**Luminal Domain of ATF6 Alone Is Sufficient for Sensing Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Subsequent Transport to the Golgi Apparatus**
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**ABSTRACT.** The transcription factor ATF6 is constitutively synthesized as a type II transmembrane protein embedded in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). When unfolded proteins accumulate in the ER, ATF6 senses such ER stress via an as yet undetermined mechanism and relocates to the Golgi apparatus where it is cleaved by sequential action of Site-1 and Site-2 proteases, allowing liberated N-terminal fragments to translocate into the nucleus. This ATF6-mediated transcriptional induction of ER-localized molecular chaperones and folding enzymes together with components of ER-associated degradation leads to the maintenance of ER homeostasis in mammals. Here, we demonstrated that the luminal domain of ATF6 alone is sufficient for sensing ER stress and subsequent transportation to the Golgi apparatus. This domain of ATF6 was inserted between the N-terminal signal sequence and C-terminal tandem affinity purification tag. The resulting ATF6(C)-TAP translocated into the ER, where it was glycosylated and disulfide bonded. ATF6(C)-TAP occurred as monomer and dimer, and exhibited a relatively short half-life, similar to full-length ATF6. On application of dithiothreitol- or thapsigargin-induced ER stress, the ER chaperone BiP dissociated from ATF6(C)-TAP, and ATF6(C)-TAP was transported to the Golgi apparatus and then secreted into medium. Calnexin and protein disulfide isomerase were identified as cellular proteins capable of binding to ATF6(C)-TAP in addition to BiP, and subsequent analysis revealed that protein disulfide isomerase was bound to ATF6(C)-TAP with chaperone activity. These findings indicate that ATF6(C)-TAP can be used as a tool to isolate protein(s) that escort ATF6 from the ER to the Golgi apparatus in response to ER stress.
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**Introduction**

When unfolded proteins accumulate in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where newly synthesized secretory and transmembrane proteins are folded and assembled, under so-called ER stress conditions, eukaryotic cells activate translational and transcriptional programs collectively termed the unfolded protein response (UPR) to maintain the homeostasis of the ER (Mori, 2000; Ron and Walter, 2007). Major targets of these transcriptional programs are ER-localized molecular chaperones and folding enzymes (collectively termed ER chaperones hereafter) and components of ER-associated degradation (ERAD) machinery. By inducing these proteins, the cell can augment its productive folding and ERAD capacity, both of which are critical for ensuring quality control of proteins in the ER.

Three types of UPR transducers (IRE1, PERK, and ATF6) are ubiquitously expressed in the ER of metazoans. ATF6 is an evolutionarily conserved basic leucine zipper (bZIP) protein. Its function and activation mechanism have been well characterized in mammals, which express closely related ATF6α and ATF6β. ATF6α/β are synthesized as type II transmembrane proteins in the ER, designated pATF6α/β(P), with their bZIP domains facing the cytoplasm...
(Haze et al., 2001, 1999). ATF6α/β sense ER stress by an as yet undetermined mechanism and subsequently translocate to the Golgi apparatus, where they are cleaved by sequential action of Site-1 and Site-2 proteases (Okada et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2002; Ye et al., 2000). The resulting N-terminal fragments liberated from the membrane, designated pATF6α/β(N), enter the nucleus and activate the transcription of their target genes by binding to ER stress-responsive cis-acting elements such as the ER stress response element (ERSE), ERSE II, UPR element (UPRE), and UPRE II (Yoshida et al., 1998, 2000, 2001b). The homodimer of pATF6α(N) or pATF6β(N) cooperates with the general transcription factor NF-Y to bind to ERSE and ERSE II, whereas ATF6 heterodimerizes with XBP1, a bZIP transcription factor downstream of IRE1, to bind to ERSE II, UPRE, and UPRE II (Yamamoto et al., 2008, 2004; Yoshida et al., 2001a).

Ectopic expression of pATF6α(N) at a physiologically producible level is sufficient to induce the transcription of ER chaperones (Okada et al., 2002). ATF6α and ATF6β knock-out mice have been developed and characterized (Wu et al., 2007; Yamamoto et al., 2007). Analysis of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) deficient in ATF6α or ATF6β revealed that ATF6α but not ATF6β is required for transcriptional induction of ER chaperones and ERAD components, and accordingly, mouse embryonic fibroblasts deficient in ATF6α are sensitive to ER stress. Although mice deficient in ATF6α or ATF6β are viable and fertile under normal growth conditions, ATF6α/β double knockout results in embryonic lethality, indicating their overlapping function (Yamamoto et al., 2007). Liver steatosis and lipid droplet formation is induced when mice deficient in ATF6α but not ATF6β are pharmacologically burdened with ER stress (Rutkowski et al., 2008; Yamamoto et al., 2010). Based on these previous findings, we chose to use ATF6α as a representative of ATF6α/β.

