Photosynthesis of Lettuce Exposed to Different Short Term Light Qualities
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The objectives of this study were to investigate the effect of short-term changes in the spectral environment on photosynthesis. Lettuce (Lactuca sativa) plants were grown under red-green-blue (RGB) light-emitting diodes (LEDs) for 23 d and then given 24-h exposure to red (R), red-green (RG), or red-blue (RB) LEDs. Photosynthetic rates (Pn) were measured before the 24-h exposure, after 24-h exposure, and 24 h after returning to the original RGB lighting. Temporary changes in spectral quality affected Pn. The effects of the different light treatments on Pn reversed after returning to the initial light source. This study showed that Pn was responsive to spectral quality in the short-term and is not directly coupled to stomatal conductance.
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INTRODUCTION

The photosynthesis and transpiration of plants could be used to provide food for the crew and recycle water and the atmosphere during long-term space missions (Mackowiak and Wheeler, 1996). Cultivating plants in space transit vehicles or in settlements on a planet’s surface likely will involve the use of electric (“artificial”) light sources (Sager and Wheeler, 1992). Among the lighting technologies considered for plant production in space are light-emitting diodes (LEDs) due to the small mass and volume, solid-state construction, higher degree of safety (e.g., do not use an arc-discharge approach), and long operating life (Banta et al., 1992; Bula et al., 1991).

The growth and development of plants under the light spectra produced by LEDs need to be studied and evaluated before LEDs can be accepted as a light source for growing plants in space (Goins, 2002). Lettuce is among candidate crops under consideration for use in controlled ecological life support systems proposed for human life support in space (Salisbury and Clark, 1996; Tibbitts and Alford, 1982; Wheeler et al., 2003). Several studies with lettuce using LEDs have been reported (Bula et al., 1991; Goins et al., 2001; Goins et al., 1998; Hoenecke et al., 1992; Kim et al., 2004a; Kim et al., 2004b), but none quantified the effects of spectral changes on photosynthesis. Leaf photosynthesis is of great importance for growing plants in controlled environments, but the short-term effects of light quality on photosynthesis are poorly understood.

The objectives of this investigation were to investigate the effect of short-term changes in the spectral environment on photosynthesis. The period of 24 h was chosen to expose the plants to one
complete diurnal interval. Examining the short-term effects enables the investigation of the effects of spectral quality without the morphological modifications induced by long-term exposure. The results will be relevant for understanding of the responses of photosynthesis to light spectra and for the design of life support systems for space travel.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultural conditions

Lettuce seeds (*Lactuca sativa* cv. Waldmann’s Green) were planted in plastic pots (7 cm tall, 164 mL capacity, two or three seeds per pot) containing horticultural vermiculite and Canadian sphagnum peat moss (Metro-Mix 500, The Scotts Co., Marysville, OH, USA). Sixteen pots were arranged inside of a 0.3-m² tray within a growth chamber (GC-36, Environmental Growth Chambers, Chagrin Falls, OH, USA; 6.8 m³ interior plant growth volume). At 7 d after planting (DAP), the lettuce seedlings were thinned to a density of 1 plant per pot. Growth chamber air temperature, relative humidity, and CO₂ levels were maintained at 21±1°C, 70±3%, and 1,213±85 μmol mol⁻¹ (0.12 kPa), respectively. Fresh half-strength Hoagland’s nutrient solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950; Mackowiak et al., 1989) was added daily to the bottom of each tray to supply nutrients and replenish evapotranspiration water losses.

Light treatments

The light sources were red LEDs (R), red and green LEDs (RG), red and blue LEDs (RB), and red, green, and blue LEDs (RGB). Their spectra (Fig. 1) were measured from 300–1,000 nm at 2-nm increments with a spectroradiometer (LI-1800, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). Contributions of blue (400–500 nm), green (500–580 nm), yellow (580–600 nm), red (600–700 nm) and total photosynthetic photon flux (PPF, 400–700 nm) were determined using bandwidth integration. From the spectroradiometric data for each light treatment the yield photon flux (YPF) and the calculated amount of phytochrome in Pr form relative to total phytochrome at photoequilibrium (Pr/Ptot) were determined using the method reported by Sager et al. (1988). Short-wave (280–2,800 nm) and thermal long-wave (2,800–5,000 nm) radiation were measured with Eppley PSP and PIR radiometers (Epply Laboratories, Newport, RI, USA) (Table 1).

