Establishment and Single Laboratory Validation for Quantitative Determination of Tamarind Seed Polysaccharide by Iodine Staining
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Tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP or tamarind seed gum) consists of galactoxyloglucan, which has a main chain structure of β-1,4-glucan with side chains of α-D-xylopyranose and β-D-galactopyranosyl-1,2-α-D-xylopyranose 1,6-linked to the main chain (Glc : Xyl : Gal = 2.8 : 2.25 : 1) (Gidley et al., 1991). TSP is extracted from the seed of tamarind (Tamarindus indica L.), an evergreen tree grown in tropical and subtropical regions. TSP solutions have a similar texture to starch and exhibit Newtonian flow. In Japan, TSP is used as a thickener, stabilizer, and gelling agent in various foods, such as thick sauce, dipping sauce, mayonnaise, frozen desserts, wheat flour products, and jelly (Nishinari et al., 2009).

Xyloglucan is a hemicellulose found in the cell walls of all higher plants (Hayashi, 1989a, Schultink et al., 2014). Although additional substituents differ slightly depending on the plant family, tissue, and developmental stage (Schultink et al., 2014), the basic structure of xyloglucan is similar in all of these plants. Xyloglucans are also present in rice, vegetables, and fruit (Fry, 1992), considered to be food ingredients that are constantly ingested in our diet.

In Japan, TSP products were first marketed as food additives in
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1964 and have been safely used for many years. TSP products are also referred to as existing food additives and were placed on the List of Existing Food Additives by The Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare in Japan. TSP products are also used as food additives in China and South Korea and as food ingredients in Taiwan. In the United States, TSP products are marketed with Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) status, granted by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2014. Given this background, the market for TSP products is expected to grow worldwide.

TSP products with different characteristics are currently manufactured and marketed. Hot- and cold-water-soluble products are widely used as the standard, while clarified and low-viscosity products are also used for specific purposes. To market such TSP products worldwide, it is necessary to confirm their content for quality assurance. Thus, the establishment of a simple and highly precise method for quantitative determination of TSP is required.

TSP can be quantified by various methods, including the iodine-staining method with specific color reactions (Kooiman, 1960, Nishitani and Masuda, 1981), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method using antibodies that recognize the partial structure of xyloglucans (Sone and Fujikawa, 1993), the Prosky-AOAC method using enzymes (Prosky et al., 1984), and high-performance liquid chromatography quantification of isoprimeverose yielded by Driselase digestion (Kong et al., 2015). Among these techniques, the iodine-staining method seems promising in terms of cost and ease of conduct because TSP has been shown to stain blue with a solution containing iodine and potassium iodide, both of which are relatively low-cost reagents, and the staining intensity can be measured as absorbance at visible wavelength (e.g. 640 nm) by spectrophotometry. Moreover, the reaction has proved to be specific and applicable to quantitative assays of TSP or galactoxyloglucans from other plant sources (Kooiman, 1960). Since Kooiman’s findings, it has been reported that the content of xyloglucans from various plant sources, such as azuki bean epicotyl (Nishitani and Masuda, 1981), rice endosperm (Shibuya and Iwasaki, 1978), leaf vegetables (Kato and Matsukura, 1994), fruit (Kato et al., 2001), squash hypocotyl (Wakabayashi et al., 1993), cotton fiber (Tokumoto et al., 2002), and xylem tissues of hybrid aspen stem (Nishikubo et al., 2011), has been quantified by iodine staining. Although the quantitative determination of xyloglucans by iodine staining has been performed for several decades in research on plant cell wall polysaccharides, the validity of the method and reference samples used for quantification have not been reported.