Transcriptional control in the UPR begins with sensing protein folding stress in the ER and culminates in transcriptional enhancement of UPR target genes. Remarkably, ATF6 is involved in all steps in this process, as described above. However, while the processes after cleavage at the Golgi apparatus have been well defined, the mechanism by which ATF6 senses ER stress and translocates to the Golgi apparatus is still poorly understood. In a previous study, we showed that the quality control system in the ER operates normally even under ER stress conditions, indicating that ATF6 is differentiated from unfolded or misfolded proteins retained in the ER, and then transported to the Golgi apparatus via COP II vesicles (Nadanaka et al., 2004). Under normal conditions, the major ER chaperone BiP binds to and thus retains ATF6 in the ER. BiP dissociates from ATF6 only under ER stress, thereby allowing transport of ATF6 to the Golgi apparatus (Shen et al., 2002). However, whether or not BiP is the only protein anchoring ATF6 in this manner is unknown, and no escort protein for ATF6 has yet been identified.

We previously found that ATF6 occurs as monomer, dimer, and oligomer forms under normal conditions due to the presence of intra- and intermolecular disulfide bridges formed between the two conserved cysteine residues in the luminal domain. On induction of ER stress not only by the reducing reagent dithiothreitol but also by the glycosylation inhibitor tunicamycin, ER calcium pump inhibitor thapsigargin or glucose starvation, disulfide-bonded ATF6 is reduced, and only this reduced monomer form of ATF6 reaches the Golgi apparatus. Because the reduced monomer form is a better substrate for Site-1 protease than disulfide-bonded forms, this mechanism is thought to ensure strict regulation, as the cell can only process ATF6 which has experienced the changes in the ER (Nadanaka et al., 2007, 2006).

Because ER stress-induced changes, such as BiP dissociation and reduction of disulfide bonds, have been found to be associated only with the luminal domain of ATF6, we investigated whether the luminal domain of ATF6 alone is sufficient for sensing ER stress and subsequent transportation to the Golgi apparatus.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Cell culture and transfection**

HeLa and HEK293 cells as well as ATF6α +/+ and −/− MEFs (Yamamoto et al., 2007) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (glucose 4.5 g/liter) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2/95% air atmosphere. Transfection was performed using FuGENE6 (Roche) and Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturers' instructions.

**Construction of plasmids**

Recombinant DNA techniques were performed according to standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989) and the integrity of all constructed plasmids was confirmed by extensive sequencing analyses. pCGN-HA-ATF6α expressing full-length ATF6α tagged with the hemagglutinin (HA) epitope at the N terminus was the kind gift of R. Prywes (Columbia University, New York, NY). pCGN-HA-ATF6α(C467A), pCGN-HA-ATF6α(C618A), and pCGN-HA-ATF6α(C467 &618A) were constructed in a previous study (Nadanaka et al., 2007). pcDNA-ssATF6α(C)WT-TAP was constructed as follows. Synthetic double-stranded oligonucleotides encoding three tandem copies of c-myc epitope were inserted between the EcoRI and XbaI sites of pcDNA3.1(+) (Invitrogen) to create pcDNA-3x-c-myc. Two tandem copies of Immunoglobulin G-binding domain (IgG bd) derived from
**Staphylococcus aureus** Protein A were amplified by PCR from pEZZ18 (GE Healthcare Biosciences) with a pair of 5’-primer carrying the Xbal site and the tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease recognition site, and 3’-primer carrying the Apal site. To create pcDNA-TAP, an amplified fragment was inserted between the Xbal and Apal sites of pcDNA-3xc-myc after digestion with Xbal and Apal. The 405–670 amino acid region of ATF6α was amplified by PCR from pCGN-HA-ATF6α with a pair of primers (5’-primer carrying the HindIII site and 3’-primer carrying EcoRI site). Amplified fragments were inserted between the HindIII and EcoRI sites of pcDNA-TAP after digestion with HindIII and EcoRI to create pcDNA-ATF6α(C)WT-TAP. pSecTag2A (Invitrogen) was digested with NheI and α-amino acid region of ATF6 was amplified by PCR from pEZZ18 (GE Healthcare Biosciences) for 4 hr at 4°C. After brief centrifugation, the supernatant was incubated with IgG sepharose (GE Healthcare Biosciences) for 4 hr at 4°C. After brief centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded. The beads were washed three times with 0.1% digitonin buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1% digitonin, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM MgCl2, 2 mM MnCl2, protease inhibitor cocktail, and 10 μM MG132] left on ice for 30 min, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was incubated with IgG sepharose (GE Healthcare Biosciences) for 4 hr at 4°C. After brief centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded. The beads were washed three times with 0.1% digitonin buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1% digitonin, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM MgCl2] and incubated overnight at 4°C with TEV protease (Invitrogen) dissolved in 50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 mM EDTA and 0.1% digitonin. Eluted proteins obtained by brief centrifugation were subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and visualized by silver staining.

