Delay in the onset of puberty of intrauterine growth retarded female rats cannot be rescued with hypernutrition after birth
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Abstract. Perinatal undernutrition is known to disturb reproductive development, in particular by delaying the onset of puberty in certain species. Using a rat model, we studied whether hypernutrition after birth can rescue the delayed onset of puberty in intrauterine undernourished female rats. Pregnant rats were divided into two groups: the maternal normal nutrition (mNN, n = 8) and maternal undernutrition (mUN, n = 9) groups. In the mUN group, dams received 50% of the daily food intake of the mNN group from day 15 of pregnancy until delivery. Pups from both the mNN and mUN dams were then separated into two groups, based on their postnatal feeding conditions: control-normal nutrition (control-NN), control-hypernutrition (control-HN), Intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR)-normal nutrition (IUGR-NN), and IUGR-hypernutrition (IUGR-HN). Litter sizes of the hypernutrition groups were controlled to five pups per dam, and normal nutrition groups to 12-13 pups per dam. From postnatal day 30, pups were inspected daily for vaginal opening (VO). The age of VO in the IUGR-NN group was 35.7 ± 2.4 days (mean ± SD), which was significantly delayed compared to that of the control-NN group (33.8 ± 0.8 days). The age of VO in the IUGR-HN group was 35.5 ± 2.3 days, which was significantly delayed compared to that of the control-HN group (33.5 ± 0.8 days). Interestingly, the age of VO did not differ between the IUGR-NN and IUGR-HN groups. In conclusion, maternal undernutrition delays puberty in female offspring, and this delay in puberty cannot be rescued with hypernutrition after birth.
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demonstrated a markedly lower age of menarche, which is likely related to the substantial improvements made in nutrition, sanitation, and general health [17]. Thus, peripubertal exposure to an unbalanced diet could also affect the prevalence of obesity, leading to the speculation that both increasing adiposity and earlier pubertal development in girls may be directly related [18, 19].

Leptin, an adipose tissue-derived hormone, is a strong candidate for the potential link between nutritional status and the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, especially with respect to puberty onset in female rats and mice [20-23]. Interestingly, in humans, obese girls demonstrate earlier onset of puberty and higher serum levels of leptin than lean girls [24, 25].

Kisspeptin is encoded by the Kiss1 gene, expressed in the hypothalamus, and is known to greatly stimulate gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) secretion [26-29]. Recently, several studies have suggested that leptin is a putative regulator of hypothalamic kisspeptin expression. Overfeeding of postnatal female rats resulted an increased body weight and vaginal opening in earlier age, together with higher levels of leptin and hypothalamic Kiss1 mRNA [30]. Hypothalamic Kiss1 mRNA levels were found to be decreased in leptin deficient (ob/ob) mice and diabetic rats; however, they were augmented with leptin replacement [31, 32].

In our previous study, decreased hypothalamic kisspeptin activity contributed the delayed onset of puberty in prenatally undernourished female rats [33].

While adequate nutrition is a key permissive factor in pubertal development, it remains unclear whether the onset of puberty in IUGR rats is accelerated by overnutrition leading to excessive adiposity after birth. The purpose of the present study was to determine whether hypernutrition during the neonatal period increases serum leptin levels and rescues the delayed onset of puberty seen in intrauterine undernourished female rats.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased (Charles River Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and housed individually. Animal rooms were maintained under controlled lighting (14-h light, 10-h dark cycle) and temperature (24°C). All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tokushima.