In this study, we report the quantitativeness and the validity of the iodine-staining method for various marketed TSP products using purified TSP as the reference standard. The results of this study suggest that the proposed method can be used as an assay for marketed TSP products as a food additive.
in the same way as RS except that hot-water-soluble products, and hot-water-soluble and low-viscosity products were dissolved in water at 75 ± 2°C, then diluted to make a 100 μg/g sample solution, which was subsequently subjected to iodine staining. For other sugars, 100 μg/g solutions of dextrin (TK-16, SD70, and SD100), CMC, corn starch, xanthan gum, gum arabic, pullulan, sodium alginate, and glucose were prepared using water maintained at room temperature, while locust bean gum, apple pectin, citrus pectin, karaya gum, and psyllium seed gum were dissolved in heated water (80 ± 2°C) to make 100 μg/g solutions. In some experiments, in order to examine the effect of starch and other sugars on iodine staining of TSP, solutions of RS (100 μg/g) containing each type of starch or other sugars (20 μg/g) were prepared. All solutions prepared in this study were vigorously blended just before taking an aliquot from the solution to ensure sample uniformity.

Amylase pretreatment study To examine the effect of enzymatic pretreatment of soluble starch present in TSP solutions, a TSP solution containing soluble starch was treated with α-amylase before iodine staining. One milliliter of 500 μg/g RS and/or 100 μg/g soluble starch in 10 mM Tris-hydrochloric acid (pH 7.0) containing approximately 1 unit of α-amylase from porcine pancreas were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Mixtures of 500 μg/g RS and/or 100 μg/g soluble starch pretreated in the absence of α-amylase were used as a control. After enzymatic treatment, the reaction mixtures were heated at 99°C for 10 min. Iodine staining was performed by the method mentioned below with solutions (1 mL each) produced by diluting the heated reaction mixtures 5-fold.

Quantification of TSP by iodine staining The TSP concentration of each marketed TSP product was determined from the calibration curve for A640 value measured with iodine-stained samples by a method (Nishitani and Masuda, 1981) modified from Kooiman’s iodine-staining method (Kooiman, 1960). A 1.0-mL portion of sample solution was mixed with 2.0 mL of 15 w/v% sodium sulfate solution, 0.25 mL of 0.5 w/v% iodine and 1.0 w/v% potassium iodide solution (which had been prepared and stored at 4°C in a dark place before use). The reaction mixture was kept for 1 h at 4°C in a dark place, and for 30 min at room temperature in darkness. A640 value was measured using a spectrophotometer (U-2910; Hitachi High-Tech Science, Tokyo, Japan). From the A640 value and calibration curve, the TSP concentration in the sample solution was calculated. The quantified TSP concentrations were calculated based on dry matter. The water content of TSP samples was determined using a halogen moisture analyzer (HG53; Mettler Toledo, Columbus, US) for weight loss, which was measured after drying ca. 3 g of a sample at 105°C until no further weight loss of >1 mg was detected within 50 sec.

Method validation The method was validated with respect to selectivity, linearity, range, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), trueness, repeatability, intermediate precision, and uncertainty.

In order to assess the selectivity of the method, 100 μg/g solutions of various saccharides including starches and dextrins were tested by iodine staining. The interfering effects of starches and other saccharides on iodine staining of TSP were tested by the quantification method for a 100 μg/g solution of RS with 20 μg/g starch or other saccharides.

Linearity was determined at 14 different concentrations (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 300, 500, and 1000 μg/g, before conversion to dry matter) of RS, with each concentration tested in triplicate. Regression analysis was performed based on the obtained curve data. For calibration, five different concentrations (50, 75, 100, 125, and 150 μg/g, before conversion to dry matter) of RS solutions were tested using six replicates. A calibration curve was obtained by the least-squares method and the linear regression coefficient was calculated. The calibration curve was not forced to pass through the origin. The linearity requirements were fulfilled when the correlation coefficient was greater than 0.999 and the back-calculated concentration of the calibration standards did not exceed ±10% of the theoretical value. LOD and LOQ were determined as 3.3 and 10 times, respectively, the ratio SD (standard deviation) /slope of the response obtained from the calibration curve (n = 6).

