Potent 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl Radical-Scavenging Activity of Novel Antioxidants, Double-Stranded Tyrosine Residues Conjugating Pyrocatechol
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Antioxidants are grouped into three main types, polyenes, polyphenols, and keto-enols, by their chemical structures and stability,2,3) and their antioxidative properties are typified by L-ascorbic acid (vitamin C, VC), curcumin, and radicut (edarabon; Mitsubishi Welpharma Inc., Tokyo).9,10) In particular, phenols, catechols, and pyrogalols are the subject of ongoing investigations because they are contained in the side chains of anthocyanins, isoflavonols, and catechins in many plant products. Their compounds are used as ligands in anticancer treatment in addition to antioxidant substances.11)

Theoretical investigations of molecules using chemical hardness, represented by their chemical potential (μ), electronegativity (χ) and chemical hardness (η) index,12) are under way to characterize chemical reactions,13,14) biological activity,15,16) and molecular design.17,18) To well understand the relationship between antioxidant activity and molecular hardness, we show an interesting approach using an absolute hardness (η)–absolute electronegativity (χ) diagram based on chemical hardness. It was shown that quantum chemicals were required to develop potent antioxidants.
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Reactive oxygen species and free radicals yielded in excess in vivo have been noted as a cause of the pathogenesis of various diseases, cancer, inflammation, diabetes mellitus, and neurodegenerative disease, etc.1–3) Recently, it has become known that Alzheimer’s disease is also caused by oxidative stress.4,5) Free radicals yielded by the abstraction of hydrogen radical (H·) from biological targets or one electron reduction of oxygen accumulate oxidative damage in organs in vivo. Organs are constantly exposed to free radicals in vivo, such as hydroxyl radical ˙OH, superoxide ˙O2, singlet oxygen 3O2, and others. To understand the mechanisms of oxidative damage and to design and synthesize effective antioxidants, many studies have been performed in recent years.6,7)

Antioxidants are grouped into three main types, polyenes, polyphenols, and keto-enols, by their chemical structures and aromaticity (Fig. 1). Well-known polyenes are vitamin E (VE), licopine, and vitamin A; common polyphenols are catechins, flavonoids, catechols, and cyanidins;6) and keto-enols are typified by 1-ascorbic acid (vitamin C, VC), curcumin, and radicut (edarabon; Mitsubishi Welpharma Inc., Tokyo).9,10) In particular, phenols, catechols, and pyrogalols are the subject of ongoing investigations because they are contained in the side chains of anthocyanins, isoflavonols, and catechins in many plant products. Their compounds are used as ligands in anticancer treatment in addition to antioxidant substances.11)

We aimed to design and synthesize novel antioxidants using a chemically soft catechol (=pyrocatechol; pyrCat) ligands, selected based on the chemical hardness concept.19) The present work extends the synthesis, structure, antioxidant activity, and kinetics of novel antioxidants (1) conjugated with pyrCat to two N-termini of modified amino acid residues. The η values of the potent antioxidant active compound 1 are distributed to 2.23–2.34 (eV), between the values of ‘OH and DPPH’ radicals. This may be a requirement to develop potent antioxidants.

Results and Discussion

Double-stranded tyrosines (2a, b) were synthesized using the procedures described in our previous papers.19,20) Boc (Boc, tert-butoxycarbonyl)-protected tyrosine residue, Boc-L-Tyr(OBzI) was conjugated to a triethylengedioxy spacer to yield protected 2a by the C-activating method using N,N-carbonyldimidazole (CDI) in dry CHCl3 (Chart 1). The crude compound 2a was purified using chromatography over neu-

Fig. 1. Structures of Several Antioxidants and Trolox as Vitamin E Model
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tral silica gel and the Boc-protecting group was removed by treatment with 90% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to produce the free form $3a$. The 2,3-dibenzyloxy benzoic acid was conjugated to $3a$ to yield $4a$ by the diethylphosphocyanide (DEPC) method in dry $N,N$-dimethylformamide (DMF). After purification of $4a$, the benzyl-protecting group of Tyr and catechols was removed with $H_2$ under 5% Pd–C in methanol to yield the target $5a$. Compound $5b$ linked with 1,8-diaminooctane was also prepared using a similar method for $5a$ in about 55% yield.