Study design

A total of 17 pregnant rats and their offspring were used in the study (Fig. 1). Pregnant rats were divided into two groups: i) the normal nutrition (mNN) group (n = 8), in which dams were allowed water and standard rat chow ad libitum during the gestation and lactation periods; and ii) the undernutrition (mUN) group (n = 9), in which dams received 50% of the daily food intake of the mNN group from embryonic day 15 until delivery. Maternal body weight changes in both groups were recorded throughout pregnancy. Litter size was determined on postpartum day 1. Pups from both groups were randomly separated into two groups, according to postnatal feeding conditions: control-normal nutrition (control-NN), control-hypernutrition (control-HN), IUGR-normal nutrition (IUGR-NN), and IUGR-hypernutrition (IUGR-HN). The litter size of the hypernutrition groups was controlled to five pups per dam (this model results in increased weight gain during the suckling period due to higher milk availability to each pup) and the litter size of the normal nutrition groups was controlled to 12-13 pups per dam. All of the pups used in the experiment were reared by randomly selected mNN dams. Both male and female offspring were used in the experiment prior to weaning, and only female offspring were used in the experiments after weaning. Extra pups and dams from the mUN group were used for another experiment. The animals used for each experiment were randomly chosen from different litters to obviate any litter differences. Pups were weighed at various postnatal ages and weaned at 21 days of age, when the pups of each litter size were housed in groups of three rats per cage, with free access to standard rat chow and tap water. Eight pups were randomly selected from each of the four groups for Experiment 1 conducted on day 28. Residual pups were used for Experiment 2.

Experiment 1. Ovarian and uterine weights, serum hormone levels, and mRNA expression of hypothalamic parameters on day 28

During the peripubertal period (i.e. postnatal day 28), eight pups from each group were rapidly weighed and decapitated between 09:00 h and 11:00 h. The ovaries and uterus were dissected and weighed. Trunk blood and the hypothalamic explant were obtained for the measurement of serum luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), and leptin levels, as well as mRNA expression levels of hypothalamic factors, including Kiss1, Kiss1r (kisspeptin receptor), and GnRH.
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Experiment 1.
On postnatal day 28, body, ovarian and uterine weights, as well as serum hormone concentrations (i.e., LH, FSH and leptin), and mRNA expression levels of hypothalamic parameters (i.e., Kiss1, Kiss1r, and Gnrh) were determined.

Experiment 2. Age of vaginal opening
From postnatal day 30, pups were inspected daily for VO. The age of VO, as well as the body weight on the day of VO, were compared between groups.

Hormone assays
Serum leptin levels were measured using an $^{125}$I-radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (Rat leptin RIA kit, Linco Research Inc., St Charles, MO, USA). The sensitivity of the assay was 0.5 ng/mL. The inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 4.8% and 2.4%, respectively. Serum LH levels were measured using an $^{125}$I-RIA kit (Rat LH [I-125] RIA Kit, Institute of Isotopes Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The sensitivity of the assay was 0.2 ng/mL. The inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 4.8% and 2.4%, respectively. Serum FSH levels were measured using an $^{125}$I-RIA kit (Rat FSH [I-125] RIA kit, Institute of Isotopes Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The sensitivity of the assay was 0.09 ng/mL. The inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 8.1% and 4.2%, respectively.

Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction of the rat hypothalamic explants
Hypothalamic explants, including the median preoptic area, anteroventral periventricular nucleus, and arcuate nucleus, were dissected. Briefly, brain sections were dissected via coronal cuts 1 mm anterior from the optic chiasm and the posterior border of the mammillary bodies. The sections were cut 2 mm from the bottom of the hypothalamus, and then trimmed along the hypothalamic sulci.

Total RNA was isolated from the hypothalamus using a TRIzol reagent Kit (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA) and an RNaseasy Mini kit (Qiagen Gmgh, Hilden, Germany). cDNA was synthesized with oligo (deoxygeny-thymidine) primers at 50°C using the SuperScript III First-Standard Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen Co.). Real-time PCR analysis was per-
formed using the PCR system StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with SYBR green Fast (Applied Biosystems). The forward and reverse primers were, as follows: Kiss1: F: 5’-AGC TGC TGC TGC TTC TTC TCT GT-3’; R: 5’-AGG CTT GGT CTC TGC ATA CC-3’; Kiss1r: F: 5’-GCA GAC CGT CTT GAA CAA TTT CT-3’, R: 5’-GGAAC ACA GTC ACG TGC ATA CC-3’. The PCR cycling conditions were, as follows: initial denaturation and enzyme activation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s; annealing at 63°C for 30 s (Kiss-1, Kiss1r, GnRH), and 65°C, 58°C for 30 s (β-actin); and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The PCR cycling conditions were, as follows: initial denaturation and enzyme activation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s; annealing at 63°C for 30 s (Kiss-1, Kiss1r, GnRH), and 65°C, 58°C for 30 s (β-actin); and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The copy numbers of the transcripts were normalized against those of β-actin transcripts for each sample.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as means ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Fisher’s least significant difference, Student’s t, Mann-Whitney’s U, and Chi-square tests. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