Trueness was evaluated by performing recovery experiments using RS alone and the cold-water-soluble product fortified with RS. TSP concentrations in the samples were measured by the iodine-staining method. For the recovery test using RS alone, RS was assessed at five different concentrations (calibration solutions) in water using six replicates with different sets of calibration curves. In this assay, the recovery rate was calculated as [(Cd/Ca) x 100], where Cd is the concentration determined and Ca is the amount added, and recovery rates (%) ranging from 90% to 110% were judged acceptable in this study. For the spiked recovery test using cold-water-soluble product fortified with RS, 87 μg/g cold-water-soluble TSP product solutions were spiked with 9 or 36 μg/g RS. The recovery rates were calculated with the mean values of data obtained by performing two parallel analyses for 5 days and a formula of [(Cf-Cu)/Cs x 100], where Cf is the back-calculated concentration of the calibration standards did not exceed ±10% of the theoretical value. LOD and LOQ were based on the recovery rates recommended by AOAC (85 – 110% and 90 – 108% for 100 μg/g and 1000 μg/g, respectively) (AOAC, 2013).

Repeatability relative standard deviation and intermediate relative standard deviation (RSDr and RSDi, respectively) were estimated based on the results of two parallel analyses performed using six replicates with RS for 3 days and two replicates with each TSP product for 5 days, respectively. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, and values were obtained using the following equations:
Repeatability standard deviation (Sr) = square root of within-group variance

Intermediate standard deviation (Si) = square root of 
\[ \frac{[(between-group variance) - (within-group variance)]}{r} \]
(where r is the number of parallel analyses)

\[ \text{RSDr} = \frac{Sr}{\text{mean of all quantitative values} \times 100} \]

\[ \text{RSDi} = \frac{Si}{\text{mean of all quantitative values} \times 100} \]

An estimate of the combined relative standard uncertainty associated with the results using RS alone was calculated by type A evaluation of uncertainty. To estimate the combined relative standard uncertainty, the relative standard uncertainties associated with the root mean square of ‘100-recovery (%)’ and reproducibility obtained using RS alone, respectively, were considered as main uncertainty sources of the method in this study, and by combining these relative standard uncertainties. An estimate of the relative expanded uncertainty corresponding to a confidence interval of approximately 95% was obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor of 2.

Results

**TSP reference standard** To assess the purity of RS, the content of dietary fiber and sugars was analyzed. The contents of polysaccharides detected as dietary fiber, protein, fat, and ash in the purified TSP were 100.3 ± 0.5%, 0.1 ± 0.0%, <0.1 ± 0.0%, and 0.1 ± 0.1% (mean ± SD, n = 3), respectively. The most abundant composition of saccharides in RS was glucose (51.4%), followed by xylose (29.7%) and galactose (17.1%) (Table 1). The ratio of galactose, xylose, and glucose was 1:1.7:3.0, which is comparable to the ratio (1:2.25:2.8) previously reported (Gidley, et al., 1991). These results indicate that RS is composed of practically pure xyloglucan. The presence of a trace amount of arabinose in the cold-water-soluble TSP product was also pointed out in a previous study (Gidley, et al., 1991). In addition, starch that could be stained with iodine was not detected in purified TSP by the starch test. Thus, the purified TSP used for quantitative determination in this study is appropriate to use as an RS to quantify TSP by the iodine-staining method.

**Absorption spectra of iodine-stained TSP** Visible light absorption spectra revealed that the solutions of iodine-stained RS and the cold-water-soluble product exhibited maximum absorption at approximately 660 nm and relatively broad absorption over the range of 550 – 750 nm (Fig. 1), obtained with equipment used in this study. Other TSP products exhibited similar spectra to the RS and cold-water-soluble product (data not shown). At 640 nm, RS and all products exhibited approximately 97 ± 0% (mean ± SD, n = 6 for each of RS and products) of the maximum absorption. The results suggest the negligible effect of differences in measuring wavelengths between A660 and A640 on the uncertainty of the method. Although 640 nm is not the maximum absorption wavelength, it is comparable with previously reported data (Kooiman, 1960, Nishitani and Masuda, 1981). Therefore, it is considered appropriate to use 640 nm to measure the absorbance of iodine-stained TSP sample solutions.

