Effect of artificial saliva contamination on adhesion of dental restorative materials
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of artificial saliva contamination on three restorative materials, namely, a glass ionomer cement (GIC), a resin-modified GIC (RMGIC), and a composite resin (CR), for which two different etching adhesive systems were used. Thus, three surface conditions were created on bovine teeth using artificial saliva: control, mild saliva contamination, and severe saliva contamination. These conditions enabled the dentist to control the contamination and its focus on the actual clinical procedure. However, it can be difficult to place a rubber dam on a severely fractured tooth, an erupting tooth, or the tooth of a child who is respiring orally. In addition, the rubber dam clamp may be uncomfortable for the patient. Many dentists, therefore, refrain from using rubber dams during restorative care, which significantly increases the possibility of saliva contamination.

Recent developments in the field of dental materials have created a shift in restorative practices, which are now evidence-based and follow minimal-intervention policies. Composite resins (CRs) are commonly used, even though their bond strength is reduced when contaminated by saliva either before or after primer application. On the other hand, glass ionomer cements (GICs) chemically adhere to mineralized dental tissues; however, incomplete chemical reactions and sensitivity to water during the first stage of the GIC-setting reaction can lead to the softening and cracking of the cement surface, which in turn, leads to a decrease in the wear resistance and fracture toughness of the GICs. Resin-modified GICs (RMGICs) can be used to overcome these shortcomings. Yamazaki et al. have suggested that RMGICs have a greater shear bond strength than conventional GICs in the case of luting by water immersion.

One of the biggest problems with restoration materials is microleakage, which often results from marginal gaps between the restoration material and the cavity walls. These gaps are a result of inadequate wetting or spreading of the restoration material along the cavity walls during placement. Microleakage can also develop from temperature disparity if the dental structure and restoration material have different coefficients of thermal expansion. The presence of gaps and the subsequent decrease in bond strength may result in the formation of secondary caries around the restorative material. Rekha et al. reported that RMGICs exhibit less microleakage than GICs after thermocycling. However, few studies have compared GICs, RMGICs, and CRs in terms of bond strengths and degrees of microleakage in a moist environment similar to that encountered during dental restoration.

The purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the effect of artificial saliva contamination on the shear bond strengths of three restorative materials —GIC, RMGIC, and CR — used for enamel or dentin restoration and the effect on the degree of microleakage exhibited by the restorative materials after thermocycling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used in this study, GIC (Fuji IX extra capsule [F9E], GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan), RMGIC (Fuji II LC capsule [2LC], GC Corp.), and CR (CLEARFIL AP-X, Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Tokyo, Japan), are listed in Table 1. In the case of the CR, we used two different etching adhesive systems: a total-etching adhesive (OptiBond Solo Plus [CR-OBS], Kerr Corp., Orange, CA, USA) and a self-etching primer (CLEARFIL S3 BOND ND [CR-TSB], Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc.).

Various surface conditions were created on the enamel or dentin, or both, using artificial saliva. The artificial saliva contained 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-...
Table 1 Materials used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Composition (batch no.)</th>
<th>Application conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuji IX extra capsule</td>
<td>Powder: fluoroaluminosilicate glass, polycarboxylic acid. Liquid: polycarboxylic acid,</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F9E)</td>
<td>polyanionic acid, water, polybasic carboxylic acid. Shade: A3 (1202251).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuji II LC capsule</td>
<td>Powder: fluoroaluminosilicate glass. Liquid: methacrylic acid ester,</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2LC)</td>
<td>polycarboxylic acid, water. Shade: A3 (1210111).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity conditioner</td>
<td>Water, polycarboxylic acid, aluminum chloride (1212071).</td>
<td>Apply the conditioner for 10 s, rinse for 10 s, and gently air dry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEARFIL AP-X</td>
<td>Monomer: Bis-GMA, TEGDMA. Fillers: surface-treatment glass powder,</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>surface-treatment silica-based microfiller.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OptiBond Solo Plus</td>
<td>Bond: Bis-GMA, hydroxyethyl methacrylate, ethyl alcohol, fillers (4716918). Gel etchant:</td>
<td>Apply the etchant for 15 s, rinse for 15 s, and gently air dry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CR-OBS)</td>
<td>37.5% phosphoric acid (4693004).</td>
<td>Bond for 15 s, gently air dry for 10 s, and cure under light for 20 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEARFIL S3 BOND ND</td>
<td>Monomer: Bis-GMA, MDP, HEMA. Filler: silica-based microfiller.</td>
<td>Apply the primer and leave it in place for 20 s, dry by blowing high-pressure air for 5 s, and cure under light for 10 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional contents: ethyl alcohol, photo-initiator, water (00040C).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Bis-GMA: bisphenol A-diglycidyl methacrylate; TEGDMA: triethylene glycol dimethacrylate; MDP: methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate; HEMA: 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; DMA: dimethacrylate.

