The Effect of Modified Atmosphere and Vacuum Packaging on the Physicochemical, Microbiological, Sensory and Textural Properties of Crottin de Chavignol Cheese
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In this work, it was aimed to determine the effect of packaging technique on some quality properties of “Crottin de Chavignol” type goat cheese packaged under vacuum (VP) and modified atmosphere (MAP) (%20 CO₂ + %80 N₂) during storage at + 4°C and also to compare these two packaging techniques to be able determine the more suitable packaging technique for “Crottin de Chavignol” type goat cheese. Sampling was carried out for physicochemical, microbiological, sensory and textural analyses at 1st day, 3rd, 6th, 9th, 12th and 15th weeks. Control cheeses which were packaged under atmospheric air were unacceptable sensorially at 3rd week due to their visible mould growth. Both modified atmosphere and vacuum packaging had favourable effects on physicochemical properties, microbiological properties, color, sensory attributes of Crottin de Chavignol type goat cheese to longer the shelf life of cheese. According to the results of our study, at 15th weeks mould growth increased to 2.3 log cfu/g and 3.8 log cfu/g at MAP and VP cheese samples respectively whereas the mould growth was > 1 log cfu/g at 1 day of storage. And the taste scores of VP cheese samples were below acceptability limit at 15th weeks because of formation of oxidized flavour. The packaging type whether MAP or VP didn’t significantly affected the pH, dry matter, color and textural properties except hardness, chewiness and gumminess. Hardness, chewiness and gumminess values of VP cheeses were higher than MAP cheese samples.
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Introduction

The production of goat milk is increasing in the world especially in developed countries. Goat milk can be more easily digested than other milks owing to its smaller fat globules and more homogeneous distribution of fats and proteins (Oztürk and Metin 1996; Haenlein 1995). Goat milk is also important for nutrition physiology, because of lower cholesterol content and higher essential fatty acids content, especially linoleic and linolenic acid (Haenlein 2004; Tamagnini et al. 2006). But goat milk cannot be evaluated as drinking milk because of its distinctive “goaty flavour”, which arises from the greater amounts of lipid fractions and free fatty acids having low perception limits, like 4-methyl-octanoic acid and 4-ethyl-octanoic acid (Gaborit et al. 2001; Jaubert 1997; Skjevdal 1979) and caproic acid (Alichanidis and Polychroniadou 1995). However, processing of this milk into a goat cheese makes it possible to mask the characteristic goat flavour. Due to its specific technology, goat cheeses have a good reputation for being “exclusive” cheeses because of its special flavour. In addition, many authors stated that special goat milk cheese production was also economically profitable (Gomez et al. 1989; Requena et al. 1992; Martin-Hernandez et al. 1992; Carballo et al. 1994).

One of the most important type goat cheeses is “Crottin de Chavignol”. It is a type of lactic goat cheese especially produced in the province of Berry in the central region of France and it is one of the most important goat cheeses in.
France (Hosono and Shirota 1994). Although the shelf-life of unpackaged fresh cheeses changes according to the type of cheese, storage temperature and application of heat process, generally they are not more than 10-12 days at refrigerator temperature because of the effect of O₂ on microbial growth and sensory characteristics. This result is also valid for goat cheese and it was stated by various authors (Dermiki et al., 2008; Balkir and Ozturk 2003; Gonzales-Fandos et al. 2000). Crottin de Chavignol is a type of soft cheese and can be consumed fresh. Since it is a special type of goat cheese and has a high economic value, it is important to determine its properties under different packaging conditions to be able to increase the shelf life of cheese.

In this work, it was aimed to determine some important properties of fresh “Crottin de Chavignol” type goat cheese under vacuum packaging (VP) and modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and also to compare these two packaging techniques to be able determine the more suitable packaging technique for “Crottin de Chavignol” type goat cheese. The potential of MAP and VP for extending the shelf-life of cheese has been demonstrated by various authors. The effect of VP and MAP on the shelf life of Quesillo cheese (Oliszewski et al. 2007), Provolone cheese (Favati et al. 2007), Greek whey cheese (Papaioannou et al. 2007), Parmigiano Reggiano cheese (Romani et al. 2002), Cameros cheese (a fresh goat cheese) (Gonzales-Fandos et al. 2000), Cottage cheese (Mannheim and Soffer 1996) was studied. To our knowledge no data are available in the scientific literature concerning the effect of MAP and VP on the properties of “Crottin de Chavignol” type goat cheese.