**Method validation** With other representative saccharides used as food additives (CMC, pullulan, xanthan gum, guar gum, locust bean gum, apple pectin, citrus pectin, sodium alginate, karaya gum, gum arabic, and psyllium seed gum), starches, and glucose, the A640 value was measured using this quantification method, and the selectivity of iodine staining was evaluated by comparing with RS, indicating that the iodine staining of various saccharides measured at 640 nm also shows less non-specific detection (Fig. 2). Soluble starch and dextrin (with DEs of 6 to 8 and 10 to 13, respectively) were stained with iodine, while no iodine staining comparable to that of RS was observed in other polysaccharides or glucose, suggesting the selectivity of iodine staining for TSP (Fig. 2). The effects of various starches on the quantification of TSP content were also examined. There were no significant differences
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Following are the results of the study:

- **Fig. 2.** Comparison of iodine staining against solutions of various saccharides. Solutions prepared using various saccharides with a concentration of 100 μg/g were tested using iodine staining. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 3).

- **Fig. 3.** Effect of the addition of starch or other sugars on iodine staining of tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP) reference standard (RS).

- **Fig. 4.** Iodine staining of the solution of tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP) reference standard (RS) containing soluble starch pretreated in the presence or absence of α-amylase. One milliliter of 500 μg/g TSP RS and/or 100 μg/g soluble starch in 10 mM Tris-hydrochloric acid (pH 7.0) with or without approximately 1 unit of α-amylase from porcine pancreas were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Solution mixtures of RS and/or soluble starch pretreated in the absence of α-amylase, were used as a control. After enzymatic treatment, the reaction mixtures were heated at 99°C for 10 min then diluted 5-fold with water. The diluted reaction mixtures (1 mL) underwent iodine staining. TSP, TSP alone; St, Soluble starch alone; TSP/St, TSP containing soluble starch. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 3).

(P > 0.05) in A640 values between RS alone and RS with corn starch, dextrins, or other saccharides (Fig. 3). The effect of amylase pretreatment of RS solution containing soluble starch was then examined (Fig. 4). RS solution (0.05 wt%) mixed with soluble starch at 0.01 wt% showed a significant increase in iodine staining (P < 0.05), similar to soluble starch alone, indicating the effect of soluble starch on iodine staining (Initial and Control in Fig. 4). To test amylase pretreatment for the quantification of TSP containing starch by the iodine-staining method, RS solution alone, soluble starch alone, and their mixture were pretreated with α-amylase and then stained with iodine. After pretreatment, the soluble starch-alone sample was not stained with iodine, while the RS solution-alone sample and the mixture of RS solution and soluble starch exhibited similar A640 values (P > 0.05) (‘Amylase’ in Fig. 4). In addition, the iodine staining of RS solution alone hardly changed (P > 0.05) before and after amylase pretreatment, indicating the absence of starch in RS. In the Japanese Specifications and Standards for Food Additives, TSP is defined as not containing starch, and in a previous study, no starch was detected in marketed TPS products by the designated starch test for TSP (Sadamasu et al., 1996). When quantifying TSP in products mixed with starch or foods containing starch, it may be necessary to remove the starch from samples by amylase treatment, etc. before quantification if the interference is not negligible. Thus, the iodine-staining method for quantitative determination of TSP is highly selective and can be applied to samples in the presence of starch or dextrins (DE ≤ ≈13) at a concentration of ≥15% by pretreatment with amylases, as required.