**Results**

**Body weight changes in pups during neonatal development**

There were no significant differences in body weights between the two maternal groups (i.e., mNN and mUN) on day 15 (321.1 ± 23.1 g in mNN vs. 316.5 ± 21.2 g in mUN) (Fig. 2). The body weights (% of day 16) of the mUN group, in which dams received 50% of the daily food intake of the mNN group, was significantly lighter than that of mNN group from day 16 to day 21 (two-way ANOVA; p < 0.01 on day 16, and p < 0.001 on day 21). Maternal food restriction during pregnancy had no effect on the total number of pups (Table 1). The number of dead pups at birth was not significantly different between the two groups (Chi-square test). The body weights of pups on postnatal day 1 in the mUN group were significantly lighter than those in the mNN group (p < 0.0001). Furthermore, the body weights of pups in the four postnatal nutrition groups were significantly different from postnatal day 4 to day 28 (control-HN > IUGR-HN > control-NN > IUGR-NN, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). The body weights of pups on day 32 were significantly different in all groups, with the exception of the control-HN and IUGR-HN groups (p < 0.05). Pups in the IUGR-HN group demonstrated catch-up growth to the control-NN group, whereas pups in the IUGR-NN group did not demonstrate catch-up growth to the control-NN group during the study period.

**Experiment 1. Body weight, ovarian and uterine weights, serum hormone levels, and mRNA expression of hypothalamic parameters on day 28**

The offspring selected for Experiment 1 demonstrated similar differences in body weights as the entire offspring population (i.e., control-HN > IUGR-HN > control-NN > IUGR-NN; p < 0.05) (Table 2). Ovarian weights were not significantly different among the four groups, whereas uterine weights of the IUGR-NN group were significantly lighter than those of the other groups (p < 0.05). Serum LH (p < 0.05) and leptin (p < 0.001) levels were significantly higher in the control-HN group than in any other group (Fig. 4). Serum FSH level, hypothalamic Kiss1 and Kiss1r mRNA levels were not significantly different among all four groups. However, serum LH and leptin levels, as well as Kiss1 and Kiss1r mRNA expression, tended to be lower in the IUGR-NN group than any other group. Furthermore, the parameters of the IUGR-HN group appeared to parallel those of the control-NN group than the IUGR-NN group.

**Experiment 2. Age of vaginal opening**

Three rats were excluded (i.e., one from control-NN, one from control-HN, and one from IUGR-NN), as they did not demonstrate VO until day 40. The cumulative rate of VO in the control groups was significantly higher than that of the IUGR groups from day 33 to day 37 (p < 0.05) (Fig. 5). The age of VO in the IUGR-NN group was 35.7 ± 2.4 days, which was significantly later than that of control-NN group (33.8 ± 0.8 days). The age of VO in the IUGR-HN group was 35.6 ± 2.3 days, which was significantly later than that of the control-HN group (33.5 ± 0.8 days; one-way ANOVA; p < 0.001) (Table 3). Furthermore, the age of VO was not significantly different between the IUGR-NN and IUGR-HN groups, as well as the control-NN and control-HN groups. The body weights of the hypernutrition groups (i.e., control-HN and IUGR-HN) were significantly heavier than that of the normal nutrition groups (i.e., control-NN and IUGR-NN) on the day of VO (p < 0.001).
Table 1 Influence of maternal nutrition on pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal nutrition</th>
<th>Number of pregnant rats</th>
<th>Number of rats that delivered</th>
<th>Number of rats aborted</th>
<th>Total number of pups alive at birth</th>
<th>Total number of dead pups at birth</th>
<th>Litter size</th>
<th>Average pups weight on day 1 (alive) (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal normal nutrition</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal undernutrition</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.5 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.001 (mean ± SD)

