Reduction in static friction by deposition of a homogeneous diamond-like carbon (DLC) coating on orthodontic brackets
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In orthodontics, a reduction in static friction between the brackets and wire is important to enable easy tooth movement. The aim of this study was to examine the effects of a homogeneous diamond-like carbon (DLC) coating on the whole surfaces of slots in stainless steel orthodontic brackets on reducing the static friction between the brackets and the wire. The DLC coating was characterized using Raman spectroscopy, surface roughness and contact angle measurements, and SEM observations. Rectangular stainless steel and titanium-molybdenum alloy wires with two different sizes were employed, and the static friction between the brackets and wire was measured under dry and wet conditions. The DLC coating had a thickness of approximately 1.0 μm and an amorphous structure was identified. The results indicated that the DLC coating always led to a reduction in static friction.
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INTRODUCTION

In orthodontics, an important factor influencing tooth movement is the static friction that is present between the brackets and the wire. Lower friction enhances tooth movement due to more efficient force transmission to the teeth, which can allow the treatment period to be shortened without undesirable anchorage loss or pain to the patient.1-5

Orthodontic friction depends on the dynamic relationship among the wire, bracket and ligature in the oral environment. Rigid heavy wire is effective for controlling the axis of anterior teeth while closing the extraction space by sliding mechanics.6 However, the use of such rigid heavy wire leads to the problem of increased friction between the wire and the brackets.4,7-9

There have been many studies on the reduction of friction between brackets and wire.4,10-17 These mainly involved the use of self-ligating brackets or deceasing the contact area between the brackets and the wire.4,14,17 Although these methods are effective at reducing the ligation force and thus the friction, control of tooth movement is more difficult due to the reduced contact between the brackets and the wire. Furthermore, when rigid heavy wire is used at high angulation, the friction between the brackets and the wire is comparable to that for conventional brackets.9

There have some studies on reducing the friction between brackets and wire using surface treatments such as ion implantation, poly(tetrafluoroethylene) coating, and polyethylene coating of the wires and/or brackets.10,13

Diamond-like carbon (DLC) coatings have recently been applied in many industrial applications because they confer excellent properties such as extreme surface hardness, low friction coefficients, chemical inertness, high wear resistance, and good biocompatibility.18-20

Muguruma et al.14,15 deposited a DLC coating on stainless steel brackets and stainless steel and nickel-titanium wires using a plasma-based ion implantation/deposition method, and successfully reduced the friction. However, these studies were performed only under dry conditions, which are markedly different from those found in the oral environment. Furthermore, the homogeneous deposition of the DLC coating was not achieved on the slot surface of the bracket using the plasma-based ion implantation/deposition method, because of complex shape of the bracket. Chemical vapor deposition technique would be a useful method for depositing films on to the materials with complex shape.

The aim of the present study was to deposit a homogeneous DLC coating on the whole surface of slots in a stainless steel orthodontic bracket using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). The morphology of the deposited films was characterized, and the friction between the coated brackets and wire was measured under dry and wet conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Table 1 shows the specifications of the brackets and wires used in this study. A total of 800 conventional stainless steel brackets (Metal bracket, Densply Sankin, Tokyo, Japan) were used. In the present study, half
Table 1  Bracket and wire materials evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Size (inches)</th>
<th>Chemical composition (weight%)</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bracket</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
<td>Metal bracket</td>
<td>0.022×0.028</td>
<td>Cr: 18–20%, Ni: 8–10%, C, Mn, P, S, Si: less than 5%, Fe: residual</td>
<td>DENSPLY SANKIN, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
<td>Stainless steel wire</td>
<td>0.019×0.025 or</td>
<td>Cr: 17–19%, Ni: 8–10%, C, Mn, P, S, Si: less than 5%, Fe: residual</td>
<td>Ormco, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.021×0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium-molybdenum</td>
<td>CAN BETA III Wire</td>
<td>0.019×0.025 or</td>
<td>Ti: 70–80%, Zr: 5–10%, T: 4–8%, Mo: 10–20%</td>
<td>ORTHO Organizers, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.021×0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were left non-coated (non-coated bracket) and half were coated with DLC (DLC-coated bracket). They had a slot with dimensions of 0.022×0.028 inches (0.56×0.71 mm), a mesiodistal width of 2.9 mm, and no built-in torque or tip. Stainless steel (SS) (Stainless steel wire, Ormco, CA, USA) and titanium-molybdenum alloy (Ti-Mo) (CNA BETA III Wire, Ortho Organizers, CA, USA) orthodontic wires with two different rectangular dimensions, 0.019×0.025 inches (0.48×0.64 mm) and 0.021×0.025 inches (0.53×0.64 mm), were used in this study. These four types of wire are referred to as 0.019×0.025 SS, 0.021×0.025 SS, 0.019×0.025 Ti-Mo, and 0.021×0.025 Ti-Mo, respectively. The brackets and wires were ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol and then dried with compressed air.