**Immunological techniques**

Immunoblotting analysis was carried out according to the standard procedure (Sambrook et al., 1989) as described previously (Okada et al., 2002) using Western Blotting Luminol Reagent (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Chemiluminescence was detected using an LAS-3000 mini Lumino-Image analyzer (Fuji Film). Mouse anti-c-myc epitope monoclonal antibody (9E10), mouse anti-HA epitope monoclonal antibody, and rabbit anti-calnexin polyclonal antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Mouse anti-KDEL monoclonal and anti-Hsp70 monoclonal antibodies were obtained from Stressgen. Anti-GM130 monoclonal antibody was obtained from BD Bioscience. Anti-ATF6α polyclonal antibody was obtained as described previously (Haze et al., 1999), and anti-protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) polyclonal antibody is a kind gift of Masakazu Kikuchi (Ritsumeikan University, Otsu, Japan).

To assess indirect immunofluorescence, cells were first fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min. Cells were then reacted with rabbit anti-c-myc epitope polyclonal antibody (Medical & Biological Laboratories) and mouse anti-GM130 monoclonal antibody for 1 h and incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (MP Biologicals) or rhodamine-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (Cappel) for 1 h at room temperature. Fluorescence was visualized using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope system (400-mW Ar/Kr 457- to 675-nm laser, Leica DM IRE2 fluorescence microscope, 63×1.40- to 0.60-numerical aperture HCXPLAPO oil objective).

Immunoprecipitation was carried out as described previously (Nadanaka et al., 2007).

**Tandem affinity purification (TAP)**

HEK293 cells were harvested in PBS containing 10 mM NEM, suspended in 0.01% digitonin buffer [50 mM Tris/ HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM NEM, 0.01% digitonin (Wako), protease inhibitor cocktail, and 10 μM MG132], left on ice for 8 min, and then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed with PBS, resuspended in 1% digitonin buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM NEM, 1% digitonin, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM MgCl2, protease inhibitor cocktail, and 10 μM MG132], left on ice for 30 min, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was incubated with IgG sepharose (GE Healthcare Biosciences) for 4 hr at 4°C. After brief centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded. The beads were washed three times with 0.1% digitonin buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.1% digitonin, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM MgCl2] and incubated overnight at 4°C with TEV protease (Invitrogen) dissolved in 50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 mM EDTA and 0.1% digitonin. Eluted proteins obtained by brief centrifugation were subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and visualized by silver staining.

**Mass spectrometry**

Mass spectrometric identification of proteins was performed as previously described (Jensen et al., 1996). Briefly, after SDS-PAGE, proteins were visualized using silver staining and excised separately from gels, followed by in-gel digestion with trypsin (Promega) in buffer containing 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0) and 2% acetonitrile overnight at 37°C. Molecular mass analyses of tryptic peptides were performed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/ MS) with an a ultraflex TOF/TOF (Bruker Daltonics). Proteins were identified by comparing the molecular weights determined by MALDI-TOF/MS with theoretical peptide masses from the proteins registered in the NCBI nmr database.

**Modification of free and reactive sulphydryl groups**

HeLa cells were harvested in ice-cold PBS with or without 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide (NEM, Wako). Cell pellets were lysed in reaction buffer (80 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 6.8, containing 2% SDS) with or without 5 mM 4-acetamido-4'-maleimidylstilbene-2,2'-disulphonic acid (AMS, Molecular Probe), left in the dark for 30 min on ice and then for another 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by boiling for 5 min after the addition of an equal volume of 2 x Laemmli’s sample buffer.
**Enzymatic deglycosylation**

