Lymphocytic Hypophysitis Presenting with Diabetes Insipidus: Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract. Lymphocytic adenohypophysitis is an autoimmune disorder of the anterior pituitary gland which usually occurs in a woman in the postpartum period. It has been considered that lymphocytic hypophysitis is confined to the adenohypophysis sparing the neurohypophysis, and that diabetes insipidus is not a clinical feature of the disorder. Here we report the case of a 50-year-old woman with lymphocytic hypophysitis which presented with diabetes insipidus. MRI indicated homogenous swelling of the whole pituitary gland, loss of the normal high intensity of the posterior pituitary, and thickening of the pituitary stalk. A biopsied specimen of the pituitary revealed diffuse lymphocytic infiltration. The diabetes insipidus was controlled by the administration of DDAVP. The anterior pituitary function was not greatly damaged, and no hormonal replacement therapy was necessary. We suggest that this case represents a variant of lymphocytic adenohypophysitis and/or lymphocytic infundibuloneurohypophysitis, in which the chronic inflammatory process involves the infundibulum, adenohypophysis and neurohypophysis.
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LYMPHOCYTIC adenohypophysitis is being reported with increasing frequency as a cause of hypopituitarism, mainly in women during late pregnancy or during the postpartum period [1]. It has been considered that the inflammatory process is confined to the adenohypophysis, sparing the neurohypophysis [1]. Here we report a case of a 50-year-old woman with lymphocytic hypophysitis which presented with diabetes insipidus. A review of the pertinent literature is presented, and the possibility of a new syndrome characterized by lymphocytic infiltration of the adenohypophysis, neurohypophysis and infundibulum is discussed.

Case Report

A 50-year-old woman had been followed up by one of us (T.K.) for six years because of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. She suddenly developed polydipsia and polyuria up to 6 l/day, although her blood glucose levels were unchanged. She also complained of a headache. Her menstruation was regular. Her urinary and plasma osmolality was 101 and 285 mOsm/kg with oral free water intake, respectively. Peak urinary osmolality after water deprivation was 173 mOsm/kg and showed a further increase (522 mOsm/kg) af-
The peak plasma antidiuretic hormone (ADH) level, measured by specific radioimmunoassay (RIA), was very low (0.15 pg/ml), when plasma osmolarity was 296 mOsm/kg. These findings indicated a diagnosis of central complete diabetes insipidus. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed homogenous swelling of the whole pituitary gland on a T1-weighted image. It also indicated thickening of the pituitary stalk and the absence of a high intensity signal of the neurohypophysis (Fig. 1). Plasma GH and cortisol were measured by specific RIA with commercially available kits, and plasma ACTH, LH, FSH, PRL and TSH levels were determined by immunoradiometric assays. Their levels were normal, except for only slightly increased PRL (26.8 ng/ml). Provocative tests of anterior pituitary functions revealed that they were not greatly impaired, except for blunted GH response and TSH response to hypoglycemia and to TRH, respectively (Fig. 2). GH showed a normal response to GRF, indicating that the lesion lay in the hypothalamus, including the pituitary stalk, which was in line with the increase in PRL. Serum antipituitary antibodies were measured with rat pituitary cytoplasmic antigens (pituitary cell antibodies; PCA) or pituitary cell surface antigens from GH3 cells and/or AtT-20 cells (pituitary cell surface antibodies; PCSA) [2]. Both PCA and PCSA were negative. The antinuclear factor and antithyroglobulin and antithyroid microsomal antibodies were also negative, but the rheumatoid factor was positive. Although metastatic carcinoma to the pituitary is frequently seen with diabetes insipidus [3], there was no finding suggesting primary malignancy. Her visual field and visual acuity were normal. The patient was given intranasal DDAVP (2.5 μg/day), but she did not require any other hormone replacement therapy. Biopsy of the sellar lesion was performed through a transsphenoidal approach. The resected specimen revealed diffuse infiltration of mainly mature lymphocytes without any evidence of sarcoidosis, tuberculosis, histiocytosis X, plasmacytoma or giant-cell granuloma (Fig. 3). The patient has been followed up only with an oral hypoglycemic agent and DDAVP. Her HLA typing was A2 A9 (A24) B5 (BW52) B16 (B39) CW7 DR2 (DRW8) DQW1 DQW3.