DLC coating procedure
The DLC films were deposited on the whole surface of the slots in the stainless steel brackets using PECVD (NPS-330, Nanotec, Chiba, Japan). The brackets were held in a custom-made jig during the coating process. The slot surface was first subjected to argon ion etching for 90 min in an ionization deposition reactor in order to remove the oxide film. An intermediate layer was then deposited by PECVD for 20 min using a hexamethyldisiloxane source. This was followed by DLC coating for 60 min using a benzene source. All processes were performed under a vacuum in the same equipment, with a maximum ambient temperature of 182°C.

Characterization of the DLC coatings
1. Raman spectroscopy
The characteristics of the DLC coating were analyzed using a laser Raman spectrophotometer (NRS-1000, Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). Raman spectroscopy was performed under 532 nm excitation from an argon ion laser, focused by a ×20 microscope objective. The spectra were collected with an acquisition time of 60 s in static mode with the grating centered at 1,200 cm⁻¹ and an average of 3 counts.

2. Surface roughness
The root mean squared average surface roughness Rq were measured using a confocal laser scanning microscope (OLS 3000, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The scanning distance was 256 μm and the cutoff value was 87.4 μm. Five different profiles for each specimen were obtained.

3. Surface wettability
Due to difficulties encountered when attempting to measure the contact angle on the slot surface of the stainless steel bracket, a 12-mm-diameter disk of the same stainless steel in the bracket was used to measure contact angles. The DLC coating was deposited under the same conditions as those used for the bracket. The contact angle between a 1.0-μL drop of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH=7.4, NaCl: 138 mmol, KCl: 2.7 mmol, Na₂HPO₄: 10 mmol, KH₂PO₄: 1.76 mmol) solution and the disk was measured using an optical microscope. All measurements were performed five times duplicate.

4. SEM observations
To determine the bonding characteristics and the thickness of the DLC coating, DLC-coated brackets were embedded in epoxy resin (Epon812, Nisshin EM, Tokyo, Japan) and were then cut in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the slot with the diamond band saw of a micro cutting machine (BS-300CP, EXAKT Apparatebau, Norderstedt, Germany) so as to provide a cross section of the coated surface of the slot. The cut surface was polished using a series of silicon carbide (800#–4000#) and alumina abrasive papers (p.s.=0.03 μm). The specimen surfaces were then sputter-coated with pure gold and the cut surface of the bracket slot was observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM-5600LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

Static friction test
Each bracket was bonded accurately to the center of a stainless steel plate with unfilled adhesive resin
(Superbond ORTHOMITE, Sun Medical, Shiga, Japan), using the bracket-mounting device shown in Figs. 1A and 1B. This device allowed accurate positioning of the bracket in the horizontal and vertical directions. The plate was then attached to the custom-made friction-testing device, as indicated by (d) in Fig. 1C, connected to a universal testing machine (Instron 5565, Instron Japan, Kanagawa, Japan). The friction-testing device was a modified version of previously reported devices. The adjustment plate was positioned using a pin pushed through holes within it and the base plate (Fig. 1C(b and c)). The angle between the bracket and wire was precisely adjusted in one-degree steps using the adjustment plate (Fig. 1C(b)).

The upper end of a 6-cm-long orthodontic wire was connected to the load cell of the universal testing machine and the lower end of the wire was connected to a 150-g weight. The wire was then ligated to the bracket using an elastomeric ligature (module O, Ormco). The same person placed all elastomeric ligatures immediately before each test in order to avoid a decay in the ligature force.

Each bracket-wire combination was tested at angulations of 0°, 1°, 3°, 5°, and 10°. Each wire was drawn through the bracket at a cross-head speed of 20 mm/min for a distance of 5 mm. The static friction between the bracket and wire was measured as the initial peak force required to initiate movement of the wire through the bracket. This peak force was defined as the static friction.