HeLa cells were solubilized in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, protease inhibitor cocktail (Nacalai Tesque), and 10 μM MG132]. Cell lysates clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C as well as cultured medium were incubated with mouse anti-c-myc epitope monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for overnight at 4°C and then with Protein G sepharose (GE Healthcare Biosciences) for 1–2 hr at 4°C. Sepharose beads were collected by brief centrifugation and washed three times with lysis buffer. Immunoprecipitated materials were eluted by boiling for 5 min in 1% SDS solution and re-boiling for 5 min after the addition of 1/10 volume of 2-mercaptoethanol, and separated from beads by brief centrifugation. Two volumes of 150 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.5, were added to the resulting supernatant. A 10-μl aliquot was mixed with 1 μl of 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 1 μl of 0.1 M EDTA and 1 IU peptide-N-glycosidase F (Roche), and incubated overnight at 37°C. The pellet was washed three times with 70% acetone, dried, and resuspended in reaction buffer (80 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, containing 2% SDS) with or without 5 mM AMS (Molecular Probe). The samples were left in the dark for 30 min at room temperature and then for 30 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by boiling for 5 min after the addition of an equal volume of 2 x Laemmli’s sample buffer.

**Analysis of redox state of PDI**

HEK293 cells were untreated or treated with either 5 mM dithiothreitol for 5 min, 5 mM diamide for 5 min, or 300 nM thapsigargin for various periods. Cells were harvested in ice-cold PBS containing 10% trichloroacetic acid (Nacalai Tesque), left on ice for 1 h, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was discarded. The pellet was washed three times with 70% acetone, dried and resuspended in reaction buffer (80 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, containing 2% SDS) with or without 5 mM AMS (Molecular Probe). The samples were left in the dark for 30 min at room temperature and then for 30 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by boiling for 5 min after the addition of an equal volume of 2 x Laemmli’s sample buffer.

**Results**

**Disulfide bond formation in ATF6 luminal domain**

To determine whether or not the luminal domain of ATF6 alone is sufficient for sensing ER stress and subsequent transport to the Golgi apparatus, and to facilitate isolation of ATF6-binding proteins involved in ER stress-induced reduction or transport of ATF6, we inserted the luminal domain of ATF6α (amino acids 405–670) between the signal sequence of an Immunoglobulin κ chain and TAP tag consisting of three tandem copies of c-myc epitope, a TEV protease recognition site, and two tandem copies of IgG bd derived from Staphylococcus aureus Protein A [ATF6α(C)WT-TAP, Fig. 1A]. As a control for ER stress-induced reduction, we also inserted a mutant luminal domain with two conserved cysteine residues changed to alanine [ATF6α(C)C467K&618A-TAP, Fig. 1A]. Cell lysates were prepared from HeLa cells transfected with these two constructs and subjected to SDS-PAGE under reducing and non-reducing conditions. ATF6α(C)WT-TAP migrated as a single band under reducing conditions but as multiple bands under non-reducing conditions (Fig. 1B, lanes 1 and 2). In contrast, ATF6α(C)C467K&618A-TAP migrated as a single band under both reducing and non-reducing conditions, as expected (Fig. 1B, lanes 3 and 4). It should be noted that the TAP tag contains no cysteines.

To assign multiple bands observed for ATF6α(C)WT-TAP under non-reducing conditions, we expressed not only ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467K&618A-TAP but also point mutants such as ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP and ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP (Fig. 1A) in HeLa cells or HEK293 cells. We previously showed that the C467A mutant of full-length ATF6α formed monomer and dimer, whereas the C618A mutant of full-length ATF6α formed monomer and oligomer by forming disulfide bonds with putative protein X (Nadanaka et al., 2007). Analysis of the migration positions of ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP and ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP expressed in HeLa cells (data not shown) or HEK293 cells under non-reducing conditions (Fig. 1C) suggested that the uppermost band represented dimer, whereas the intense bands around 150 kDa represented oligomer and its probable degradation product. However, this assignment would mean that oligomer migrates faster than dimer, which is quite unlikely. It should be noted that similar results were obtained when these four constructs were introduced into wild-type or ATF6α-deficient MEFs (Fig. 1D), indicating that disulfide bonding does not require assistance from endogenous ATF6α.

To identify which protein(s) are components of these disulfide-bonded bands, we purified them using a specific interaction between TAP and IgG sepharose. HEK293 cells expressing ATF6α(C)WT-TAP, ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP, ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP, or ATF6α(C)C467K&618A-TAP were solubilized with 0.01% digitonin to remove cytosolic proteins. The pellets left behind were dissolved in 1% digitonin, and the solubilized proteins were subjected to affinity chromatography using IgG sepharose. Bound proteins were eluted by digestion with TEV protease, subjected to SDSPAGE under non-reducing conditions, and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-c-myc epitope antibody. As shown in Fig. 2A, essentially all immunoreactive bands were bound to IgG sepharose and eluted by digestion with TEV protease. We noticed that the difference in the migration positions between the uppermost band and the intense bands around 150 kDa became much smaller after the removal of 2x IgG bd. Aliquot of the eluates were subjected to SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions, and then...
Sensing ER Stress via ATF6 Luminal Domain