1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid, 30 mM KCl, 4 mM KH₂PO₄, and 0.7 mM CaCl₂. The rationale for using artificial saliva was that the presence of calcium and phosphate ions would prevent additional demineralization, which can alter the etching depth for enamel and dentin surfaces treated with acid or adhesives. The artificial saliva did not contain sodium azide because there was no need to store the specimens in sodium azide. The test samples were divided into the following groups: Group I (control), where the bonding surface remained dry; Group II (mild saliva contamination), where 0.1 mL of the artificial saliva was placed on the bonding surface and dried slightly; and Group III (severe saliva contamination), where 0.1 mL of the artificial saliva was used as is.

Shear bond strength test
Bovine incisors were used in the study. After we had confirmed the absence of abnormalities such as discoloration or hypoplasia on their labial side, the bovine incisors were cut into blocks (cubes with sides of 5 mm) using a slow-speed diamond blade (IsoMet, Buehler Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). These blocks were embedded in an acrylic resin (Unifast II, GC Corp.). Next, the enamel or dentin surfaces of the blocks were ground with 120- and 320-grit sandpaper. The blocks were then divided into three groups: Group I, Group II, and Group III. Each of the three groups was then divided into four material categories: F9E, 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB. The surfaces of the F9E, 2LC, and CR-OBS blocks were treated in accordance with the instructions given in their respective manuals; a cavity conditioner was used for F9E and 2LC, and a 37.5% phosphoric acid etch was used for CR-OBS. Artificial saliva was applied to the Group II and Group III blocks, and then the appropriate adhesives were applied to the CR-OBS and CR-TSB blocks, in accordance with their respective instructions. Ten specimens were prepared for each of the four materials in each of the three surface condition groups (i.e., a total of 120 specimens for each enamel and dentin). The specimens were prepared using a silicone ring-mold with an internal diameter of 2.4 mm and a height of 4.0 mm. The 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB blocks were light cured for 20 s using a visible light-curing unit (G-Light Prima-II, GC Corp.), whereas the F9E blocks were stored for 5 min at 37°C and 100% relative humidity. After the silicone ring-molds were removed, all specimens were stored in water at 37°C for 24 h.

The enamel and dentin shear bond strengths of the materials were tested using a universal testing machine.
Microleakage analysis
Cavities (1.5 mm in depth and 3.0 mm in diameter) were created at the center of the labial aspect of the bovine incisor enamel. The specimens were randomly divided into one of the three surface condition groups, namely, Group I, Group II, and Group III. After the application of the artificial saliva, the cavities were filled with the respective materials (F9E, 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB) as described above (N=10). The filled cavities were covered with a polyester film. The 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB blocks were irradiated for 20 s, whereas the F9E blocks were stored for 5 min at 37°C and 100% relative humidity. Next, all of the specimens were stored for 24 h at 37°C in distilled water. The polyester film was then removed, and the specimens were gently polished using #600 silicon carbide paper under water irrigation. All the specimens were thermocycled for 2000 cycles at 5°C and 55°C; the dwell time was 30 s\textsuperscript{15,16}. Then, they were soaked in a 0.1% methylene blue solution at 37°C for 20 h. The specimens were subsequently rinsed in distilled water, embedded in acrylic resin (Unifast II, GC Corp.), sectioned longitudinally on either side of the cavity midline using a low-speed diamond blade (IsoMet, Buehler Ltd.), and examined under 60× magnification using a digital microscope (VHX-100, Keyence Corp., Osaka, Japan). The degree of dye penetration in all four surfaces was scored on a scale of 0 to 3 in accordance with the ISO/TS 11405:2003 standard, which has the following definitions: 0, no dye penetration; 1, dye penetration into the enamel part of the cavity wall; 2, dye penetration into the dentinal part of the cavity wall but not into the cavity floor; 3, dye penetration into the cavity floor\textsuperscript{17}.

