[Chem. Pharm. Bull.]
26(10)2941-2951 (1978)

UDC 547.857.7'546.47.04.08 : 543.422.25.06

Complexes between Nucleic Acid Bases and Bivalent Metal Ions. II.¹⁾ Complexes formed by Guanine or Cytosine, and Zinc(II)²⁾

SYOICHI SHIROTAKE and TAKEICHI SAKAGUCHI

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chiba University³⁾

(Received November 10, 1977)

The new 2:1 guaninium-zinc chloride, 2:1 cytosinium-zinc chloride, 1:1 cytosine-zinc hydroxy chloride, or 2:1 cytosine-zinc chloride complex was obtained from a diluted hydrochloric acid, 70% ethanol, or ethanol solution. The infrared and proton magnetic resonance spectra of these complexes were characterized to assign the binding site of zinc to guanine or cytosine. On the basis of these data, it was suggested that the N9 site of guanine was bound to zinc in the guaninium-zinc chloride complex, and that the N3 site of cytosine was coordinated with zinc in the 2:1 cytosine-zinc chloride and 1:1 cytosine-zinc hydroxy chloride. It was indicated that the N3 site of cytosine was protonated in the 2:1 cytosinium-zinc chloride complex.

Keywords—guanine; guaninium chloride; cytosine; cytosinium chloride; zinc complexes; infrared spectra; proton magnetic resonance spectra; lower-field shift; higher-field shift

Introduction

It is of interest that nucleic acid contains various metal ions.^{4,5)} Interaction of metal ions with nucleic acids includes binding to phosphate oxygen and that to bases of nucleic acids.⁶⁻¹¹⁾ Eichhorn and Shin^{11,12)} suggested that Zn^{2+} is bound to the bases when Zn^{2+} takes part in the reversible winding and unwinding of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, it is important to study the binding site of Zn^{2+} to each individual base.

The present study was undertaken to investigate the interaction of guanine or cytosine with Zn^{2+} , and to examine the resulting crystals of the new 2:1 guaninium-zinc chloride, 2:1 cytosinium-zinc chloride, 1:1 cytosine-zinc hydroxy chloride, and 2:1 cytosine-zinc chloride complexes. The binding site of Zn^{2+} to guanine or cytosine in the complexes is discussed on the basis of infrared (IR) and proton magnetic resonance (PMR) spectral data.

Fig. 1. Interaction of Zinc with DNA

- 1) Part I: S. Shirotake and T. Sakaguchi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo), **25**, 3232 (1977).
- 2) Presented in part at the 94th Annual Meeting of the Pharmaceutical Society of Japan, Sendai, April, 1974.
- 3) Location: I-33, Yayoi-cho, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 260, Japan.
- 4) H.S. Loring and D.S. Waritz, *Science*, **125**, 646 (1957).
- 5) W.E.C. Wacker and B.L. Vallee, *J. Biol. Chem.*, **234**, 3257 (1959).
- 6) J. Shack, R.J. Jenkins, and J.M. Thompsett, *J. Biol. Chem.*, **203**, 373 (1953).
- 7) G. Felsenfeld and S. Huang, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **34**, 234 (1959).
- 8) J. Eisinger, R.G. Shulman, and W.E. Blumberg, *Nature* (London), **192**, 963 (1961).
- 9) J. Eisinger, R.G. Shulman, and B.M. Szymanski, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **36**, 1721 (1962).
- 10) W.F. Dove and N. Davidson, *J. Mol. Biol.*, **5**, 467 (1962).
- 11) G.L. Eichhorn and Y.A. Shin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 7323 (1968).
- 12) Y.A. Shin and G.L. Eichhorn, *Biochemistry*, **7**, 1026 (1968).

Experimental

Materials—Guanine (Sigma Chemical Co., U.S.A.) was recrystallized from diluted HCl before use. Cytosine (Sigma Chemical Co.) was recrystallized from H₂O. ZnCl₂ was from Koso Chemical Co., Tokyo. EtOH was dried over CaO and distilled before use.

Syntheses—Guaninium-Zinc Chloride (2/1) Complex (2:1 Guanium-ZnCl₄): Guanine (500 mg) was dissolved in 0.3 N HCl (100 ml) with stirring at 50°, ZnCl₂ (1.5 g) was added, the mixture was stirred at 70° for 2–3 hr, and the mixture was allowed to stand in a thermostat at 40°. After 3–5 days, colorless columnar crystals of 2:1 guanium-ZnCl₄ were obtained. The complex decomposed at above 350°. When exposed to ultraviolet (UV) ray of 365 nm, the complex gave a blue fluorescence. *Anal.* Calcd. for (C₅H₅N₅O)₂·ZnCl₄·2H₂O: C, 21.94; H, 2.95; N, 25.59; Zn, 11.94. Found: C, 21.80; H, 2.95; N, 25.78; Zn, 11.87.

