THE INHIBITORY EFFECT OF PACLITAXEL ON (KV2.1) K⁺ CURRENT IN H9c2 CELLS
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Abstract: Using the whole-cell voltage clamp technique, we investigated the effect of paclitaxel, an anticancer agent which promotes microtubule formation, on K⁺ current in H9c2 cells originated from rat embryonic cardiac myocytes. Paclitaxel inhibited Kv2.1 voltage-dependent K⁺ current (IKur) with ultra-rapidly activating and slowly inactivating kinetics in a concentration-dependent manner. The inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on IKur was time-dependent and more marked at 200 ms after the onset than at the beginning of the depolarizing pulse. The IC₅₀ value of paclitaxel was 1.1 µM at 200 ms. The time-dependent inhibition suggests that paclitaxel might be an open channel blocker of Kv2.1. This inhibition of Kv2.1 may be involved in the adverse effects of paclitaxel on cardiac and neuronal cells.
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INTRODUCTION

Paclitaxel (Fig. 1) is an alkaloid ester derived from Pacific yew (Taxus brevifolia)¹ and is used for chemotherapy of various cancers including ovarian, gastric, and lung cancers (for review see²). Moreover, paclitaxel binds to microtubules with a high affinity to enhance tubulin polymerization³,⁴, thus preventing microtubule depolymerization and consequently inhibits cancer cell division⁵,⁶. It has been shown in HeLa cells that paclitaxel blocks the G2/M phase cell cycle⁷,⁸.

On the other hand, paclitaxel has serious adverse reactions including hypersensitivity, neutropenia, peripheral neuropathy, and cardiac conduction disorder⁹. Peripheral neuropathy and cardiac conduction disorder are two especially serious adverse effects of paclitaxel, which reduce the quality of life and the activity of daily life of patients and make chemotherapy difficult to continue. In neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, an inositol-3-phosphate–mediated reduction in Ca²⁺ signaling was suggested to be involved in paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy⁹. In rat cardiac ventricular cells, taxol was found to reduce the amplitude of contraction and the Ca²⁺ transient without a significant change in the L-type Ca²⁺ channel¹⁰,¹¹. However, the detailed mechanism of the adverse effects of paclitaxel is unknown.

In order to clarify this mechanism, we examined the effects of paclitaxel on the K⁺ current in H9c2 cells. H9c2 cells are derived from embryonic rat heart tissue and often used as a model of cardiac myocytes¹². Also, H9c2 cells have IKur, an ultra-rapidly activating and slowly inactivating voltage-gated delayed rectifier K⁺ current, which is attributed to the Kv2.1 gene¹³-¹⁶. This current is sensitive to various drugs, including thiopental¹⁵ and isoliquiritigenin¹⁷, a component of licorice.

Kv2.1 is ubiquitously expressed in the rat brain and peripheral neurons¹⁸ as well as in heart, and its protein expression is known to occur in human atria¹⁹. We therefore tested the effect of paclitaxel on IKur in H9c2 cells. In this report, we demonstrate that an acute administration of paclitaxel inhibits IKur in H9c2 cells in a unique, time-dependent manner.

¹Present address: Naoko Kitamura, Department of Pharmaceutical Science, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, 980-8574, Japan
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

H9c2 cells (purchased from Dainippon Sumitomo Seiyaku, Osaka) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum in a humidified atmosphere of 10% CO2 and 90% air at 37°C. For electrophysiological recordings, cells were displaced from the culture dish by using Ca2+ free EDTA-containing Ringer solution. The cells were used after 3-5 days of culture.

Patch-clamp recording

Membrane currents were recorded by the whole-cell patch clamp method20). H9c2 cells were placed in a recording chamber attached to the stage of an inverted microscope (Model 80121, Nikon, Tokyo). The cells were superfused with Tyrode’s solution at a rate of 1 mL/min. The temperature of the bath solution was maintained at 35 ± 0.5°C with a water jacket. Patch pipettes were forged from 1.5 mm diameter glass capillaries (Nihon Rikagaku Kikai, Tokyo) with a microelectrode puller (pp-83, Narishige, Tokyo). The pipette resistance was 3–6 MΩ when filled with the pipette solution. The solution contained (in mM): 120 KOH, 20 KCl, 3 MgCl2, 5 MgATP, 20 HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine-ethanesulfonic acid), 10 EGTA (ethylene glycol bis(2-aminoethyl)ether)tetraacetic acid), and 50 aspartic acid (pH 7.2 with aspartic acid). Tyrode’s solution contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1.8 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 0.33 NaH2PO4, 5.5 glucose, and 5 HEPES (pH 7.4 with NaOH). The electrode was connected to a patch-clamp amplifier (CEZ-2400, Nihon Kohden, Tokyo). Recording signals were filtered at a 2.5-kHz bandwidth, and the series resistance was compensated. Membrane currents were recorded using pCLAMP8 software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.). Depolarizing voltage pulses of 200-ms or 3-s duration were given with 10-mV steps every 10 s from the holding potential (HP) of −60 mV. Current signals were stored online and analyzed by a computer (GX150, OPTIPLEX, Dell, TX, U.S.A.).

