Effect of Air-powder Polishing on Dentin Adhesion of a Self-etching Primer Bonding System
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of air-powder polishing with sodium bicarbonate (SB) or crystalline cellulose (CC) on the bond strength of a self-etching primer bonding system to dentin. Ground human dentin surfaces were prepared using 600-grit SiC paper. The teeth were divided into three groups according to dentin treatment: control, air-powder polishing with SB, and air-powder polishing with CC. The dentin surfaces were bonded with a self-etching primer bonding system, followed by a light-cured resin composite. μTBS test and SEM analysis were performed. The results of μTBS test indicated that air-powder polishing with SB affected bond strength to dentin, while that with CC did not influence bond strength. SEM observation of air-polished dentin surfaces showed that dentin surfaces air-polished with SB were roughened and covered with a smear layer, while those with CC were smooth and the smear layer was removed.
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INTRODUCTION

Professional mechanical teeth cleaning has been widely accepted in clinical practice. The air-powder polisher can remove stains and plaque much more effectively than conventional methods such as hand instruments and a handpiece with a rubber cup, brush cone and abrasive paste. However, air-powder polishing with sodium bicarbonate visually roughens the surfaces of teeth and restorative materials. In addition, sodium bicarbonate powder is salty and contraindicated for patients who are sensitive to salinity.

Cleaning the cavity surface is an important clinical procedure prior to bonding of adhesive restorations, since contamination on the adhesive substrate is one of the clinical factors influencing bonding performance. Self-etching primer bond systems have recently become popular because of their easy handling and reduced technique-sensitivity. However, the dentin bonding strength of self-etching primer adhesive systems is influenced by the smear layer created by cutting instruments (such as rotary instrument), air-abrasion, or laser abrasion. Also, the bond strength is affected by depth and tubule direction of dentin. Previous studies reported that bond strength to dentin using self-etching primer bonding systems was influenced by air-power polishing with sodium bicarbonate.

Recently, Horiguchi et al. used crystalline cellulose as a powder for air-power polishing. Crystalline cellulose consists of round-shaped particles (25 μm in diameter) which have no taste, are scentless, and have no influence on the human body. Air-power polishing using crystalline cellulose effectively removes plaque but causes much less damage to enamel, dentin, and resin composite compared with the use of sodium bicarbonate. However, there is no information on adhesion to dentin using air-power polishing with crystalline cellulose.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate bond strength to dentin using a self-etching primer bonding system after air-power polishing with sodium bicarbonate or crystalline cellulose. The null hypothesis was that no differences in bond strength exist among no-polishing, air-power polishing with sodium bicarbonate, and air-power polishing with crystalline cellulose.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen preparation

Experimental procedure is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 24 recently extracted human molars without caries were used in this study. They were obtained following a protocol reviewed and approved by an institutional review board and with the informed consent of the donors. The teeth were stored in tap water at 4°C before use. The occlusal enamel was removed perpendicularly to the long axis of the tooth by means of a model trimmer under running water, and flat dentin surface was ground with 600-grit SiC paper under a stream of running water to expose...
mid-coronal dentin. The teeth were then divided into three experimental groups according to dentin treatment, containing six teeth each. They were namely, Group 1: control group (no air-powder polishing); Group 2 (SB group): air-powder polishing with sodium bicarbonate powder (SB); and Group 3 (CC group): air-powder polishing with crystalline cellulose powder (CC). For SB and CC groups, the tip of the air-polisher (Air-Flow II, Shofu, Kyoto, Japan) was positioned approximately 5 mm from the tooth surface and operated for 20 seconds by directing a powder/water spray at an air pressure of 3 kgf/cm² perpendicular to the tooth surface.

After the surfaces were rinsed for 10 seconds and gently air-dried, the specimens were treated with a self-etching primer bonding system (Clearfil SE Bond, Kuraray Medical, Tokyo, Japan) according to manufacturer’s instructions (Table 1). After each adhesive resin was light-cured, a resin composite (Clearfil AP-X, Kuraray Medical, Tokyo, Japan) was built up in four layers to a height of 5 mm for micro-tensile bond test. Each layer was light-cured for 20 seconds. A visible light-curing unit, XL3000 (3M-ESPE, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used for curing both the adhesive and resin composite. Specimens were then stored in 37°C water for 24 hours.