Guaninium-Zinc Chloride (1/1) Complex (Guaninium-ZnCl₃): The complex was prepared according to the method of Srinivasan and Taylor.¹³⁾

Guaninium-Copper Chloride (1/1) Complex (Guaninium-CuCl₃): This complex was synthesized by the method of Carrabine and Sundaralingam.^{14,15)}

Cytosinium-Zinc Chloride (2/1) Complex (2:1 Cytosinium-ZnCl₄): Cytosine (470 mg) was dissolved in 0.1 N HCl (100 ml) with stirring at 60°, ZnCl₂ (1 g) was added, the mixture was heated at 70° for 3 hr with stirring, and allowed to stand at room temperature. After 3–4 days, white columnar crystals of 2:1 cytosinium-ZnCl₄ were obtained. The complex decomposed at above 300°. *Anal.* Calcd. for (C₄H₅N₃O)₂·ZnCl₄: C, 22.27; H, 2.81; N, 19.48; Zn, 15.14. Found: C, 22.11; H, 2.88; N, 19.49; Zn, 14.99.

Cytosine-Zinc Hydroxy Chloride (1/1) Complex (Cytosine-Zn(OH)Cl): Cytosine (470 mg) was dissolved in 70% EtOH (100 ml) with stirring at 60°, ZnCl₂ (1 g) was added, the mixture was boiled under reflux for 3–4 hr, and allowed to stand in a thermostat at 40°. After 2–3 days, colorless columnar crystals of cytosine-Zn(OH)Cl were obtained. The complex decomposed at above 350°. *Anal.* Calcd. for (C₄H₅N₃O)Zn(OH)Cl: C, 21.08; H, 2.66; N, 18.44; Zn, 28.68. Found: C, 21.00; H, 2.59; N, 18.64; Zn, 28.53.

Cytosine-Zinc Chloride (2/1) Complex (2:1 Cytosine-ZnCl₂): Cytosine (470 mg) was dissolved in EtOH (100 ml) with stirring at 65°, ZnCl₂ (1 g) was added, the mixture was boiled under reflux for 5 hr, and allowed to stand in a thermostat at 40°. After 2–3 days, white micro columnar crystals of 2:1 cytosine-ZnCl₂ were obtained. The complex decomposed at above 300°. *Anal.* Calcd. for (C₄H₅N₃O)₂·ZnCl₂: C, 26.79; H, 2.82; N, 23.44; Zn, 18.23. Found: C, 26.83; H, 2.82; N, 23.37; Zn, 18.09.

Cytosine-Copper Chloride (2/1) Complex (2:1 Cytosine-CuCl₂): The complex was prepared according to the method of Melzer.¹⁶⁾

Measurement of IR Spectra—The spectra of these complexes were measured on a Hitachi Model EPI-295 spectrophotometer, as a KBr disk or in 10% DCl+D₂O (DCl) and EtOD solutions. The spectra in DCl and EtOD solutions were obtained by using As₂Se₃ cell (0.1 mm).

Measurement of PMR Spectra—Guanine, guanium chloride (guanine hydrochloride), and guanium-zinc chloride complexes were each dissolved to 0.1 M concentration (for the ligand in the complexes) in 20% DCl+D₂O, CF₃COOH (trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)), or (CD₃)₂SO (dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-*d*₆). Cytosine, cytosinium chloride (cytosine hydrochloride), and the 2:1 cytosinium-ZnCl₄, cytosine-Zn(OH)Cl, and 2:1 cytosine-ZnCl₂ complexes were each dissolved to 0.1 M concentration (for the ligand in the complexes) in D₂O, TFA, DMSO-*d*₆, or DMSO-*d*₆+4% H₂O. Their chemical shifts were measured on a JEOL Model NM4H-100 spectrometer operated at 100 MHz, at 24°. Internal references used were DSS (in D₂O, 20% DCl+D₂O, or TFA) and TMS (in DMSO-*d*₆ or DMSO-*d*₆+4% H₂O). PMR solvents used were commercial products (from Sigma Chemical Co.).

Results and Discussion

New guanium-, cytosinium-, or cytosine-zinc chloride complexes were obtained from an acidic or ethanol solution. IR and PMR spectra of these complexes were characterized to assign the binding site of zinc to guanine or cytosine.

