Description of *Coutileralpheus setirostris*, new genus, new species, an infaunal alpheid shrimp (Crustacea: Decapoda) from Florida, U.S.A.

Arthur Anker* and Darryl L. Felder**

Abstract.— *Coutileralpheus*, new genus, is established for *C. setirostris*, new species, on the basis of two specimens, a complete male and an incomplete female, collected from burrows on the tidal flats bordering Fort Pierce Inlet on the Atlantic coast of Florida, U.S.A. The new genus belongs to a large group of alpheid genera characterized by the presence of a posteroventral articulated plate on the sixth abdominal pleuron. The holotype male bears robust, subsymmetrical and equal-sized chelipeds. The mesial side of the cheliped carpus bears several rows of short setae, which are present in only three other, non-related alpheid genera. The two thickened terminal setae on the rostrum are also diagnostic. *Coutileralpheus* appears to combine primitive and highly evolved features and is presumably related to the monotypic genus *Deioneus* Dworschak, Anker & Abed-Navandi from the Eastern Atlantic. However, in some characters the new genus also resembles the genera *Salmoenus Holthuis*, *Alpheopsis Coutière* and *Parabetaeus Coutière*. The host of *C. setirostris* remains unknown, although both the holotype and paratype were collected from substrates richly burrowed by thalassinidean shrimp, stomatopods, and large polychaetes.

Introduction


Shallow marine waters of southern Florida harbor a rich assemblage of infaunal alpheids, with three described species, *Leptalpheus forcipus* Williams, 1965, *Fenneralpheus chacei* Felder & Manning, 1986 and *Salmoenus cavicolus* Felder &
Manning, 1986 (Saloman, 1971, Felder & Manning, 1986; Felder et al., 2003) and at least five more known but as yet undescribed species, three putatively assignable or very closely related to Leptalpheus and two assignable to Salmoneus aff. cavicolus (Felder & Manning, 1997; Felder et al., 2003; Felder, pers. obs.; Anker, pers. obs.). In addition to the aforementioned undescribed forms is also a very peculiar undescribed alpheid collected from burrows of an unknown host on intertidal sand flats bordering Fort Pierce Inlet on the Atlantic coast of Florida. Because of the unique combination of morphological features this new species could not be assigned to any presently known genus of the family Alpheidae. This species is herewith described and placed in a new genus.

Materials and Methods

Specimens were collected by sieving sediments extracted with a bait suction pump, also termed a “yabby pump” (see Manning, 1975), that was applied to burrow openings on an tidal mudflat of the Indian River Lagoon near the Fort Pierce Inlet, St. Lucie County, Florida. Specimens were initially fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution and subsequently preserved in 70% ethanol. Drawings were made with the aid of a camera lucida, and most were based on moulted exuvia of the holotype specimen (thus avoiding the dissection of the appendages and the resulting unavoidable damage of the unique complete specimen). An alcohol based solution of Chlorazole Black E stain (Sigma Chemical Company® was used to enhance visibility of fine sutures and articulations in the integument prior to illustration. Carapace length (CL) and the total length (TL) were measured in ± 0.1mm with a calibrated ocular micrometer. Measurements were made along the dorsomedial line from the rostral tip to the posterior margin of the carapace (CL), or to the posterior margin of the telson (TL). The type specimens were deposited in the collections of the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., U.S.A. (USNM).

Taxonomy

Alpheidae Rafinesque, 1815

Coutieralpheus, new genus

Diagnosis.—Carapace glabrous, with very finely marked anterolateral suture; branchiostegal margin of carapace without pronounced ventral lip; frontal region with rostrum bearing 2 thickened setae, orbital teeth absent; pterygostomial angle produced anteriorly, rounded; eyes completely concealed in dorsal view, partly visible in lateral and frontal view, eyestalk without anteromesial process or tubercle; antennular peduncle robust, first segment with ventromesial tooth; stylodermite short, robust, not appressed; second segment not elongated; outer antennular flagellum biramous; mandible typical for family, with incisor process bearing triangular distal teeth, molar process bearing a row of lamellae and setae, and with short 2-segmented palp; first maxilliped with caridean lobe expanded; second maxilliped with epipod elongate; third maxilliped pediform, lateral plate conspicuously elongate, subacute, terminal segment with rows of long, distally thickened setae, tip armed with 1 small subdistal spine; first pereiopods (chelipeds) enlarged, equal in size and shape, robust, carried extended; major cheliped with ischiium bearing 1 spine on ventrolateral margin; merus robust, ventrally not depressed or excavated; carpus short, robust, cup-shaped, mesially with rows of setae; chela subcylindrical; palm smooth, linea impressa absent; cutting edges of fingers armed with irregular teeth, snapping mechanism absent; adhesive discs absent; second pereiopod with 5-segmented carpus; third pereiopod ischiium and merus armed with spines on ventral margin, carpus unarmored; propodus armed with small spines on ventral margin, dactylus simple; fifth pereiopod with ischiium and merus unarmored, propodus with well developed
brush of setae; sixth abdominal segment
with articulated plate at posterolateral angle;
second male pleopod with appendix interna
and appendix masculina; uropod with exo-
pod bearing lateral spine and diaeresis, lat-
ter without particular modifications; telson
with 2 pairs of dorsal spines and 2 pairs of
posterolateral spines, posterior margin
rounded, anal tubercles absent; gill formula
typical for family; 5 pleurobranches (P1-5), 1
arthrobranch (Mxp3), 0 podobranch, 2
lobed epipods (Mxp1-2), 5 strap-like epipods
= mastigobranchs (Mxp3, P1-4), 5 sets of
setobranchs (P1-5), 3 exopods (Mxp1-3).