Drugs

Paclitaxel purchased from Sigma was made up as a 100-mM stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), which was diluted in Tyrode’s solution immediately prior to use. The final concentration of DMSO was <0.1%, which did not affect the K+ current. All the chemicals were of the highest grade available.

Data analysis

All the values were presented as means ± SE of the mean (number of experiments). The percentage inhibition of K+ current was measured at 60 mV at various concentrations of paclitaxel. The IC50 (concentration for 50% inhibition) and the Hill coefficient values were obtained using Origin ver. 6J (Microcal Software, Inc., U.S.A.). Statistical significance was evaluated using one-way ANOVA and Dunnett T3 test as a post ad hoc test for comparison between the currents before and after paclitaxel application (Fig. 4, control vs paclitaxel). Student’s paired T test was used between the peak current and the current at 200 ms (see Fig. 4, Peak vs 200 ms), and for comparison between the half time of the current before and after paclitaxel application (Fig. 6).

RESULTS

Inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on K+ current

In the H9c2 cells, an outward K+ current was elicited by a series of depolarizing square voltage pulses with a 200 ms duration from −60 mV HP to a voltage range between −50 mV to 60 mV (Fig. 2A). Perfusing 100 µM paclitaxel for 5 min suppressed this K+ outward current dramatically (Fig. 2B). The inhibitory effect of paclitaxel was irreversible at least during 10 min of washing off the drug. Fig. 3 illustrates the current–voltage relationships measured at the peak (A) and at the end of 200-ms (B) voltage pulses of control (open symbols) and in the presence of paclitaxel (filled symbols). The data from 5 cells were averaged and normalized in order to compare the effect of paclitaxel between the peak and at 200 ms of IKur
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(Fig. 3). Paclitaxel at 100 µM inhibited the peak current by 38.3 ± 1.9% (n = 5), whereas the current at 200 ms by 67.2 ± 3.9% (n = 5) at 60 mV. Thus, the current at 200 ms was inhibited more extensively than that at the peak. These results suggest that the suppression of IKur by paclitaxel was time dependent.

Concentration-dependent effect of paclitaxel on IKur

To obtain a concentration-inhibition curve of paclitaxel, various concentrations of paclitaxel were applied, and the current magnitude was measured at 60 mV at the peak and at the end of the 200-ms pulses (Fig. 4). The percentage inhibition of the K⁺ current was calculated with respect to each control value. We employed paclitaxel concentrations from 0.01 µM, which is within the therapeutic range, to a high concentration of 100 µM. Paclitaxel inhibition was concentration dependent and significant inhibition was detected at 1 µM and 100 µM paclitaxel compared to the control current before application of paclitaxel. The inhibition of the current at the peak almost reached the maximum effect of 10-30% of the control in the concentration range up to 100 µM paclitaxel. However, the current at 200 ms was reduced to about 60% by 100 µM paclitaxel, and the effect did not appear to have reached the maximum. Therefore, paclitaxel suppressed IKur at 200

---

**Fig. 2.** Inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on IKur of an H9c2 cell. A. Control currents in response to depolarizing pulses of 200-ms durations. The superimposed voltage pulses are illustrated below the currents. B. Currents recorded in the presence of 100 µM paclitaxel.

**Fig. 3.** I-V curves of peak (A) and at the end of 200 ms (B) in the absence (open symbols) and presence (filled symbols) of 100 µM paclitaxel (PTXL). The currents were averaged and normalized in 5 cells.
ms significantly stronger than that at the peak at four out of five concentrations we tested. The IC$_{50}$ value of paclitaxel at 200 ms was approximately 1.1 µM.

**Voltage dependency of the inhibitory effect of paclitaxel**

We examined whether the inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on IKur was voltage dependent. Using the data in Fig. 3, the ratio of the current between in the presence (I$_{PTXL}$) and in the absence (I$_{control}$) of 100 µM paclitaxel was calculated both at the peak and at 200 ms. The average values of the ratio were plotted in the current ratio voltage curves in Fig. 5, which indicated that the inhibitory effect of paclitaxel was not voltage dependent.

**Time-dependent effect of paclitaxel on IKur**

The time-dependent inhibitory effect of paclitaxel was evaluated with a longer depolarization pulse of a 3-s duration because the inactivation of IKur was so slow that it had not yet reached a steady state at 200 ms. In this series of experiment, a low concentration of 0.1 µM paclitaxel was employed because it did not dramatically change the peak IKur, although it should have disclosed the time-dependent change clearly during the inactivation. To demonstrate the effect of 0.1 µM paclitaxel, we superimposed IKur in response to a depolarizing pulse of 3-s duration in the absence and presence of paclitaxel in a H9c2 cell. The pulse was depolarised from −60 mV HP to +60 mV. In the representative data in Fig. 6, the half-time of inactivation of the current is 378 ms in the absence of paclitaxel in contrast to 266 ms in the presence of paclitaxel.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found that paclitaxel inhibited IKur in H9c2 cells irreversibly and in a concentration-dependent manner. The highest concentration of paclitaxel we used was 100 µM, which inhibited the peak IKur at 60 mV to 67.3 ± 3.9% (n = 5) of the control, whereas it inhibited IKur at 200-ms quasi-steady state to 38.3 ± 1.9% (n = 5) of the control. Therefore, paclitaxel inhibited IKur in a time-dependent manner, and the inhibition was stronger at 200 ms than that at the peak. The IC50 value of paclitaxel at 200 ms was 1.1 µM. We could not detect the IC50 value at the peak IKur because the inhibition of paclitaxel did not reach the maximum at 100 µM. The minimum concentration of paclitaxel that started to inhibit IKur at 200 ms was 0.1 µM (p < 0.05, see Fig. 4). This concentration was within the therapeutic range of paclitaxel, 0.01 to 0.1 µM. Therefore, there is a possibility that paclitaxel inhibits IKur at clinical concentrations.

