Effects of miglitol, sitagliptin or their combination on plasma glucose, insulin and incretin levels in non-diabetic men
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Abstract. α-glucosidase inhibitors (αGIs) increase active glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and reduce the total glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) levels, but their ability to prevent diabetes remains uncertain. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, such as sitagliptin, increase active GLP-1 and GIP levels and improve hyperglycemia in a glucose-dependent fashion. However, the effectiveness of their combination in subjects with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) is uncertain. The present study evaluated the effect of miglitol, sitagliptin, and their combination on glucose, insulin, and incretin levels in non-diabetic men. Miglitol and sitagliptin were administered according to four different intake schedules (C: no drug, M: miglitol; S: sitagliptin, M+S: miglitol and sitagliptin). The plasma glucose levels were significantly lower for M, S, and M+S than for the control. The areas under the curve (AUCs) of the plasma active GLP-1 level in the M, S, and M+S groups were significantly greater than that in the control group. The AUC of the plasma active GLP-1 level was significantly greater for M+S group than for the M and S groups. The AUC of the plasma total GIP level was significantly smaller for M+S group than for the control and M and S groups. The results of our study suggest that miglitol, sitagliptin, or their combination contributes to the prevention of type 2 diabetes.
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α-GLUCOSIDASE inhibitors (αGIs) decrease plasma glucose and serum insulin levels in healthy subjects [1, 2] and reduce the development of type 2 diabetes in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) [3, 4]. αGIs reportedly enhance active glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) responses and reduce total glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) responses [5-7]; however, their significance for protecting against the development of diabetes remains uncertain. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, such as sitagliptin, increase active GLP-1 and GIP by inhibiting DPP-4 enzymatic activity and improve hyperglycemia in a glucose-dependent fashion by increasing serum insulin and decreasing serum glucagon levels in diabetic patients [8]. Since GLP-1 reportedly promotes islet cell growth and inhibits apoptosis in animal models [9], an elevated plasma GLP-1 level might promote β cell protection in addition to improving hyperglycemia in humans. Given the fact that diabetes develops when insulin secretion from β cells is insufficient to compensate for insulin resistance [10, 11], DPP-4 inhibitors may reduce the development of type 2 diabetes in subjects with IGT or normal glucose tolerance (NGT). It is reported that vildagliptin increases active GLP-1 level and decreases postprandial glucose and glucagon levels without changing insulin level in subjects with IGT [12]. It is also reported that sitagliptin increases active GLP-1 without changing postprandial glucose, insulin or glucagon levels in subjects with NGT and impaired fasting glucose (IFG) [13, 14]. However, the effects of a combination of αGIs and DPP-4 inhibitors on blood glucose, insulin and incretin levels in pre-diabetic subjects are uncertain. We therefore evaluated the effect of miglitol, sitagliptin, and their combination on these parameters in non-diabetic men.
Materials and Methods

After obtaining approval from the Institutional Ethics Review Committee, 10 healthy men aged 37 ± 2 years (with a BMI of 24.1 ± 0.6 kg/m²) who had never been diagnosed as having diabetes were enrolled in the present study. Informed consent was obtained from each of the subjects prior to the start of the study.

Miglitol and/or sitagliptin were administered according to four different intake schedules (C: no drug, M: miglitol administered just before a meal [50 mg]; S: sitagliptin administered at 2 hours before the start of a meal [50 mg]. M+S: miglitol administered just before a meal [50 mg] and sitagliptin administered at 2 hours before the start of a meal [50 mg]). The subjects were randomized to one of the four interventions using a crossover design. Subjects were asked to take each medication after more than 1 week drug-free washout period. All the subjects received a standard breakfast (773 Kcal; protein: 27.0 grams; fat: 20.3 grams; carbohydrate: 121.5 grams). For the study, the subjects were requested to fast for at least 12 hours. Blood samples were collected at 0, 30, 60, 120 and 180 min after the start of breakfast. The plasma glucose and serum insulin levels were measured, and the plasma active GLP-1 and plasma total GIP levels were measured using ELISA kits (Millipore Corporation, MA, USA) at SRL, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). We measured the total GIP in this study because we could not obtain commercially available kits for measuring active GIP accurately.