Infrared Spectra

Guanine-Zn²⁺ Complexes—The IR spectrum of 2:1 guanium-ZnCl₄ was characterized by investigating the characteristic bands on complexation of the guanium-ZnCl₃ and -CuCl₃ in which the metal is bound to N(9) position of the guanine ring protonated at N(3) and N(7).^{13–15)}

13) L. Srinivasan and M.R. Taylor, *Chem. Commun.*, 1970, 1668.

14) J.A. Carrabine and M. Sundaralingam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 369 (1970).

15) M. Sundaralingam and J.A. Carrabine, *J. Mol. Biol.*, **61**, 287 (1971).

16) M.S. Melzer, *Chem. Commun.*, 1967, 1052.

TABLE I. Relevant Infrared Absorption Bands of Guanine, Guaninium Chloride, and Guaninium-Metal Complexes in KBr Disk (in 300—3500 cm^{-1} region)

Guanine	Tentative assignment	Guaninium chloride	2:1 G-Zn ^{a)}	1:1 G-Zn ^{b)}	1:1 G-Cu ^{c)}
	νOH	3450 s	3420 s		3500 s
3320 s	νNH_2	3390 s	3380 s	3375 s	3350 s
	νNH_2	3330 s	3330 s	3300 s	3290 s
	νNH_2		3250 m	3250 s	3240 s
3160 s	νNH_2	3170 s	3170 s	3180 s	3185 s
		3040 s	3050 sh	3050 sh	3060 s
3000 s	$\nu\text{NH}, \text{CH}$	3000 s	3010 m	3000 sh	3000 sh
2900 s	νNH	2920 s	2925 s	2920 m	2925 m
2850 s	νNH	2875 s			
	$\nu\text{N}(3)\text{-H}$		2825 m	2830 m	2825 m
		2750 s	2760 m	2760 m	2760 m
2700 s	$\nu\text{N}(9)\text{-H}$	2600 m			
1705 s	$\nu\text{C=O}$	1715 s	1720 s	1734 s	1732 s
1680 s	δNH_2 scissoring	1668 s	1665 s	1685 s	1670 s
	$\nu\text{C=N}^+$	1615 s	1642 m	1632 m	1635 m
	$\nu\text{C=N}^+$		1612 m	1608 m	1620 m
1587 m	$\nu\text{C=C} + \nu\text{C=N}$	1594 s	1595 m	1592 m	1592 m
1578 m	$\nu\text{C=C} + \nu\text{C=N}$	1580 m	1580 m	1580 m	1580 m
1563 m	δNH	1560 m	1557 m	1557 m	1562 m
			1545 sh	1543 sh	1543 sh
	δNH	1518 m	1517 m	1515 m	1520 m
1477 m	Ring vib.	1470 m	1475 m	1476 m	1482 m
1464 m	Ring vib.		1455 m	1452 m	1450 m
1418 m	Ring vib.	1395 m	1399 m	1390 sh	1390 w
1375 m	Ring vib.	1370 m	1370 m	1374 m	1374 m
	Ring vib.	1340 m	1336 w	1340 w	1320 w
			1310 w	1309 w	1304 w
1263 m	$\nu\text{C-N}$		1255 w	1255 w	1257 w
	$\nu\text{C-N}$	1240 w	1236 w	1236 w	1240 w
1215 m	Ring vib.	1182 m	1200 w	1203 m	1202 m
1172 m	Ring vib.	1145 w	1154 m	1156 m	1156 m
1100 sh	δNH_2 rocking	1070 w	1095 w	1080 w	1080 w
1042 w		1047 w	1039 w	1038 w	1048 w
	Ring vib.		972 w	973 w	972 w
	Ring vib.	930 w	930 w	930 w	929 w
880 m	δNH		885 sh	889 w	900 w
851 m	δNH	850 m	857 m	849 m	850 w
	δNH	840 m	834 m	830 sh	830 sh
781 m	δCH_2	774 m	769 m	765 m	765 m
730 w		741 w	735 w	730 w	730 sh
	Ring vib.	713 w	726 w	720 sh	718 w
705 m	Ring vib.		709 w	709 w	705 w
689 m	Ring vib.	682 m	687 w	673 w	678 sh
649 m	δNH_2 wagging	648 m	642 m	651 m	656 m
608 m	Ring vib.	610 w	607 m	606 m	609 m
560 w	Ring vib.	550 m			
544 w	Ring vib.	530 m	546 w	538 m	542 m
515 w	Ring vib.		523 sh	525 sh	520 w
506 w	Ring vib.	506 m	508 sh	510 w	509 w
	Ring vib.		498 m	492 m	494 m
350 w	Ring vib.	350 sh	353 w	350 w	355 w
	$\nu\text{N-Metal}$		319 w	319 w	328 w

a) 2:1 guaninium-ZnCl₂.b) 1:1 guaninium-ZnCl₂.c) 1:1 guaninium-CuCl₂.

