Mechanical properties of orthodontic wires made of super engineering plastic
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INTRODUCTION

Most orthodontic equipment is fabricated from alloys such as stainless steel, Co-Cr and Ni-Ti because of their excellent elastic properties. In recent years, increasing aesthetic demands, metal allergy and interference of metals with magnetic resonance imaging have driven the development of non-metallic orthodontic materials. In this study, we assessed the feasibility of using three super engineering plastics (PEEK, PES and PVDF) as orthodontic wires. PES and PVDF demonstrated excellent esthetics, although PEEK showed the highest bending strength and creep resistance. PEEK and PVDF showed quite low water absorption. Because of recent developments in coloration of PEEK, we conclude that PEEK has many advantageous properties that make it a suitable candidate for use as an aesthetic metal-free orthodontic wire.
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Most orthodontic equipment is made of metal alloys such as stainless steel, cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) and nickel-titanium (Ni-Ti) because of their excellent elastic properties. In recent years, increasing aesthetic demands, metal allergy and interference of metals with magnetic resonance imaging have driven the development of non-metallic orthodontic materials. In this study, we assessed the feasibility of using three super engineering plastics (PEEK, PES and PVDF) as orthodontic wires. PES and PVDF demonstrated excellent esthetics, although PEEK showed the highest bending strength and creep resistance. PEEK and PVDF showed quite low water absorption. Because of recent developments in coloration of PEEK, we conclude that PEEK has many advantageous properties that make it a suitable candidate for use as an aesthetic metal-free orthodontic wire.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

PEEK, PES and PVDF plates (1.0 mm thickness; KDA Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) were cut using a dicing machine (PFG-500DXAL, Okamoto Machine Tool Works, Ltd., Annaka, Japan) into 20 cm lengths with cross sectional dimensions of 1.0×1.0 mm square. The deviation in the cutting width was ±0.01 mm; therefore allowing cutting to be undertaken in parallel. Stainless steel (SS), Co-Cr, titanium-molybdenum (Ti-Mo) and Ni-
Ti super-elastic wires (0.40×0.55 mm) were also tested for comparison. The wires tested are shown in Table 1.

**Three-point bending test**
The flexural properties were estimated with the three-point bending test using a universal testing machine (AG-IS 500 N, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Wire specimens were placed on two round metal jigs (5.0 mm in diameter) with a 14-mm span length and deflected by 2.0 mm (at 1 mm/min) with another round metal jig before returning to the original position. This experiment was conducted in an acrylic box maintained at 37°C by air heating. Five replicates of each material were tested. Maximum bending stress (σ) and elastic modulus (E) were calculated from the following expression:

$$\sigma = \frac{3 \cdot P \cdot l}{2 \cdot b \cdot h^2}$$

$$E = \frac{l^2 \cdot \sigma}{6 \cdot h \cdot \delta},$$

where P is the flexural load, l is the distance between the two jigs, b and h are the width and height, respectively, of the sample wires, and δ is the deflection (in mm).

**Bending creep test**
The bending creep properties of PEEK, PES and PVDF wires were estimated under constant deflection conditions. Specimens were fixed in the same geometry as for the three-point bending test (2.0 mm deflection) and kept in distilled water (DW) at 37°C for various times ranging from 2 weeks to 1 month. Creep deformation was then estimated by measuring permanent deformation at the bending center. Four replicates of each material were tested.

**Water absorption test**
To estimate the water absorption of SEPs, disk shaped PEEK, PES and PVDF (10×10×1.0 mm) were polished with emery paper (#2000) and immersed in DW at 37°C for 10 days. After immersion, the samples were gently wiped and dried in air overnight at room temperature. Then, their weight change after this period measured. For comparison with ordinary plastics, PMMA was treated with the same method. An accelerated water absorption test was also carried out by immersing SEPs in DW and autoclaving them at 121°C and at 2 atmospheric pressure for 10 days. Four replicates of each material were tested in both conditions.

**RESULTS**

**Esthetics**
Figure 1 shows the appearance of PEEK, PES and PVDF plates at 1.0 mm thickness. PEEK is opaque and beige-colored, while PES is transparent and slightly amber-colored in appearance. PVDF is translucent and white. All three types of plastic demonstrated good esthetics, but PES and PVDF had particularly good esthetic properties.

