Study on Rat Subcutaneous Reaction to Experimental Polyurethane Elastomers
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The purpose of the study was to investigate the biocompatibility of experimental elastomers, E580 and E590. The experimental elastomers and the control — a clinically used elastomer — were implanted into the subcutaneous tissue of rats. The tissue reactions were examined histologically on the 3rd, 7th, 14th, 28th, and 56th day after implantation. It was found that there were some irritant responses in the tissues adjacent to the implanted elastomers during the first week. However, the inflammatory tissue reaction subsided substantially from the second week onwards. The stable fibrous capsule surrounding the elastomer was formed after eight weeks. The tissue responses of the control, E580, and E590 were similar. The results suggested that the long-term tissue irritation of the experimental elastomers was so low such that they have the potential to be applied clinically.

Key words: Polyurethane elastomer, Rat subcutaneous implantation, Biocompatibility

INTRODUCTION

In a bid to develop fluoride-releasing elastomers so as to prevent enamel demineralization of orthodontic patients, Itoh1) studied the properties of eight kinds of polyurethane elastomer. He found that the polyurethane elastomer, E580, had suitable mechanical properties to be used as an orthodontic module. Soon after, a new polyurethane elastomer E590 was developed by the same corporation. In view of their favorable mechanical properties, Wang2) found that both E580 and E590 showed great potential to be used as the base elastomers of the fluoride-releasing modules.

However, when dental materials are to be developed for clinical application, biocompatibility issues such as tissue irritation or cytotoxicity must be addressed and investigated thoroughly. Although extensive studies on the biocompatibility of some other polyurethane elastomers have been done3–6), there were no reports on the biocompatibility of E580 and E590. The objective of this study was to investigate the biocompatibility of E580 and E590 as base elastomers. To this end, the experimental elastomers were not incorporated into any fluoride compound. The materials were implanted into the subcutaneous tissue of rats for eight weeks, and the tissue reactions investigated by histological examination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens preparation

The materials used in this study were namely two kinds of experimental polyurethane elastomer (E580 and E590, Nihon Miractoran, Osaka, Japan) and a clinically used separator elastomer (3M Unitek, USA) as control. Fifty disks (diameter: 4 mm, thickness: 0.5 mm) were prepared from each of the three elastomers respectively. The experimental polyurethane elastomers were composed of diphenylmethane diisocyanate and ε-caprolactone. Although the compositions of E580 and E590 were the same, cross-links in their structures were different. As for the control, its main structure was also that of the polyurethane elastomer. All the specimens were cleaned ultrasonically for 30 seconds and dried by air. Then they were sterilized by ethylene oxide gas just before implantation.

Rat subcutaneous implantation

Fifty male Wistar rats aged about 5 weeks' old were used in the study — in conformance to the procedures of the Animal Ethics Committee of Fukuoka Dental College. To help them adapt to the new environment, the rats were bred for three days before implantation was performed.

In preparation for implantation, the rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (NembutalTM) by dosages of 50 mg/kg. After the back of the rat was shaved, three parallel incisions were made along the center line of the back skin at equal distances apart. The subcutaneous tissue was spread by blunt dissection. The three specimens — control, E580, and E590 — were randomly implanted into rat's three subcutaneous pockets. The wounds were then sutured with silk sutures.
Fig. 1 shows the implanted disk-like specimen on the reverse side of rat skin. The rats were carefully cared for after the operation. Ten rats were humanely sacrificed on the 3rd, 7th, 14th, 28th, and 56th day after implantation respectively. The implanted specimens and the associated skin and connective tissues were excised and immediately fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin. After dehydration, the tissue blocks were embedded in paraffin and sectioned at about 4-µm thickness. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H.E.) and observed with an optical microscope.

RESULTS

On the 3rd day after implantation, the section wound was still not healed. Vascular dilatation and mild inflammatory infiltration (composing mainly of neutrophils) were observed near the specimen. In the connective tissue close to the wound, light fluid exudates were also found. The histological observations of the control, E580, and E590 were not significantly different.

On the 7th day, granulation tissue with vascular dilatation and inflammatory cell infiltration was formed adjacent to the specimen (Fig. 2). Enlarged blood vessels and congestions — accompanied with a mild inflammatory infiltration of lymphocytes and macrophages — were also observed (Fig. 3). The tissue reactions showed no significant differences among the control, E580, and E590.