Materials and Methods

Cheese-making procedure Cheese production was carried out at the pilot plant of a Dairy Products Incompany in Izmir, Türkiye. The manufacturing procedure of Crottin de Chavignol type lactic goat cheese is seen from Fig. 1 and as follows. The filtered milk was pasteurized at 65°C for 30 minutes and cooled rapidly to 25°C. Then the milk was inoculated with 1% of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis + Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris. The inoculated milk was left to prematuration until the titratable acidity reached 8.5°SH and 6.2 pH and then 5 mL/100 l liquid rennet was added. The coagulation was completed when mean titratable acidity of the whey reached 25°SH and curd pH decreased to 4.25-4.30. The coagulum was drained at 25°C until 28% dry matter content was attained. The processing parameters were as follows: the curd was conditioned at 25°C for 2 h in moulds with a diameter of 7 cm and a height of 5 cm. The cheese surfaces were dry salted with 2% of halite after demoulding. The salted cheeses were desiccated at 14°C and 65-70% relative humidity for 2 days.

Packaging of goat cheese Goat cheese samples were packaged by VP and MAP. It is used in VP a Polyamide/Low density polyethylene (PA/LDPE) film in 100 μm thickness. In MAP, Polypropylene/Ethylene vinyl alcohol/Low density poly ethylene (PP/EVOH/LDPE) film combination was used for sealing top and Polyethylene terephthalate/ Ethylene vinyl alcohol/Low density poly ethylene (PET/EVOH/LDPE) film combination was used as a bottom tray.

It was used for MAP application %20 CO₂ + %80 N₂, as it is the combination of soft cheese. And as a control, ambient air packaging (AP) is also applied by using the same packaging materials in MAP application.

![Diagram of Cheese-making procedure](image)

Fig. 1. Cheese-making procedure.
Experimental design  After production of two batches of experimental cheeses, chemical compositions of cheeses were determined and the batch was divided into three groups for VP, MAP and AP. These groups were stored at +4°C for 15 weeks. Chemical, microbiological, rheological and sensory analyses, head space analyses and color measurement were done in 1<sup>st</sup> day, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> weeks periodically.

Measurement of oxygen and water vapor transmission rates of packages  Oxygen transmission rates of packaging materials were determined with Mocon Ox-Tran 2/21 (Minneapolis, Minnesota USA) equipment according to the ASTM D – 3985 method at 23°C and % 0 RH (ASTM D 3985, 1995).

Water vapor transmission rates of packaging materials were determined with Mocon Permatran-W 3/33 (Minneapolis, Minnesota USA) equipment according to the ASTM F – 1249 method at 38°C and % 90 RH (ASTM F 1249, 1990).

Headspace analysis  The analyses were carried out with PBI Dansensor TGC-2 (Barcelona, Spain) head space analyzer by immersing the needle in to the head space of the packages.

Chemical analysis  The dry matter content of cheese was determined gravimetrically (FIL-IDF, 1982). The pH of cheese was evaluated with WTW pH320 model microprocessor pH meter (Weilheim-Germany) by immersing the electrode in to the cheese. Fat content was determined according to Van Gulik method by using Funke-Gerber butyrometer (TSE, 1978). Salt content of cheese samples was also determined by Mohr method (TSE, 1989). Fat and salt contents were expressed in dry matter basis. Total nitrogen was determined by Kjeldahl method (AOAC 1990) and protein content was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen content by 6.38. Ash content was determined gravimetrically (AOAC, 1995).

Microbiological analyses  10 g of cheese samples were homogenized with 90 mL of a sterile %0.1 (w/v) peptone water and % 0.1 (w/v) Tween 80 solution in a Stomacher 400, Lab-Blender (Seward, London, UK) for 2 min. Decimal dilutions were prepared in 0.1% sterile peptone water (Francis and Keith, 2001).