The relevant infrared absorption bands are presented in Table I. In guanine, absorption bands due to the ring vibration were observed in the region of 300–1500 cm^{-1} .^{17–19} Especially, the bands at 350, 506, 515, 689, 705, and 781 cm^{-1} were assigned to the skeletal ring vibrations of guanine.^{18,19} These bands were clearly observed in the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 , guaninium- ZnCl_3 , and guaninium- CuCl_3 , indicating that the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 contains the guanine skeleton.

In IR spectrum of the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 , many bands appeared newly in the region of 300–1500 cm^{-1} , as in the guaninium- ZnCl_3 and - CuCl_3 . Of the new absorption bands, those at near 720, 930, 1240, and 1390 cm^{-1} were comparable to the ring vibration of guaninium chloride as shown in Table I. On the other hand, the new bands at near 490, 970, 1250, and 1300 cm^{-1} , not present in guanine and guaninium chloride, were assigned to the ring deformation or stretching vibration of the complexes. Moreover, the band assigned to the N-Metal stretching vibration^{20,21} was observed at 328 cm^{-1} in the guaninium- CuCl_3 , at 319 cm^{-1} in the guaninium- ZnCl_3 , and at 319 cm^{-1} in the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 . These facts suggest protonation and binding of the metal to either N(3), N(7), or N(9) site of guanine ring in the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 , as in the guaninium- ZnCl_3 and - CuCl_3 .

Guanine is protonated at N(7) site firstly and at N(3) site secondarily.²² In the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 , N(7) site is protonated, because the δ N(7)-H bands were observed at 834 (δ N(7)-H out-plane) and 1517 cm^{-1} (δ N(7)-H in-plane), as in guaninium chloride,²³ and guaninium- ZnCl_3 and - CuCl_3 complexes (Fig. 2, A). Moreover, N(3) site is suggested to be protonated in the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 , since the ν N(3)-H band²⁴ in the guaninium- CuCl_3 was observed in the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 and guaninium- ZnCl_3 (Table I).

Of the absorption bands in the region of 1600–1800 cm^{-1} , those of strong intensity at 1665 and 1720

Fig. 2

Fig. 3. Infrared Absorption Spectra in the Region of 1600–1800 cm^{-1} in KBr Disk

A, guanine; B, guaninium chloride; C, 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 ; D, guaninium- ZnCl_3 ; E, guaninium- CuCl_3 .

- 17) C.L. Angell, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1961**, 504.
- 18) M. Tsuboi and Y. Kyogoku, "Synthetic Procedures in Nucleic Acid Chemistry," Vol. 2, eds. W.W. Zorbach and R.S. Tipson, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1973.
- 19) M. Tsuboi, "Basic Principles in Nucleic Acid Chemistry," Vol. 1, ed. P.O.P. Ts'o, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- 20) K. Nakamoto, "Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compound," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1963.
- 21) K. Nakamoto, "Coordination Chemistry," Vol. 1, ed. A.E. Martell, Van Norstrand-Reinhold Company, New York, 1973.
- 22) G. Budo and J. Tomasz, *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Aca. Sci. Hung.*, **9**, 217 (1974).
- 23) J.M. Broomhead, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **4**, 92 (1951).
- 24) T. Fujita and T. Sakaguchi, *Yakugaku Zasshi*, **97**, 1107 (1977).

TABLE II. Relevant Infrared Absorption Bands of Cytosine, 2:1
Cytosinium-ZnCl₄*, Cytosine-Zn(OH)Cl,** and 2:1
Cytosine-ZnCl₂*** in KBr Disk
(in 300—3500 cm⁻¹ region)