After staining RS solutions at concentrations ranging from 5 to 898 μg/g with iodine, we examined the relationship between quantitativity by iodine staining measured with A640 value and TSP concentration. These analyses were carried out in triplicate. Good linearity (r > 0.999) was confirmed over the concentration range of 5 to 449 μg/g. A representative curve is shown in Fig. 5A. These results indicate that the linear curve fit reasonably well over a wide concentration range. Based on the curve in the concentration range tested, 100 μg/g (0.01 wt%) (before conversion to dry matter), which exhibited appropriate A640 values (0.6 – 0.7) in the range of linearity, was determined to be the preferred sample concentration...
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for the iodine-staining method. The range of the calibration curve for quantification was selected considering the linearity between the concentrations and A640 values, and appropriate A640 values at 100 μg/g RS (0.6 – 0.7). Good linearity (r > 0.999) was also demonstrated for each calibration curve of the six replicates. LOD and LOQ were 1.59 – 1.71 μg/g and 4.83 – 5.19 μg/g, respectively, in the calibration range (44.5 – 133.6 μg/g). A representative calibration curve is shown in Fig. 5B.

A recovery test was conducted using RS alone and the cold-water-soluble product fortified with RS. Table 2 shows total recovery using five concentrations of RS in water. Recovery values were within the designated acceptable limits for the concentrations added, indicating the trueness of the method. In the spiked recovery test using cold-water-soluble product fortified with RS, 9 and 36 μg/g RS were added to the fortified samples. The cold-water-soluble product was chosen as the representative TSP product since it is regarded as the standard grade of TSP product on the market, and potentially contains impurities for examining the matrix effect. These spike concentrations were set as the total TSP concentrations around 100 μg/g, which is roughly near the expected concentration of marketed TSP products when their solutions are prepared according to the quantification method. Analysis of the nutritional composition of the cold-water-soluble product revealed that it contained lipid, ash, and protein as impurities, all of which accounted for approximately 1%; however, no starch was detected by the starch test as previously reported by Sadamasu et al. (1996) using various marketed TSP products. When a cold-water-soluble product with 87 μg/g TSP was quantified after adding 9 or 36 μg/g RS, the mean recovery rates in 10 measurements were 107% and 103%, respectively (Table 3), both of which were within the range of 85 to 110% (with a sample concentration of 100 μg/g) and 90 to 108% (with a sample concentration of 1000 μg/g), respectively, which are considered to be effective for single laboratory validation in reference to AOAC (AOAC, 2013). The results indicated that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of TSP added as concentration (μg/g)</th>
<th>Detected value (μg/g)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133.6</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TSP reference standard was assessed by iodine staining at five different concentrations (calibration solutions) in water using different sets of calibration curves. In this assay, the recovery rate was calculated as [(Cd/ Ca) x 100], where Cd is the concentration determined and Ca is the amount added, and recovery rates (%) from 90% to 110% were judged acceptable. Data are expressed as the mean and SD (n = 6 for each TSP concentration).

Fig. 5. Iodine staining represented by A640 as a function of concentrations of tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP) reference standard (RS). (A) Intensity of iodine staining, measured as A640, over a wide range of concentrations of TSP RS. Data are expressed as the mean of three repeated measurements. The range of concentrations enclosed by the dotted line was used to generate a calibration curve for quantitative determination. (B) A calibration curve for the reference standard used for quantitative determination of TSP and one set of three repeated measurements are presented.
Table 3. Single-laboratory analysis of spiked recovery test of tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP) reference standard (RS) added to the cold-water-soluble product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TSP concentration (μg/g)</th>
<th>Cold-water-soluble product (87 μg/g) + RS (9 μg/g)</th>
<th>+ RS (36 μg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>96 95</td>
<td>126 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>98 98</td>
<td>125 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>96 96</td>
<td>123 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>100 100</td>
<td>127 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>95 96</td>
<td>122 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (μg/g)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovery (%) | 107 | 103
Sr (%)       | 0.5 | 0.7
RSDr (%)     | 0.5 | 0.6
Si (%)       | 2.0 | 1.9
RSDi (%)     | 2.1 | 1.5

Cold-water-soluble TSP product solutions (87 μg/g, based on dry matter) were spiked with TSP reference standard (RS) (9 or 36 μg/g, based on dry matter). The recovery rates were calculated with the mean values of data obtained by performing two parallel analyses for 5 days and the formula of \( \frac{(Cf-Cu)}{Cs} \times 100 \), where Cf is the concentration of the fortified test samples, Cu is the concentration of the unfortified test sample, and Cs is the spike level. The calculated recovery rates were assessed based on the recovery rates recommended by AOAC (85 – 110% and 90 – 108% for 100 μg/g and 1000 μg/g, respectively) (AOAC, 2013).