Total aerobic mesophilic bacteria (TAMB); were determined in Plate Count Agar (PCA, Oxoid) with incubation at 37°C for 48 h. (Anon., 2000).

Yeasts and moulds; were enumerated on Potato Dextrose Agar acidified with 10% lactic acid (PDA, Oxoid) at pH 3.5, 22°C for 3 and 5 days respectively (TSE, 1996).

Color measurement  Color analyses were carried out using Hunter Lab CFLX-45-2 (Reston, Virginia- USA) by assessing L*, a*, b* values . The instrument was calibrated with black and white standard plates before analysis. The reported data are the mean of four determinations.

Texture profile analyses (TPA)  Textural properties of cheeses were measured with TA-XT plus Texture Analyzer (Vienna count, surrey GU7 1 YL, UK). Cylindrical cheese samples were obtained from the middle of the whole cheese block (2 cm in diameter and 2.5 cm in height). Cheese samples were wrapped with plastic stretch film to prevent dehydration and tempered to 21°C ± 1. A two bite penetration test was performed using the Texture Analyzer with P/35 probe (35 cm diameter) and operated at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/s and penetration distance of 10 mm in both upward and downward directions with 10 sec. between two cycles. Six textural parameters (hardness, adhesiveness, springiness, chewiness, gumminess and cohesiveness) were obtained from the analysis of these force-distance curves. All measurements were done in triplicate according to Awad et al., (2002).

Sensory analyses  Sensory evaluation was carried out with a scoring test on each day of sampling. A panel of seven experienced assessors from Ege University Food Engineering Department evaluated cheese quality on the basis of appearance, texture and taste attributes with a point scale from 1 to 5. Acceptability limit were determined as 3.0 after development of first oxidized off-flavour or formation of first marked yellowish color or first stickiness in mouth. Cheese samples were coded with randomly chosen 3-digit numbers and served at room temperature with water for rinsing between samples.

Statistical analyses  Data were processed with the two way analysis of variance (the factors being the packaging technique and the storage period) to determine the significance of individual differences on the level of p < 0.05. Significant means were compared with the Duncan test. All the statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS (Version 8) commercial statistical package.

Results and Discussion  AP (control) cheese samples were not analyzed after 3<sup>rd</sup> week since they were not acceptable sensorially and due to their high mould and yeast count.

Chemical composition of cheese  Chemical composition analyses gave average dry matter 38.80 ± 0.95%, fat in TS 43.72 ± 1.40%, protein 15.56 ± 0.34%, salt in TS 12.6 ± 0.5% and ash 1.026 ± 0.18% for two cheese batches respectively. Tamagnini et al. (2005) found the dry matter contents of Crottin cheeses between 40-57% according to the seasons. Balkir and Ozturk (2003) found chemical composition of Crottin de Chavignol cheeses as 29.54% dry matter, 72.10% fat in dry matter, 4.13% salt in dry matter, 13.53% protein.
Hosono and Shirota (1994) found the dry matter content of Crottin de Chavignol cheeses as 40.85%.

**Permeability characteristics of packaging materials**

The films used in MAP and VP applications must have good barrier properties against gases and water vapor to be able to maintain the atmosphere surrounding the product and to prevent moisture loss. According to the results of our permeability analyses, PA/PE film which was used in VP had an oxygen transmission rate of 30 cc/m² d bar and water vapor transmission rate of 3.875 g/m²-day. PP/EVOH/LDPE and PET/EVOH/LDPE films which were used in MAP had oxygen transmission rates of 3.73 and 8.54 cc/m² d bar and water vapor transmission rates of 2.09 and 2.45 g/m²-day respectively. Nevertheless the same packaging materials were used both for MAP and VP applications in most of the studies, our permeability results are in agreement with the results of Gonzales-Fandos et al. (2000) for MAP, Dermiki et al. (2008) for VP, Favati et al. (2007) for VP, Papaioannou et al. (2007) for VP, Romani et al. (2002) for MAP.