Eighteen teeth of resin-bonded teeth (six teeth from each group) were serially sectioned parallel to the long axis of the tooth into 7-8 slices, approximately 0.7 mm thick, using a low-speed diamond saw (Leitz 1600 Microtome, Leica Instruments GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) under water cooling. Bonded areas were isolated using a superfine diamond bur (ISO # 016, 16ff, GC, Tokyo, Japan) to create an

Table 1 Adhesive system used for bonding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Batch No.</th>
<th>Instructions</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil SE Bond</td>
<td>MDP, HEMA, multifunctional methacrylate, photoinitiator</td>
<td>011225</td>
<td>Apply 20 sec, dry</td>
<td>Kuraray Medical, Tokyo, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>MDP, HEMA, water, multifunctional methacrylate, photoinitiator</td>
<td>011228</td>
<td>Light-cure 10 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>MDP, HEMA, multifunctional methacrylate, photoinitiator, microfiller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-methacryloyloxydeci dihydrogen phosphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hourglass configuration with a cross-sectional area of approximately 1 mm². The final width and thickness of the bonded area were measured by means of a digital caliper to adjust the raw bonding data to an equalized bond per 1 mm². The specimens were then attached to a testing device (Bencor-Multi-T, Danville Engineering, San Ramon, CA, 94583, USA) with a cyanoacrylate adhesive (Zapit, Dental Ventures of America, Corona, CA, USA) which in turn, was placed in a table-top material tester (EZ-test, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) for tensile testing at a cross-head speed of 1 mm/min.¹⁸

Statistical analyses of tensile bond strength were performed using one-way ANOVA and Fisher’s PLSD test at 95% level of confidence.

SEM observation
After bond strengths were measured, each fractured specimen was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for one day. The fractured surfaces of the samples were desiccated in vacuum and gold sputter-coated. They were then observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; JSM-5310LV, JOEL, Tokyo, Japan) to determine the fracture mode.

To observe the morphological characteristics of sodium bicarbonate powder (SB) and crystalline cellulose powder (CC), some particles of SB and CC were glued on the specimen holder for SEM analysis using bilateral vinyl tape and then gold sputter-coated.

To analyze the air-powder polished dentin by SEM, three dentin surfaces were prepared for each method in the same manner the bonded specimens were prepared. These specimens were rinsed with distilled water for 10 seconds and dried by air blowing. They were then desiccated in vacuum, and gold sputter-coated and observed using SEM according to previous studies.¹¹,¹²

Three human molars were used for SEM observation of dentin/adhesive interface prepared by each test method, after treatment with the self-etching primer bonding system. One bonded specimen of each group was cut vertically to obtain a cross-sectional sample of the dentin/adhesive interface. The specimens were embedded in epoxy resin (Epon 815, Nissin EM, Tokyo, Japan). After curing the epoxy resin, the specimens were ground and polished with diamond pastes down to 0.25 μm. The specimens were subsequently etched with an argon-ion beam (1 kV, 0.2 mA) for 270 seconds with an ELS-1E (Elionix Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) prior to SEM examination.¹⁹

RESULTS
Micro-tensile bond strength
The results of micro-tensile bond strength and fracture mode after testing are shown in Table 2. Bond strength to dentin treated by air-powder polishing with SB decreased compared to the dentin ground by 600-grit SiC paper (p<0.05). On the other hand, bond strength to dentin treated by air-powder polishing with CC did not decrease compared to the dentin ground by 600-grit SiC paper (p>0.05).

The modes of failure were classified into three types: (a) cohesive failure within bonding resin; (b) cohesive failure within/beneath the hybrid; and (c) mixed failure. Most of the failure patterns in the control and CC groups were classified as cohesive failure in bonding resin or mixed failure, but those in the SB group were failure within hybrid layer or dentin cohesive failure.

SEM observation
The SEM images of SB and CC powders are shown in Fig. 2. Particles of sodium bicarbonate powder are squarish and partially pointed crystals (about 100 μm in the diameter). Crystalline cellulose particles, on the other hand, are round-shaped (about 25 μm in diameter).

Representative SEM images of dentin treated with 600-grit silicon carbide paper, air-polished with SB, and air-polished with CC were shown in Figs. 3 (a)-3 (c) respectively. For the dentin surface treated with #600 silicon carbide paper, the ground marks by the abrasive paper were observed and dentinal tubules were covered with a smear layer. The dentin surface air-polished with SB was scooped out and roughened. As for the dentin surface air-polished with CC, no smear layer covered the surface and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>MTBS* (MPa)</th>
<th>Range (MPa)</th>
<th>Fracture Mode**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43.2 ± 12.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.0-65.0</td>
<td>A (35%), B (42%), C (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36.6 ± 8.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.1-55.7</td>
<td>A (25%), B (23%), C (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44.1 ± 12.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.9-62.6</td>
<td>A (43%), B (33%), C (24%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Same superscript letters are significantly different (p<0.05)
** A: Cohesive failure within bonding resin;
B: Mixed failure;
C: Cohesive failure within/beneath hybrid layer.
Fig. 2  SEM images of particles used for air-polishing.
a: Sodium bicarbonate powder showing point-shaped and large particles.
b: Crystalline cellulose powder showing round-shaped and fine particles.