Fig. 1. Disulfide bond formation in ATF6α(C)-TAP. (A) Domain structure of ATF6α and schematic presentation of fusion proteins used for analysis. ATF6α contains the bZIP domain and transmembrane domain (TMD). The ATF6 luminal domain contains two conserved cysteine residues (Cys467 and Cys618). A signal sequence (s.s.) of Immunoglobulin κ chain and TAP tag consisting of three tandem copies of c-myc epitope, a TEV protease recognition site and two tandem copies of IgG bd derived from Protein A were attached to the N- and C-termini of the luminal domain of ATF6α (amino acids 405–670), respectively, to create ATF6α(C)WT-TAP. The two conserved cysteine residues in ATF6α(C)WT-TAP were mutated to alanine to create ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP, ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP, or ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP. (B) HeLa cells transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP or ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were lyzed in 10 mM NEM-containing Laemmli’s sample buffer without reducing reagent and then boiled for 5 min in the presence or absence of 100 mM dithiothreitol for the analysis under reducing or non-reducing conditions, respectively. Resulting cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-c-myc epitope antibody. Migration positions of molecular weight markers (GE Healthcare Biosciences) are indicated. An asterisk denotes a non-specific band, which was also detected in lysates of untransfected cells (see Fig. 1C, lane 1). (C) HEK293 cells transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP, ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP, ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP, or ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were analyzed as in B. Migration positions of monomer as well as molecular weight markers are indicated. (D) ATF6α +/+ and −/− MEFs transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP, ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP, ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP, or ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were analyzed as in B.

silver-stained (Fig. 2B). Mass spectrometric analysis identified only ATF6α as a component of both the uppermost band and the faster-migrating intense bands.

To biochemically determine whether these disulfide-bonded proteins contain free reactive sulfhydryl groups, cell lysates were first treated with NEM (molecular weight: 125) or left untreated, and then treated with AMS (molecular weight: 536) or again left untreated, as schematically shown
Due to the difference in molecular weights, AMS-modified proteins are expected to migrate more slowly than NEM-modified or unmodified proteins. Immuno blotting analysis showed that the intense bands of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP around 150 kDa were not affected by treatment with or without NEM followed by treatment with or without AMS, indicating that they were indeed completely disulfide-bonded (Fig. 2C, compare lanes 1–4). In contrast, the uppermost band of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP migrated more slowly when cell lysates were not treated with NEM but were treated with AMS, indicating that it contained free cysteines (Fig. 2C, compare lane 2 with lane 1). Based on these results, we concluded that the uppermost band, designated dimer 1 hereafter, contains one disulfide bond and two free cysteines, whereas the intense bands around 150 kDa, designated dimer 2 hereafter, contain two...
disulfide bonds. These are thus all dimers with different conformations, as schematically shown in Fig. 2C.

Furthermore, we noticed that the monomer band of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP also migrated more slowly when cell lysates were not treated with NEM but were treated with AMS, indicating that it was not disulfide-bonded intramolecularly (Fig. 2C, compare lane 2 with lane 1), in contrast to observations made with endogenous monomer ATF6α (Nadanaka et al., 2007). Disulfide bond formation in monomer appears to have been blocked by conformational restriction caused by attachment of the TAP tag. In contrast, the monomer band of ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP was not affected by treatment with or without NEM followed by treatment with or without AMS, as expected (Fig. 2C, lanes 5–8). Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that both ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were localized exclusively in the ER under normal conditions (Fig. 3B, panels a–c and j–l; Fig. 4B, panels a–c and j–l),

Fig. 3. Dithiothreitol-induced reduction and transport of ATF6α(C)-TAP. (A) HeLa cells transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP or ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were treated with 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for the indicated periods. Cell lysates were prepared and analyzed as in Fig. 1B. (B) HeLa cells transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP or TF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were treated with 2 mM DTT for the indicated periods. Cells were fixed, immunostained with anti-c-myc epitope or anti-GM130 antibody, and analyzed using confocal fluorescence microscopy. (C) HeLa cells transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP or TF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were treated with 5 mM DTT for the indicated periods. Cell lysates were prepared and subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-c-myc epitope antibody as described in EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES. The immunoprecipitated materials were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-c-myc epitope and anti-KDEL antibodies (left panel). The intensity of each band was quantified, normalized to a value of 0 h, and plotted against incubation time (right panel).
consistent with our previous notion that reduction is not sufficient to activate ATF6α (Nadanaka et al., 2007). Cycloheximide chase experiments showed that both ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP turned over with a half-life of approximately 2 h, a value being comparable to the short half-life of HA-tagged fulllength ATF6α, and that MG132 treatment blocked this degradation (Fig. 2D). These results indicate that newly synthesized ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP are translocated into the lumen of the ER where oxidative folding and N-linked glycosylation occur (evidence of glycosylation presented in sections below), and are then constitutively retrotranslocated back to the cytosol to be degraded by the proteasome, even under normal conditions.
Sensing ER Stress via ATF6 Luminal Domain