Cytosine	Tentative assignment	2:1 CytH-Zn*	Cyt-Zn**	2:1 Cyt-Zn***
3450 s	ν OH		3520 s	
3375 s	ν NH ₂	3400 s	3450 s	3440 s
	ν NH ₂	3300 s	3330 s	3380 s
	ν NH ₂			3247 s
3175 s	ν NH ₂	3200 s	3200 sh	3210 s
	ν NH	3150 s		
3100 sh	ν CH, ν NH	3110 s	3100 s	3083m
		3020 s	3065m	3030 w
2980m	ν NH		2970m	2960 w
2920m	ν NH		2880m	2892 w
2850m	ν NH	2800 w	2840m	2800 w
2800m	ν NH	2720 w	2700m	
2700m	ν NH		2610m	
1665 s	δ NH ₂ scissoring	1676 s	1681 s	1680 s
1645 s	ν C=O	1735 s	1636 s	1645 s
	ν C=N ⁺	1625m	1618 sh	1613 s
1600 sh	ν C=C + ν C=N			
1575 w	ν C=C + ν C=N	1580 w	1585 sh	1580 w
	δ NH	1570m		
1539m	δ NH	1543m	1537m	1540m
1503 s	ν C=C + ν C=N, ring vib.	1510 w	1515m	1515m
	Ring vib.	1490m	1493m	1507m
	Ring vib.		1476m	1476m
1460 s	Ring vib.	1402m	1456m	1447m
1370m	Ring vib.	1366 w	1370m	1369 w
1290m	ν C-NH ₂ ν C-N	1310 sh	1300 w	1296 w
	ν C-N		1284m	1267m
	ν C-N	1250 vw	1245m	1240m
1235 s	δ CH ring vib.	1220 s	1230 sh	1222m
1105 w	Ring vib.	1150 w	1109 w	1105 w
978 w	Ring vib.	987 w	992 w	983 w
	Ring vib.	974 w		
880m	δ NH	885 sh	888 w	880 w
	δ NH	843m		
814m	δ NH	810m	800 w	804m
793m	δ CH	786m	783m	797m
786m	δ CH			782m
	Ring vib.	760m	750 sh	752 w
	Ring vib.		715 w	710 w
	Ring vib.	689m		
656m	δ NH ₂ wagging	672m	660m	652m
	Ring vib.		612m	608m
601 s	Ring vib.	585m	586m	575m
552m	Ring vib.	540m	544m	546m
		527m		
	Ring vib.		442 w	444 w
430m	Ring vib.	422m	432m	434 w
416 w	Ring vib.		420 sh	412m
	Ring vib.	377 m		
	ν Zn-Cl	293m	270m	285m

cm^{-1} in 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 (at 1685 and 1734 cm^{-1} in guaninium- ZnCl_3 , and at 1670 and 1732 cm^{-1} in guaninium- CuCl_3) were assigned to the δNH_2 scissoring and $\nu \text{C}=\text{O}$ band, respectively, because the former band disappeared in DCl solution, while the latter remained, as in the case of guanine derivatives.^{17-19,25-27} The $\nu \text{C}=\text{N}^+$ band not present in guanine appeared newly at near 1610 and 1640 cm^{-1} in these complexes, while the band was observed at 1615 cm^{-1} in guaninium chloride (Fig. 3). The double bond stretching vibrations of the complexes were higher in frequencies than those of guanine and guaninium chloride, as shown in Table I. On the other hand, many ring vibrations due to single bond stretching vibration of the complexes were lower in frequencies than those of guanine, as in the case of guaninium chloride. Especially, the $\nu \text{C}-\text{N}$ (internal) band coupled with the $\nu \text{C}-\text{N}$ (external) at 1263 cm^{-1} in guanine was split and shifted to a lower-frequency region (at near 1240 and 1250 cm^{-1}) in the complexes, while the band was not split but shifted to a lower-frequency region (at 1240 cm^{-1}). Nakamoto reported that the absorption band due to the C-N stretching vibration shifts to a lower-frequency region on binding of a metal (C-N: $\rightarrow$ metal).^{20,21} Tsuboi reported that protonation at nitrogen of purine ring causes a localization of π -electrons on the ring, and causes higher-frequency shifts in the double bond stretching vibrations.^{19,25} Therefore, the higher-frequency shifts in the double bond stretching vibrations and the lower-frequency shifts in the stretching vibrations of the complexes are caused by protonation at N(3) and N(7), and binding of the metal to nitrogen of guanine ring

in the complexes. Since the $\nu \text{N}(9)-\text{H}$ band²⁴ in guanine disappeared in the 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 , as in the guaninium- ZnCl_3 and - CuCl_3 , the N(9) position of guanine ring is suggested to be bound to zinc in the complex, as shown in Fig. 2, B.

