Effect of Micro/Nano-Patterned Surfaces on Cell Adhesion of Ca9-22 cells*
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Surface topography influences cell growth and differentiation. In this study, we used a nano-imprinting method to develop a titanium sheet with 500 nm-, 1 μm-, and 2 μm-wide grooved and pillared structures. We investigated the effects of the surfaces with the micro- and nano-structures on Ca9-22 cell adhesion and proliferation. Ca9-22 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and counted adhered cells at 1 and 24 h post-culture. Scanning electron microscopy was used to assess cell morphology. Immunofluorescence cell staining was used to evaluate vinculin formation to observe the presence of focal contacts at 24 h. There was no difference in cell adhesion between cells cultured on a plane or groove after 1 h. However, at 24 h, the adhesion of cells cultured on the groove was reduced. In addition, the cell adhesion count on the pillar was less than that of cells cultured on a plane at both 1 hour and 24 h post- seeding. Furthermore, in the groove of the Ti sheet after 1 and 24 h, cell expansion occurred in the grooved direction. These results demonstrate that the micro and nano-grooved and pillared structures on the titanium sheet control Ca9-22 cell adhesion and orientation. [DOI: 10.1380/ejssnt.2017.1]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Dental implants are widely used clinically to replace missing teeth in the mandible and/or maxilla. After implant insertion, the implant surface attaches to the gingiva, restricting bacterial invasion. Subsequently, the implant surface maintains osseointegration by direct contact with living bone. However, infection is one of the risk factors for the failure of dental implants [1, 2], and an effective treatment for peri-implantitis has not been established. It is important to apply a micro/nano-patterned structure to the dental implant surface for early osseointegration and prevention of peri-implantitis.

Dental implant bodies penetrate the oral mucosa and may be exposed to infectious agents. Therefore, to prevent inflammation around the implant area, biological blockades with soft tissue adhesion patterns oriented along the direction of and perpendicularly to the dental implant long axis are important. Biological blockades based on soft tissue around the dental implant area play an important role in the success of dental implant treatment. Adhesion to soft tissue toward the implant body and abutment appears to be important for preventing intrusion of the epithelia and bacteria, as well as inflammation around the implant area [3, 4]. Specifically, control of the adhesion, expansion, migration, and differentiation in epithelial and fibroblast cells [5–7] is necessary, as biological blockades with soft tissue adhesion patterns are oriented along the implant long axis and perpendicularly. Because grooved structures are attached to the implant body surface, several studies have explored the suppression of epithelial deep proliferation, arrays in the fiber perpendicular direction, and travel of osteoblast cells to promote morphological formation toward the deep parts of the grooves [8, 9]. Nurthdurft et al. cultured fibroblast and epithelial cells on zirconium and titanium, and the epithelial cells demonstrated a tendency for cell expansion, which was enhanced on smooth surfaces or machine finish surfaces compared to rough surfaces. Accordingly, the proliferation of cells on smooth and machine finish surfaces was higher than that of cells cultured on ziro-
nium or titanium [10]. In an in vitro study of epithelial cells on substrates with different surface shapes, cells proliferated faster on smooth surfaces than on rough surfaces [7]. It has also been reported that adhesion of fibroblast cells is improved on mechanically polished or smooth titanium surfaces with grooved structures and other directionality compared to rough surfaces without directionality [11]. Thus epithelial cell adhesion or proliferation is better on smooth than on rough surfaces without directionality. However, the appropriate structures for dental implant body and abutment have not been established. Suppressing epithelial downgrowth is important for preventing peri-implantitis.