**Headspace ratio of packages**

As it is shown from Table 1, the CO₂ concentration in the head space of MAP samples which was filled with %20 CO₂ + %80 N₂ changed between %19.8-17.2 and the O₂ content was between 0.6-2.0 for whole storage period. The slight increase in O₂ and the slight decrease in CO₂ may be the result of the gas permeability characteristic of packaging material as it was stated by Ucuncu (2007) and also the result of microbial growth especially yeast growth. For AP samples the O₂ content decreased and the CO₂ content increased slightly. This situation may be the result of the consumption of O₂ by aerobic microorganisms.

**Chemical analyses**

Changes in physichochemical attributes (pH and dry matter) were monitored during storage of cheese samples at 4°C under AP, VP and MAP. pH values of all groups increased up to 3rd week and then decreased slightly during the storage period for MAP and VP samples as it is seen from Figure 2(a). This decrease may be related to the growth of lactic acid bacteria used as a starter culture. It is the expected result of cheeses produced with lactic culture stated by Dermiki et al. (2008). The decrease in pH after 9th week was more evident in MAP samples as it was stated by Papaioannou et al. (2007); Gonzales-Fandos et al. (2000). It may the result of the mechanism of decomposition of CO₂ in to carbonic acid (Robertson, 2006; Stiles, 1991). But the decrease in pH was not very high because of the low CO₂ concentration in MAP combination and the packaging method had no significant effect on pH (p > 0.05). On the 3rd week, the pH value of AP group increased from 4.2

![Fig. 2. Changes in (a) pH and (b) dry matter values of AP, VP and MAP cheese samples stored at 4°C.](image-url)
to 5.0 and were higher than MAP and VP groups. This may be due to the increase in yeast and mould counts as it is seen from Figure 3 (b) and (c). The increase in pH for Crottin cheese was also stated by Tamagnini et al. (2005) and it was related to the activity of yeasts.

With respect to dry matter content as shown from Figure 2(b), AP group had the lowest values during storage. There was no significant changes in dry matter content with storage period and packaging technique since the water vapor transmission rates of packaging materials are very low and very close to each other. Our results are in agreement with Papaioannou et al. (2007) and Romani et al. (2002) that they found neither VP nor MAP had any significant effect on dry matter content. Gonzales-Fandos et al. also found no significant differences in dry matter contents of cheeses packaged in air and under vacuum, but they found significant differences in that of MAP cheeses. This difference may be due to the high water vapor transmission rate of packaging material used in MAP.

**Microbiological analyses** The microbiological results are shown in Figure 3. The storage period (p < 0.01) and the packaging technique (p < 0.05) had significant effect on TAMB count. The highest counts for all microbiological analyses were detected in AP samples. There was an increase about 1 log cfu g\(^{-1}\) at TAMB and it reached to 6.6 log unit g\(^{-1}\) at 3\(^{rd}\) week for AP cheese. Gonzales-Fandos et al. (2000) determined that total viable counts of air packaged Cameros cheese which was produced from pasteurized goat
milk increased during storage and reached to 7.0 log unit g\(^{-1}\) after 14 days. For VP and MAP samples there was a 0.5 log unit and 0.1 log unit increase respectively after 3 weeks for TAMB and it steadily increased for whole storage period and reached to 7.6 log unit g\(^{-1}\) for VP samples and 6.4 log unit g\(^{-1}\) for MAP samples at the end of the 15 weeks.

Moulds (> 1 log cfu/g) were detected in all samples at 1\(^{st}\) day of storage. The number of moulds increased at 3\(^{rd}\) week for AP samples but there was no any increase in VP and MAP cheese samples until 15 weeks because of elimination of O\(_2\) in vacuum and inhibitory effect of CO\(_2\) in MAP as it is stated by Gonzales-Fandos \textit{et al.} (2000); Stiles, (1991). The mould growth in MAP may be the result of high O\(_2\) content relatively to the previous storages period as it is seen from Table 1. It was about 2% in 15\(^{th}\) weeks and this amount is enough for growth of moulds. At 15\(^{th}\) weeks, mould count was 3.83 log cfu g\(^{-1}\) for VP and 2.3 log cfu g\(^{-1}\) for MAP cheese. But in AP cheese samples, mould count was 6.2 log cfu g\(^{-1}\) at 3\(^{rd}\) week. Yeast count increased steadily through storage period for all samples. There was a 4.6 log unit increase for AP cheese samples at the end of the 3 weeks. It reached to 7.1 log cfu g\(^{-1}\) VP and 5.8 log cfu g\(^{-1}\) MAP samples at the end of 15 weeks. The storage period (p < 0.01) had significant effect on mould and yeast count whereas the packaging technique didn’t.