**Three-point bending test**
Figure 2a shows typical load-deflection curves of the wires tested. SS and Co-Cr wires had higher flexural loads and larger permanent deformations than the other metallic and SEP wires. Ni-Ti wire gave a characteristic load-deflection curve without permanent deformation. SEP wires tolerated similar loads to Ni-Ti wire and

---

**Table 1 Commercial orthodontic wires tested in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>STANDARD RECT. WIRE</td>
<td>3M Unitek, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Cr alloy</td>
<td>Co-Cr</td>
<td>SPRON510 Straight Wires</td>
<td>TOMY, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-Mo alloy</td>
<td>Ti-Mo</td>
<td>TMA LOW FRICT</td>
<td>ORMCO, Orange, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Ti alloy</td>
<td>Ni-Ti</td>
<td>L&amp;H TITAN ARCH WIRE</td>
<td>TOMY, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Upper: Relative thermal index (°C)</th>
<th>Lower: Load deflecting temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyether ether ketone</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>Kubopura Corporation, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>71–103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyether sulfone</td>
<td>PES</td>
<td>Kubopura Corporation, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>201–203</td>
<td>68–95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylidene difluoride</td>
<td>PVDF</td>
<td>KDA, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>84–118</td>
<td>25–50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative thermal index: Temperature to retain half of properties (mechanical, electrical, etc.) of polymers for long time
Load deflecting temperature: Temperature at the polymer deforms under a specified load
with negligible permanent deformation. Comparison of the applied load at 2.0 mm deflection is shown in Fig. 3, which indicates the orthodontic forces exerted on teeth at a value of 2.0 mm of displacement. Of the SEP wires, PEEK showed the highest flexural load. PES was comparable to PEEK. Figure 4 shows the permanent deformation of the orthodontic wires after the 2.0-mm bending test. The Ni-Ti super-elastic wires, as expected, showed no permanent deformation, whereas SS and Co-Cr wires were both permanently deformed by ~1.0 mm, almost half of the deflection. In contrast, the SEP wires showed less deformation (PEEK, 0.2 mm; PES, 0.1 mm; PVDF, 0.3 mm) than all metallic wires except Ni-Ti.

As shown in Figs. 2a and 3 the mechanical strength (in the three-point bending test) of SEP wires at cross-sectional dimensions of 1.0×1.0 mm square was comparable to that of Ni-Ti wire. However, the SEP wires were almost double the thickness of the metal wires (0.40×0.55 mm), so the bending stress of each wire was reduced. Therefore, the thin-sectioned metal wires may be more advantageous for orthodontic treatment.

Fig. 1 Color characteristics of PEEK, PES and PVDF (1.0 mm in thickness).
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Fig. 2 Typical load-deflection curves (a) and typical bending stress-deflection curves (b) for SEP wires and commercial metallic orthodontic wires in a three-point bending test.

Fig. 3 Bending load at 2.0-mm deflection in the three-point bending test. Data are mean±SD from five independent replicates. Tukey-Kramer HSD test indicated significant differences between each pair (p<0.05).

Fig. 4 Permanent deformation after three-point bending test. Data are mean±SD from five independent replicates. ‘n.d.’ denotes ‘not detected’. Tukey-Kramer HSD test indicated significant differences between each pair (p<0.05).
wire should also be estimated to compare the bending properties of the materials. Figure 2b shows typical bending stress-deflection curves of the wires tested. Table 2 shows the bending stress at 2.0-mm deflection and the elastic modulus. The bending stress of the PEEK wire was almost 1/5 of that of the Ni-Ti wires. Furthermore, the elastic moduli of the SEP wires were less than 1/12 of those of the metal wires.

*Bending creep test*
Creep deformation of SEP wires after the bending creep test is shown in Fig. 5. PES and PVDF showed more than 1.0-mm deformation after 1 month of bending at 37°C. Deformation during the creep test was fixed at 2.0 mm. Thus, PES and PVDF showed residual deformation of more than half the applied deformation. In contrast, PEEK experienced significantly less creep deformation than the other SEP wires.

*Water absorption test*
After 10 days of immersion in water at 37°C, PES showed comparable water absorption to PMMA, whereas PEEK absorbed a much smaller amount and PVDF water absorption was negligible (Fig. 6). Even after immersion at 121°C, the water absorption of PEEK and PVDF was less than 0.4 wt%. These water absorption values of PEEK and PES are in good agreement with the findings from a previous study\(^{18}\). PVDF, a fluorocarbon polymer, is strongly hydrophobic and therefore showed no water absorption at 37°C.