Data are expressed as the means ± SE. The areas under the curve (AUC) from just before a meal to 180 min after the start of a meal were calculated using the trapezoid method. The analyses were performed using a two-way layout analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey-type multiple comparisons.

Results

The time profiles and AUCs of the plasma glucose and serum insulin levels are shown in Fig. 1. The plasma glucose levels at 30 min after the start of breakfast were significantly lower in the M and M+S groups than in the control group, while the plasma glucose levels at 60 min after the start of breakfast were significantly lower in all the intake groups than in the C group (Fig. 1A). The AUCs of the plasma glucose levels in the M, S and M+S groups were significantly lower than that in the C group; however, no significant differences between the M+S group and the M or S groups were observed (Fig. 1B).

The serum insulin levels at 30 and 60 min after the start of breakfast were significantly lower in the M and M+S groups than in the control group (Fig. 1C). The AUCs of the serum insulin levels in the M and M+S groups were significantly smaller than that in the control group (Fig. 1D). By contrast, the AUC of the serum insulin levels was unaffected in the S group.

The time profiles and the AUCs of the plasma active GLP-1 and plasma total GIP levels are shown in Fig. 2. The active GLP-1 levels at 0 min were significantly higher in the intake S and M+S groups than in the C and M groups (Fig. 2A), probably because the sitagliptin was administered 2 hours before the meal. The active GLP-1 levels at 30, 120 and 180 min after the start of breakfast were significantly higher in the S and M+S groups than in the C group, and the active GLP-1 levels at 60 min after the start of breakfast were significantly higher in all the intake groups than in the control group (Fig. 2A). The AUCs of the plasma active GLP-1 level in the M, S and M+S groups were significantly greater than that in the control group. Thus, the AUC of the plasma active GLP-1 level increased by 38% in the M group, 78% in the S group, and 153% in the M+S group, relative to the value in the control group. Furthermore, the AUC of the M+S group was significantly greater than those of the M or S groups (Fig. 2B).

The total GIP levels at 30 and 60 min after the start of breakfast were significantly lower in all the intake groups than in the C group, and the GIP levels at 120 and 180 min after the start of breakfast were significantly lower in the M+S group than in the C and M groups (Fig. 2C). The AUCs of the plasma total GIP level in the M, S and M+S groups were significantly smaller than that in the C group. Thus, the AUC of the total GIP levels decreased by 28% in the M group, 34% in the S group, and 64% in the M+S group, compared with the value in the C group. Furthermore, the AUC of the M+S group was significantly smaller than those of the M or S groups (Fig. 2D).

Discussion

Here, we report two important findings: the plasma glucose levels in the M, S and M+S groups were significantly lower than those in the control group.
Increase of GLP-1 by a combination of miglitol and sitagliptin treatment in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes [16]. Of note, sitagliptin, unlike miglitol, failed to decrease the plasma glucose levels at 30 min after the start of breakfast (Fig. 1A) but decreased the AUC of the plasma glucose levels (Fig. 1B). This result clearly demonstrates that sitagliptin is effective for improving postprandial hyperglycemia in non-diabetic subjects even though the action of incretin is glucose-dependent. Although sitagliptin and vildagliptin were reported to have no effect on postprandial glucose level in subjects with NGT [13, 15], we assume that the differences between this study and the previous

(Fig. 1A, B), and the AUC of the plasma active GLP-1 level in the M+S group was greater than that in the M and S groups (Fig. 2B).

Incretins improve hyperglycemia in a glucose-dependent fashion, but whether incretins or DPP-4 inhibitors are capable of improving hyperglycemia in individuals with NGT or IGT has remained uncertain. Here, we showed that miglitol and sitagliptin are similarly effective for decreasing postprandial hyperglycemia in non-diabetic men. By contrast, once-daily sitagliptin treatment was reported to have a greater efficacy than thrice-daily voglibose treatment in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes [16]. Of note, sitagliptin, unlike miglitol, failed to decrease the plasma glucose levels at 30 min after the start of breakfast (Fig. 1A) but decreased the AUC of the plasma glucose levels (Fig. 1B). This result clearly demonstrates that sitagliptin is effective for improving postprandial hyperglycemia in non-diabetic subjects even though the action of incretin is glucose-dependent. Although sitagliptin and vildagliptin were reported to have no effect on postprandial glucose level in subjects with NGT [13, 15], we assume that the differences between this study and the previous

