Effect of meso-Hexestrol, a Synthetic Estrogen, on S-Tubulin
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We have reported that meso-hexestrol, a synthetic estrogen, inhibits microtubule assembly and induces microtubule proteins into twisted ribbon structures. On the other hand, Serrano et al. proved that S-tubulin, which lacks the C-terminal moiety of tubulin subunits, assembles into sheet structures in the absence of microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs). In the present investigation, we attempted to clarify whether meso-hexestrol could induce the ribbon structure from S-tubulin. meso-Hexestrol delayed the initiation of polymerization of S-tubulin into sheet structures in a dose-dependent manner below 50 μM. But the effect of meso-hexestrol on S-tubulin was reduced in the presence of either tau or microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) in a MAPs-concentration-dependent manner. At concentrations higher than 100 μM, meso-hexestrol inhibited the polymerization of S-tubulin into sheet structures, without forming ribbon structures. The present results may indicate that meso-hexestrol interacts with S-tubulin, and its interaction is affected by MAPs.
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meso-Hexestrol synthesized by Dodds et al. 11 was initially utilized 2 as a derivative of diethylstilbestrol (DES), a synthetic estrogen. Later, DES was shown to be a carcinogen in humans 3 and experimental animals. 4 meso-Hexestrol similarly induced a number of renal carcinoma foci as DES or 17β-estradiol. 5 At present, it is not known whether the oncogenic effects of estrogens are exerted through their hormonal properties or whether they behave as chemical carcinogens. Metzler and McLachlan reported that DES is metabolized to β-dienestrol (Z,Z-dienestrol) by a peroxisome-mediated reaction leading to reactive products and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) binding. 6 There was a good association between the metabolic conversion of DES analogs via a peroxidase-mediated oxidative pathway and their ability to induce cell transformation. 5 meso-Hexestrol is not metabolized via this route 8 and does not induce significant levels of cell transformation. 7

We have reported the inhibition of DES on microtubule assembly in vitro, 9 and similar results were successively presented by two research groups. 10 Recently, Epe et al. reported that DES binds covalently and selectively to the C-terminal domain of β-tubulin after peroxidative action in vitro, while meso-hexestrol, which is unable to form quinones by peroxidase-mediated oxidation, fails to bind. 11

In a preceding paper, 12 we showed that DES analogues can induce the formation of twisted ribbon structures from microtubule proteins, and Chandorilie et al. 13 also observed similar ribbon structures. Recently, we reported the effects of (R,R)(+), (S,S)(−), dl- and meso-hexestrols on microtubule assembly from a stereochromical point of view. 14 We could not form the ribbon structures from PC-tubulin in the presence of meso-hexestrol with 10% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), but could form them with microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs). 12

The present experiments were designed to clarify whether meso-hexestrol can induce the ribbon structures from S-tubulin, which lacked a C-terminal MAP binding region, 15 and to further demonstrate the effect of MAPs on S-tubulin polymerization and the interaction of meso-hexestrol with S-tubulin in the presence of MAPs. It was found that meso-hexestrol inhibited the polymerization of S-tubulin into sheets, but could not induce the ribbon formation from S-tubulin.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Microtubule Proteins, Tubulin and MAPs Microtubule proteins were prepared from porcine brains by two cycles of temperature-dependent assembly-disassembly by the method of Shelanski et al. with some modifications. 16 The microtubule proteins were stored at −70°C for later use. PC-tubulin was prepared from two-cycle microtubule proteins by the phosphocellulose method described by Kumagai and Nishida. 17 Tau and MAP2 were prepared by subjecting MAPs to gel filtration on Urtrogel ACA 34 (LKB) as described by Fellous et al. 18 The purified tau and MAP2 were dialyzed against PC-buffer (0.1 M 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, 0.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM 2-thioperacetoxethanol, 2 mM ethylene glycol-bis-(2-aminoethylether)-N,N′,N″,N‴-tetraacetic acid, 0.1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.5 mM glutamine, 0.01 M tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (pH 6.8) and stored at −70°C. Analysis of both tau and MAP2 preparation by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) showed neither cross-contamination nor contamination by tubulin.

Tubulin Digestion S-Tubulin was prepared by the method of Serrano et al. which performs the digestive removal of the C-terminal regions of both α- and β-subunits of PC-tubulin. 19 PC-Tubulin (2 mg/ml) in a PC-buffer was digested with 1% subtilisin for 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and one polymerization-depolymerization cycle was done by the addition of 1 mM GTP and incubation of the sample for 30 min at 37°C. After these steps, the resulting depolymerized S-tubulin protein in a PC-buffer at 0−2°C was characterized by SDS-PAGE and was used immediately for assembly assay.