Therefore, in this study, we fabricated titanium sheets with micro- and nano-grooved and pillared structures and examined the cell adhesion and focal adhesions of epithelial-like cells (Ca9-22). We also evaluated changes in the cell adhesion and orientation of epithelial cells caused by the micro- and nano-grooved and pillared structures on titanium surfaces.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Fabrication of titanium-coated nano-/micro-patterned sheets

Figure 1 shows the procedure for fabricating micro-/nano-grooved and pillared titanium-coated sheets (Ti-sheets). A quartz master mold was used (Kyodo International Inc., Kawasaki, Japan). Six areas of 5×5 mm² used in this study were patterned with ridge widths of 500 nm, 1 µm, and 2 µm; a groove height or depth of 500 nm; widths of 500 nm, 1 µm, and 2 µm; and a hole depth of 500 nm. A polycarbonate sheet (PC; Sugawara National Inc., Kawasaki, Japan) was pressed on the master mold at 0.2 MPa and 175°C for 4 min using a thermal nanoimprint apparatus (AH-1TC, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan), and then was gradually cooled to 23°C (Fig. 1(a) and 1(b)). Subsequently, the sheet was carefully peeled off to obtain a replica PC mold (Fig. 1(c)). The replica PC sheet was coated with titanium sputter-coating (HSR112, Shimadzu Corp.). (Fig. 1(d)). The Ti-sheet was gently transferred into a culture dish and fixed (Fig. 1(e)). All sheets were sterilized under UV light for 30 min (Fig. 1(f)).

For scanning electron microscope (SEM; S-4000, Hitachi High-Tech Fielding Corp., Tokyo, Japan) observation, the patterned Ti sheets were sputter-coated with Pt-Pd using a sputtering apparatus (E-1030, Hitachi High-Tech Fielding Corp.). Next, SEM images of the surface patterns were obtained. Surface topography and roughness of the patterned Ti-sheets were measured with a 3D laser microscope (VK-X200; Keyence Corp., Osaka, Japan). The average surface roughness ($R_a$) was measured on six grooved or pillared areas (10×10 µm²/field).

The hydrophilicity of the surface of each sample was investigated using a contact angle meter (DMS-200, Kyowa Electronic Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). Droplets of ultrapure water (2 µL) on each sample were photographed horizontally, and the contact angles were measured. Measurements were performed 6 times on each sample at a temperature of 24°C and humidity of 54%.

B. Cell culture

Ca9-22 cells (RCB1976, RIKEN Cell Bank, Tsukuba, Japan) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (DMEM; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (CELLect Gold from USA, MP Biomedicals Inc., Solon, OH, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-amphotericin B suspension (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ and 95% air. Cell growth was assessed daily, and the medium was replaced twice a week. When the cells were 70% confluent, they were collected using a cell detachment reagent (Accumax™, Funakoshi Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and cell counting analysis was performed using a hemocytometer. These cells were used in subsequent analyses.

C. Cell adhesion test

To measure the change in cell adhesion time on the pattern Ti sheet, we performed a cell adhesion test. First, we added DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum in 1% penicillin-streptomycin-amphotericin B suspension medium solution to a dish with a fixed Ti sheet pattern. Ca9-22 cells were added at a density of 5000 cells/cm² and cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 1 or 24 h. After cultivation, the cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution and stained using a Giemsa stain solution. Adherent cells were observed under an optical microscope (ECLIPSE E200, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a digital camera. We counted the number of adherent cells after 1 or 24 h of culture using the ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-amphotericin B suspension (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (DMEM; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (CELLect Gold from USA, MP Biomedicals Inc., Solon, OH, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-amphotericin B suspension (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ and 95% air. Cell growth was assessed daily, and the medium was replaced twice a week. When the cells were 70% confluent, they were collected using a cell detachment reagent (Accumax™, Funakoshi Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and cell counting analysis was performed using a hemocytometer. These cells were used in subsequent analyses.

D. Cell morphology

For SEM analysis of the cells on the pattern Ti sheet, we washed non-adherent cells in PBS after 1 h of culture and fixed the cells using 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution. Next, we performed stepped desiccation of a sample every 5 min at 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, and 100%, followed by CO₂ critical point drying. We performed Pt-Pd sputtering of the sample, and observed the cells by SEM.