We examined whether the TAP-tagged ATF6 luminal domain is reduced and transported to the Golgi apparatus in response to ER stress, as in the case of endogenous ATF6α.

After treatment of HeLa cells with dithiothreitol, which is known to be the most effective activator of ATF6 among ER stress inducers (Haze et al., 1999), levels of dimer 1 and dimer 2 of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP were decreased (Fig. 3A, lanes 7–11), and ATF6α(C)WT-TAP became localized to the Golgi apparatus within 60 min (Fig. 3B, panels d–i). ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP also became localized to the Golgi apparatus in response to dithiothreitol treatment (Fig. 3B, panels m–r). Immunoprecipitation of TAP-tagged ATF6 luminal domain with anti-c-myc epitope antibody followed by immunoblotting analysis with anti-KDEL antibody revealed that the ER chaperone BiP constitutively bound to TAP-tagged ATF6 luminal domain was dissociated from ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP similarly after dithiothreitol treatment (Fig. 3C), as in the case of endogenous ATF6α.

On treatment with thapsigargin, which is known to activate ATF6 weakly (Haze et al., 1999), levels of monomer and dimer 1 of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP were decreased (Fig. 4A, lanes 8–13), and ATF6α(C)WT-TAP became localized to the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 4B, panels d–i), albeit much more slowly than with dithiothreitol treatment. ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP also became localized to the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 4B, panels m–r) in response to thapsigargin treatment. When the effect of thapsigargin treatment was examined over a shorter time course (Fig. 4C), the level of dimer 1 was gradually decreased (lanes 8–13), whereas that of dimer 2 (lanes 8–13) or that of total ATF6α(C)WT-TAP (lanes 1–7) remained relatively constant. These results indicate that dimer 1, containing only one disulfide bond, is preferentially reduced in response to the addition of weak ATF6 activator. Thus, the behavior of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP in ER-stressed cells is similar to that of endogenous ATF6α.

Fig. 5. Thapsigargin-induced secretion of ATF6α(C)-TAP. (A) HeLa cells were transfected with a plasmid to express ATF6α(C)WT-TAP or ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP. Twenty hours later, culture medium was exchanged for fresh medium with or without 300 nM thapsigargin (Tg), and cells were incubated further for 5 hr, as shown schematically at the top of the figure. Cells and medium at 0 and 5 hr after the medium change were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-c-myc epitope antibody as described in EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES. Immunoprecipitated materials were subjected to SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-c-myc epitope antibody. Migration positions of extracellular and intracellular ATF6α(C)-TAP as well as mouse IgG are indicated. (B) Aliquots of immunoprecipitated proteins prepared as in A from cell lysates at 0 hr and medium at 5 hr after the addition of thapsigargin were left untreated or treated with endoglycosidase H (Endo H) or peptide-N-glycosidase F (PNGase F) prior to SDS-PAGE as indicated. Migration positions of intracellular and extracellular forms of ATF6α(C)-TAP as well as deglycosylated ATF6α(C)-TAP and mouse IgG are indicated.
Secretion of ATF6 luminal domain

We noticed that total levels of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP observed under reducing conditions were markedly decreased several hours after thapsigargin treatment (Fig. 4A, lanes 1–7 and 14–20). This observation suggested that these fusion proteins might be secreted after reaching the Golgi apparatus. To address this, the culture medium for HeLa cells expressing ATF6α(C)WT-TAP or ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP was changed to fresh medium with or without thapsigargin, and the cells were further incubated for 5 h. Cells and medium at 0 and 5 h after the medium change were collected and subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-c-myc epitope antibody, followed by immunoblotting analysis of the immunoprecipitated materials. In the absence of thapsigargin, both ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were detected in cell lysates but not in medium at both 0 h and 5 h (Fig. 5A, lanes 1–4 and 9–12). In contrast, in the presence of thapsigargin, both the ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP detected in the cell lysates at 0 h nearly disappeared from cell lysates and were localized entirely to the medium at 5 h after the medium change (Fig. 5, lanes 5–8 and 13–16). The extracellular forms of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP obviously migrated more slowly than their intracellular forms (Fig. 5, compare lane 5 with lane 8 and lane 13 with lane 16).