Paclitaxel at 0.1 µM did not significantly reduce IKur peak but shortened the inactivation process of IKur from control T1/2 447 ± 27 ms to 321 ± 40 ms (n = 6, p < 0.05). The most common effect of paclitaxel is a transient asymptomatic bradycardia, which was noted in 29% of the patients participating in one trial25. More serious bradyarrhythmias, including Mobitz type I (Wenckebach’s syndrome), Mobitz type II, and third-degree heart block, have been noted in 0.1% of patients enrolled in trials that required continuous cardiac monitoring2). In the human heart, Kv2.1 and Kv1.5 are expressed as IKur genes in atria21,22. In H9c2 cells, the expression of Kv2.1 mRNA was detected but not that of Kv1.526. IKur is activated during the plateau phase of the action potential and contributes to repolarization. If the IKur peak current is inhibited and the decay time is shortened, the duration of the action potential is prolonged, so that the absolute refractory phase of the action potential may be extended. This may cause the delay of conduction in the atrium and Purkinje fibers. In addition, triggered activity such as early afterdepolarization may occur during the prolonged repolarization phase. These may induce cardiac arrhythmia and conduction disorder as a result of an effect of paclitaxel.

In human, Kv2.1 was found not only in the heart but also in the pulmonary artery25. Inhibition of Kv2.1 in rat pulmonary artery led to depolarization of vascular smooth muscle24. Depolarization of the pulmonary blood vessel at the atrial boundary region might also trigger arrhythmia. A significant focal stenosis was reported in the coronary artery treated with a paclitaxel-eluting stent25. There may be a relation between the depolarization of arterial smooth muscle by paclitaxel and facilitating arterial stenosis.

Antiarrhythmic drugs, such as quinidine and disopyramide, also suppress IKur26,27. Suzuki et al.15 found that thiopental suppressed Ikur in H9c2 cells. However, these IKur inhibitors differ from paclitaxel because their inhibitory action was not time dependent. Therefore, the site of action must be different between paclitaxel and the other inhibitors including thiopental. Kv2.1 is a voltage-gated potassium (Kv) channel α subunit expressed in mammalian heart, brain, and peripheral nervous system. Multiple modulatory protein molecules of Kv2.1 are known, including MinK (or KCNE1), MinK-related peptides (MiRPs or KCNE2). Modulatory subunits for Kv2.1 also include electrically silent Kv α subunits such as Kv5.1, Kv6.1, Kv8.1, Kv9.1-9.3, and KChAP ancillary subunit28. Therefore, there is a possibility that paclitaxel binds not with the Kv2.1 α subunit but with those modifying molecules, and changes the kinetics of IKur in H9c2 cells. There is also a possibility that paclitaxel is an open channel blocker of Kv2.1.

Recently, T-type Ca2+ channel inhibitors were found to inhibit paclitaxel-evoked neuropathy29. T-type Ca2+ channels are involved in the action potential of the sinoatrial pacemaker cells in the heart30. If T-type Ca2+ channels are accelerated by paclitaxel, the sinus rhythm may become tachycardia rather than bradycardia. The most common cardiac effect of paclitaxel is bradyarrhythmia; therefore, T-type Ca2+ channels may not be a major target of paclitaxel. However, various other disturbances related with paclitaxel were described including myocardial infarction, cardiac ischemia, atrial arrhythmia, and ventricular tachycardia31. The most serious toxicity may be an increased frequency of cardiac toxicity of anthracyclines including doxorubicin in patients treated with paclitaxel25. Decrease in Ca2+ release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum by paclitaxel may be related to the increment of anthracycline toxicity during the combination chemotherapy31.

Kv2.1 is expressed not only in the heart but also in the central and peripheral nervous system, blood vessels, and pancreatic beta cells32-33. Paclitaxel may also show an inhibitory effect on Kv2.1 in those tissues. Kv2.1 suppression increases firing frequency in neurons and in pancreatic beta cells34-36. However, it is unknown what functional change may
occur in those tissues as a result.

We conclude that paclitaxel acutely suppresses Kv2.1 at a concentration higher than 0.1 µM, which might induce cardiac conduction and peripheral nerve disorders. Further examination is necessary to clarify the effect and its mechanism.
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