Type species.—Coutieralpheus setirostris,
new species.

Gender.—Masculine.
Etymology.—This new genus is dedicated to
Professor Henri Coutière (1869-1952), an
eminent French carcinologist, for numerous
contributions to the knowledge of alpheid
shrimps that included a major monograph of
the family Alpheidae (Coutière, 1899).
Coutière was the first author to report the
association of an alpheid shrimp with cal-
lianassid ghost shrimp and other burrowing
animals in Djibouti.

Relationships.—See remarks under
Coutieralpheus setirostris, new species.

Coutieralpheus setirostris, new species
(Figs. 1-6)

Material examined.—Holotype: South
margin of Fort Pierce Inlet channel, beside
U.S. Highway A1A South Causeway, sparsely
vegetated intertidal sand flat, Indian River
Lagoon, St. Lucie County, Florida, 27°
27.7"N, 80° 18.7"W, 11 August 1986, collected
by R. B. Manning, D. L. Felder and W. Lee,
1 male, CL 8.6 mm, TL 21.8 mm, USNM
1072201. Paratype: Same location, date, and
collectors, 1 female, CL 4.0mm, TL 9.1mm,
USNM 1072202.

Description.—Body relatively stout, slight-
ly elongated (Fig. 1), not particularly com-
pressed laterally, carapace and abdomen
glabrous. Carapace with distinct suture prox-
imal to base of antenna (Figs. 1, 2a). Ra-
strotrum triangular, broad at base, longer
than wide, rostral carina very slight, termi-
num bearing 2 thick anteriorly directed setae
(Fig. 2a). Orbital teeth absent (Fig. 2a, b).
Pterygostomial angle protruding anteriorly,
rounded (Fig. 2b). Branchiostegal margin
with scant setae (Fig. 2g). Cardiac notch
well developed (Fig. 2g). Eyes completely
covered by carapace, not visible in dorsal
view, exposed in lateral and anterior view,
without anteromesial process or tubercle,
cornea well developed (Fig. 2a, b). Ocellar
beak not conspicuous. Epistomial sclerite
with low, subacute process, without pro-
nounced acute tooth.

Antennular peduncle stout (Fig. 2a, d),
second article not much longer than first or
third; styllocerite almost reaching distal mar-
gin of first article, distally acute or subacute
(Fig. 2a); ventromesial carina with blade-like
tooth as illustrated (Fig. 2d); lateral flagel-
lum biramous, with shorter ramosus well
developed, situated at 4th segment (Fig. 2d).
Antenna with basicerite bearing strong ven-
trolateral tooth (Fig. 2b); scaphocerite
broadly oval, anterior margin of blade con-
 vex, slightly protruding beyond distolateral
spine (Fig. 2a, e, f); carpocerite robust, not
reaching distal margin of scaphocerite (Fig.
2b, e).

Mouthparts not especially modified, typi-
cal for family. Mandible with incisor process
bearing 6 teeth, third dorsal largest (Fig.
3a). Maxillule with palp bilobed, dorsal lobe
with a few slender setae, ventral lobe with 1
robust seta (Fig. 3b). Maxilla with scaphog-
nathite expanded (Fig. 3c), palp (endopod)
small, not segmented. First maxilliped with
caridean lobe on exopod expanded (Fig. 3d);
palp (endopod) segmented. Second maxil-
liped with epipod elongated; propodus with
fine transverse suture on mesial side (Fig.
3e). Third maxilliped relatively slender (Fig.
3g); lateral plate elongated, distally subacute
(Fig. 3h, j); antepenultimate segment somewhat flattened, subtriangular in cross-section; terminal segment with tip subacute and armed with 1 subdistal spine (Fig. 3i); arthrobranch well developed (Fig. 3j).