Fig. 1  Area under the curve of the plasma glucose and serum insulin levels for each intake schedule. The time profile and the AUC of the plasma glucose levels are shown in A and B, respectively, while those of the serum insulin levels are shown in C and D, respectively. C: filled circles; M: clear circles; S: triangles; M+S: squares. Number of each group was 10. Differences with P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. A and C: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 vs. C. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 vs. M. #p<0.05, ##p<0.01, ###p<0.001 vs. S. B and D: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 vs. each group.
levels might, in turn, be favorable for sparing insulin secretion by \( \beta \) cells in individuals with NGT or IGT.

The active GLP-1 and total GIP profiles in the M group were consistent with those described in previous reports [5-7]. Consistent with other previous reports [8, 14], an increase in the AUC of the active GLP-1 levels was observed in the S group. The AUC of active GLP-1 in the M+S group was much greater than that in the M and S groups. In a recent report, the plasma active GLP-1 levels were higher after treatment with a combination of alogliptin and voglibose, reports may be due to the differences in meals.

Interestingly, sitagliptin decreased the AUC of the blood glucose levels without increasing the insulin levels in non-diabetic subjects (Fig. 1C, D); this effect might be explained by a decrease in the plasma glucagon levels [8, 14], although we were unable to measure serum glucagon level in the present study because the antibody against glucagon was not available for commercial use. We would like to measure serum glucagon in future.

The absence of an increase in the serum insulin levels might, in turn, be favorable for sparing insulin secretion by \( \beta \) cells in individuals with NGT or IGT.

**Fig. 2** Area under the curve of the plasma active glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and plasma total glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) levels for each intake schedule. The time profile and the AUC of the plasma active GLP-1 levels are shown in A and B, respectively, while those of the plasma total GIP levels are shown in C and D, respectively. C: filled circles; M: clear circles; S: triangles; M+S: squares. Number of each group was 10. Differences with \( P \) values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. A and C: *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), ***\( p < 0.001 \) vs. C. *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), ***\( p < 0.001 \) vs. M. *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), ***\( p < 0.001 \) vs. S. B and D: *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), ***\( p < 0.001 \) vs. each group.
compared with after monotherapy with each drug, in diabetic db/db mice [17]. Our clinical results in non-diabetic subjects were consistent with this report.

Since active GLP-1 reportedly promotes islet cell growth and inhibits apoptosis in an animal model [9], the increase in active GLP-1 in the M and S groups and the further increase in the M+S group might be beneficial for preserving β cells and reducing the development of diabetes in humans. In general, miglitol should be administered before meals; however, the once-daily administration of miglitol as a “GLP-1 enhancer” in combination with sitagliptin might be effective, as demonstrated by the further increase in GLP-1 observed in the M+S group (Fig. 2B). Further study is needed to address this issue.

Interestingly, the AUC of total GIP in the M+S group was much smaller than that in the M and S groups, and this difference might be due to the combined effect of both drugs. The administration of sitagliptin reportedly increases the active GIP level but decreases the total GIP level [14], consistent with our results. One possible explanation for this phenomenon is that the increased level of active GIP produces a negative feedback inhibition of the total GIP secretion [8, 18]. AUC of total GIP in the M group was decreased by inhibition of glucose absorption in the upper intestine as reported in [5]. There were no reports which investigate the effects of miglitol on active GIP, however, miglitol is considered to decrease plasma active GIP level due to its pharmacological effect. By contrast, sitagliptin enhanced active GIP levels by inhibiting degradation of the active form rather than increasing secretion, because the ratios of active to total form were increased and total GIP levels were reduced [8]. Inhibition of GIP signaling is beneficial for preventing obesity as reported in [19]. Because administration of miglitol may suppress the increase in active GIP evoked by administration of sitagliptin, combination therapy of these two agents may be useful for obese type 2 diabetic patients.

In conclusion, the results of our study suggest that miglitol, sitagliptin, or their combination contributes to the prevention of the development of type 2 diabetes.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 19390251 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, and a Medical Award from the Japan Medical Association.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article exist.

References