Electrophoresis SDS-PAGE was performed by the method of Laemmli 20 in the case of tau and MAP2. Analysis of PC-tubulin and S-tubulin was carried out on 7.5% polyacrylamide gel by the method of Best et al. 21

Assembly Assay The effect of meso-hexestrol on S-tubulin at 37°C was determined by turbidity measurement 22 at 400 nm using a UV/visible spectrophotometer equipped with a thermostatically controlled cell holder. Assembly of S-tubulin was performed at a concentration of 1.5 mg protein per ml of PC-buffer (15 μM). 23 meso-Hexestrol was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of DMSO and N,N-dimethylformamide, 24 and this solution was added to the protein solution at a volume ratio of 2%. The reaction was started by shifting up the temperature at 37°C.

Electron Microscopy Samples were fixed for 1 h by the addition of 9 ml of PC-buffer containing 1% glutaraldehyde. A few minutes later, carbon-coated colloidion film on 150 mesh copper grids was placed on drops of a fixed sample solution on a sheet of Parafilm and rinsed with the same buffer. The samples were then negatively stained with 1% uranyl acetate solution and air-dried. Specimens were examined on a JEOL 200CX electron microscope at 100kV.

Protein Concentration Concentrations of PC-tubulin, S-tubulin, tau and MAP2 were determined by the method of Lowry et al. 25 using bovine
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serum albumin as the standard.

Materials meso-Hexestrol$^{10}$ was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka) and subtilisin (Carlsberg) (E.C. 3.4.21.14) was from Sigma Chemical Corporation (St. Louis, Mo., U.S.A.). Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and GTP were obtained from Yamasa Shoyu Co., Ltd. (Choshi), and the materials for electron microscopy were from Nissin EM Co., Ltd. (Tokyo). All other reagents were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka).

Results and Discussion

Concentration-Dependence of the Effect of meso-Hexestrol on S-Tubulin We examined the effect of concentrations of meso-hexestrol on S-tubulin polymerization. S-Tubulin was known to assemble into sheet structures in the absence of MAPs.$^{1,5}$ meso-Hexestrol inhibited the sheet assembly of S-tubulin in a concentration-dependent manner. As shown in Fig. 1, in the presence of 20 μm meso-hexestrol, the turbidity at 10 min after incubation was suppressed to 70% of the control, but the final level of the turbidity was attained by prolonged incubation. In the presence of 50 μm meso-hexestrol the turbidity increased after 10 min incubation, and with 100 and 200 μm meso-hexestrol, only slow turbidity increases were seen. When the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C after 40 min of incubation at 37 °C, the turbidity in the control decreased instantly to a low level. However, in experiments in the presence of 20 and 50 μm meso-hexestrol, partial turbidity decreases were observed after the temperature shift down to 0 °C. On the other hand, in experiments in the presence of 100 and 200 μm meso-hexestrol, no turbidity decrease was seen after the cold treatment at 0 °C. The preparations of S-tubulin incubated for 30 min were examined with electron microscopy. The control sample in the absence of meso-hexestrol showed long sheet structures (Fig. 2A), while the sample in the presence of 50 μm meso-hexestrol showed short sheets. Samples in the presence of meso-hexestrol at concentrations higher than 100 μm formed only irregular aggregates (Fig. 2B). Similar aggregates were observed also after the temperature shift down.

Effect of MAPs on S-Tubulin Polymerization The above experiments were performed using S-tubulin in the absence of MAPs. However, in the previous experiment,$^{12}$ formation of a ribbon structure was observed from microtubule proteins in the presence of meso-hexestrol but not from a PC-tubulin which was free from MAPs. Moreover, the ribbon formation from PC-tubulin could be demonstrated after addition of MAPs.$^{12}$ Since meso-hexestrol could not induce ribbon formation from S-tubulin in the absence of MAPs, more detailed examinations were performed in the presence of MAPs. Prior to the examination in the presence of meso-hexestrol, the effect of MAPs on S-tubulin polymerization was investigated in its absence. At 0.015 μM (MAP/S-tubulin = 1/1000 (mol/mol)), both tau and MAP2 had practically no effect on S-tubulin polymerization as measured by turbidity analysis. In the presence of 1.5 μM tau or 0.15—1.5 μM MAP2, S-tubulin
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Fig. 1. Turbidimetric Analysis of the Effect of meso-Hexestrol on Polymerization of S-Tubulin