The intracellular forms were sensitive to treatment with endoglycosidase H and protein-N-glycosidase F, as expected from their localization to the ER (Fig. 5, lanes 5–7 and 15–17). In contrast, the extracellular forms were completely resistant to endoglycosidase H and partially resistant to protein-N-glycosidase F (Fig. 5, lanes 8–10 and 18–20). The extracellular forms appeared not to be phosphorylated,
as they were resistant to treatment with bacterial alkaline phosphatase (data not shown). The observed partial resistance to protein-N-glycosidase F is likely explained by O-glycosylation occurring in the Golgi apparatus (Shen et al., 2002). These results indicated that after ER stress-induced translocation to the Golgi apparatus, the carbohydrate moieties of ATF6α(C)WT-TAP and ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP were modified from high mannose-types to complex types and then they were secreted to medium.

**Isolation of ATF6 luminal domain-binding proteins**

Lastly, we examined whether or not ATF6α(C)WT-TAP can be used to isolate ATF6-binding proteins. HEK293 cells expressing ATF6α(C)WT-TAP were solubilized with 0.01% digitonin to remove cytosolic proteins. The pellets left behind were dissolved in 1% digitonin, and the solubilized proteins were subjected to affinity chromatography using IgG sepharose. Bound proteins were eluted by digestion with TEV protease, subjected to SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions, and then silver-stained (Fig. 6A). Mass spectrometric analysis revealed that two ER proteins in addition to BiP were bound to ATF6α(C)WT-TAP; calnexin and PDI. Calnexin very likely assists with productive folding of glycosylated ATF6α(C)WT-TAP.

To address the possibility that PDI is responsible for ER stress-induced reduction of ATF6, we used modification with AMS to determine whether or not the redox state of PDI was altered in response to ER stress. Only PDI with free cysteine residues (PDI contains a total of six) is modified by AMS, and this AMS-modified PDI migrates more slowly than unmodified PDI in SDS-PAGE. As a control, HEK293 cells were treated for 5 min with 5 mM dithiothreitol or 5 mM diamide for complete reduction or oxidation, respectively, and then cell lysates were incubated with AMS. PDI with all six cysteines modified by AMS (Fig. 6B, lane 8) migrated more slowly than PDI with no cysteines modified by AMS (Fig. 6B, lane 9) in SDS-PAGE. We further noted that most PDI in unstressed HEK293 cells existed in a reduced state (Fig. 6B, lane 10). After the addition of thapsigargin, the levels of monomer and dimer of endogenous ATF6α were decreased from 20 min (Fig. 6B, lane 3), and the cleaved form of ATF6α, pATF6α(N), was detected from 30 min (Fig. 6B, lanes 4–7). However, the redox state of PDI remained largely unchanged during the period (Fig. 6B, lanes 11–16), indicating that PDI is not responsible for the reduction of ATF6 in response to ER stress. This notion was further supported by subsequent purification experiments with IgG sepharose followed by elution with TEV protease, which showed that PDI bound not only to ATF6α(C)WT-TAP but also equally to ATF6α(C)C467A-TAP, ATF6α(C)C618A-TAP and even to ATF6α(C)C467&618A-TAP with no free cysteines, like calnexin and BiP (shown by silver staining in Fig. 6A and by immunoblotting in Fig. 6C). These results suggested that PDI bound to these TAP-tagged ATF6 luminal domains with chaperone activity regardless of the presence or absence of free cysteine residues.

**Discussion**

ATF6 is a transcription factor which acts in the regulation of ER quality control proteins and is required for transcriptional induction of ER chaperones and ERAD components in response to ER stress in mammals (Adachi et al., 2008). ATF6 senses ER stress via an unknown mechanism and subsequently relocates from the ER to the Golgi apparatus to be activated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis. Previous studies have shown that the luminal domain of ATF6 confers ER stress responsiveness to another ATF6-like ER membrane-bound transcription factor LZIP/Luman (Chen et al., 2002). Thus, a portion of LZIP (amino acids 1-280) consisting of its cytoplasmic and transmembrane regions as well as a short 31-amino acid region of its luminal domain were translocated to the Golgi apparatus in response to dithiothreitol treatment, but only when fused to the luminal domain of ATF6 (amino acids 430–670). This indicates that the ATF6 luminal domain is sufficiently able to sense ER stress and subsequently translocate to the Golgi apparatus (Chen et al., 2002). Here, we further found that the luminal domain of ATF6 alone fused to soluble TAP tag stays in the ER under normal growth conditions, and moves to the Golgi apparatus upon ER stress (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), demonstrating that even transmembrane topology embedded in the ER is not required for the ER stress responsiveness of ATF6.