First pereiopods (chelipeds) equal in size and similar (subsymmetrical) in shape, robust and carried extended (Fig. 1); ischi um short, robust, ventrolateral margin with 1 spine (Fig. 4a); merus short, stout, slightly widening distally, margins unarmed (Fig. 4a); carpus stout, cup-shaped, with 2 blunt processes distally (Fig. 4a, c), with 3 rows of setae mesially (Fig. 4c, d); chela subcylindrical, smooth, palm about twice length of fingers; palm with shallow ventroproximal depression (Fig. 3a, c); linea impressa and adhesive discs absent; fingers not gaping when closed (Fig. 4b), tips strongly curved distally, crossing (Fig. 4b); cutting edges of pollex and dactylus armed with irregular teeth and bearing numerous setae, as illustrated (Fig. 4e, f); dactylus armed on only proximal 3/5 length of cutting edge, pollex armed on cutting edge except for most distal part; armature on left cheliped differing little from that on right cheliped (cf. Fig. 4e and f); cutting edges of fingers with numerous, conspicuous, regularly spaced setae along almost entire length (Fig. 4f).

Second pereiopod slender; ischi um more than 1/2 length of merus; carpus with 5 segments having ratio of 3:1:1:1.2:1.5 (Fig. 5a); chela simple, fingers distinctly longer than palm (Fig. 5a). Third pereiopod relatively slender; ischi um bearing 2 spines on ventrolateral margin (Fig. 5b); merus about twice length of ischi um and 1.8 times length of carpus, armed with 1 spine on ventrolateral margin; carpus unarmed, with small distoventral seta; propodus 1.5 times longer than carpus, ventrally with 4-5 small spines + 1 distoventral spine proximal to dactylus (Fig. 5b, c); dactylus simple, conical, slender, curved, about 0.4 length of propodus (Fig. 5b, c). Fourth pereiopod similar to third; merus armed with 1 or 2 spines (Fig. 5d, e). Fifth pereiopod slightly more slender than third and fourth pereiopods (Fig. 5f); ischi um unarmed; merus less than twice length of ischi um and 1.3 times length of carpus, unarmed or armed with 1 spine on ventrolateral margin (Fig. 5f, h); carpus unarmed; propodus about 1.8 length of carpus, ventrally with few small spines and at least 8 rows of setae (Fig. 5g); dactylus only 0.3 times length of propodus, otherwise similar to that of third and fourth pereiopod.

Abdominal segments I-V with posterolateral angles of pleura rounded to weakly angular (Figs. 1, 2k); segment VI posteriorly with acute midlateral projection above articulated posterolateral plate (Fig. 2k); preanal plate posteriorly rounded (Fig. 2l). Male first pleopod with endopod less than half length of exopod (Fig. 2h). Male second pleopod with both appendix masculina and appendix interna; appendix masculina slender, twice length of appendix interna, reaching 3/4 length of endopod, distally bearing slender spines (Fig. 2i, j). Female second pleopod with appendix interna only. Telson relatively slender, slightly tapering, proximal width about 3 times median length; dorsal surface with 2 pairs of spines positioned well dorsal to lateral margin, anterior pair just posterior to mid-length and posterior pair in distal 1/4 of telson length (Fig. 2o); posterior margin rounded, with 2 pairs of robust posterolateral spines, lateral shorter than mesial (Fig. 2o); anal tubercles absent. Uropods distinctly exceeding telson (Fig. 1); lateral lobe of sympodite distally forming single acute tooth (Fig. 2m); endopod subequal to exopod in length (Fig. 2m); exopod with diaeresis forming relatively straight suture between mesial margin and triangular lateral tooth (Fig. 2n); lateral spine stout, short (Fig. 2n). Gill formula as given for genus.

Size.—For the two known specimens, CL ranges from 4.0 mm in what is likely an immature female paratype to 8.6 mm in the apparently mature male holotype specimen; TL in these specimens ranges from 9.1 mm to 21.8 mm, respectively.

Colour.—Overall whitish translucent, with faint patterning of reddish pink to pale rose orange color (Fig. 6). Colour most obvi-
ous on abdominal somites 2-5 in posterior half of each tergite, creating faintly banded appearance on abdomen. Similar colour weakly in evidence on antennular peduncles where closely set spots produce a weakly banded appearance proximally but are more diffuse distally.