Statistical analysis
The enamel and dentin shear bond strengths corresponding to the three surface conditions for each material were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). To determine the independent and combined effects of surface condition and material, the groups were compared via two-way ANOVA. In the cases where the ANOVA results indicated a significant difference, Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) adjustment for multiple comparisons was applied to determine the group differences. The \( p \) values below 0.05 were considered significant.

The dye-penetration scores for the three surface conditions and for each of the four materials (F9E, 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB) were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test. If a significant difference was found, the Mann-Whitney \( U \)-test was performed on the pairs of dye-penetration scores in each group. Because either three or six pair-wise planned comparisons were made, \( p<0.016 \) (i.e., 0.05/3) and \( p<0.008 \) (i.e., 0.05/6) were considered significant values for the surface conditions and the materials, respectively. All of the analyses were performed using the statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 21 (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan).

RESULTS
Figure 1 shows the enamel and dentin shear bond strength values of the tested materials for the different surface conditions. For CR-TSB, the enamel bond
strength was significantly lower in Group III than in Group I \((p<0.01)\), whereas there were no significant differences found for CR-OBS (Fig. 1a). Furthermore, for CR-OBS and CR-TSB, the dentin bond strengths were significantly lower in both Group II and Group III than in Group I (control) \((p<0.001)\) for both materials (Fig. 1b). On the other hand, F9E and 2LC exhibited no significant differences in either enamel or dentin bond strengths (Figs. 1a and 1b). The two-way ANOVA results indicated that the main effect of the surface condition was significant for enamel and dentine bond strength (enamel, \(F(2, 108)=3.111, p=0.049\); dentine, \(F(2, 108)=160.536, p<0.001\)) (Figs. 1a and 1b). The results for the main effect of the material were also significant for enamel bond strength \((p<0.001)\) (Fig. 1b). The interaction effect (surface condition×material) was not significant for enamel bond strength (Fig. 1a), although it yielded significant results for dentin bond strength \((F(6, 108)=82.217, p<0.001)\) (Fig. 1b).

The dye-penetration scores of the specimens for the four materials and the significance of the three different surface conditions for each material are presented in Table 2. A comparison of the dye-penetration scores for all of the conditions of each material revealed that CR-OBS and CR-TSB exhibited significantly higher dye-penetration scores after artificial saliva contamination \((p<0.001)\) for CR-OBS; \(p=0.003\) for CR-TSB in the comparison of Group I and Group II; \(p<0.001\) for both materials in the comparison of Group I and Group III) (see Table 2). However, no significant differences were found for F9E and 2LC.

On comparing the dye-penetration scores of the four different materials for each surface condition, the median dye-penetration scores were 0, 1, 1, and 1 for F9E, 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB, respectively, in Group I. In addition, F9E exhibited significantly less microleakage than 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB \((p<0.001)\) for both materials). 2LC exhibited significantly less microleakage than CR-OBS and CR-TSB \((p<0.001)\) for both materials. F9E exhibited significantly less microleakage than CR-OBS and CR-TSB \((p<0.001)\) for both materials. 2LC exhibited significantly less microleakage than CR-OBS and CR-TSB \((p<0.001)\) for both materials.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we compared the shear bond strengths and degrees of microleakage in three restorative materials (GIC, RMGIC, and CR) under artificial saliva contamination. The main finding of this study is that artificial saliva contamination did not affect