The chemical properties of novel antioxidant 1 were evaluated by measuring the ability to scavenge stable DPPH˙ as free radical models. To determine the antioxidative activities of $5a$ and $5b$, we measured the radical-scavenging activity of $5$ with DPPH˙ $^{21}$ as the free radical model in 90% ethanol. Antioxidant activities are obtained from the slope of the plot of [DPPH˙] vs. the concentration of the antioxidant. In spectral changes in the reaction of $5a$ with DPPH radical, as shown in Figs. 2a and b, the maximum intensity of DPPH˙ at 520 nm decreased and the intensity shifted to the minimum intensity resulting from reduced DPPH at 13 $\mu M$ at 23 °C. Antioxidant activity is approximately equal to the value obtained by dividing the DPPH˙ concentration by the concentration of the antioxidant at the inflection point (Fig. 2b). As a result, the DPPH˙ scavenging activity of $5a$ was 36.7 (Figs. 2a, b), and that of $5b$ was 43.4.

On the other hand, the antioxidative activity of Trolox was 3.23. The activities of VC and pyrCat were 2.26 and 6.0, respectively, from data using a similar method (Fig. 2). The results showed that $5a$ and $5b$ have about 3—20 times more potent anti-oxidative activity than Trolox, pyrCat, and VC. Antioxidant activities increased in the following order: $\text{VC < Trolox < pyrCat < 5a < 5b}$ at 23 °C. Interestingly, we noted that DPPH˙ scavenging activity is related to the hydrophobicity of antioxidants and more hydrophobic $5b$ is higher than $5a$ and pyrCat.

Generally, DPPH˙ scavenging activity has been calculated using methods in the literature. $^{22,23}$ Here, we showed that a graph of $-\log [\text{antioxidant}] / [\text{DPPH}^+]_0$ vs. optical density (OD) of reaction mixtures obtained by the reaction of DPPH˙ with antioxidants gave an S-shaped curve, as shown in Fig. 2c. It was shown that the solution of the curve with the tangential line method is equal to the value at the inflection point (Fig. 2b); therefore, the DPPH˙ scavenging activities are determined by Eq. 1.

$$\text{scavenging activity} = \frac{[\text{DPPH}^+]}{[\text{antioxidant}]}$$

(at the inflection point; Fig. 2b) (1)

Where the concentration [antioxidant] is at the inflection point (i) in Fig. 2b. The $[\text{DPPH}^+]_0$ is the concentration of DPPH˙ used in this experiment. Although the calculation method using Eq. 1 must be about ten titration curves, absolute values of DPPH˙ scavenging activities can be expressed to the second decimal.

Direct detection of the DPPH˙ scavenging activity of $5a$ was accomplished by changes in the DPPH˙ signal (g = 2.0061) using electron spin resonance (ESR) (Fig. 3).
The ESR spectrum of DPPH\textsuperscript{−} shows quintet splitting at 0 min in 90% ethanol solution. ESR signals disappeared within 2 min by the reaction of 5a with DPPH\textsuperscript{−} (Fig. 3a); however, ESR signals of DPPH\textsuperscript{−} by treatment of Trolox with DPPH\textsuperscript{−} barely changed (Fig. 3b). After 5 min, the ESR signals of DPPH\textsuperscript{−} had barely changed, despite treatment with 10 times concentration of Trolox against DPPH\textsuperscript{−}. Obviously, the scavenging activity of compound 5a was higher than Trolox. The changes in the ESR spectrum of 5b provided similar results to 5a.