Cytosine- Zn^{2+} Complexes—The relevant infrared absorption bands in KBr disk are listed in Table II. In the spectra of 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 , cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$, and 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 complexes, their $\delta \text{C}-\text{H}$, $\delta \text{N}-\text{H}$, ring vibration, $\nu \text{C}=\text{C}$, $\nu \text{C}=\text{N}$, δNH_2 , and $\nu \text{C}=\text{O}$ bands are attributed to the cytosine structure,^{17,18} indicating that the complexes retain the cytosine skeleton (Table II).

In cytosine, absorption bands due to the ring vibration were observed in 400–600 cm^{-1} and in 1100–1500 cm^{-1} regions.^{17,18,28} In the cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$ and 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 , many bands appeared newly in these regions on complexation, as in the 2:1 cytosine- CuCl_2 ¹ (Table II). These bands not present in cytosinium chloride were assigned to the ring deformation or stretching vibration of the complexes. In particular, the new bands at near 610 and 710 cm^{-1} were observed in many cytosine-metal complexes²⁹ in which the metal is coordinated with N(3) site of cytosine ring, and those were assigned to the characteristic skeletal ring vibrations of the cytosine-metal complexes. On the other hand, these bands were not

Fig. 4. Infrared Absorption Spectra in the Region of 2500–3500 cm^{-1} in KBr Disk

A, 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 ; B, 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 ; C, cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$; D, cytosine monohydrate.

- 25) M. Tsuboi, Y. Kyogoku, and T. Shimanouchi, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **55**, 1 (1962).
- 26) R.C. Lord and G.J. Tomas, *Spectrochim. Acta*, **A23**, 2551 (1967).
- 27) G.J. Tomas, *Biopolymer*, **7**, 325 (1969).
- 28) H. Susi, J.S. Ard, and J.M. Purcell, *Spectrochim. Acta*, **29A**, 725 (1973).
- 29) S. Shirotake, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo), submitted.

present in the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 , while the absorption bands at 377, 422, 585, 974 and 1227 cm^{-1} were comparable to the ring vibrations of cytosinium chloride. These facts suggest the coordination of zinc with nitrogen of cytosine ring in the cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$ and 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 , and protonation at the nitrogen in the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 .

The ν N(1)-H bands characteristic of cytosine^{17,18)} were extremely weak and shifted to a lower-frequency region in the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 and 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 , whereas the bands were observed in the cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$ (like cytosine), as shown in Fig. 4. On the other hand, the δ N(1)-H bands were clearly observed at 810 and 1543 cm^{-1} in the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 , and at 804 and 1540 cm^{-1} in the 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 , as in the 2:1 cytosine- CuCl_2 ¹⁾ (in Fig. 5), indicating that N(1) position of cytosine ring is bound to proton in the complexes. The variation of the ν N(1)-H bands may be caused by hydrogen bonding in the complexes.^{24,30-32)}

Fig. 5. Infrared Absorption Spectra in the Region of 800—1500 cm^{-1} in KBr Disk

A, 2:1 cytosine- CuCl_2 ; B, 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 ; C, cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$; D, 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 ; E, cytosinium chloride; F, cytosine monohydrate.

In these complexes, absorption bands due to the NH_2 and C-NH_2 stretching vibrations were higher in frequencies than those of cytosine, as in cytosinium chloride (Table II). Especially, ν C-NH_2 bands of the complexes were weakened and shifted to a higher-frequency region, than that of cytosine (Fig. 5). Since the shift is probably caused by the inductive and mesomeric effects of the ring,³³⁾ N(3) site in the complexes is assumed to be more positively charged than that in cytosine, implying the coordination of zinc with the N(3) or protonation at the N(3). In the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 , N(3) site is protonated, because absorption bands due to N(3)-H were observed at 843 (δ N-H out-plane), 1570 (δ N-H out-

30) J.A. Carrabine and M. Sundaralingam, *Chem. Commun.*, **1968**, 746.

31) N. Hadjiliadis and T. Theophanides, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **15**, 167 (1975).

32) W.C. Hamilton and J.A. Ibers, "Hydrogen Bonding in Solids," W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1968.

33) L.J. Bellamy, "The Infrared Spectra of Complex Molecules," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1966.

plane), and 3150 cm^{-1} (ν N-H), as in the case of cytosinium chloride (Fig. 6, A).^{1,34,35} In contrast, these N(3)-H bands were not observed in the 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 and cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl . The ν C-N (internal) band coupled with ν C-NH₂ at 1290 cm^{-1} in cytosine¹⁹ was split and shifted to a lower-frequency region in the 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 and cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl . Since no protonation occurs in the complexes, the lower-frequency shift is caused by complexation.²¹ Therefore, the N(3) site is suggested to be coordinated with zinc in the 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 and cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl , as shown in Fig. 6, B.