**DISCUSSION**
With recent concern over metal allergy and interference with MRI, there are medical reasons for developing metal-free orthodontic materials as well as the more common esthetic demands. However, non-metal orthodontic wires must have comparable mechanical strength to the metal wires and this has stymied the development of alternative materials until recently. In this study, we tested orthodontic wires fabricated from three different SEPs to determine their performance relative to metal wires and, thus, their potential as metal-free, MRI compatible, esthetic orthodontic wires.

### Table 2 Values of bending stress at 2.0 mm deflection and elastic modulus for each tested material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Co-Cr</th>
<th>Ti-Mo</th>
<th>Ni-Ti</th>
<th>PEEK</th>
<th>PES</th>
<th>PVDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bending stress (MPa)</strong></td>
<td>3,092</td>
<td>2,570</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(56.9)</td>
<td>(23.3)</td>
<td>(41.1)</td>
<td>(40.7)</td>
<td>(28.6)</td>
<td>(10.2)</td>
<td>(1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elastic modulus (GPa)</strong></td>
<td>154</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.98)</td>
<td>(14.1)</td>
<td>(0.686)</td>
<td>(6.60)</td>
<td>(0.607)</td>
<td>(0.233)</td>
<td>(0.316)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Standard deviations in parentheses*
The SEPs were found to be able to deliver similar strength to the metal wires, but only when their thickness was increased to almost twice that of the metal wires. By replacing Ni-Ti with PEEK at identical cross-sectional geometry, the orthodontic force delivered would decrease to almost 1/5 of that of Ni-Ti wire. The orthodontic force applied by Ni-Ti is estimated to be approximately 2.0–4.0 N while recovering from 2.0 mm to 1.0 mm of bending (Fig. 2a). Extrapolation allows us to calculate that the force applied with PEEK wire with the same cross-sectional geometry (0.40×0.55 mm) would be approximately 0.40–0.80 N.

Various values have been suggested as the optimum orthodontic force: Schwartz suggested 2.0×10−3–2.5×10−3 MPa (the root surface area), while Jarabak suggested 0.27–1.1 N. Thus, according to Schwartz, the estimated optimum force for the upper central incisor (root surface area: 2.3 cm2) can be calculated as 0.45–0.59 N. Therefore, the orthodontic force delivered by PEEK wire with identical cross-sectional geometry to metallic orthodontic wires (0.40–0.80 N in 0.40×0.55 mm PEEK wire) appears to be an excellent approximation of the above-mentioned optimum orthodontic force.

In the water absorption test, PEEK also performed well. Both it and PVDF absorbed minimal water, even after 10 days immersion at 121°C, unlike PES, which underwent high water absorption, indeed close to that of PMMA. Both PEEK and PVDF are crystalline polymers whereas PES is an amorphous polymer. Generally, the water absorption of a polymer is inversely proportional to its crystallinity. In a crystalline polymer, the molecules are ordered and compacted so water molecules cannot easily penetrate. Furthermore, PVDF is a highly hydrophobic fluoropolymer, which also contributes to its low water absorption.

Table 3 shows a comparison of the various tested properties of the SEPs when used as orthodontic wires. Despite its slightly lower esthetic properties, PEEK has otherwise excellent strength and elasticity characteristics that are entirely compatible with its use in orthodontic applications. The recent development and commercialization of colored PEEK promises significant improvement in this esthetic deficit, so we conclude that PEEK has great potential as an alternative material for fabricating orthodontic wires.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we estimated the various properties of three types of SEP when used as orthodontic wires, with the aim of developing a novel non-metal orthodontic wire. We found that SEP wires have excellent esthetics, especially PVDF and PES but with colored PEEK materials also offering good appearance. PEEK also exhibited the highest bending strength and creep resistance and, at 1.0×1.0 mm cross-sectional area, can deliver a similar orthodontic force to Ni-Ti wire (0.40×0.55 mm). In addition, PEEK and PVDF showed low water absorption. Thus, we conclude that PEEK has the optimal characteristics to be used as an esthetic metal-free orthodontic wire.
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