To compare the dynamic antioxidant activity of synthesized 5a with antioxidants, Trolox and pyrCat, the rapid redox reaction was analyzed using the stopped-flow spectral method (Fig. 4). Figure 4a shows stopped-flow spectral changes of DPPH\textsuperscript{−} reduced by 5a in deaerated 90% ethanol solution at 23 °C. The redox equilibrium within 2.0 s can be described as in the following Eqs. 2 and 3 (Chart 2) by the solution at 23 °C. The redox equilibrium within 2.0 s can be obtained from the plot of vs. DPPH\textsuperscript{−} at 520 nm by the redox reaction with 5a vs. DPPH\textsuperscript{−} (Fig. 5a); however, their scavenging rates were higher than 940 M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} and 2300 M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} of Trolox and pyrCat, respectively. The results clearly indicate that 5a and 5b have more potent antioxidant activity than pyrCat and Trolox at 23 °C, and the scavenging rates of antioxidants increased in the following order: Trolox < pyrCat < 5a < 5b.

Compounds 5a and 5b are two equivalent weights since 5a and 5b have two catechol rings in one molecule. As a result, the ratios must be about 2 times; however, when the DPPH\textsuperscript{−} scavenging activities of 5b (or 5a) were compared with pyrCat, the ratios were about 2.5—3.0 (≈36.7/14.8—43.4/14.8) times higher against pyrCat. The ratios for DPPH\textsuperscript{−} scavenging rates of 5a were about 3.7—8.7 (≈8500/2300—20000/2300) times higher than pyrCat. As an explanation, changing the electron energy, hydrophobicity and folding effect of two strands in the intramolecule as chemical properties of 5a and 5b are important factors to evaluate antioxidant activity. Figure 5 shows the chemical hardness\textsuperscript{(1)} and the change of pyrCat, radicals and compounds 5a and 5b. The change of electron energy is given by chemical hardness, absolute hardness (\(\eta\)) and electronegativity (\(\chi\)).

Reactions of 5a (or 5b) with DPPH\textsuperscript{−}.

Chart 2. Possible Pathway of o-Semiquinones (6 and 7) Formation in DPPH\textsuperscript{−} Scavenging
To elucidate the correlation between DPPH’ scavenging activity and 5a, we computed the chemical hardness using Becke’s restricted and unrestricted three-parameter nonlocal exchange and the Lee–Yang–Parr nonlocal correlation functional (B3LYP or UB3LYP) method\(^\text{25}\) with an all-electron 6-31G(d) basis set.\(^\text{26}\) In the molecular hardness theory, the first derivative (\(-\partial\eta/\partial N\)) is equal to electronegativity (\(\chi\)), while the second derivative (\(-\partial^2\eta/\partial N^2\)) is equal to hardness (\(\eta\)),\(^\text{2,2}\) where \(E\) and \(N\) are the total electron energy and electron number in the system, respectively. The calculated \(\eta\) and \(\chi\) values are listed in Table 1. Figure 5 shows the calculated \(\eta\)–\(\chi\) diagram\(^\text{17,18}\) as a coordinate \(r(\chi, \eta)\) for the electronic structures of antioxidants and radicals. The diagram shows the plot of \(\eta\) vs. \(\chi\) using \(\chi\) as the abscissa and \(\eta\) as the ordinate. From the diagram, DPPH’ and singlet oxygen (\(^1\text{O}_2\)) were softer free radicals than \(\cdot\text{OH}\) radical and triplet oxygen (\(^3\text{O}_2\)) in chemicals when the electronic structure coordinates of 5a (\((3.470, 2.239))\) and 5b (\((3.483, 2.231))\) were compared with ppyCat, Trolox, VC, and \(\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\). We found that the magnitude of \(\eta\) increased in the following order: DPPH’ < 5b < 5a < Trolox < VC < ppyCat < \(\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) < \(\cdot\text{OH}\) < \(\cdot\text{H}\). It appears that these orders are equal to the intensity of the radical scavenging activity between DPPH’ and antioxidants such as 5a and Trolox. In addition, the diagram suggests that H radical abstraction from H–O–O–H does not easily occur by DPPH’.