As a result, it is possible to express that the microbial counts of MAP samples were slightly lower than VP samples. This may be due to the protective effect of CO\(_2\) for TAMB, yeast and mould count and this result was also confirmed previously by various authors for myzithra kalathaki cheese (Dermiki, 2008), cameros goat cheese (Gonzales-Fandos \textit{et al.} 2000), anthotryros cheese (Papaioannou \textit{et al.} 2007), shredded mozarella (Eliot \textit{et al.} 1998), cottage cheese (Mannheim and Soffer, 1996).

\textbf{Color measurement} The results for color measurement are presented in Table 2. The packaging type and the storage period didn’t significantly influenced the color of cheese samples as it was pointed out in other researches by Favati \textit{et al.} (2007), Romani \textit{et al.} (2002). But in AP cheese samples there was a sharp change in a\(^*\) value and especially in b\(^*\) value at 3\(^{rd}\) week of storage period which gives the cheese a distinct yellowness. It was thought to be the result of oxygen as it was stated by Karel (1992). We can say that vacuum and modified atmosphere may stabilize the color during storage as it was expressed by Favati \textit{et al.} (2007). The L\(^*\) value and b\(^*\) value of MAP and VP cheese samples didn’t changed significantly within storage period, whereas it was observed a consistent decrease in a\(^*\) values of MAP and VP cheeses for 15 weeks, but after 9\(^{th}\) week, this drop was not significant.

\textbf{Texture profile analyses} The changes in texture profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color Properties</th>
<th>Storage (week)</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>VP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L(^*) value(^1)</td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>93.79(^a)A</td>
<td>94.59(^a)A</td>
<td>94.01(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>91.91(^a)A</td>
<td>94.45(^a)A</td>
<td>94.09(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94.61(^a)A</td>
<td>94.44(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94.52(^a)A</td>
<td>94.61(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94.63(^a)A</td>
<td>94.46(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94.35(^a)A</td>
<td>95.18(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(^*) value(^2)</td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>-1.19(^a)A</td>
<td>-1.36(^a)A</td>
<td>-1.66(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.78(^a)B</td>
<td>-1.22(^a)AB</td>
<td>-1.39(^a)AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-0.86(^a)AB</td>
<td>-1.31(^a)AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-0.83(^a)B</td>
<td>-0.92(^a)B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-0.80(^a)B</td>
<td>-0.95(^a)B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-0.77(^a)B</td>
<td>-1.06(^a)B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b(^*) value(^3)</td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>12.15(^a)A</td>
<td>11.10(^a)A</td>
<td>10.59(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.87(^a)B</td>
<td>12.15(^a)A</td>
<td>12.19(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10.22(^a)A</td>
<td>10.86(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10.02(^a)A</td>
<td>9.27(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9.62(^a)A</td>
<td>9.82(^a)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10.48(^a)A</td>
<td>10.13(^a)A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\)SD: ±1.345, \(^{2}\)SD: ±0.706, \(^{3}\)SD: ±0.943

\(^{a}\) each value is the mean of two batch production with two samples analyzed per batch (n=4).

Means with different lowercase letters in the same row are significantly different (p< 0.05); means with different capital letters in the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).
analysis parameters (hardness, cohesiveness, chewiness, adhesiveness, springiness and gumminess) during the storage period of experimental cheeses are shown in Table 3.