The best characterized ER membrane-bound transcription factors activated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis are sterol regulatory element-binding proteins 1 and 2 (SREBP-1 and SREBP-2) (Brown et al., 2000). SREBPs are synthesized as transmembrane proteins embedded in the ER with both their N- and C-terminals facing the cytoplasm, like a hairpin. When intracellular cholesterol levels are decreased, SREBPs are transported to the Golgi apparatus to be cleaved by sequential action of Site-1 and Site-2 proteases, the same enzymes as those which cleave ATF6. The N-terminal fragments of SREBPs liberated from the membrane translocate into the nucleus and activate transcription of genes involved in cholesterol homeostasis.

The anchor and escort proteins for SREBPs have been identified as Insig and SREBP cleavage-activating protein (SCAP), respectively, both of which span the ER membrane multiple times (DeBose-Boyd et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2002). Under normal conditions, the SREBP/SCAP complex is retained in the ER through binding of Insig to SCAP, to which cholesterol is directly bound. When cellular cholesterol level decreases, cholesterol detachment-induced conformational change of SCAP causes SCAP to dissociate from Insig, thereby allowing translocation of the SREBP/SCAP complex to the Golgi apparatus (Brown et al., 2002;
Radhakrishnan et al., 2004). In this regulatory mechanism, the escort protein SCAP associates with SREBP via direct interaction between the C-terminal WD repeat domain of SCAP and the C-terminal regulatory domain of SREBP, with the interaction occurring in the cytoplasm (Sakai et al., 1997). Results from the present study suggest that a protein must recognize some point on the ATF6 luminal domain in order to escort ATF6 to the Golgi apparatus in response to ER stress. Thus, the nature of the putative ATF6 escort protein must differ substantially from the SREBP-escort protein SCAP.

ER stress-induced budding of ATF6 was recently reconstructed in vitro, in a study in which Chinese hamster ovary cells stably expressing ATF6 were first permeabilized with digitonin, and then mixed with GTP, rat liver cytosol, ATP, and an ATP regeneration system (Schindler and Schekman, 2009). Packaging of ATF6 into COPII vesicles was indeed enhanced on addition of the ER stress inducer dithiothreitol to the reaction or when Chinese hamster ovary cells stably expressing ATF6 were pretreated with dithiothreitol prior to permeabilization to prime ATF6 for transport. ATF6 was shown to be physically associated with COPII coat proteins such as Sec23 and Sec24, which were recruited to COPII vesicles through binding to the GTP-bound form of Sar1. Importantly, ATF6 packaging required no cytosolic component other than COPII. Taken together, these present and previous results suggest the possibility that an escort protein for ATF6 does indeed bind to the luminal domain of ATF6 in the ER and to Sec23/Sec24 in the cytosol at the same time.

The luminal domain of ATF6 alone fused to the TAP tag, ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP, behaved with marked similarity to the full-length ATF6. After synthesis on the ER membrane-bound ribosome, ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP entered the ER lumen due to the presence of a signal peptide attached to the N-terminus, glycosylated (Fig. 5), and disulfide-bonded between two conserved cysteine residues (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Interestingly, ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP was not stable and was degraded by the proteasome, giving rise to a half-life of approximately 2 h, a value comparable to the short half-life of the full-length ATF6αα (Fig. 2D). On application of ER stress, the disulfide bond is reduced and the ER chaperone BiP is released, allowing translocation of ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP to the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). These similarities encouraged us to isolate ATF6-binding protein from HEK293 cells expressing ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP by affinity chromatography using IgG sepharose. As a result, we identified the ER chaperones, calnexin, BiP, and PDI, as proteins capable of binding to ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP specifically over no transfection control, which are considered to assist productive folding of ATF6αα(C)WT-TAP (Fig. 6).

Taken together, our present results strongly suggest that the luminal domain of ATF6 fused to the TAP tag is a useful tool for isolating the oxidoreductases involved in ER stress-induced reduction of ATF6 luminal domain as well as any anchor or escort proteins involved in ER stress-induced relocation of ATF6 from the ER to the Golgi apparatus. Identification of such proteins will facilitate a more precise understanding of how ATF6 senses ER stress, and of how cells recognize the accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER and initiate signaling to maintain ER homeostasis.
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