For experimental detail see Materials and Methods. meso-Hexestrol was added to S-tubulin (1.5 mg/ml, 15 μM) at 0 min. The final concentrations of meso-hexestrol were: ○, 0 μM; △, 20 μM; ▲, 50 μM; ■, 100 μM; □, 200 μM. Arrow indicates the temperature shift down to 0 °C.
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Fig. 2. Electron Micrograph of Sample of S-Tubulin Incubated at 37 °C for 30 min in the Absence of meso-Hexestrol (A), and in the Presence of 200 μM meso-Hexestrol (B) in Fig. 1

Bar, 100 nm.
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Fig. 3. Turbidimetric Analysis of the S-Tubulin Polymerization in the Presence of Tau (A) or MAP2 (B)

A mixture of S-tubulin (1.5 mg/ml, 15 μM) and MAP was preincubated in ice for 30 min and incubated at 37 °C. The final concentrations of MAPs were: ○, 0 μM; △, 0.015 μM; ▲, 0.15 μM; ■, 1.5 μM. Mixtures were then shifted down to 0 °C at arrow.
polymerization was initially promoted. But the turbidity after 20 min incubation with 1.5 μM tau was lower than the control without tau, whereas in the presence of 0.15—1.5 μM MAP2, turbidity increased in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3). When the reaction mixtures were cooled to 0°C after 20 min of incubation at 37°C, the turbidities in all samples decreased instantly to low levels. Electron microscopy indicated that sheet structures were formed from S-tubulin in all experiments, but in the presence of 1.5 μM tau or MAP2, partially microtubule-like structures could be observed as pointed out by arrows (Fig. 4). Serrano et al. reported that PC-tubulin has a MAP binding site in the C-terminal region and that the S-tubulin assembly has no requirement for MAP2 when analyzed by the amounts of assembled proteins.27 In the present experiment, however, it was shown that both tau and MAP2 have effects on S-tubulin polymerization when analyzed by turbidity and electron microscopy. These results indicate that MAPs interact with S-tubulin and change its polymer structures. However, we could not find definite polymer structure differences in the presence of MAPs between tau and MAP2 by electron microscopic analysis.

**Effect of meso-Hexestrol on S-Tubulin in the Presence of MAPs** Next, we examined the effect of 50 μM meso-hexestrol on polymerization of 15 μM S-tubulin in the presence of MAPs (Fig. 5). At the concentration of 0.015 μM, both tau and MAP2 had no effect on the inhibition of S-tubulin assembly by meso-hexestrol, but at 0.15—1.5 μM tau or MAP2, the inhibitory effect of meso-hexestrol on S-tubulin polymerization was significantly reduced. When the reaction mixtures were cooled to 0°C at 40 min of incubation at 37°C, the turbidities in the control and in the presence of 0.015—0.15 μM tau or MAP2 decreased instantly to low levels. Whereas, in experiments in the presence of 1.5 μM tau or MAP2, partial turbidity decreases were observed after the temperature shift down to 0°C. Thus, MAPs reduce the effect of meso-hexestrol on S-tubulin assembly. The following explanations for these results are possible: first, meso-hexestrol may have higher affinities to MAPs than to S-tubulin so MAPs removed the drug from S-tubulin. Secondly, MAPs and meso-hexestrol may have a common interaction-site on S-tubulin which is different from the known MAP binding site at the C-terminal of the tubulin molecule, and MAPs may exclude meso-hexestrol from the interaction-site. Thirdly, MAPs may protect and strengthen the S-tubulin sheet structure.

In addition, at 4.5 μM tau [tau/S-tubulin = 3/10 (mol/mol)], some sheet structures were observed even in the presence of 200 μM meso-hexestrol (not shown). The result that S-tubulin could not form ribbon structures in the presence of meso-hexestrol suggests that the carboxy-terminal domain of tubulin is indispensable to meso-hexestrol-induced ribbon formation. On the other hand, Serrano et al. found that in the presence of vinblastine,
S-tubulin polymerized into spiral structures in the absence of MAPs. Therefore, the present results show that the meso-hexestrol-induced ribbons contrast with the vinblastine-induced spirals.

The present study showed that S-tubulin could not form ribbons in the presence of meso-hexestrol, suggesting that the C-terminal regions of PC-tubulin contribute to the formation of ribbon structures in the presence of meso-hexestrol.
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