In the test performed under wet conditions, the bracket and wire were sprayed with a PBS solution immediately before measurements. Measurements were carried out at room temperature for both dry and wet conditions. Each combination of bracket and wire, angulation and dry or wet conditions was measured ten times.

For each bracket, the slot surface was observed by SEM at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV before and after the friction test.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 16.0J for Windows, IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan) software. The mean and standard deviation (S.D.) of the static friction were calculated, and the results for the coated and non-coated brackets were compared using a t-test ($p<0.05$). The static friction under dry and wet conditions was also compared using a paired t-test ($p<0.05$).

A multiple regression analysis was performed to determine the contribution of different factors to the static friction. The factors examined were (1) the DLC coating (reference: non-coated bracket), (2) the type of conditions (reference: wet), (3) the wire material (reference: SS), (4) the wire size (reference: $0.019\times0.025$ inches), and (5) the angulation (reference: 0°). The standardized partial regression coefficient ($\beta$) was used to quantify the difference among the experiment variables and to indicate whether an increase or a decrease occurred relative to the reference case.

RESULTS

Surface characterization
1. Raman spectroscopy
The Raman spectrum of the DLC coating on the slot surface of the bracket exhibited a peak in the broad G-band derived from $sp^2$ carbon at approximately 1,540 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2). Additionally, a broad and weak D-band peak was observed at approximately 1,390 cm$^{-1}$.

2. Measurement of surface roughness and contact angle
The results of the surface roughness (Ra, Rq) and contact angle between the PBS and the disk before and after coating with DLC were analyzed using a paired t-test. A 95% confidence interval was applied in all analyses, and differences were considered significant at $p<0.05$.

3. SEM observation
SEM revealed that the DLC coating was homogeneously deposited with a thickness of approximately 1.0 $\mu$m, and was strongly adhered to the entire slot surface (Fig. 3).
Fig. 2  Raman spectrum of DLC coating on surface of slot in bracket.
(a) DLC coating, (b) G-band, (c) D-band.

Table 2  Surface roughness $R_a$ and $R_q$ (mean, S.D.) and contact angles against phosphate buffered saline (mean, S.D.) before and after DLC coating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R_a$ ($\mu$m) Mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>$R_q$ ($\mu$m) Mean (S.D.)</th>
<th>Contact angle (°) Mean (S.D.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-coated bracket</td>
<td>0.524 (0.247)</td>
<td>1.029 (0.463)</td>
<td>37.88 (4.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLC-coated bracket</td>
<td>0.552 (0.247)</td>
<td>1.002 (0.312)</td>
<td>63.62 (3.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*- $p<0.05$

Static friction test
The static friction was found to be significantly lower for the DLC-coated brackets for all combinations of experimental factors: wire sizes, wire materials, angulations, and conditions (dry or wet) (Figs. 4 and 5). For both the coated and non-coated brackets, the static friction increased with increasing angulation and wire size. However, the increase was always smaller for the DLC-coated brackets. For both the coated and non-coated brackets, the static friction was lower for the SS wire than for the Ti-Mo wire.

A comparison of static friction between dry and wet conditions (Table 3) revealed a significant increase in static friction in non-coated brackets under a wet condition ($p<0.05$), except for several combinations

Fig. 3  Cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy images of DLC-coated bracket slot.
The DLC layer (D) is present between the epoxy resin (E) and the bracket (B). (a) low-magnification ($\times70$) image, (b)–(d) high-magnification ($\times18,000$) images of the dashed rectangular regions in (a).
Fig. 4  Static friction under wet conditions for non-coated and DLC-coated brackets with different wires and angulation values.

Fig. 5  Static friction under dry conditions for non-coated and DLC-coated brackets with different wires and angulation values.
Table 3  Significant differences in static friction under dry and wet conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angulation</th>
<th>Non-coated bracket</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>3°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019×0.025 SS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021×0.025 SS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019×0.025 Ti-Mo</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021×0.025 Ti-Mo</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLC-coated bracket</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>3°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019×0.025 SS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021×0.025 SS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019×0.025 Ti-Mo</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021×0.025 Ti-Mo</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05

Table 4  Standardized partial regression coefficient (β) and p value for different factors obtained from multiple regression analysis of static friction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLC coating</td>
<td>-0.474</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire material</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire size</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angulation</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0.021×0.025 SS wire at angulation of 3°, 5° and 10°, 0.019×0.025 Ti-Mo wire at angulation of 5°, 0.021×0.025 Ti-Mo wire at angulation of 3°).

