Cytogenetical Evidence for Latent Centromeres and Reactivation in Chromosomes of *Haplopappus gracilis* (Asteraceae)
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**Summary** Rearranged karyotypes of 2*n*=5 present in three plants of *Haplopappus gracilis* (2*n*=4) were cytogenetically analyzed to clarify the origin of an additional centromere in the chromosome complement. One of the plants was a progeny of the homozygote with normal chromosome 1 crossed with the heterozygote with normal and centromere-shifted chromosome 1. The other two plants were derived from seedlings irradiated with X-rays during early germination stage. The chromosome complement of 2*n*=5 found in the first plant was comprised of 3 normal chromosomes (one chromosome 1 and two chromosome 2) and two rearranged chromosomes each possessing a centromere at the subterminal position. All the chromosome complements of the irradiated plants were similar to each other and each consisted of three normal chromosomes (one chromosome 1 and two chromosome 2) and 2 rearranged chromosomes, one large and metacentric and the other, fragment-like. The origin of the additional centromere in each complement of 2*n*=5 is discussed from the point of chromosomal evolution in the genus *Haplopappus*.
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*Haplopappus gracilis* (Nutt.) Gray, an annual species of the Asteraceae, shows the lowest chromosome number of *n*=2 in higher plants (Jackson 1957). The chromosome complement of this species consists of a larger metacentric chromosome pair (chromosome A or chromosome 1) and a shorter subtelocentric chromosome pair with a satellite (chromosome B or chromosome 2) (Jackson 1959, Tanaka 1967). The chromosome complement of *n*=2 in this species is considered to be reconstituted from that of *n*=4 in *H. ravenii*, an allied species, by chromosome rearrangement (Jackson 1962, Tanaka 1967, Ikeda 1987). Assuming this to be so, discussion is made in the following of the whereabouts of 2 additional centromeres present in the chromosome complement of *H. ravenii*.

Based on analysis of the chromosome configuration of *F₁* hybrids between *H. gracilis* and *H. ravenii*, Jackson (1962) maintains the chromosome segments of both species to be almost entirely homologous. His study assumes the chromosome complement of *H. gracilis* to derive from that of *H. ravenii* through a series of unequal reciprocal translocations, causing the extra centromeres to be lost as B chromosomes. Based on comparative analysis of mitotic chromosomes at prophase and metaphase in either species, Tanaka (1967) also considers that the chromosomes of *H. gracilis* have evolved from those of *H. ravenii* via chromosome breakage and end-to-end tandem fusion. His study makes no comment on the sites of extra centromeres of *H. ravenii*.

In the centric transposition race of *H. gracilis*, or Mexican race, Jackson (1973) has shown the centromere in chromosome 1 (or chromosome A) to be situated at a subterminal position and he
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maintains based on the pachytene configuration of F₁ hybrid between the standard race and the Mexican race, the transposition of centromere in this chromosome to arise from a three-break phenomenon, a chromosomal break on both sides of the centromere and a third break at the subterminal position of one arm.

Yonezawa (1981a) has also noted a similar centromere-shifted chromosome 1 in several plants from a reserved population of this species. This reserved population has been originated from about 20 achenes collected by Jackson (cf. Tanaka 1967). But Yonezawa (1981a) considers transposition of the centromere to come about through the disappearance of a centromere situated at the median position and the appearance of a subterminal position in the long arm of chromosome 1, and the centromere is transposed from the subterminal position. The appearance of new centromere may be considered due to reactivation of the centromere suppressed during the course of chromosomal evolution from H. ravenii to H. gracilis.

We previously reported the karyotypes of 2n=5 observed in the plants irradiated by X-rays and pointed out that the extra centromere in the 2n=5 complement might be derived from the reactivation of the suppressed centromere in the chromosome 1 in H. gracilis (Hanmoto et al. 2003).

The present study directs careful attention to the sites of extra centromeres present in the chromosome complement of H. ravenii during chromosomal evolution in this genus, based on cytogenetical analysis of 1 plant with 2n=5 observed in these 2 plants with 2n=5 artificially induced by X-ray irradiation, and in artificially pollinated progenies of the homozygote with normal chromosome 1 crossed with the heterozygote possessing normal and centromere-shifted chromosome 1.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Three chromosomal mutants with 2n=5 in Haplopappus gracilis (Nutt.) Gray, an annual species of the Asteraceae, were used: One mutant was a progeny of the homozygote of normal chromosome 1 crossed with the heterozygote of normal and centromere-shifted chromosome 1 (Yonezawa 1981b). Out of 160 progenies, only one plant (designated as 78-465) possessed 2n=5 chromosomes. The other two plant materials, X18-38 and X18-49, were found growing among 133 plants from the achenes irradiated by X-rays just at the time of germination and had 2n=5 with a fragment-like chromosome (Hanmoto et al. 2003).