Habitat.—Specimens are known from only the type locality, which is a sandy intertidal flat immediately north of the Highway A1A South Causeway between the town of Fort Pierce and Fort Pierce Beach on the Atlantic shoreline. The habitat borders the southern margin of the Fort Pierce Inlet channel and is separated from the north shoreline of the causeway by a shallow (1 m deep) channel. Upper reaches of the flat are exposed at low tide and bear a sparse cover of short sea grass, though this cover becomes denser and longer where the flat slopes to greater depths. Sediments and burrow waters were extracted from a variety of burrow openings in the course of sampling at this site, but no identifiable host was noted to have been found in the specific sample or samples from which these alpheid specimens were collected. While samples were taken during low tide, it also is uncertain as to whether the extracted sediments were taken on the crest of the flat or along the flooded margins, which were typically sampled to water depths of about 0.3 m. Although the host of *Coutieralus setirostris* remains unknown, the flat is richly burrowed by thalassinidean shrimp, stomatopods, large polychaetes, nemerteans, bivalves and sipunculans. The single male and single small female of this new genus and species were collected on the same date from same site, and may well have occurred together in the same sample taken from the same host burrow. Only years later, after sorting and separate archival, was it recognized that they represented the same undescribed form. It is remarkable that, despite over twenty years of recurrent, concerted collecting there by one of us (DLF) and the late Raymond B. Manning, no additional specimens have been found.

Distribution.—Presently known from only intertidal substrates of the type locality near Fort Pierce Inlet on the Atlantic coast of Florida, U.S.A.

Etymology.—Specific name derived from the presence of two very characteristic, thickened terminal setae on the rostrum.

Remarks.—The paratype female, which is much smaller than the male holotype, was somewhat damaged during collection and lacks its first pereiopods. While it appears to rather closely match the holotype male in those characters that can be examined, nothing is known of possible sexual dimorphism in the chelipeds. The fine anterolateral suture of the carapace is extremely difficult to see in this specimen, but is also difficult to visualize in the holotype male without staining (though it is readily evident in the exuvia of this specimen).

The phylogenetic relationships of *Coutieralus setirostris* and the genus *Coutieralus* are not clear. Several features considered to be plesiomorphic within the family Alpheidae (Anker, pers. obs.), such as symmetrical chelipeds, a complete set of epipods on coxae of pereiopods, and the presence of a row of setae on the mesial side of the cheliped carpus, suggest that *Coutieralus* is a relatively basal alpheid genus. The new genus appears to be most closely related to the monotypic genus *Deioneus*. The type species of *Deioneus, D. sandizelli* Dworschak, Anker & Abed-Navandi, 2000, is known from only two females collected from burrows of the calianassid mudshrimps *Corallianassa intesi* (de Saint-Laurent & Le Loeuff, 1979) and *Neocallichirus pachydactylus* (A. Milne-Edwards, 1870) in the Cape Verde Islands (Dworschak et al., 2000; Abed-Navandi, 2000). Both genera are characterized by the following features: (1) sixth abdominal pleuron with an articulated plate; (2) broadly triangular rostrum; (3) carapace with anterolateral suture; (4) well developed arthrobranch and elongated lateral plate on the coxa of the third maxilliped; (5) complete set of strap-like epipods (mastigobranchs); (6) chelipeds
subequal or equal in size; and (7) expanded caridean lobe and elongated epipod on the first and second maxillipeds, respectively. However, *Coutileralpheus* differs from *Deioneus* in many respects, including the following: (1) ischium and merus of the third and fourth pereiopods armed with spines (as compared to unarméd in *D. sandizelli*); (2) frontal margin lacking orbital teeth (vs. with small extra-corneal teeth in *D. sandizelli*); (3) chelipeds much more stout and carried extended (vs. more slender and carried folded in *D. sandizelli*); (5) merus of the chelipeds ventrally not particularly depressed (vs. ventrally excavated in *D. sandizelli*); (6) chelae of the chelipeds symmetrical on the two sides of the body, differing insignificant-ly in teeth armature (vs. very asymmetrical and differently armed in *D. sandizelli*); (7) carpus of the cheliped mesially bearing rows of setae (absent in *D. sandizelli*); (8) posterior margin of the telson broadly rounded (vs. small and truncate in *D. sandizelli*); (9) stylo- cerite short, barely reaching to the distal margin of the first article of the antennular peduncle (vs. longer, reaching to the middle of the second article in *D. sandizelli*); (10) rostrum bearing two thickened setae (absent in *D. sandizelli*) and (11) ischium of the cheliped bearing small ventrolateral spine (absent in *D. sandizelli*).