The multiple regression analysis showed that all factors had a significant positive or negative effect on the static friction (Table 4). The most significant factor for reducing the static friction was the presence of the DLC coating (β=-0.474), while the most significant factor for increasing the static friction was the angulation (β=0.622).

The SEM images shown in Fig. 6 indicate that there was no difference in the slot surface morphology following DLC coating. There was also no difference following the static friction test, and no cracking or damage was observed.

**DISCUSSION**

The primary goal of the present study was to obtain a homogeneous DLC coating on the entire slot surface in the bracket. In a preliminary experiment, the DLC coating was deposited using an ionization deposition method. However, since this coating was found to contain defects, the PECVD method was employed in the present study, as it was expected to be superior for materials with complex shapes. The SEM results indicated that a homogeneous coating with a thickness of about 1 μm was successfully produced using this method.

The presence of G- and D-band peaks in the Raman spectra of a coated bracket indicated that the DLC coating had an amorphous carbon structure. Ferrari et al. reported that the G-band peak position depends on the fraction of sp² bonds. The sp² fraction in the present DLC coating was estimated to be approximately 50% based on the peak separation. Furthermore, the DLC coating is considered to consist of hydrogenated amorphous carbon (a-C:H) because benzene was used as the carbon source.

The surface roughness of orthodontic wires and brackets has been shown to influence friction. However, in the present study, no marked change was observed in the roughness of the slot surface after the DLC coating, so that any detrimental effect on friction was negligible.

Downing et al. examined the influence of dry and wet conditions on friction between polycrystalline brackets and stainless steel, nickel-titanium, and beta-titanium archwires. They demonstrated that the friction...
was higher for all bracket and wire combinations in the presence of artificial saliva (wet conditions) than under dry conditions. The present study also showed that the static friction between wires and non-coated brackets under wet conditions was significantly higher for most wire and angulation combinations. However, there was almost no difference in static friction under wet and dry conditions for the DLC-coated brackets. This indicates that the DLC coating effectively reduced static friction under both dry and wet conditions.

Although the precise reason why DLC coating did not increase the static friction under wet condition is not yet clear, it is possibly related to the influence of the surface wettability on the static friction. The DLC coating may have made the surface more hydrophobic, thus reducing its wettability. In such a situation, the PBS may have difficulty entering the small gap between the bracket and the wire. In contrast, for the non-coated bracket, the PBS can easily enter the gap because of the hydrophilic nature of the bracket surface. This would lead to an increase in static friction because of the viscosity of the PBS. Further investigation is required in order to clarify the relationship between the surface wettability and friction.

It is well known that in addition to electrolyte and water, saliva contains macromolecules such as proteins and glycoproteins. Kusy et al.\textsuperscript{31} reported that the viscosity of saliva did not affect the friction between a bracket and wire under wet conditions. Therefore, we employed a PBS solution in the present study. Leal et al.\textsuperscript{32} reported that higher friction forces were found when distilled water was used. The influence of the presence of macromolecules on the friction between orthodontic wire and DLC-coated brackets should be further investigated.

The DLC-coated bracket reduced the rate at which increases occurred in static friction in the combination of wires and several angulations under both dry and wet conditions. The DLC-coated bracket also reduced static friction in heavy wires, irrespective of the wire material, thereby enabling teeth to move with heavy wires, which is very advantageous for effective tooth movement in sliding mechanics and shortens the orthodontic treatment period\textsuperscript{33,34}.

No marked difference was observed in the appearance of the slot surface for DLC-coated bracket following the static friction test. No peeling or cracking of the DLC coating was found, indicating that it was firmly attached to the slot surface and was very stable.

In the present study, the static friction test was performed only once for each bracket in order to avoid frictional damage. In orthodontic treatments, brackets
are typically placed in the oral cavity for approximately 2 years, and activities such as eating food or teeth brushing may influence the static friction between the bracket and the wire. Further studies are required to determine the long-term durability of DLC coatings under clinical conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

The DLC coating was homogeneously deposited on the whole slot surface of the bracket by the PECVD. The DLC-coated bracket markedly reduced static friction between the bracket and wire, irrespective of the conditions (dry or wet), wire materials (SS or Ti-Mo), and wire sizes (0.019×0.025 or 0.021×0.025 inches), and angulations (0°, 1°, 3°, 5° and 10°) used.
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