These three mutants were derived from the reserved, Kansas-Hiroshima Strain No. 1 (KH-1), of Haplopappus gracilis, which was established by Prof. Tanaka in 1967 and presently is being kept at the Laboratory of Plant Chromosome and Gene Stock of Hiroshima University until this time. The morphological and cytological characteristics of this strain are presented in the paper submitted by Tanaka (1967).

Chromosome preparation and karyotype analysis

The preparation was the same as previously reported (Yonezawa 1981a, Hanmoto et al. 2003): Root tips were pretreated with 0.002 M 8-hydroxyquinoline solution for 90 min at 18°C or 0.1% colchicine solution for 60 min at 20°C followed by fixation in 45% acetic acid solution for 15 min at 5°C. The tips were then macerated in a mixture of 1 N HCl and 45% acetic acid (2 : 1) for 15 s at 60°C. The terminal 1–2 mm root portions were cut off and stained with 1 or 2% aceto-orcein solution and then squashed under cover slips.

The well-spread prophase and metaphase chromosomes were microscopically analyzed for assessment of the chromosome size, centromere position and distribution of early condensing regions (ECRs).

For examination of meiotic chromosomes in pollen mother cells (PMCs), the immature heads were fixed in a ethanol, chloroform and acetic acid (2 : 1 : 1) mixture for over 1 h and stained with 1
or 2% aceto-orcein solution, or Feulgen reaction followed by 1% aceto-orcein solution.

Results

Standard karyotype of H. gracilis

Figs. 1A and B indicate the standard karyotype of the KH-1 of H. gracilis to be comprised of a longer metacentric pair (chromosome A by Jackson (1962) or chromosome 1 by Tanaka (1967)) and a shorter subtelocentric pair with satellite (chromosome B or chromosome 2), which were designated as 1g and 2g, respectively, according to the criteria of Tanaka (1967), so as to avoid confusing chromosome B with B chromosome, meaning a supernumerary chromosome. By this designation, normal karyotype of the KH-1 of H. garacilis may be expressed as 2n=4=1g+1g+2g+2g. The features of this karyotype are consistent with those of the original strain of this species as previously described by Jackson (1962).

In the mitotic prophase, 1g showed three early condensing regions (ECRs) in the centromeric region and interstitial and subdistal regions of the long arm. There were one ECR containing the centromeric region and a whole short arm in 2g (Fig. 1A). These findings are consistent with and confirm data in previous papers (Tanaka 1967, Yonezawa 1981a, Ikeda 1987, Hanmoto et al. 2003).

Fig. 1C shows two bivalent chromosomes of 1g–1g and 2g–2g at first metaphase in PMCs with regular configuration.

Rearranged karyotype with 2n=5

Figs. 1D–I show rearranged karyotypes each comprised of five chromosomes at mitotic and meiotic stages observed in the 78-465, X18-38 and X18-49 plants. Three rearranged karyotypes with the karyotype formula heading are described and discussed in the following.

1) 78-465 (2n=5=1g+2g+2g+2g+two rearranged chromosomes, i.e., V1 and V2. Figs. 1D–F)

The larger rearranged chromosome, V1, had a centromere at the subterminal position and one ECR at the centromeric position. The smallest one, V2, also had a subterminal centromere, but also 2 ECRs at the centromeric and subdistal positions of the long arm (Figs. 1D and E). In the diakinesis of PMCs, V1 and V2 were seen to be paired with 1g (Fig. 1F), but in some cases, the univalent of V2 was also present (data not shown).

2) X18-38 (2n=5=1g+2g+2g+two rearranged chromosomes, i.e., V3 and “F”. Figs. 1G and H)

The karyotype of this plant has been described preliminary in our previous report, except for the designation of V3 and “F” (Hanmoto et al. 2003).

The larger rearranged chromosome of V3 was metacentric and shorter than normal 1g. “F” was the smallest chromosome with a median centromere in the chromosome complement (Fig. 1G). During mitotic prophase, the V3 chromosome was seen to have 2 ECRs at the centromeric position as well as the subdistal position of the short arm. “F” was comprised of one ECR which occupied nearly the entire region of the chromosome (data not shown, cf. Hanmoto et al. 2003). The segregation of this chromosome at anaphase was regular (data not shown), indicating it to possess an active centromere. During the diakinesis and the first metaphase of PMCs, the V3 chromosome became paired with 1g, though “F” was always univalent in the vicinity of 1g–V3 bivalent (Fig. 1H).