---

**Table 2** Microleakage scores for different materials under three surface conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material *</th>
<th>Group **</th>
<th>Score (total of 40 surfaces)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9E</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2LC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-OBS</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-TSB</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* F9E: Fuji IX extra capsule (glass ionomer cement); 2LC: Fuji II LC (resin-modified glass ionomer cement); CR-OBS: CLEARFIL AP-X (composite resin) using OptiBond Solo Plus (total-etching adhesive); CR-TSB: CLEARFIL AP-X (composite resin) using CLEARFIL S3 BOND ND (self-etching primer).
** Group I: control; Group II: mild saliva contamination; Group III: severe saliva contamination.
† Score: 0, no dye penetration; 1, dye penetration into the enamel part of the cavity wall; 2, dye penetration into the dentine part of the cavity wall but not into the cavity floor; 3, dye penetration all the way into the cavity floor.
‡ Significant differences in dye-penetration scores from Group I of CR-OBS \(p<0.008)\.
§ Significant differences in dye-penetration scores from Group I of CR-TSB \(p<0.008)\.
According to Cattani-Lorente as well as significantly improved aesthetic properties. The chemistry of RMGICs is improved by the addition with aqueous polyacrylic acid or polycarboxylate acid. GICs undergo a chemical self-setting acid-base reaction of pulpal pathology, hypersensitivity, secondary caries, and the development result may engender staining, marginal breakdown, with oral thermal changes after setting. The gaps that result from saliva contamination during setting, along the adhesion of GIC and RMGIC. The adhesion mechanism involves the formation of ionic bonds between the glass ionomer and the calcium within the tooth structure. Conventional GICs undergo a chemical self-setting acid-base reaction when powdered fluororolinosilicate glass is mixed with aqueous polyacrylic acid or polycarboxylate acid. The chemistry of RMGICs is improved by the addition of methyl methacrylate or hydroxyethyl methacrylate, which are hydrophilic monomers, as the resin component. Compared to conventional GICs, RMGICs demonstrate improved adhesion to enamel and dentin, as well as significantly improved aesthetic properties. However, according to Cattani-Lorente et al., the flexural strength and Vickers hardness of RMGICs are sensitive to their water content. Thus, further studies are necessary to investigate the flexural strength and hardness of restorative materials when contaminated by saliva.

Thermal changes naturally occur in vivo and can be simulated in the laboratory by thermocycling regimens, in which both the restoration and the tooth are subjected to extreme temperatures in vitro. Microleakage may result from saliva contamination during setting, along with oral thermal changes after setting. The gaps that result may engender staining, marginal breakdown, hypersensitivity, secondary caries, and the development of pulpal pathology. In the present study, the degree of microleakage for CR-OBS and CR-TSB after thermocycling increased significantly under artificial saliva contamination. The bonding materials (OBS and TSB) contain hydrophilic monomers, which enhance water sorption, and dyeing agents, the presence of which may explain the high degree of microleakage observed in this study. However, the degree of microleakage did not increase in F9E and 2LC and may not be affected by artificial saliva contamination because of the chemical self-adhesion in F9E and 2LC; bonding materials were not used. Moreover, F9E exhibited significantly less microleakage than the other materials in the absence of artificial saliva contamination (control). On the other hand, as a result of polymerization contraction and thermal changes, 2LC, CR-OBS, and CR-TSB exhibited microleakage under the control conditions.

The results of our study suggest that GIC and RMGIC are suitable for restorative treatment when isolation using a rubber dam is not feasible. Atraumatic restorative treatments (ARTs) are possible even when it is difficult to prevent contamination by saliva, such as in situations when a treatment is performed outside the dental clinic: only hand instruments are required, and the tooth can be restored with a GIC. Alves et al. suggested that the presence of caries-affected dentin does not hinder the bonding of GICs to the primary tooth dentin.

CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the results of the study, the following conclusions were drawn:

1. Contamination with artificial saliva does not affect the shear bond strengths of GICs and RMGICs; this is true for both bovine enamel and dentin.
2. The bond strength of CRs with bovine dentin is reduced significantly when a total-etching adhesive or a self-etching primer is used and the restorations are subjected to contamination with artificial saliva.
3. The microleakage in the case of cavities whose bovine enamel margin is filled with a GIC or an RMGIC does not increase under artificial saliva contamination after thermocycling.
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