The packaging type significantly affected the hardness values of cheese samples ($p < 0.05$) whereas MAP and AP cheese samples were in the same group statistically, VP cheese samples were harder than the others ($p < 0.05$). This may be due to the vacuum applied on to the cheese sample during packaging. Generally the hardness of all samples decreased during the storage period as it was stated by Romani et al. (2002). This could be mainly due to proteolysis as it was stated by Fedrick (1987) and Hort and Grys (2001).

Cohesiveness values showed some fluctuations during the storage period but whereas these changes were insignificant for AP and MAP samples ($p > 0.05$), they were significant for VP sample ($p < 0.05$). But Romani et al. (2002) found

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPA Properties</th>
<th>Storage (week)</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>VP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>0.681 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.649 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.230 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.610 $^{abA}$</td>
<td>0.472 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.987 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.394 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.395 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.410 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.502 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.484 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.490 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.471 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.512 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.567 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.534 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.527 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.788 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.002 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.377 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>2.290 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.436 $^{bA}$</td>
<td>1.105 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.810 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.423 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.465 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.407 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.675 $^{bA}$</td>
<td>1.221 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.295 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.413 $^{bA}$</td>
<td>1.172 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.987 $^{cA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>209.55 $^{abA}$</td>
<td>114.76 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>269.41 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>136.00 $^{bB}$</td>
<td>137.04 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>135.00 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>155.38 $^{bB}$</td>
<td>152.50 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>176.42 $^{aAB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>174.86 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>123.77 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>270.23 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>164.41 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>141.11 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>139.75 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.702 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.651 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>1.550 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.494 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.393 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.743 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.644 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.475 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.619 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.688 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.576 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.702 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.654 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.557 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>0.650 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.23 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>5.87 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>15.48 $^{bA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.92 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>3.89 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>7.76 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.41 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>5.83 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>6.16 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.84 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>5.74 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>6.98 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.51 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>5.56 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>7.50 $^{bB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.95 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.98 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.97 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.95 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.97 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.92 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.94 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.04 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.93 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.94 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.97 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.94 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.96 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.97 $^{aA}$</td>
<td>9.98 $^{aA}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: Not analyzed. $^{1SD}$: ±0.690, $^{2SD}$: ±0.650, $^{3SD}$: ±41.84, $^{4SD}$: ±0.180, $^{5SD}$: ±2.016, $^{6SD}$: ±0.12

Means with different lowercase letters in the same row are significantly different ($p<0.05$); means with different capital letters in the same column are significantly different ($p<0.05$).
that modified atmosphere packaging of 30% CO2 + 70% N2 decreased cohesiveness values of cheese samples during storage period. Ozer et al.(2003) and Tamime et al.(1999) claimed that cohesiveness values of samples increased during storage. In contrast to this, Awad et al.(2002) found that cohesiveness of cheese samples decreased during the ripening. This difference may be due to the type of cheese and the production technique and the packaging method.

Adhesiveness values of the samples decreased during the storage. Whereas the effect of packaging type on adhesiveness values of cheese samples was found significant (p < 0.05) the effect of storage period was insignificant (p > 0.05) for adhesiveness values. And also, the adhesiveness values of AP and MAP samples were statistically in the same group except 3rd week as it is seen from Table 3 and the adhesiveness values of VP samples were higher than the other two samples.

Gumminess values didn’t change significantly during the storage period for AP and MAP samples, whereas they changed at 3rd week and didn’t change after 3rd week for VP samples. The AP and MAP samples were in the same group statistically in the whole storage period, whereas the adhesiveness values of VP samples at 1st day and 3rd week were statistically higher than the other samples and were at the same group with AP and MAP for other storage periods.

Chewiness values didn’t changed significantly both for AP and MAP for whole storage period and VP samples decreased significantly (p < 0.05) for whole storage period. The highest chewiness values were found at 1st day for all samples. The chewiness values of VP samples were three times higher than MAP and two times higher than AP samples at the beginning of storage. This showed that vacuum packaging affected the chewiness value significantly as it affected the hardness value. It is known that there is a direct relation between hardness and chewiness properties and the factors that affect the hardness of cheese also affect the chewiness of cheese.

The springiness values of cheese samples almost remained constant for whole storage period and didn’t change significantly (p > 0.05) for packaging technique.