The R treatment contained 2,752 individual red LEDs mounted in a ventilated enclosure. For RG treatments, 16 Hex LEDs (NHXRGB0900000, Norlux Corp., Carol Stream, IL, USA) were...
Table 1  Spectral data for red LEDs (R), red and green LEDs (RG), red and blue LEDs (RB), and red, green, and blue LEDs (RGB). Spectra were recorded at the top of the plant canopy with a spectroradiometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>RG</th>
<th>RB</th>
<th>RGB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF (400–700 nm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue (400–500 nm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green (500–580 nm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow (580–600 nm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red (600–700 nm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far-red (700–800 nm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield photon flux *</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratios</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red: Far-red</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue: Red</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue: Far-red</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated (P_n/P_{net}{^*})</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irradiance (W m⁻²)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>280–2,800 nm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,800–50,000 nm</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated according to Sager et al. (1988).

mounted in a separate ventilated enclosure. Each Hex LED contained 30 red, 30 green, and 30 blue LEDs. The RB treatment consists of nine LED arrays (Snap-Lite™, Quantum Devices, Inc., Barneveld, WI, USA) equipped with red and blue LEDs. Each array contained 150 red and 75 blue individual diodes. For RGB treatment, four green Hex LEDs (NHX530040S005, Norlux Corp., Carol Stream, IL, USA) were mounted between the nine arrays of red and blue LEDs. The green LED supplied 6% of the total PPF.

The light treatments all had PPF’s of 100 \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\) with an 18-h photoperiod, producing 6.5 \(\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}\). The PPF levels were measured at the top of the plant canopy with a quantum sensor (LI-190SA, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA).

Plant measurements

Lettuce plants were grown under RGB for 23 d and then given 24-h exposure to R, RG, or RB. Photosynthetic rates were measured three different days between 2.5 and 3.5 h after lights came on before the 24-h exposure (23 DAP), after 24-h exposure (24 DAP), and 24 h after returning to the original RGB lighting (25 DAP).

Photosynthetic rates \((P_n)\) were measured from four of the youngest fully expanded leaves per treatment using a portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). During all measurements the leaf temperature, relative humidity, and CO₂ levels within the cuvette were set at 21°C, 70%, and 1,200 \(\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}\), respectively. The conditions in the cuvette were allowed to stabilize for 240–400 s before logging measurements (i.e., the coefficient of variation < 1%). The leaf temperatures were 21.1 ± 0.4°C, 21.2 ± 0.6°C, 21.8 ± 0.5°C, and 21.9 ± 0.5°C under R, RG, RB, and RGB, respectively.

All plants were harvested after the photosynthesis measurements at 25 DAP. The physiological parameters recorded were the number of leaves greater than 1 cm in length, longest leaf length, shoot fresh mass (shoot FM), shoot dry mass (shoot DM), and leaf area. Plant tissue samples were dried in a drying oven for 48 h at 70°C before weighing.
Statistical analysis

The experiment was repeated three times with means calculated using data from four plants per repetition. Statistical analysis was subjected to analysis of variance followed by Duncan's multiple range tests using 5% as the level of significance (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The light sources used in this study were all LEDs and had narrow spectral bands (23–35 nm band width at half peak height) in each red, blue, and green region of waveband (Fig. 1). The YPF was highest for R, followed by RGB and then RG and RB. The calculated $P_{fr}/P_{rat}$ values for all the treatments were between 0.86 and 0.89. There was very little far-red radiation present among spectral environments with the highest amount of far-red originated from the RB and RGB being 1 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Table 1). This suggests that the phytochrome photostationary state difference among treatments was negligible.

Figure 2 shows photosynthetic rates ($P_n$), stomatal conductance ($g_s$), and intercellular CO$_2$ concentration ($C_i$) of lettuce leaves grown under RGB for 23 d and then exposed to R, RG, or RB for 24 h. Initial average $P_n$ measured before the 24-h exposure was 5.5 ± 1.4 $\mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. 

![Graphs](image-url)
The $P_n$ values were different after 24-h exposures to different spectral qualities, with maximum $P_n$ under R and minimum under RG, although the difference between RG and RGB was not statistically significant. However, these effects were reversible, since $P_n$ were the same 24 h after returning to the original RGB lighting regardless of previous treatments (Fig. 2A). Initial average $g_s$ measured before the 24-h exposure was $0.075 \pm 0.024 \text{ mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. After 24-h exposure, $g_s$ was highest under RB, followed by RGB, and then R and RG, although the differences between RB and RGB, and among R, RB and RGB were not statistically significant. Twenty-four hours after returning plants to the original RGB light there was no significant difference among treatments (Fig. 2B). Initial average $C_i$ measured before the 24-h exposure was $1,050 \pm 39 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$. After 24-h exposure, $C_i$ was lower under R than under RG, RB, RGB. As shown in $P_n$ and $g_s$, these effects were reversible 24 h after returning plants back to the RGB light under which they were grown (Fig. 2C).

In the previous study, lettuce was grown under 4 different light qualities: (1) red and blue LEDs, (2) red and blue LEDs with green fluorescent lamps, (3) green fluorescent lamps, or (4) cool-white fluorescent lamps (Kim et al., 2004a). Compared to other light treatments, the $P_n$ were lower in plants grown under green fluorescent lamps. However, specific leaf area was greatest in plants grown under green fluorescent lamps, i.e., they had thinner leaves (Kim et al., 2004a). Consequently, photomorphogenic responses to light quality could influence total plant $P_n$ through altering light capture by leaves, without directly affecting $P_n$ per unit leaf area.