On the 14th day, a layer of fibrous tissue surrounding the specimen was formed. It was mainly composed of fibrocytes and collagen fibers. However, a few proliferating capillaries and lymphocytes were scattered in the fibrous tissue adjacent to the specimen. There were no significantly different tissue re-

---

**Fig. 1** Implanted specimen exhibited on the reverse side of the subcutaneous tissue of a rat.

**Fig. 2** Histological observation of the tissue response of specimen (E590) on the 7th day after implantation. Granulation tissue with inflammatory infiltration surrounding the specimen was completely shown (H.E. staining, ×6.6).

**Fig. 3** Histological observations of the tissue responses of the specimens on the 7th day after implantation. Enlarged blood vessels and congestions accompanied with the inflammatory infiltration were observed (H.E. staining, ×50).
A: The control
B: E580
Fig. 4 Histological observations of the tissue responses of the specimens on the 14th day after implantation. The layer of fibrous tissue close to the specimen was mainly composed of fibrous cells and collagen fibers. But a few inflammatory tissues were scattered in the fibrous tissue. No significantly different tissue reactions were observed among the control, E580, and E590 (H.E. staining, ×50).

A: The control  
B: E580  
C: E590

On the 28th day, a fibrous capsule surrounding the specimen was observed. Fibrous cells were regularly arranged with the collagen fibers. Inflammatory cells and abnormal vessels were not found in the fibrous capsule.

Fig. 5 Histological observation of the tissue response of the specimen (E590) on the 56th day after implantation. A thin, well-defined fibrous capsule surrounding the specimen was observed (H.E. staining, ×6.6).

On the 56th day, a well-defined fibrous capsule which composed of fibrocyte and collagen bundles was observed (Fig. 5). The collagen fibers were much more in composition quantity than the cellular components. The fibrous tissue surrounding the specimen had become more stable than on the 28th day. There were no signs of any inflammatory cell or tissue. The observed tissue reactions showed no significant differences among the elastomers (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

Elastomers are divided into industrial- and medical-grade elastomers according to the purity of the polymer. Extensive studies on the biocompatibility of medical-grade polyurethane elastomers have been reported7-10. The elastomers showed sufficient biocompatibility when implanted in the tissues. On this basis, the clinically used medical-grade elastomer was selected as the control. Its main component was the polyurethane elastomer. The experimental elastomers, E580 and E590, on the other hand are two kinds of industrial-grade elastomer. The cross-links in their structures were different, but the composition was the same polyurethane polymer. Although the main component of the industrial-grade elastomer was similar to that of the medical-grade one, there might be some impure components in the former which could irritate the tissue. Therefore, the biocompatibility of the experimental elastomers should be investigated before they could be applied in clinical practice.

To evaluate a material's biocompatibility, various methods are available: cell culture11,12, intramuscular implantation13, subcutaneous implantation14-19, and others20. The subcutaneous implantation test is often used to evaluate tissue reaction for dental materials21-23. When a specimen is implanted into the
Fig. 6 Histological observations of the tissue responses of the specimens on the 56th day after implantation. The fibrous capsule, composed of thin connective tissue, was in a stable state. No different tissue reactions among the control, E580, and E590 were found (H.E. staining, ×50).

A: the control
B: E580
C: E590

In general, the reaction begins as a surgical injury followed by a series of progressions including acute inflammation, chronic inflammation, foreign body reaction, and fibrosis or fibrous encapsulation. In the present study, the wound was not completely healed after three days. Thus, it would be reasonable to regard the observed tissue response as operation influence. After one week, granulation tissue was formed around all the three kinds of elastomer. Indeed, inflammatory response due to the implanted elastomers was demonstrated early in the post-implantation period.

But after two weeks, the inflammatory reaction was on the decline. The inflammatory cells greatly diminished and fibrous tissue began to form near the specimen. At the end of 4th week, all the specimens were encapsulated by fibrous cells and fibers. In other words, the interaction between tissue and specimen had reached a relatively stable state. After eight weeks, only the fibrous encapsulation existed between the tissue and specimen for all the elastomers. The specimen was encapsulated as long-term, foreign body in the subcutaneous tissue. Moreover, the tissue responses of the experimental elastomers, E580 and E590, were found to be similar to that of the clinically used elastomer. The reason was not clear, but it might be that the impure components in the experimental elastomers was of low quantity or had little irritation to the tissue inherently.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the biocompatibility of both the experimental and clinically used elastomers was evaluated by a subcutaneous implantation test under the same conditions. A longitudinal histological examination was done, and it was found that the long-term, subcutaneous tissue irritation of the experimental elastomers, E580 and E590, was low. On this basis, we concluded that the experimental elastomers have the potential to be used clinically.
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