Discussion

Lymphocytic adenohypophysitis is characterized by chronic inflammation and destruction of the anterior pituitary [4]. It is an autoimmune disorder and frequently associated with Hashimoto's thyroiditis, adrenalitis, ovarian failure, atrophic gastritis and pernicious anemia [1, 4]. Lymphocytic adenohypophysitis is usually accompanied with a headache, visual disturbance and symptoms of hypopituitarism. Diabetes insipidus has not been considered to be a presenting symptom of lymphocytic adenohypophysitis, and none of the 30 patients in a recent review had diabetes insipidus [4]. However, with the increasing recognition of the disorder, four cases of lymphocytic adenohypophysitis associated with diabetes insipidus have been reported very recently in the English literature [5, 6, 7], in one of which diabetes insipidus was transient [5]. Table 1 summarizes the reported cases of lymphocytic adenohypophysitis presenting with diabetes insipidus. Three of the cases were female patients whose age ranged from 34 to 49 years. An MRI study was performed in only one of the cases, which showed diffuse pituitary enlargement and infundibular thickening [7], as in the present case.

The pathogenesis of diabetes insipidus in these cases with lymphocytic adenohypophysitis may
possibly be explained in one of the following two ways: First, the neurohypophysis or hypothalamus may be compressed by a mass in the adenohypophysis, causing a decrease in ADH secretion, as suggested in a recently reported case [7]. However, that possibility seems remote in this case, considering that the mass was without suprasellar extension. Second, an autoimmune process may not be restricted to the adenohypophysis only, as hitherto recognized, but may also involve the neurohypophysis and pituitary stalk. It was earlier reported that a case with diabetes insipidus revealed

Fig. 2. Provocative tests of anterior pituitary functions. Insulin (0.2 U/kg), GRF, TRH and LHRH were injected intravenously as a bolus injection.
chronic inflammation of the neurohypophysis [8]. Imura et al. have very recently indicated that lymphocytic infundibulo-neurohypophysitis is a common cause of central diabetes insipidus [9]. It showed characteristic MRI findings, such as thickening of the infundibulum and/or pituitary stalk and the absence of a high intensity signal of the neurohypophysis, as in the present case [9]. Another group has reported two cases presenting with hypopituitarism and diabetes insipidus with histological findings of pituitary necrosis [10]. They propose that they be called “necrotizing infundibulo-hypophysitis”. The present case differs from lymphocytic infundibulo-neurohypophysitis: it was not confined to the infundibulum and posterior pituitary, but involved the anterior

Table 1. Summary of cases of lymphocytic adenohypophysitis presenting with diabetic insipidus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Anterior pituitary dysfunctions*</th>
<th>Other symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vanneste et al.[5]</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TSH, PRL, ACTH</td>
<td>headache, fatigue, galactorrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDermott et al.[6]</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TSH, ACTH, GH</td>
<td>visual disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nussbaum et al.[7]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>visual disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nussbaum et al.[7]</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>amenorrhea, headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The present case</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TSH, PRL, (GH)</td>
<td>headache</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Anterior pituitary hormones were described of which the basal levels were low (except for that of PRL, which was high), and/or showed low response to provocative tests.

Fig. 3. A photomicrograph of the pituitary specimen biopsied (hematoxylin-eosin; original magnification X 200). It shows remarkable infiltration mainly of lymphocytes and a small number of plasma cells.
pituitary, showing enlargement of the whole pituitary gland as well as thickening of the pituitary stalk. It was also different from "necrotizing infundibulo-hypophysitis" [10] in its histological findings, although it shared clinical and MRI findings with them. Taken together, it appears that the present case is a variant of lymphocytic infundibulo-neurohypophysitis and/or lymphocytic adenohypophysitis which involves the adenohypophysis as well as the infundibulum and neurohypophysis. In this context, it is to be noted that a case with lymphocytic hypophysitis which originated in the infundibular stalk has been reported [1]. It is also possible that "necrotizing infundibulo-hypophysitis" proposed by Ahmed et al. [10] may represent an end-stage condition of such a disorder. Although the term lymphocytic adenohypophysitis has been interchangeably used with that of lymphocytic hypophysitis [5, 11], the latter may be misleading considering these possibilities. It has been of interest whether steroid therapy may have beneficial effects on the clinical course of lymphocytic hypophysitis, probably depending on its stage [4, 11]. The steroid therapy has not been tried in our case because of the coexisting diabetes mellitus.

In summary we report a case with diabetes insipidus and a mild degree of hypopituitarism with characteristic MRI findings of pituitary enlargement, swelling of the pituitary stalk, and the absence of a high signal of the neurohypophysis. Its histology showed lymphocytic infiltration of the pituitary. We suggest that this case represents a variant of lymphocytic adenohypophysitis and/or lymphocytic infundibulo-neurohypophysitis.
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