Fig. 3  SEM images of air-polished dentin surfaces.
a: Dentin surface abraded by #600 SiC paper, showing many scratches left by the abrasive paper and the tubules covered by smear layer.
b: Dentin surface air-polished with sodium bicarbonate particles, showing a surface scooped out and roughened, the tubules partially opened and covered with smear by air-polishing.
c: Dentin surface air-polished with crystalline cellulose, showing a smooth surface and the tubules completely opened without any smear layer.
dentinal tubules were observed on a smooth surface. SEM images of the dentin/adhesive interface treated with 600-grit silicon carbide paper, air-polished with SB, and air-polished with CC are shown in Figs. 4(a)-4(c) respectively. These images demonstrate good adhesion between the dentin and adhesive resin for each group. However, the resin/dentin interface treated by air-powder polishing with SB showed that the hybrid layer became irregular and unclear. Although the thickness of the hybrid layer of Clearfil SE bond was shown to be less than 1 μm, the hybrid layer of resin/dentin interface treated with #600 abrasive paper or air-polished with CC was relatively clear and uniform.

DISCUSSION

Recently, self-etching primer bonding systems have become popular in clinical practice. Self-etching primers combine the etching and priming steps without water rinsing. A self-etching primer demineralizes the smear layer and diffuses a short distance into the underlying dentin, resulting in the creation of a thin hybrid layer but a strong bond to dentin. While some studies reported that thickness of the smear layer influenced bond strength to dentin. Watanabe et al. reported that a smear layer wrought by low-grit abrasive paper, such as #180 and #400, was weak in mechanical properties—hence leading to decrease in tensile bond strength.

The present study demonstrated that no specimens failed during specimen preparation for the micro-tensile bond strength test. However, the bond strength of Clearfil SE bond to dentin decreased following air-powder polishing with SB, while air-powder polishing with CC did not influence the bond strength to dentin (Table 2). SEM observation of
the air-polished dentin surfaces showed that SB
scooped out and roughened the surface by the sharp
and relatively hard corners of the SB powder parti-
ticles (Figs. 2(a) and 3(b)), while the surface air-
polished with CC was smooth because the particles
were rounded in shape, soft, and fine (Figs. 2(b) and
3(c)).

SEM observations of the resin/dentin interface
showed that the hybrid layer became more irregular
and unclear compared to the control. Air-powder
polishing with SB might have caused the physical
and/or chemical change of the collagen network,
therefore decreasing the permeability of self-etching
primer bonding monomer. Moreover, the buffer ef-
fect (pH 8.3) of SB might still remain on air-polished
dentin surface even after sufficient water spray.
With a relatively mild pH at 2.04 (according to
manufacturer’s information), the acidity of self-
etching primer might have been chemically influenced
by the effect of SB dissolved in water. As a result,
the self-etching primer was not able to completely
demineralize the smear layer caused by air-powder
polishing with SB. These underlying factors might
be the reason why bond strength to dentin decreased
when treated by air-powder polishing with SB.

On the other hand, SEM observation of the
dentin surface treated by air-powder polishing with
CC revealed that the smear layer was almost re-
moved and ground marks by #600 abrasive paper re-
mained partially (Fig. 3(c)). For the resin/dentin
interface, SEM observation showed that the hybrid
layer was smooth and uniform (Fig. 4(c)). Moreo-
ver, CC did not chemically influence the dentin sur-
face because the powder is chemically stable. There-
fore, self-etching primer could completely re-
move the smear layer and demineralize the underly-
ing dentin air-polished with CC. Thus, the bonding
resin of CC group might have penetrated more easily
into the demineralized dentin compared to SB group
and control group. This meant that air-powder pol-
ishing with CC did not influence the bonding
strength of Clearfil SE Bond.

In the debonded specimens of the SB group, fail-
ures within or beneath the hybrid layer were ob-
served in more than half of the specimens. On the
other hand, in the CC and control groups, failures
within or beneath the hybrid layer were observed in
only approximately 25% of the specimens (Table 2).
These observations suggested that the hybrid layer
and the dentin structure beneath the hybrid layer
created by air abrasion with SB might be weaker
than those by air abrasion with CC and #600 SiC
paper.

Clinically, self-etching primer bonding systems
are often used in cases of cervical wedge-shaped de-
fect, root dentin caries or on dentin surfaces after re-
moval of temporary filling materials. In such cases,
the dentin surface may be contaminated with debris,
such as dental plaque and temporary materials.
When a dentin surface is to be cleaned by air-powder
polishing prior to treatment with self-etching primer
bonding system, crystalline cellulose should be a use-
ful agent based on the results of this study. On the
other hand, the total-etch, wet-bonding technique
using phosphoric acid is also popular in clinical prac-
tice. Since phosphoric acid produces more etching
than self-etching primer, the thickness and micro-
structure of the hybrid layer created by a wet-
bonding system will be different from that by the
self-etching primer bonding system. Therefore,
 Further research is necessary when phosphoric acid
etching bond systems are used.

CONCLUSION

When a self-etching primer bond system was used
for dentin bonding, air-powder polishing with sodium
bicarbonate powder affected bond strength to dentin.
However, air-powder polishing with crystalline cellu-
lose did not influence bond strength to dentin.
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