Fig. 6

TABLE III. Double Bond Stretching Vibrations of Cytosinium Chloride and 2:1 Cytosinium- ZnCl_4 Complex* in the $1500\text{--}1800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ Region (in EtOD Solution)

Cytosinium chloride	Tentative assignment	2:1 CytH-Zn*
1740 s	$\nu\text{C=O}$	1730 s
1652 s	$\nu\text{C=N}^+$	1650 s
1584m	$\nu\text{C=C} + \nu\text{C=N}$	1580m
1550 w	$\nu\text{C=C} + \nu\text{C=N}$	1560 w
1520m	$\nu\text{C=C} + \nu\text{C=N}$	1518m
1508 sh	$\nu\text{C=C} + \nu\text{C=N}$	1510 sh

Fig. 7. Infrared Absorption Spectra in the Region of $1600\text{--}1800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ in KBr Disk

A, 2:1 cytosine- CuCl_2 ; B, 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 ; C, cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl ; D, cytosine monohydrate; E, cytosinium chloride; F, 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 .

Of the absorption bands in the region of $1600\text{--}1800\text{ cm}^{-1}$, strong ones at 1618 , 1636 , and 1681 cm^{-1} in the cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl , and at 1613 , 1645 , and 1680 cm^{-1} in the 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 were assigned to the ν C=N⁺, the ν C=O, and the δ NH₂ scissoring, respectively, since the last band disappeared in EtOD solution (the other bands remained), as in the case of cytosine derivatives.^{19,36,37} The ν C=O frequency of the complexes is comparable to that of cytosine but is lower than that of cytosinium chloride. Since ν C=O band shifts to a higher-frequency region when the lone-pair electrons at N(3) position in cytosine take part in the binding,^{19,37} the lowering of the band of the 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 (than that of cytosinium chloride) suggests the coordination of zinc with the C(2)=O site of cytosine in the complexes, as in the case of the 2:1 cytosine- CuCl_2 ^{1,30} (Fig. 7). In the cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl , however, it is difficult to distinguish the coordination of zinc or hydrogen bonding of C(2)=O with hydroxyl anion.

On the other hand, in the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 , the bands at 1676 and 1735 cm^{-1} are assigned to the NH₂ acissoring and C(2)=O stretching vibration, respectively, since the former disappeared in EtOD solution. The ν C=O frequency of the complex was higher than that of

34) E.D. Becker, H.T. Miles, and R.B. Bradley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 5575 (1965).

35) R.R. Shoup, H.T. Miles, and E.D. Becker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 6200 (1967).

36) H.T. Miles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **79**, 2565 (1957).

37) H.T. Miles, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **47**, 791 (1961).

cytosinium chloride in solid state, while it was lower by 10 cm^{-1} than that of the latter in EtOD solution (Table III). As in this case, 4:1 cytosinium-copper complex¹⁾ was lower by 18 cm^{-1} in EtOD solution. This fact implies the coordination of zinc with C(2)=O site of cytosine in the 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 .

TABLE IV. Proton Field Shifts of Guaninium Chloride,
1:1 Guaninium- ZnCl_3 ,* and 2:1 Guaninium-
 ZnCl_4 ** from DSS in 20%
 $\text{DCl}+\text{D}_2\text{O}$ and TFA

Solvents	Guaninium chloride	1:1 G-Zn*	2:1 G-Zn**
20% $\text{DCl}+\text{D}_2\text{O}$	912 Hz	910 Hz	908 Hz
TFA	886 Hz	881 Hz	878 Hz

Fig. 8. Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$

Upper, guaninium chloride; center, guaninium- ZnCl_3 ;
lower, 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 .

Fig. 10. Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$

Upper, cytosinium chloride; lower, 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 .

Fig. 9. Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$

Upper, cytosine monohydrate; lower, cytosine- Zn(OH)Cl .

Fig. 11. Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra in $\text{DMSO}-d_6+4\%\text{ H}_2\text{O}$

Upper, cytosine monohydrate; lower, 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 .

Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectra

In PMR studies on the nucleosides-diamagnetic metal complexes, Li *et al.*^{38,40} found that the addition of ZnCl_2 to nucleosides in DMSO results in a lower-field shift of the proton in the ligand, and determined the binding site of a metal to the ligand from the lower-field shift. In the present work, the binding site of Zn^{2+} to guanine or cytosine was examined on the basis of the shift of proton resonance in the new complexes.