Fig. 2 Maternal body weight changes during pregnancy of the normal nutrition (mNN, n = 8) and undernutrition (mUN, n = 9) groups. Undernutrition of rats during pregnancy (i.e., 50% of the daily food intake of the mNN group) resulted in reduced maternal weights during pregnancy. Body weights (% of day 16) of the mUN group were significantly lighter those in the mNN group from day 16 to day 21 (two-way ANOVA; p < 0.01 at day 16, and p < 0.001 at day 21). * p < 0.01 and ** p < 0.001.

Fig. 3 Body weight changes during the neonatal development of the normal nutrition (control-NN, n = 26; IUGR-NN, n = 25) and hypernutrition (control-HN, n = 20; IUGR-HN, n = 20) offspring. Body weights of pups were significantly different in all four groups from postnatal day 4 to day 28. Eight pups were randomly selected from each group on day 28 for Experiment 1. Body weights on day 32 were significantly different in all four groups, with the exception of the hypernutrition groups. * p < 0.05, all four groups; ** p < 0.05, control-NN vs. IUGR-NN.
Table 2  Body, ovarian, and uterine weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control-HN (n = 8)</th>
<th>Control-NN (n = 8)</th>
<th>IUGR-HN (n = 8)</th>
<th>IUGR-NN (n = 8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>102.1 ± 4.2 ( ^{a} )</td>
<td>85.8 ± 5.4 ( ^{c} )</td>
<td>96.2 ± 5.7 ( ^{b} )</td>
<td>80.3 ± 2.6 ( ^{d} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian weight (mg)</td>
<td>36.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>39.1 ± 8.1</td>
<td>37.3 ± 6.0</td>
<td>40.9 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine weight (mg)</td>
<td>68.1 ± 20.4</td>
<td>64.6 ± 13.8</td>
<td>54.8 ± 7.0</td>
<td>50.4 ± 6.5 ( ^{*} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HN, hypernutrition; NN, normal nutrition
Different letters \( (a-d) \) show significant difference \( (p < 0.05) \) (mean ± SD).
\( ^{*} p < 0.05 \), IUGR-NN vs. the other groups

Fig. 4  Serum hormone and hypothalamic mRNA expression levels on postnatal day 28 \( (n = 8 \text{ per group}) \)
Relative mRNA expression levels of Kiss1, Kiss1r, and GnRH were calculated by dividing by β-actin mRNA expression.
HN, hypernutrition; NN, normal nutrition  Data are presented as mean ± SD. \( ^{*} p < 0.05, \ ^{**} p < 0.001 \)
Discussion

It is well known that perinatal undernutrition disturbs the development of reproductive function. In the present study, we demonstrated that maternal undernutrition induces a delay in the onset of puberty in female offspring, and that this delay in the onset of puberty cannot be rescued with postnatal hypernutrition. Maternal undernutrition resulted in reduced maternal weights during pregnancy, as well as offspring body weights at birth. Postnatal hypernutrition significantly enhanced offspring growth in comparison to offspring exposed to normal postnatal nutritional conditions, regardless of their prenatal nutritional conditions. Furthermore, the ages of VO were delayed in the prenatal undernutrition groups, regardless of their postnatal nutritional conditions. The age of VO was not clearly correlate with serum levels of LH and leptin, or mRNA expressions of Kiss1 and Kiss1r. In fact, these parameters were similar in the IUGR-HN and control-NN groups. These similarities may be due to the catch-up growth of the IUGR-HN offspring to the control-NN offspring. However, the increases in leptin levels, which stimulate hypothalamic Kiss1 mRNA expression, did not rescue the delay of VO in IUGR-HN offspring.