Such modifications in leaf morphology in response to different spectral qualities during growth confound the interpretation of the spectral effects. Investigating the effects of spectral quality on $P_n$ without the morphological modifications is possible by growing plants under the same lighting conditions before temporarily exposing the plants to different light treatments. This was done in the present study by growing lettuce plants under RGB for 23 d, then exposing them for 24 h to R, RG, or RB, and then returning to the initial RGB lighting. The $P_n$, $g_s$, and $C_i$ were different after 24-h exposure to different spectral qualities and these effects were reversible after returning to the original lighting under which the plants were grown.

Yorio et al. (2001) compared lettuce plants grown under 3 different light conditions: (1) red LEDs, (2) red LEDs and blue fluorescent lamps, or (3) cool-white fluorescent lamps. As a result, the $P_n$ were similar in all treatments, although $g_s$ was lower in plants grown under red LEDs than other light treatments. The experimental approach of that study was different from the present study, since Yorio et al. (2001) studied lettuce plants “grown under” different light qualities. In the present study, the $P_n$ were highest in plants temporarily “exposed to” R for 24 h, although $g_s$ was lower than other treatments.

Tennessen et al. (1994) grew $Pueraria lobata$ under metal halide and incandescent lamps and measured the photosynthesis under red LEDs and a xenon arc lamp over the range of $0$ to $1,500 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ PPF. Net photosynthesis rates were higher under red LEDs at low PPF, i.e., $<175 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, but were lower at higher PPF compared to the rates from plants under the xenon arc lamp (Tennessen et al., 1994). Similar observations were made in the present study, where the PPF was only $100 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the $P_n$ was highest when the plants were exposed to the red LED treatment.

By manipulating photosynthetic capacity using antisense RNA technology, the commonly observed correlation between $P_n$ and $g_s$ could be disrupted (von Caemmerer et al., 2004). The stomatal conductance did not correlate with photosynthetic capacity in transgenic tobacco with reduced amounts of Rubisco (von Caemmerer et al., 2004). In that study, light was increased from 100 to $1,000 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 30-40 min and then returned to 100 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, so the quantity of light was modified. In the present study, the quality of light was modified for 24 h and then plants were returned to original lighting conditions. The data after 24-h exposure to red LED light demonstrated that the $P_n$ was not tightly linked to the $g_s$, while the data after returning to original
lighting conditions showed the commonly observed correlation between \( Pn \) and \( gs \).

Experimentally, stomata, which have achieved their steady-state aperture under red light irradiation, open wider when exposed to additional weak blue light (Assmann, 1988; Ogawa et al., 1978). In addition, green light reversal of blue-light-stimulated stomatal opening occurs in a number of species, including *Vicia faba*, *Commelina communis*, *Pisum sativum*, *Nicotiana glauca*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *N. tabacum*, *Allium cepa*, and *Hordeum vulgare* (Frechilla et al., 2000; Talbott et al., 2002). In our study, the experimental approach was different from Frechilla et al. (2000) and Talbott et al. (2002), who studied stomatal responses of epidermal strips, however, the stomatal responses to spectral quality were similar with the maximum \( gs \) in plants exposed to RB and the minimum \( gs \) in plants exposed to RG.

Compared to \( gs \), \( Ci \) had better correlation with \( Pn \) when the spectral environments changed. Plants exposed to R operated at relatively lower \( Ci \) because of the relatively higher \( Pn \) and plants exposed to RG, RB, or RGB operated at relatively higher \( Ci \) because of the relatively lower \( Pn \).

Stomatal movements can be affected by various environmental factors, including plant water status, \( CO_2 \) concentration, and light (Raschke, 1975). For example, bright light and low concentration of \( CO_2 \) stimulated stomata opening, while high \( CO_2 \) concentration even in bright light, caused closure (Raschke, 1975; Scarth, 1932). Generally, stomata respond to changes in intercellular \( CO_2 \) concentration rather than ambient \( CO_2 \) concentration (\( Ca \)) (Mott, 1988; von Caemmerer et al., 2004). In the present study, \( Ca \) did not vary greatly, so the stomatal \( CO_2 \) response would more likely be related to the \( Ci \). However, the decreased \( Ci \) resulted from the increased \( Pn \) in plants exposed to red LED light did not appear to be perceived by guard cells, as \( gs \) was relatively lower in plants exposed to the red light. This observation is similar to that of experiments using transgenic plants with varying decreases in photosynthetic capacity. In those plants, when \( Pn \) was reduced \( Ci \) increased, but \( gs \) showed little change (von Caemmerer et al., 2004).

The physiological measurements from all treatments; leaf number, the longest leaf length, shoot FM, shoot DM, and leaf area; were not significantly different among lettuce plants grown under RGB for 23 d, and exposed to R, RG, or RB for 24 h, and then returned to the initial RGB lighting (data not shown). This indicated that the 24-h exposure to different light qualities had minimal affect on plant growth.

In conclusion, this investigation demonstrated that temporary changes in spectral quality affected \( Pn \). The effects of the different light treatments on \( Pn \) reversed after returning to the initial light source. Especially for very specialized applications, such as long-term space missions, the effects of light spectrum observed on plant production must be incorporated into the design of spectrally balanced LED systems for supporting plant growth.
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