum filter correlation spectroscopy (DQF COSY), heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC), and heteronuclear multiple bond connectivity (HMBC) experiments.
- 14) Umemura T., Mori K., *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, **51**, 1973–1982 (1987).
- 15) The stereostructure of the 3-position in 1 has not been characterized yet.
- 16) Boar Robin B., Damps K., *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, **6**, 709–712 (1977).
- 17) Baxter R. L., Laurie W. A., McHale D., *Tetrahedron*, **34**, 2195–2199 (1978).