E. Immunofluorescence cell staining

Immunofluorescence staining of the cells was carried out as previously described [12]. The morphology and vinculin expression of Ca9-22 cells after being seeded for 24 h on the samples were observed using a fluorescence microscope. The cells were washed twice in PBS and fixed for 10 min in 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS. Next, the cells were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 5 min and washed three times in PBS. The cells were then blocked in PBS containing 5% bovine serum
albumin for 30 min and washed once in PBS. Subsequently, the cells were fluorescently stained for detection of vinculin, F-actin, and nuclei at 37°C for 60 min using anti-vinculin AlexaFluor 488 (Bioscience, USA), Acti-stain 555 Fluorescent Phalloidin (Cytoskeleton, Inc., Denver, CO, USA), and DAPI solution (Djindo, Kumamoto, Japan), respectively, followed by incubation overnight at 4°C. The samples were observed using a fluorescence microscope (BZ-9000, Keyence Japan). The number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions per cell was estimated on an immunofluorescence cell staining micrograph using ImageJ software. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of n = 6.

F. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.05 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). All data are presented as the mean and standard deviation. Statistical differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison post-hoc test. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

III. RESULTS

A. Fabrication of titanium-coated micro-/nano-patterned sheets

The patterned Ti-sheets were prepared at the micro-/nano-level by nano-imprinting as illustrated in Fig. 1. Patterns on the Ti-sheet were easily molded according to the corresponding patterns of the replica PC mold during the replication process (Fig. 1(a), (b) and (c)). After coating with titanium sputtering (Fig. 1(c)), the patterned Ti-sheet was adhered onto a culture dish and was stable during cell culture.

Surface SEM images of the resulting patterns after coating with titanium sputtering are shown in Fig. 2. Finely grooved and pillared structures were molded from the corresponding shape; the surface of each pattern was smooth at the nano-level. Patterns with widths of 500 nm, 1 µm, and 2 µm roughly maintained their ridges and grooves (Fig. 2(a), (b), and (c)). In addition, patterns with widths of 500 nm, 1 µm, and 2 µm roughly maintained their ridges and grooves (Fig. 2(d), (e), and (f)).

### Table 1. R_a, Height, and Width of Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern Type</th>
<th>R_a (µm)</th>
<th>Height (µm)</th>
<th>Width (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groove 500 nm</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.0052</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillar 500 nm</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.0066</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows the laser microscope image and analysis of patterned Ti-sheets. (a) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet, (b) 500 nm pillared Ti-sheet, (c) surface roughness (R_a), height and width of patterns.

### Figure 3: Laser microscope images and analysis of patterned Ti-sheets

(a) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet, (b) 500 nm pillared Ti-sheet, (c) surface roughness (R_a), height and width of patterns.

Figure 4 shows the contact angle images of patterned Ti-sheets. (a) Plane Ti-sheet, (b) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet (horizontal view for groove), (c) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet (vertical view for groove), (d) 500 nm pillared Ti-sheet. (B) Bar graph summarizing the contact angle data. The contact angle was normalized to that of the plane titanium-coated sheet (control). (* indicates p < 0.05)

### Figure 4: Contact angle images

(a) Plane Ti-sheet, (b) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet (horizontal view for groove), (c) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet (vertical view for groove), (d) 500 nm pillared Ti-sheet. (B) Bar graph summarizing the contact angle data. The contact angle was normalized to that of the plane titanium-coated sheet (control). (* indicates p < 0.05)
related to groove size were observed (Figs. 6(b), (c), and (d)). In the 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm wide pillar shapes, the cells assumed a dome-shaped surface morphology and were relatively small compared to the plane-shaped cells. Moreover, the filopodia were also reduced compared to the cells on the plane (Figs. 6(e), (f), and (g)).

B. Cell adhesion and proliferation test

Figure 5 shows the cell adhesion count in Ca9-22 cells after 1 and 24 h, on the patterned Ti sheet. After 1 h of culture, no significant differences in the cell adhesion count on the 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm grooved Ti sheet were observed compared with the plane Ti-sheets (control) (p > 0.05). However, after 24 h, the cell adhesion count on each groove was lower than that of the plane (p < 0.05). In addition, the cell adhesion count on the groove after 24 h showed a lower cell adhesion count than that on the smaller groove width.