It has been shown that IUGR rats that demonstrated rapid catch up growth in the early development period showed hypothalamic leptin resistance and increased body weight and body fat [34] and leptin resistance induces hypothalamic infertility, which is caused by the suppression of GnRH mRNA expression [36]. It has been shown that Kiss1 mRNA expression is regulated by leptin, and that the decreased action of leptin suppresses Kiss1 mRNA expression in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Age of vaginal opening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of VO (day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight at VO (g)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters (a-b) show significant difference ($p < 0.05$) (mean ± SD).
hypothalamus [31, 37]. We previously reported that prenatal undernutrition-induced IUGR rats demonstrate rapid catch-up growth in the early developmental period, and have increased leptin resistance in the hypothalamic kisspeptin system, which may lead to decreases in Kiss1 mRNA expression and delays in VO [35]. In the present study, we assessed the effects of postnatal hypernutrition on prenatal undernutrition-induced IUGR rats. IUGR-NN rats did not demonstrate a similar catch-up growth phase found in our previous study [35] and the leptin resistance in the kisspeptin systems was not seen in this study. This discrepancy in offspring growth may be due to potential differences in experimental conditions. Interestingly, despite the fact that IUGR rats did not undergo catch-up growth and showed adequate serum leptin levels and Kiss1 mRNA expressions, they still demonstrated a delay in VO. Taken together, these findings suggest that prenatal undernutrition-induced IUGR rats demonstrate delayed VO, regardless of their postnatal nutritional conditions and/or achievement of catch-up growth.

Prenatal undernutrition may alter endocrine programming relating to the onset of puberty. Additionally, ovarian function appears to be disturbed in low birth weight or IUGR animal models and humans. Prenatal undernutrition-induced IUGR rats have a smaller number of primordial, growing and antral follicles in their ovaries [38]. In a pig model, the number of primary follicles was reduced and secondary follicles were absent in the ovaries of IUGR runts [39]. Thus, prenatal undernutrition appears to disturb ovarian function, and may be a contributing factor in the delay of VO in IUGR pups. Additionally, postnatal nutrition (i.e., maternal protein- and energy-restricted diets during lactation) has been shown to also reduce the number of primordial follicles, Graafian follicles and the corpus luteum, as well as the number of ovarian estrogen and androgen receptors in rat offspring [40]. Furthermore, these ovaries demonstrate a decrease in the mRNA expression of the follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor, LHR, aromatase, leptin and leptin receptor [41]. Although postnatal undernutrition appears to interfere with ovarian function, postnatal hypernutrition does not appear to induce any beneficial effects on ovarian function over normal postnatal nutrition. In the present study, despite that control-HN offspring were heavier than control-NN offspring, the age of VO was similar.

A number of studies demonstrated that the onset of puberty or menarche was 4-18 months earlier in girls born small for gestational age (SGA) than those appropriate for gestational age (AGA) in Sweden, India, Spain and Italy [42-45]. Additionally, adolescent girls born SGA demonstrated a lower ovulation rate [46], reduced uterine and ovarian size, and ovarian hyporesponsiveness to FSH [47, 48]. In IUGR fetuses, ovarian development, as well as the development of other organs, was impaired, and these fetuses also had a significantly lower percentage of primordial follicles in their ovaries compared to matched controls [14]. Studies on humans have found that girls who experienced prenatal undernutrition had an earlier onset of puberty. These findings are contrary to those of animal studies, in which female pups that experienced prenatal undernutrition demonstrated a delay in the onset of puberty. Regardless, these findings suggest that prenatal undernutrition or fetal growth retardation disturbs ovarian development, and thereby may increase the risk of fertility problems later in adult life for females.

In conclusion, maternal undernutrition induces a delay in puberty in female rat offspring that cannot be rescued by hypernutrition after the birth. Thus, adequate maternal nutrition during pregnancy appears to be extremely important for the health of offspring, not only for proper metabolic function, but also for reproductive function.
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