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, and values were obtained using the following equations:

Repeatability standard deviation (Sr) = square root of within-group variance
Intermediate standard deviation (Si) = square root of \( \frac{[(between-group variance) - (within-group variance)]}{r + (within-group variance)} \), (where r is the number of parallel analyses)
RSDr = Sr / mean of all quantitative values × 100
RSDi = Si / mean of all quantitative values × 100

Table 4. Results of single-laboratory analysis of quantitative determination of tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP) reference standard by iodine staining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TSP concentration (μg/g)</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (μg/g)</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (μg/g)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr (μg/g)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDr (%)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (μg/g)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDi (%)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeatability relative standard deviation and intermediate relative standard deviation (RSDr and RSDi, respectively) of iodine staining for aqueous solution of TSP reference standard were estimated based on the results of two parallel analyses performed using six replicates for 3 days.

Other details are given in Table 3.
the impurities contained in TSP products are unlikely to influence iodine staining, thereby showing the absence of a matrix effect according to the quantification method. These results suggest that the quantification method has acceptable trueness.

Using RS and four marketed TSP products, RSDr and RSDi of this quantification method were examined. The cold-water-soluble product fortified with RS at 9 μg/g and 36 μg/g showed RSDr and RSDi values of ~1% and ~2.0%, respectively (Table 3). RSDr and RSDi for RS alone (Table 4) or each marketed product alone (Table 5) were 0.9 – 1.9% and 2.4 – 3.5%, respectively. These results confirmed the acceptable repeatability and reproducibility of the quantification method, and its applicability for marketed TSP products, which constitute most of the total market currently. The relative expanded uncertainty for measuring TSP by the iodine-staining method is estimated to be 4.11%. This level of low uncertainty supports that the method has high metrological quality for the determination of TSP concentration. The results suggest that the iodine-staining method validated in this study has sufficient trueness and precision regardless of product type (solubility, physical property, or viscosity).

Discussion

Our results add detailed data supporting the validity of the iodine-staining method for xyloglucan content as previously reported; therefore, this method was established for the quantitative determination of TSP. Additionally, our results indicate that the iodine-staining method is a specialized technique with selectivity for a wide variety of polysaccharides excluding starches, which can be predigested by amylases if interference is not negligible. In contrast, the Prosky method (Prosky, et al., 1984) has no selectivity for the determination of dietary fibers, although total fibers are quantified using the current protocol for food ingredients. The results also indicate that the method is applicable to the marketed TSP products, which constitute currently most of the total market. Thus, the iodine-staining method will be used to certify TSP content in a variety of marketed TSP products, and additionally to determine TSP content in foods.

As an application of the method, the following equation are proposed to measure TSP content in marketed TSP products:

\[ T = \frac{D}{(W_f \times (100-L/100))} \]  ······Eq. 5

Where

- \( T \): Content of tamarind seed polysaccharide in the sample (%)
- \( D \): Concentration of tamarind seed polysaccharide in the sample solution determined by the method (μg/g)
- \( W_f \): Weight of the sample (g)
- \( L \): Loss on drying of the sample (water content) (%)