Guanine- Zn^{2+} Complexes—The spectra of guaninium chloride, guaninium- ZnCl_3 , and 2:1 guaninium- ZnCl_4 in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ are given in Fig. 8. Spectra of the complexes showed a lower-field shift of the C(8)-H resonance when compared with that of guaninium chloride (guanine is insoluble in DMSO). The lower-field shift of the C(8)-H resonance suggests the possibility that Zn^{2+} is bound to either N(7) or N(9) of the imidazole ring in guanine. In the spectra of complexes in 20% $\text{DCl}+\text{D}_2\text{O}$ and TFA solutions, the higher-field shift of C(8)-H was observed, as shown in Table IV. The higher-field shift implies the binding of ZnCl_4^{2-} or ZnCl_3^- to the N(7) or N(9) site. This interpretation may be understood by referring to the structure of guaninium- ZnCl_3 .^{13,14}

Cytosine- Zn^{2+} Complexes—The spectra of cytosine, cytosinium chloride, cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$, and 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ are given in Figs. 9 and 10, and those of cytosine and 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 in $\text{DMSO}-d_6+4\%\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (since the complex is insoluble in DMSO) in Fig. 11. The spectra of 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 and cytosine- $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$ showed a lower-field shift of C(4)- NH_2 , C(5)-H, and C(6)-H resonances when compared with those of cytosine. Since the binding of a positively charged atom to N(3) site of cytosine ring causes a lower-field shift of the proton resonances,^{34,35,38-40} the shift of these resonances suggests the binding of zinc to the N(3) site of cytosine in the complexes.

In PMR study on the cytosine- ZnCl_2 complex, Wang and Li^{38,39} reported that the C(5)-H and C(6)-H are shifted to a lower field by an equal extent in the complex. However, the present data showed that the shift of C(6)-H to a lower field is greater than that of C(5)-H, as in Fig. 11. In cytosinium chloride, in which only the N(3) site is protonated, the shift of C(5)-H to a lower field is greater than that of C(6)-H, when compared with those of cytosine. Therefore, the present data suggest the coordination of zinc with C(2)=O site of cytosine in 2:1 cytosine- ZnCl_2 . This is quite consistent with the interpretation from IR spectral results of the complex.

The spectrum of 2:1 cytosinium- ZnCl_4 showed the geminal amino coupling, like cytosinium chloride, and a higher-field shift of C(4)- NH_2 , C(5)-H, and C(6)-H resonances when compared with those of cytosinium chloride, as shown in Fig. 10. The geminal amino coupling and the N-H resonance indicate that N(3) position of cytosine is protonated in the complex. This fact shows that the N(3) site of cytosine has a stronger affinity for proton than for zinc. The higher-field shift of C(5)-H and C(6)-H was observed in D_2O , as in $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ (in Table V). However, it is difficult to elucidate the binding site of zinc in the complex from only the higher-field shift.

TABLE V. Proton Field Shifts of Cytosinium Chloride and 2:1 Cytosinium- ZnCl_4^* from DSS in D_2O

Samples	C5-H	C6-H
Cytosinium chloride	616 Hz	773 Hz
2:1 CytH- ZnCl_4^*	605 Hz	761 Hz

38) S.M. Wang and N.C. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **88**, 4592 (1966).

39) S.M. Wang and N.C. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 5069 (1968).

40) L.S. Kan and N.C. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 281 (1970).

In the spectra of these complexes in TFA, no variation was observed in C(4)-NH₂, C(5)-H, and C(6)-H resonances, when compared with those of cytosine, indicating decomposition of these complexes in a strong acid.

Conclusion

In view of these IR and PMR spectral data, it is suggested that the N(3) site of cytosine is coordinated with zinc in 2:1 cytosine-ZnCl₂ and cytosine-Zn(OH)Cl complexes, and that the C(2)=O site is coordinated with zinc in the 2:1 cytosine-ZnCl₂ complex, while the N(3) site of cytosine would be protonated in the 2:1 cytosinium-ZnCl₄. These results give us an important information that the N(3) site of cytosine is strongly bound to proton rather than to zinc under an acidic condition. In the 2:1 guaninium-ZnCl₄, it is suggested that zinc is bound to the N(9) site of guanine.

Acknowledgement The authors thank Professor Zenzo Tamura, University of Tokyo, and Professor Shin-ichiro Sakai, Chiba University, for valuable discussions. Thanks are due to Misses H. Ohida and K. Nakajima who carried out elemental analyses and PMR measurements.