After 1 and 24 h of culturing, the cell adhesion count on the 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm pillared Ti sheet was significantly lower than that on the plane Ti-sheets (control) (p < 0.05). After 24 h of culture, the 2-μm pillared cell adhesion count was highest at 500 nm and 1 μm. While the plane and grooved cell adhesion count increased by nearly double from 1 to 24 h, the cell adhesion count on the pillar showed no increase during the same time.

C. SEM image of Ca9-22 cells

Figure 6 shows SEM images of Ca9-22 cells after 1 h of culturing on the Ti sheet. In the plane after 1 h, the cells assumed a surface morphology on the dome that lifted the central part of the cell, and filopodia extending in all four directions were observed (Fig. 6(a)). In grooves with 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm widths, the cells assumed an elliptical shape in a parallel direction to the groove and extended along the groove. No major differences in cell size related to groove size were observed (Figs. 6(b), (c), and (d)). In the 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm pillared patterns, the cells assumed a dome-shaped surface morphology and were relatively small compared to the plane-shaped cells. Moreover, the filopodia were also reduced compared to the cells on the plane (Figs. 6(e), (f), and (g)).

D. Focal adhesion by immunofluorescence cell staining

Figure 7 shows the immunofluorescence cell staining micrographs of Ca9-22 cells on patterned Ti-sheets after incubation for 24 h. Cells expressed a large number of focal adhesion protein. Vinculin, F-actin, and nuclei were distinctly stained. Ca9-22 cells on the plane Ti-sheet were large and extended (Fig. 7(a)). Cells on 500 nm-grooved Ti-sheet were large and elongated with the vinculin spot and F-actin extending in a direction parallel to the grooves (Fig. 7(b)). Cells on 500 nm pillared Ti-sheet showed a morphology similar to that of cells on the plane Ti-sheets (Fig. 7(c)). However, the number of vinculin spots that extended on the pillared Ti-sheet was lower than that on the plane Ti-sheet. Figure 8 shows the number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions per cell on the patterned Ti sheets after incubation for 24 h. The numbers of vinculin-positive focal adhesions on the grooved Ti sheets and pillared Ti sheets were lower than that on the plane Ti sheet (p < 0.05). The number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions on the plane Ti sheet was the highest in the patterns.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this study, we fabricated Ti sheets with grooved and pillared structures by nanoimprinting. However, the heights of the grooves and pillars were reduced compared to those of the master mold (Fig. 3). In our method, it
FIG. 7. Immunofluorescence micrograph of Ca9-22 cells on Ti-sheets after 24 h incubation. (a) Plane Ti-sheet, (b) 500 nm grooved Ti-sheet, (c) 500 nm pillared Ti-sheet. Green: vinculin enclosed in a square, red: F-actin, blue: nuclei. Scale bars: 25 μm.

FIG. 8. Number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions in Ca9-22 cells on Ti-sheets. The number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions was lower on grooved and pillared Ti-sheets than on plane Ti-sheets (p < 0.05). The number of focal adhesions was normalized to that of the plane Ti-sheet (control) (* indicates p < 0.05).

was difficult to prepare patterns of smaller sizes. This method should be improved in future studies.

This study showed that the contact angles for grooved Ti-sheets (vertical view for groove) and pillared Ti-sheet were significantly more hydrophilic than the plane Ti-sheet (Fig. 4). Interestingly, in the grooved Ti-sheet, the contact angle for grooved Ti-sheets (vertical view for groove) was more hydrophilic than for grooved Ti-sheets (horizontal view for groove). Thus, groove structures appeared to affect cell alignment along the groove direction (Figs. 6(b), (c), and (d)).