It is notable that the iodine staining of TSP showed quantitativity and selectivity, which is relevant to the interaction between TSP molecules and iodine. In previous analysis of the TSP–iodine complex gel structure by small-angle x-ray scattering and molecular simulation (Yuguchi et al., 2000), an inclusion space created by the side chain structure (Yuguchi et al., 2005) of TSP between TSP molecules in a parallel rod-like structure (Yamanaka, et al., 1999) was proposed to accommodate iodine in the structure. This may lead to selectivity, whereas the starch–iodine reaction is proposed to be involved in the incorporation of iodine into an amylose helical structure (Bluhm and Zugenmaier, 1981). A TSP molecule is composed of subunit structures possessing a backbone of four consecutive 1, 4-linked-β-D-glucopyranosyl residues with four different patterns of side-chain substitution for the β-glucosyl residues (York et al., 1990). Such various patterns of side chain structures might account for the quantitative inclusion space for...

Table 5. Results of single laboratory analysis of quantitative determination of tamarind seed polysaccharide (TSP) in various TSP products by iodine staining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TSP concentration (μg/g)</th>
<th>Cold-water-soluble</th>
<th>Hot-water-soluble</th>
<th>Clarified</th>
<th>Low viscosity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (μg/g)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr (μg/g)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDr (%)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (μg/g)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDi (%)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeatability relative standard deviation and intermediate relative standard deviation (RSDr and RSDi, respectively) of the iodine-staining method for TSP concentration in aqueous solutions of various TSP products were estimated based on the results of two parallel analyses performed using two replicates with each TSP product for 5 days. Other details are given in Table 3.
iodine corresponding to the number and size of TSP molecules.

The iodine-staining intensity of xyloglucan showed to decrease with depolymerization by an enzyme (xyloglucan endohydrolase) of the main chain (Tabuchi et al., 2001). When the molecular mass of xyloglucan is approximately ≤10 KDa, an evident decrease is observed in the intensity of iodine staining (Hayashi, 1989b). In this study, the low-viscosity TSP product, which is thought to be depolymerized to some extent, showed similar intensity of iodine staining to that of RS (Table 5). Therefore, the iodine-staining method established in this study is considered applicable to all current TSP products. If new TSP products with a molecular mass lower than a certain size, showing a lower intensity of iodine staining than current marketed TSP products, were going to be developed, further studies would be needed to establish a quantification method.

Pertinent to the TSP structure in iodine staining, the hypothesis that the side chains of TSP create inclusion spaces for iodine (Yuguchi, et al., 2005) may suggest that removal of galactose as a side chain of TSP influences iodine staining. We have pursued this possibility and found that TSP partially degalactosylated by β-galactosidase (Shirakawa et al., 1998) showed slightly but significantly reduced iodine staining as compared with TSP without enzymatic treatment (Tabuchi A, Baba Y, Inoue Y, and Yamatoya K, DSP Gokyo Food & Chemical, Osaka, Japan). Therefore, it is necessary to make an adjustment when calculating the content of partially degalactosylated TSP using this quantification method, and further study is required to determine specific adjustment conditions. Based on the relationship between the molecular structure of TSP and iodine staining, we conclude that iodine staining of TSP can be adopted as a universal method to quantify TSP in various marketed TSP products as food additives regardless of differences in the structure or physical properties of currently marketed TSP products.

Conclusion

In conclusion, since the iodine-staining method for TSP quantification was confirmed to be quantitative, selective, and appropriate in this study, we propose that this technique is adopted as a simple and practical method with high trueness and precision for the determination of TSP concentration. The method showed that good linearity was confirmed for the concentration range of 5 - 449 μg/g (r > 0.999) of RS. The calibration curve shows a linear relationship between absorbance and selected concentrations with a correlation coefficient more than 0.999. TSP can be quantified in the calibration range (44.5 – 133.6 μg/g) with LOD of 1.59 – 1.71 μg/g and LOQ of 4.83 – 5.19 μg/g with the absence of a matrix effect. Uncertainty for the determination of TSP was calculated from the validation data. The relative expanded uncertainty by this method was estimated to be 4.11%. The method established in this study was successfully applied for TSP products constituting most of the total market currently. Thus, the iodine-staining method can be used to certify TSP content in TSP products marketed as food additives.
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