Sensory analyses The results of sensory evaluation (appearance, texture and taste attributes) of cheese samples are shown in Table 4. Sensory results showed similar pattern for MAP and VP with decreasing acceptability for taste scores in whole storage period and increasing acceptability for texture scores until 12th weeks and fluctuating acceptability at the same weeks for appearance scores. The taste results were conformance with the results of Papaioannou et al. (2007). AP cheeses were acceptable for appearance and texture attributes but they were unacceptable as they had taste score under acceptable level of 3.0 at 3rd week. Evolution of sen-

### Table 4. Changes in appearance, texture and taste scores of cheese samples according to the packaging type and storage period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensory Properties</th>
<th>Storage (week)</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>VP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance¹</td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>4.50 aA</td>
<td>4.51 aA</td>
<td>4.07 aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.63 aA</td>
<td>4.41 aA</td>
<td>4.05 aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.67 aA</td>
<td>4.76 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.29 aA</td>
<td>4.54 bAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.53 aA</td>
<td>4.53 aAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.47 aA</td>
<td>3.47 aC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture²</td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>3.60 aA</td>
<td>3.99 aA</td>
<td>3.60 aAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.77 aA</td>
<td>3.50 aA</td>
<td>3.55 bAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.39 aA</td>
<td>4.32 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.21 aA</td>
<td>4.29 aAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.20 aA</td>
<td>4.23 aAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.41 aA</td>
<td>3.31 aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste³</td>
<td>1.day</td>
<td>4.19 aA</td>
<td>4.00 aA</td>
<td>4.05 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.95 bB</td>
<td>4.05 aA</td>
<td>4.15 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.88 aAB</td>
<td>3.61 bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.56 aAB</td>
<td>3.79 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.46 aB</td>
<td>3.69 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.26 aA</td>
<td>2.40 bC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: Not analyzed. ¹SD: ±0.433, ²SD: ±0.506, ³SD: ±0.530  
a: each value is the mean of two batch production with two samples analyzed per batch (n=4). Means with different lowercase letters in the same row are significantly different (p< 0.05); means with different capital letters in the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).
sory characteristics in the present study was very similar in cheeses stored under vacuum and 20% CO₂ / 80 N₂ as stated by Gonzales-Fandos (2000) for Cameros goat cheese. Appearance and texture scores for MAP and VP didn't change significantly for 12 weeks but at 15th week they decreased under 4.0 whereas taste scores decreased throughout the whole storage period. The taste scores of VP cheese samples were below acceptability limit of 3 because of formation of oxidized flavour at 15th week as MAP cheese samples were still above 3. Therefore VP cheese samples were unacceptable by panelists at 15th week whereas MAP cheese samples were still acceptable at 15th week. There was significant difference for appearance and taste scores with storage period but no for texture scores. It means that the difference determined instrumentally between MAP and VP cheese samples weren't fixed for sensorial texture results. And we didn’t obtain any result by panelists that MAP application affected the taste attributes of cheese as it was pointed out by some authors that CO₂ had an adverse effect on taste and aroma (Olarte et al. 2001). It may be due to the low CO₂ concentration used.

Conclusions

Based on the results of microbial and sensorial analyses of AP, MAP and VP cheese samples for 15 weeks, it is possible to express that the shelf-life of Crottin de Chavignol cheese can be extended until 15 weeks for MAP cheese samples and until 12 weeks for VP cheese samples whereas the shelf-life of AP (control) cheese was only limited below 3 weeks. Because of the protective effect of CO₂, the microbial loads were lower and the taste scores of MAP cheese samples were higher than VP cheese samples and the taste scores of VP cheese samples were below acceptability limit at 15th weeks because of formation of oxidized flavour. And also as a result, the packaging type whether MAP or VP didn’t significantly affected the pH, dry matter, color and textural properties except hardness, chewiness and gumminess. Hardness, chewiness and gumminess values of VP cheeses were higher than MAP cheese samples. This situation may be the result of vacuum applied during packaging process. But the increase in hardness, chewiness and gumminess values didn't influence the taste scores of panelists.
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