In fact, the scavenging activity of \(\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) by DPPH’ is lower than Trolox and VC. Our results show that softer compounds containing phenol or polyphenol groups in the molecule provide more potent DPPH’ scavenging activity; therefore, it is a useful guideline to design and develop effective antioxidants using the \(\eta\)–\(\chi\) diagram. Powerful antioxidants are chemically soft compounds.

### Conclusion

We reported the synthesis and potent antioxidant properties of novel double-stranded tyrosine chelators 5a and 5b conjugated with ppyCat. According to the results of DPPH’ scavenging activity using UV/Vis titration and the stopped-flow spectral method, 5a and 5b are more active than ppyCat, Trolox, VC, and \(\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\). Antioxidant activities may be estimated by the product of both static DPPH’ scavenging activity and dynamic scavenging rates. Moreover, antioxidant activities are related to chemical hardness, the potency of which can be estimated using the \(\eta\)–\(\chi\) diagram as a coordinate \(r(\chi, \eta)\) of the electron structure in antioxidants. Potent antioxidants have two requirements for their molecular design: (i) absolute hardness is softer than OH radical, \(\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\), or \(\cdot\text{O}\); and (ii) harder than DPPH’ or \(^1\text{O}_2\). The potent antioxidants, 5a and 5b, presented in this study satisfy these two requirements for molecular hardness. Rather, we have reported that compounds 5a and 5b are applicable to the inhibitors of Alzheimer’s disease-related \(\beta\)-amyloid protein aggregation\(^\text{27}\) and chelators.\(^\text{19}\) The antioxidant activities of 5a and 5b may provide useful pharmacological properties as model compounds, such as free radical scavenging molecules.

### Experimental

#### General Methods

The melting point was determined on MP-33 apparatus (Yanaco New Science Inc., Kyoto, Japan) and is uncorrected. Ultraviolet-visible (UV/Vis) spectra were measured with a Ubest-30 spectrophotometer (JASCO Co., Tokyo, Japan). pH was measured with a pH instrument, Model HM-600 (DKK-TOA Co., Tokyo, Japan). Infrared (IR) spectra (\(v_{\text{max}}\) in \(\text{cm}^{-1}\)) were recorded as KBr pellets on a JASCO A-102 spectrometer. Nuclear magnetic resonance (as \(^1\text{H}-\text{NMR}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\)) spectra were obtained with a AV600 or AV300 spectrometer (Bruker Biospin K.K., Yokohama, Japan) and NMR samples were dissolved in DMSO-\(d_6\)/CDCl\(_3\) (volume ratio = 5:2) with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. Fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FAB-MS) spectral data were obtained on a LMS-IH110 spectrometer (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and relevant data were tabulated as \(m/z\).

The general approach to the synthesis of the compounds (5a and 5b) was described in our previous papers.\(^\text{19,20}\) The peptide and related ligands were detected on thin- layer chromatography (TLC) plates using iodine vapor or UV absorption. Silica gel column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60N (100 mesh, neutral; Kanto Chemical Co., Tokyo, Japan). Solvent systems were as follows, A: CHCl\(_3\)–methanol (20:1), and B: CHCl\(_3\)–methanol–water (19:1:1).

#### Preparation of 5a

**DEPC (0.46 g, 2.8 mmol) was added to the solution of 2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoic acid (0.86 g, 2.58 mmol),** 3a (0.600 g, 0.92 mmol), and TEA (triethylamine, 0.37 ml) at 4°C in dry DMF (20 ml). After the mixture had been stirred at 4°C for 1 h, the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Ice water was added and the solution extracted several times with CHCl\(_3\). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and evaporated to dryness. The crude residue was obtained as a colorless solid in 65.7% yield; mp 122–124°C. 