*Deioneus* is possibly also allied to *Salmonesus* Holthuis, 1955, at least to a small group of species with an enlarged minor cheliped (Dworschak *et al.*, 2000). However, *Coutileralpheus* can be separated from all species of *Salmonesus* by many features. Among these, its sixth abdominal segment bears an articulated posterolateral plate (lacking in *Salmonesus*) and the merus on its third and fourth pereiopods is typically armed with one or two spines (unarmed in *Salmonesus*). It is also separated from *Salmonesus* by several of the same above-listed features that separate it from *Deioneus* (2), (3), (6), (7), (8, truncate or with median incision in *Salmonesus*), (9) and (10). Furthermore, the second pleopod of the female paratype does not have an appendix masculina, whereas specimens of *Salmonesus*, including ovigerous females, always have a well-developed appendix masculina (Carvacho, 1989; Anker, 2003b; Anker, pers. obs.). The differences between *Deioneus* and *Leptalpheus* were discussed in detail by Dworschak *et al.* (2000).

The new genus also shows some affini-ties to the genera *Alpheopsis* Coutière, 1896 and *Parabetaeus* Coutière, 1896. *Alpheopsis*, as presently defined (e.g., Banner & Banner, 1973; Chace, 1988), is morphologically very heterogenous and possibly not a mono-
Fig. 2. Coutieralpheus setirostris, n. gen., n. sp., holotype male (USNM 1072201): a, frontal region, dorsal view; b, same, lateral view; c, rostrum, dorsal view; d, antennule, lateral view; e, antenna, ventral view; f, same, scaphocerite, dorsal view; g, posterior margin of carapace; h, first pleopod; i, second pleopod; j, same, detail of appendix masculina and appendix interna; k, sixth abdominal segment, lateral view; l, same, preanal plate, ventral view; m, uropod; n, same, distal portion of exopod; o, telson, dorsal view. Scales: 1 mm.
Fig. 3. *Coutieralpheus setirostris*, n. gen., n. sp., holotype male (USNM 1072201): a, mandible; b, maxillule; c, maxilla; d, first maxilliped; e, second maxilliped; f, same, distal portion of endopod, mesial view; g, third maxilliped (arthrobranch not drawn); h, same, basal portion (arthrobranch not drawn); i, same, distal portion; j, same, detail of arthrobranch. Scales: 1 mm.
phylectic genus (Anker, pers. obs.). *Parabetaeus*, as redefined by Nomura & Anker (2000), appears to be closely related to some species within *Alpheopsis* (s. lat.). *Coutieralpheus* differs from both *Alpheopsis* (s. lat.) and *Parabetaeus* in the following characteristics: (1) elongate lateral plate on the coxa of the third maxilliped (not elongate in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*); (2) carapace with a distinct anterolateral suture (absent in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*); (3) rostrum bearing distally two thickened setae (absent in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*); (4) carpus of the chelipeds bearing mesial rows of setae (lacking in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*); (5) short and stout stylocerite, barely reaching the distal margin of the first article of the antennular peduncle (vs. much longer, reaching at least to the middle of the second article or to the third article in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*); (6) expanded caridean lobe and elongate epipod on the first and second maxillipeds, respectively (caridean lobe not expanded, epipod not
Fig. 5. *Coutieralpheus setirostris*, n. gen., n. sp., holotype male (USNM 1072201): a, right second pereiopod; b, right third pereiopod; c, same, distal propodus and dactylus; d, right fourth pereiopod; e, left fourth pereiopod; f, right fifth pereiopod; g, same, distal propodus and dactylus; h, left fifth pereiopod. Scales: 1 mm.
elongate in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*); and (7) ischium of the cheliped bearing small ventrolateral spine (absent in *Alpheopsis* and *Parabetaeus*). The new genus can be separated from *Parabetaeus* by at least three further features: (1) rounded posterior margin of the telson (vs. with median triangular protrusion in *Parabetaeus*); (2) frontal margin bearing broadly triangular rostrum and lacking orbital teeth (vs. without or with small blunt rostrum, and with more or less developed extra-corneal teeth in *Parabetaeus*); and (3) chelipeds stout and carried extended (vs. more slender and capable of flexion due to
the ventral flattening or depression of the merus in Parabetaeus). The chelipeds of Coutieralpheus setirostris are superficially similar to the chelipeds of several species of Alpheopsis. However, all species of Alpheopsis with non-sculptured chelipeds have spines on the dorsal margin of the ischiium of the chelipeds; these spines are lacking in Coutieralpheus setirostris. From the preceding morphological comparisons, it appears that Coutieralpheus is not closely related to any other alpheid genus, with the possible exception of Deioneus.
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