3) X18-49 (2n=5=1g+2g+2g+two rearranged chromosomes, i.e., V3 and “F”. Fig. 1I)

In mitosis in 4 of the eight roots investigated, a karyotype with 2n=5 similar to that of X18-38 was observed (Fig. 1I). The karyotypes of the other roots were normal, thus demonstrating normal and rearranged karyotypes in root system in this plant. This rearranged karyotype was also reported in our previous report (Hanmoto et al. 2003).

The first metaphase in PMCs showed 2 regular bivalents in all cases, as with plants having the normal karyotype of 2n=4 (data not shown). The rearranged karyotype in this plant may thus be
considered to induce only in the roots by X-rays irradiation.

Discussion

Chromosomes possessing 2 or more centromeres (dicentrics or multicentrics) produced by chromosomal rearrangement such as reciprocal translocation, are usually eliminated from cell populations during mitosis owing to the irregular distribution of such chromosomes. The continued existence of dicentrics or multicentrics would thus require the elimination of 1 or more centromeres
via chromosomal deletion, or that one or more centromeres become functionally inactivated or suppressed (see Choo 1997). Such centromeres have been designated latent centromeres (Hsu et al. 1975). The mechanisms for inactivation or suppression of active centromeres in dicentrics or multicentrics have yet to be clarified.

With *H. gracilis*, chromosome 1 (1g) is considered to be reconstituted from 3 chromosomes of *H. ravenii*, chromosome 1 (1r), chromosome 2 (2r) and chromosome 3 (3r), as indicated by comparative analysis of the mitotic prophase (Tanaka 1967) and examination of chromosome configuration in PMCs of *F*₁ hybrids between *H. gracilis* and *H. ravenii* (Jackson 1962, Ikeda 1987). Jackson (1962) considers the numerical reduction in chromosomes to result from unequal reciprocal translocation and subsequent loss of 2 centromeres.

Neither Jackson (1962) nor Ikeda (1987) could find any unpaired regions in pachytene chromosomes in *F*₁ hybrids between the 2 species. Tanaka (1967) noted total chromosome length and distribution of ECRs in mitotic prophase to be basically the same in these species. Accordingly, the consideration of Jackson (1962) that extra centromeres of *H. ravenii* were lost as B chromosomes in chromosomal evolution in this genus would not be valid.

Fig. 2 demonstrates semi-schematically the haploid chromosome complements of 2 rearranged karyotypes with 2n=5, 78-465 and X18-38 with X18-49, in the mitotic prophase for comparison with the karyotypes of *H. ravenii* and *H. gracilis*. Chromosome length and ECR distribution in 2 aberrant chromosomes in 78-465, V₁ and V₂, are quite consistent with those in normal 1g, as is also the case for V₃ and “F” in X18-38 and X18-49.

The aberrant chromosomes, V₁ and V₂ in 78-465, and V₃ and “F” in X18-38 and X18-49, would thus appear to be produced by chromosome breakage in 1g and additional centromere activity in any given complement may possibly arise from reactivation of either of the 2 latent centromeres at interstitial or subdistal positions in the long arm of 1g. Chromosome 1 thus shows to have the latent centromeres in the long arm, each possessing the capacity for reactivation.

Increase in the number of centromeres in the chromosome complement induced by centromeric fission has been reported for the metacentric chromosome in rye (Sybenga and de Vries 1987), *Hypochoeris radicata* (Parker 1987), *Vicia faba* (Schubert and Rieger 1990), though rarely in humans (Choo 1997), and to produce 2 telocentric chromosomes. There appear to be no reports on in-
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**Fig. 2.** Semi-schematic representation of haploid chromosome complement at mitotic prophase of *Haplopappus ravenii*, *H. garacilis*, 78-465, X18-38 and X18-49. Chromosome arrangement and agreement between *ravenii* and *gracilis* are in accordance with of Ikeda (1987). The distribution of ECRs in X18-38 and X18-49 was referred to our previous data (Hanmoto et al. 2003). Refer to results for explanation of letters appearing on chromosomes. Arrows indicate reactivated centromeres.
crease in centromeres due to the reactivation of latent centromeres. This paper thus presents for the first time reactivation of latent centromeres.

Additional evidence on latent centromeres should also be obtained using molecular markers such as centromere-specific DNA or proteins (CENPs).
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