SEM analysis of the surface morphology taken on the dome on the plane showed that the cell surface of cultured cells was rough, with filopodia around the entire plasma membrane (Fig. 6(a)). This is in agreement with the epithelial-like cell findings reported previously [7]. In the grooved substrate shape, it was observed that the cells expanded and oriented along the groove (Fig. 6(b), (c), and (d)). Similarly to osteoblast [5, 13] or fibroblast cells [6, 14], which are frequently used in research studies, an orientation on the groove substrate was suggested, even in epithelial cells. In addition, as in a study showing that epithelial cell adhesion and proliferation was suppressed on rough surfaces, it was observed that cells on the pillar were smaller than those cultured on the plane, and that there were few filopodia (Figs. 6(e), (f), and (g)).

Thus, the pillared structure had rough surfaces in epithelial cells, indicating inhibition of adhesion and proliferation.

Grooved structures for the implant body surface suppress epithelial deep proliferation, arrays in the fiber perpendicular direction, and travel of osteoblast cells to promote morphological formation towards the deep parts of the grooves.

Because the surface structure of the area is adjacent to bone, we aimed to produce a structure with roughly structured surfaces other than grooves and pillars [15], promoting in every case bone formation by the microenvironment and maintaining an interlocking force between the bone and implant body [5–11].

No comparative studies have investigated the cell adhesion and dynamics of epithelial cells on titanium surfaces.

In this study, we attached a nano-pattern structure to a titanium surface and showed that it affected the adhesion of Ca9-22 epithelial cells. Previous studies using osteoblast and fibroblast cells demonstrated that attachment of grooved and pillared structures increased the cell adhesion count to a greater extent than smooth titanium [6, 16]. In this study, while the epithelial cells at 1 h after culture did not show a significant difference in cell adhesion count on the groove compared with the plane, the cell adhesion count on the pillar was smaller than that on the plane. After 24 h of culture, the cell adhesion count on the grooved and pillar was significantly lower than that compared to on the plane. On the pillar, no increase in cell adhesion count was observed at 1 or 24 h (Fig. 5).
Vinculin is a major structural component of focal contacts with various binding sites for intracellular molecules and is a type of connecting molecule [4]. The present study showed that the number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions on the plane Ti sheets was higher than that on the patterned Ti sheets (Fig. 7 and 8). A previous study observed vinculin-positive focal adhesions of human bone cells [17]. Interestingly, a similar trend was observed for cell adhesion count and the number of vinculin-positive focal adhesions after incubation for 24 h. These results indicate that focal adhesion formation is involved in cell adhesion.

These results suggest that in epithelial cell adhesion and proliferation, the flat plane surface is better than the titanium surface with a grooved and pillared structure. One study reported that the use of a grooved structure in the implant abutment surface structure suppressed epithelial downgrowth [18]. This indicates that use of a pillared structure in the implant abutment surface structure can suppress epithelial deep proliferation.

However, the suitable size for the grooves and pillars in cell adhesion and proliferation has not been determined. In this study, we only analyzed groove widths of 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm. In addition, attachment of microstructures to the surface led to bacterial adhesion. Previous studies showed that the size of surface bumps and indentations are related, with the indentation width easy to attach at approximately 3 μm, and bacteria are unable to adhere to nano-structures of 0.4 μm or less [19].

Further studies are needed to evaluate the production of patterns smaller than 500 nm and assess the performance of biomechanics tests or in vivo experiments on micro- and nano-grooves and pillars.

V. CONCLUSION

In this study, we found that the cell adhesion count on the 500 nm, 1 μm, and 2 μm width grooved and pillared Ti sheet was reduced compared to cells cultured on plane Ti sheets. Furthermore, Ca9-22 cells showed a decreased cell adhesion count and focal adhesions on the grooves and pillars. Our results provide further insight into how structuring of implant interfaces at the micro-/nano-scale can prevent soft tissue encapsulation which limits the lifetime of many implants. These results suggest that grooved and pillared structures on the titanium surface increases the suppression of epithelial deep cell proliferation. In the future, we will develop the appropriate surface structures of dental implant body and abutment for prevention of peri-implantitis (Fig. 9).
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