#### Preparation of 5b

**DEPC (0.46 g, 2.8 mmol) was added to the solution of 2,3-bis(benzyloxy)benzoic acid (0.86 g, 2.58 mmol),** 3a (0.600 g, 0.92 mmol), and TEA (triethylamine, 0.37 ml) at 4°C in dry DMF (20 ml). After the mixture had been stirred at 4°C for 1 h, the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Ice water was added and the solution extracted several times with CHCl\(_3\). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and evaporated to dryness. The crude residue was obtained as a colorless solid in 55% yield; mp 122–124°C.

### Table 1. Calculated Absolute Hardness (\(\eta\)) and Electronegativity (\(\chi\)) of Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Absolute hardness ((\eta), eV)</th>
<th>Absolute electronegativity ((\chi), eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trolox*</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC*</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^1\text{O}_2)*</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^3\text{O}_2)*</td>
<td>4.705</td>
<td>8.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O})*</td>
<td>4.005</td>
<td>3.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\cdot\text{OH})*</td>
<td>5.415</td>
<td>3.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechol (ppyCat)*</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^1\text{O}_2)*</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>5.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH*</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a*</td>
<td>2.239</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b*</td>
<td>2.231</td>
<td>3.483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* At RB3LYP level using the 6-31G(d) basis set. \text{a) at UB3LYP level using the 6-31G(d) basis set for radicals.}
DPPH\(^\bullet\) Scavenging Assay  The scavenging activity of catecholated double-stranded tyrosine (5a and b) was evaluated using DPPH\(^\bullet\). The antioxidants and DPPH\(^\bullet\) were dissolved in 90\% ethanol (spectrophotometric grade) at various concentrations. 360—950 \(\mu\)M and 129—477 \(\mu\)M, to prepare stock solution, respectively. An 1 ml of the antioxidant solution was mixed with 1.0 ml of 129—477 \(\mu\)M DPPH\(^\bullet\) solution in a total volume of 10.0 ml made up of 90\% ethanol. After allowing the mixture to incubate for 30 min at 37°C, the absorbance (OD) of the reaction mixture at 520 nm was measured with a spectrophotometer. For example, the concentrations of 5a and DPPH\(^\bullet\) solution were 13 and 477 \(\mu\)M at the inflection point, respectively. Scavenging activity was 36.7 from Eq. 1. Trolox, pyrCat, and VC were used as reference samples of antioxidants 5a and b. Scavenging activity was calculated using the Eq. 1. Scavenging activities were as follows: 5a; 36.7 (\(\mu\)M/13 \(\mu\)M), Trolox; 14.4 (\(\mu\)M/40 \(\mu\)M), VC; 2.26 (\(\mu\)M/57.1 \(\mu\)M), and pyrCat; 14.8 (\(\mu\)M/30 \(\mu\)M).

ESR Measurements  ESR (RF100 spectrometer; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) measurements were recorded with 100 kHz field modulation operating at 9.455 GHz and in 90\% ethanol solutions at 25°C. The parameters employed were the modulation amplitude, 0.63 mT; microwave power, 2.0 mW. The spectra were recorded at 0.1 s intervals in a wavelength range of 23°C. The spectra were recorded at 0.1 s intervals in a wavelength range of 350—700 nm under anaerobic conditions purged with \(\text{N}_2\) gas.

Computational Chemistry  Optimized conformations of antioxidants 5a and b were searched using Gaussian 03 programs\(^{20}\) (Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, U.S.A.) running on an hpcs01 (HPC Systems Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The lowest energy conformers determined with conformational search (Monte-Carlo method) computation at the MMFF94 level (Spar-\(\text{tan}'08;\) Wavefunction, Inc., U.S.A.) was optimized using the restricted or unrestricted B3LYP level with a 6-31\(\text{g}(\text{d})\) basis set.
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